

**Full title: Spectrum of clinical features and genetic profile of left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy in children.**

*Shot title:* The clinical and genetic characteristics in children with LVNC.

Authors list:

*Agata Paszkowska*, MD, Department of Cardiology, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

*Alicja Mirecka-Rola*, MD, Department of Cardiology, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

*Dorota Piekutowska-Abramczuk*, MD PhD, Department of Medical Genetics, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

*Elżbieta Ciara*, MD PhD, Department of Medical Genetics, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

*Łukasz Mazurkiewicz*, MD PhD, Department of Cardiomyopathies, Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Unit, National Institute of Cardiology, 04-682 Warsaw, Poland

*Katarzyna Bieganowska*, Prof. MD PhD, Department of Cardiology, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

*Lidia Ziolkowska*, Assoc. Prof. MD PhD, Department of Cardiology, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

None of authors declared any conflicts of interest.

Corresponding Author:

*Lidia Ziolkowska* ✉, Assoc. Prof. MD PhD, Department of Cardiology, The Children's Memorial Health Institute, Al. Dzieci Polskich 20, 04-730 Warsaw, Poland

Tel. + 48 22 8157370, Fax. +48 228157365, e-mail. [l.ziolkowska@ipczd.pl](mailto:l.ziolkowska@ipczd.pl)

Orcid ID No: 0000-0003-0300-1941

Support: The study was funded by The Children's Memorial Health Institute (statutory grant no. S177/2018).

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: LZ, AP, DPA; Methodology: LZ, AP, AMR, DPA, EC, ŁM, KB; Validation: LZ, DPA, EC; Formal Analysis: LZ, AP, AMR, DPA, EC; Investigation: AP, LZ, AMR, DPA, EC, KB, ŁM; Resources: LZ, AP, DPA, ŁM; Data Curation, AP, LZ, DPA, EC; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, AP, LZ, DPA, AMR, ŁM; Writing – Review & Editing, LZ, AP; Supervision, LZ.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) is a genetically determined cardiomyopathy, that occurs following a disruption of endomyocardial morphogenesis. The purpose of this study was to identify the clinical characteristics and genetic profile of children with LVNC.

**Methods:** From February 2008 to July 2020, a total of 32 children (median 11.5 years) with LVNC were prospectively enrolled and followed up for the median of 4.02 years. Diagnosis was made based on characteristic features of LVNC in echocardiography and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR). Patients' clinical symptoms, family history, ECG, Holter ECG and genetic tests were also evaluated.

**Results:** The most common presenting symptom was heart failure (31% of children). ECG abnormalities were noted in 56% of patients. The most prominent features were ventricular arrhythmias, sinus bradycardia and paroxysmal third-degree atrioventricular block. Most of the patients (94%) met the criteria for LVNC and CMR confirmed this diagnosis in 82% of cases. The molecular etiology was found in 53% of children.

**Conclusion:** Although heart failure and arrhythmias were very frequent in our study group, thromboembolic events and genetic syndromes were rare. For accurate and reliable assessment of children with LVNC, it is necessary to get to know their family history and detailed clinical profile.

**Keywords:** left ventricular noncompaction, cardiomyopathy, heart failure, arrhythmia, conduction disturbances, molecular etiology, children

## INTRODUCTION

Left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy (LVNC) is a genetically determined myocardial disease, the third most common cardiomyopathy in the pediatric population (after dilated and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy) [1]. Molecular studies confirm the genetic basis in approximately 40% of LVNC patients [2]. The clinical presentation of LVNC varies widely, ranging from asymptomatic cases to severe heart failure, arrhythmias, thromboembolic complications, and sudden cardiac death [3,4]. Heart failure is the most common clinical symptom, occurring in approximately 55% of patients with LVNC [5]. The spectrum of arrhythmias is very wide, with the most common one being ventricular arrhythmia. Left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction, arrhythmias and blood stasis in the recesses of the myocardium predispose to thromboembolic events [6,7,8]. The basic diagnostic examination in LVNC is echocardiography. While LVNC is generally diagnosed based on published echocardiographic criteria [9], cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) can also be useful in suspected cases of LVNC and may help determine the prognosis by detecting fibrosis [10,11]. Therapeutic management includes the treatment of heart failure, cardiac arrhythmias, and thromboembolic complications.

This study sought to determine the clinical features and genetic causes of LVNC in children diagnosed with this disease at a single institution.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Study patients.** From March 2008 to July 2020 pediatric patients with diagnosed LVNC hospitalized in the Department of Cardiology of the Children's Memorial Health Institute

were prospectively enrolled. The criteria for inclusion in the study were age <18 years at the time of diagnosis and echocardiographic evidence of isolated LVNC defined as: 1. The presence of a two-layer structure with a compacted (C) and noncompacted (NC) endocardial layer of trabecular meshwork with deep endomyocardial spaces. 2. A maximal end-systolic ratio of NC/C layers of > 2.3. Colour Doppler evidence of deep perfused intertrabecular recesses [9].

The Institutional Ethics Committee approved this study. The project received a positive opinion of the Ethics Committee at the Institute "Children's Memorial Health Institute" on October 24, 2018. Resolution No. 45 / KBE / 2018

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

**Data collection.** Patients' demographics, clinical symptoms, family history of cardiomyopathies and sudden cardiac death (SCD), arrhythmias in family members, treatment strategy as well as the results of echocardiography, 12-lead resting ECG, 24-hour Holter ECG, cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET), and CMR were collected. In all children, NYHA/Ross functional class and clinical symptoms such as chest pain, palpitations, syncope, pre-syncope and thromboembolic events were evaluated. Serum NT-proBNP level was assessed in all patients. Each patient underwent genetic blood tests for evaluation of the molecular basis of the disease. DNA from the peripheral blood was extracted automatically by MagCore Nucleic acid Extractor HF16Plus. Next-generation sequencing (targeted panel of 25 genes: *ACTC1*, *ACTN2*, *CASQ2*, *DES*, *DTNA*, *GLA*, *HCN4*, *LAMP2*, *LDB3*, *LMNA*, *MIB1*, *MYBPC3*, *MYH7*, *MYL2*, *MYL3*, *NSD1*, *PKP2*, *PLN*, *PRDM16*, *RBM20*, *RYR2*, *TAFAZZIN*, *TNNT2*, *VCL*) was applied in all cases. The sequencing was done on the HiSeq 1500 platform (Illumina). The discovered variants were analyzed and prioritized considering: 1/ the minor allele frequency determined with the gnomAD, ExAC, 10UK and in-house database, containing data from more than 5000 individuals; 2/ the pathogenicity of the variants, using up to 13 different *in silico* prediction algorithms: CADD, SIFT, MutationTaster, PolyPhen2 (HDIV, HVAR), MutationAssessor, LRT, MetaSVM, MetaLR, FATHMM, MaxEnt, NNSPLICE, and SSF; 3/ phenotypic descriptions in OMIM, GTR, HGMD, ClinVar, Varsome, Pubmed. Sanger sequencing was used for validation of the most interesting candidate variants and for parental segregation analysis when available.

Two-dimensional, Doppler, and M-mode echocardiography were performed at rest using standard methods. Echocardiographic measurements included LV end-diastolic dimension, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) according to the Simpson's method, and LV noncompaction features with calculation of the NC/C ratio and visualization of the recess filling between the trabeculae with blood flowing in from LV using the color Doppler method as reported in the literature [9,12]. The 24-hour Holter monitors and 12-lead resting ECGs were also examined for evidence of supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmia, sinus node disease, sinus bradycardia, and atrioventricular conduction block. Cooperating patients underwent a cardiopulmonary exercise test with the assessment of peak oxygen uptake ( $VO_{2peak}$ ), respiratory exchange rate (RER), heart rate at maximum exercise, blood pressure response to exercise, and the presence of exercise-induced or exerted cardiac arrhythmias.

CMR was performed using a 1.5-T scanner (Sonata and Avanto fit, Siemens, Germany). Cine images were acquired by the breath-hold, electrocardiographic-gated, segmented k-space steady-state free-precession technique using 25 phases per cardiac cycle. LGE images were obtained in the long-axis and short-axis imaging planes using a breath-hold segmented inversion recovery sequence implemented 10–15 min after intravenous administration of 0.1 mmol/kg of gadobutrol (Gadovist, Bayer, Berlin, Germany); gadodiamide (Omniscan, GE

Healthcare, United Kingdom) was used instead of gadobutrol if the patient was under 2 years of age. The criteria for the diagnosis of LVNC in the CMR study based on the assessment of the ratio of the NC/C layer of LV myocardium  $> 2.3$  in the end-diastolic phase were used in accordance with the recommendations of the literature [10].

## RESULTS

**Baseline characteristics.** A total of 32 patients with echocardiographic diagnosis of LVNC were recruited between February 2008 and July 2020, with the median age being 11.5 (6-15) years, and the proportion of males acquiring to 53%. Patients were followed prospectively for the median of 4.02 (IQR 0.48-10.14) years. Clinical and demographic characteristics of the patient population are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Patient characteristics in whole left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy (LVNC) cohort.

Clinical parameters	Total n=32
Age, cohort median , yrs (IQR)	11.5 [6-15]
Age $\leq$ 1yr, n(%)	1 (3%)
Age $>1$ and $\leq$ 10 yrs, n(%)	10 (31%)
Age $>10$ and $<$ 18 yrs, n(%)	21 (66%)
<b>Positive family history, n (%)</b>	17 (53%)
LVNC, n (%)	10 (31%)
HCM, n (%)	2 (6%)
DCM, n (%)	2 (6%)
CHD, n (%)	1 (3%)
Bradycardia, n (%)	4 (13%)
WPW, n (%)	2 (6%)
AVNRT, n (%)	1 (3%)
SCD, n (%)	3 (9%)
<b>Clinical symptoms, n (%)</b>	11 (34%)
Chest pain, n (%)	3 (9%)
Palpitations, n (%)	2 (6%)
Syncope, n (%)	3 (9%)
Pre-syncope, n (%)	3 (9%)
Thromboembolic episodes , n (%)	0 (0%)
<b>NYHA functional class, n (%)</b>	
I	7 (22%)
II	24 (77%)
III	0 (0%)
IV	1 (3%)
Genetic syndrome, n (%)	2 (6%)
<b>Increased NTproBNP value , n (%)</b>	5 (16%)
NTproBNP value, median (IQR)	349.40 - 27 057.00, 66.24 [25.71-105.35]
<b>Chest X-ray</b>	
CTR value, median (IQR)	0.55 - 0.69, 0.56 [0.55-0.64]
Pulmonary congestion	1 (3%)
<b>ECG, n (%)</b>	32 (100%)
<b>ECG changes , n (%)</b>	18 (56%)
Sinus bradycardia, n (%)	7 (22%)
Nodal rhythm, n (%)	2 (6%)
WPW, n (%)	1 (3%)
RBBB, n (%)	1 (3%)
LBBB, n (%)	0 (0%)
LV overload, n (%)	4 (13%)

ST-T changes , n (%)	12 (38%)
Permanent cardiac pacing, n (%)	1 (3%)
<b>Echocardiography , n (%)</b>	<b>32 (100%)</b>
NC/C = 2.06 - 5.14, n (%)	30 (94%)
NC/C = 1.9, n (%)	1 (3%)
NC/C = 1.8, n (%)	1 (3%)
Reduced LVEF acc. Simpson formula	10 (31%)
LVEF 51-55%, n (%)	7 (22%)
LVEF 46-50, n (%)	2 (6%)
LVEF 40-45, n (%)	1 (3%)
<b>CMR, n (%)</b>	<b>29 (91%)</b>
NC/C = 2.3 - 6.24, n (%)	24 (82%)
NC/C = 1.2 - 2.1, n (%)	5 (17%)
<b>Pharmacological treatment , n (%)</b>	<b>22 (69%)</b>
Beta-blockers, n (%)	9 (28%)
ACE-I, n (%)	19 (59%)
Furosemide, n (%)	1 (3%)
Spirolaktone, n (%)	16 (50%)
Acetylsalicylic acid, n (%)	3 (9%)
Acenokumarol, n (%)	1 (3%)
Salbutamol, n (%)	4 (13%)
<b>Other procedures, n (%)</b>	<b>6 (19%)</b>
Electrophysiological study , n (%)	1 (3%)
RF ablation , n (%)	1 (3%)
Pacemaker, n (%)	2 (6%)
LVAD, n (%)	1 (3%)
W/L for HTx, n (%)	1 (3%)
<b>Death, n (%)</b>	<b>1 (3%)</b>

HF - heart failure; SCD - sudden cardiac death; CTR - cardiothoracic ratio; NC/C - noncompaction to compaction layer ratio; EF - ejection fraction; RBBB -right bundle branch block;, LBBB - left bundle branch block; EPS - electrophysiology study; ablation RF – radiofrequency ablation; LVAD - left ventricular assist device; LVNC - left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy; HCM - hypertrophic cardiomyopathy;, DCM - Dilated cardiomyopathy; WPW - Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome;, AVNRT -Atrioventricular nodal reentry tachycardia.

**Population characteristics.** In the study group, 3% of patients were under 1 year of age, 31% were between 1 and 10 years of age, while as many as 66% were over 10 years of age. In family history, as many as 17 (53%) children had cardiomyopathies in first-degree relatives (LVNC in 31% of children; HCM in 6% and DCM in 6% of patients). Family history of cardiac arrhythmias was observed in 7 (22%) patients, while sudden cardiac deaths occurred in the families of 3 (9.3%) children.

**Clinical presentation.** NYHA/Ross functional class evaluation in the majority of patients (77%) demonstrated grade II; 3% had grade IV, while 22% of children grade I. The most common presenting symptoms were syncope (9% of patients), pre-syncope (9%), chest pain (9%) and palpitations (6%). Two patients had evidence of dysmorphic features. One child was diagnosed with Barth syndrome and one with congenital microphthalmia. Serum NT-proBNP level was increased in 5 (16%) patients (from 349.40 to 27057.00 pg/mL, with the reference value of up to 320 pg/mL).

**Electrocardiographic findings.** ECG abnormalities were noted in 18 (56%) children. The most prominent feature was ST-T changes, mainly T-wave inversion in 38% of patients, sinus bradycardia in 22% and left ventricular hypertrophy in 13% of patients. Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome (WPW) was noticed in 3% of patients (Figure 1).




Figure 1. 12-lead ECG recording showing the features of the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome in a patient with LVNC.

In 24-hour ECG Holter monitoring the most prominent features were premature ventricular and atrial contractions in 25% and 16% of patients respectively. Other findings, including sinus bradycardia, sick sinus syndrome, paroxysmal second- and third-degree atrioventricular block, ventricular and supraventricular tachycardia, are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Heart rhythm and conduction disturbances in the studied group of children.

Heart rhythm and conduction disturbances	Number of patients n = 32 (100%)
Supraventricular premature beats, n (%)	5 (16%)
Ventricular premature beats, n (%)	8 (25%)
Nodal rhythm, n (%)	3 (9%)
Sinus bradycardia, n (%)	7 (22%)
Sick sinus syndrome, n (%)	2 (6%)
WPW syndrome, n (%)	2 (6%)
Second degree paroxysmal block a-v, n (%)	1 (3%)
Third degree paroxysmal block a-v, n (%)	4 (13%)
VT, n (%)	3 (9%)
Supraventricular tachycardia, n (%)	1 (3%)
Atrioventricular tachycardia, n (%)	1 (3%)

WPW - Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome; a-v – atrioventricular; VT - ventricular tachycardia

**Two-dimensional echocardiography.** Most of the studied patients (94%) met the criteria for the diagnosis of LVNC. The LV myocardial NC/C ratio ranged from 2.06 to 5.14 (Figure 2). Use of color Doppler in the parasternal short-axis and apical 4-chamber views improved visualization of the trabeculations within the LV endocardium. LV function was reduced in 31% of patients (LVEF ranged from 40% to 55%).




Figure 2. The 2D transthoracic echocardiography in a patient with LVNC.

A - apical four-chamber projection: left ventricular noncompaction with deep recesses.

B - parasternal short axis projection: the ratio of the left ventricular noncompacted to compacted layer 3: 1.

**Cardiovascular magnetic resonance.** CMR was performed in 29 patients (91%); in one child the parents did not consent to the study, and in two patients a permanent pacemaker was implanted. CMR accurately delineated LV morphology in 24 (82%) patients, with the NC/C myocardial ratio in those children ranging from 2.3 to 6.2 (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance study in a patient with LVNC - short axis projection: noncompacted left ventricular layer.

**Cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET).** CPET (ergospirometry) was performed in 10 (31%) patients. Peak oxygen absorption (peak VO<sub>2</sub>) ranged from 15.9 mL/kg/min to 43.5 mL/kg/min, and in 2 patients (6%) it was below 18 mL/kg/min. The respiratory exchange rate (RER) ranged from 0.84 to 1.29 and was above 1.05 in 6 patients (19%). The heart rate at maximum effort ranged from 105/minute to 195/minute. All 10 patients had normal blood

pressure response to exercise. There were no exercise-induced arrhythmias in any of the patients.

**Genetics.** Pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants/rare variants of unknown significance in LVNC-associated genes were detected in 17 families (53%). Autosomal dominant defects were identified in *HCN4*, *MYH7*, *RBM20* and *TTN* genes. *ACTC1*, *ACTN2*, *DES*, *EYA4*, *HCCS*, *KCNQ1*, *PRDM16* defects were found in single families. Syndromic LVNC (Barth syndrome and syndromic microphthalmia) was associated with *TAFAZZIN* and *HCCS* gene dysfunction in two patients, respectively. Complex genotype (*KCNQ1* and *TTN*) was detected only once in our group of children.

**Medical therapy.** Treatment of congestive heart failure consisted of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors, spironolactone, beta-blockers and diuretics in 19 patients (59%). Antithrombotic prophylaxis with acetylsalicylic acid was used in 3 (9%) patients with LVNC and significantly reduced LV systolic function. Salbutamol was used to treat sinus bradycardia in 4 patients (13%), pacemaker was implanted in 2 patients (6%) due to symptomatic sinus bradycardia and complete atrioventricular block, respectively. RF ablation was performed in one patient due to supraventricular tachycardia. In one child (3%) the LV mechanical support device (LVAD) was implanted; this patient died while being on the active list for heart transplantation.

## DISCUSSION

Today, due to advanced diagnostic tools, LVNC is a genetically determined cardiomyopathy that is being diagnosed with increasing frequency. The cause of the disease is thought to be a disruption of endomyocardial morphogenesis at between the fifth and the eighth gestational week [12,13]. The variability in phenotypic expression and the lack of standard diagnostic criteria for the pediatric population make it difficult to estimate the frequency of this form of cardiomyopathy in children. In the opinion of many authors, the presence of only morphological criteria characterized by a distinct two-layer structure of the LV muscle is insufficient for the diagnosis of LVNC, and a thorough clinical evaluation of the patient is also necessary [3,12,14]. In the literature, many studies on children with LVNC [3,15] concern a heterogeneous group of patients, including children with congenital heart disease. In our study we present the clinical and diagnostic features of LVNC in children with an isolated form of this disease.

It should be emphasized that in the family history of our patients, as in the Ichida report [16], cardiomyopathies in family members occurred in 44% of children, including LVNC in as many as 31% of the studied children. Other authors also emphasize that the incidence of familial LVNC cases is higher in the pediatric population than in adults [5].

Another interesting point is the association of LVNC with dysmorphic features. In our study, the genetic syndrome was diagnosed only in 2 children (6%), much less frequently than in the studies of the above-cited authors, where the frequency of coexistence of genetic syndromes was estimated at 14%, and even 37% and 66% [12,16,17]. In our group, one child was diagnosed with Barth syndrome with a confirmed mutation in the *TAZ* gene. The second patient had congenital microphthalmia and a pathological variant in the *HCCS* gene. It is extremely important that in our group of patients, as in the study by Dong X et al.[2], the molecular basis of LVNC was confirmed in 53% of cases.

The clinical course of LVNC in children is very diverse and may include symptoms of heart failure, cardiac arrhythmias, and thromboembolic events. According to the literature data, the most common symptom of LVNC in children is heart failure, the frequency of which is estimated at 35 to 91%, caused by LV systolic dysfunction [3,18,19,20]. Systolic dysfunction

and a reduction in LVEF in patients with LVNC are associated with hypoperfusion secondary to subendocardial microvascular abnormalities and dyssynchronization between the integrated and noncompacted layers. Another possible explanation is dependence of the noncompacted area on aerobic oxidation and its sensitivity to hypoxia and the toxic effects of catecholamines [21]. In our group, symptoms of heart failure, a reduction in the LVEF were found in 31% of the studied children, with 16% of them having an increased serum NTproBNP level.

Most pediatric patients with LVNC had abnormalities in resting ECG, the most frequently reported changes being intraventricular conduction disturbances, including right bundle branch block, atrioventricular block, repolarization abnormalities, and LV overload features [3,21]. As in the literature reports [12,22,16], changes in the resting ECG occurred in 56% of our patients. Most often, that is in as many as 38% of children, repolarization abnormalities in the form of ST-T changes were found, and 13% of patients had electrocardiographic features of LV overload. Literature reports indicate that severe sinus bradycardia and sinus node dysfunction are common complications in patients with LVNC [23,24,25]. Our observations confirm the above reports, as sinus bradycardia was diagnosed in as many as 22% of the studied children, and sick sinus syndrome in 6%. In one of them, with symptoms of sinus bradycardia, a permanent pacemaker was implanted. The fact of frequent short episodes of paroxysmal third-degree atrioventricular block (13% of patients) in our study deserves particular emphasis. One of those patients met indications for permanent pacemaker implantation. The results of published studies show a variable frequency of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with LVNC (from 6% to 60% of cases) [5,26]. It should be emphasized that in our group of patients, arrhythmias were a common symptom: as many as 25% of children had premature ventricular beats, 16% had premature supraventricular beats, 9% had short episodes of ventricular tachycardia and 3% had supraventricular tachycardia (in this case successfully treated with RF ablation).

Systemic emboli are another important complication in patients with LVNC. Although their prevalence was as high as 38% two decades ago, recent studies have reported it as being as low as 0-2%, in other reports 4-7% [3,14]. There are no established recommendations for the use of antithrombotic prevention in children with LVNC, and the authors' opinions are divided [12,21]. None of our patients developed systemic emboli. Antithrombotic prophylaxis with aspirin was used in only 4 (13%) children with significantly reduced LVEF.

The first-line and standard procedure for diagnosing LVNC is 2-D Doppler echocardiography according to the criteria published in the literature [9,12,27,28]. CMR is increasingly used in the diagnostics of heart muscle diseases in the pediatric population [10,29,30,31]. It enables an accurate visualization of the heart muscle and a very reliable assessment of hemodynamic changes. It should be emphasized that in our study 94% of patients met the LVNC echocardiographic criteria, while the CMR study confirmed the diagnosis of the disease in 82% of children. In the remaining cases, in echocardiography and CMR, the ratio of the NC/C layer of the left ventricular muscle was borderline for the diagnosis of LVNC. Our previous research results confirm a good correlation of echocardiography with CMR also in the group of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [32]. The CPET is more and more frequently performed in the analysis of haemodynamic changes and in the assessment of exercise capacity in adult patients with cardiovascular diseases. It is still a rarely performed study in the pediatric population, especially with myocardial diseases. The literature shows that peak VO<sub>2</sub> above 18 mL/kg/min is an important prognostic factor and is associated with a good 2-year prognosis, while peak VO<sub>2</sub> below 10 mL/kg/min is associated with 36% annual mortality [33,34]. In our study, CEPT was performed in as little as 31% of patients, 6% of whom showed a low peak VO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. below 18 mL/kg/min.

Treatment of patients with LVNC should be directed towards three most important clinical

manifestations: congestive heart failure, arrhythmias, and systemic embolic events. In our study, standard treatment of heart failure with preload and afterload reducers was started in all 31% of patients with systolic LV dysfunction and reduced LVEF. Moreover, patients with decreased LV systolic functions received a beta-blocker (bisoprolol) which has been shown to improve LV and neurohormonal dysfunction in children. Adwani et al. [35] described the case of the first successful heart transplantation in a patient with Barth syndrome. Since this report, heart transplantation has been recognized as an effective treatment for end-stage heart failure in patients with Barth syndrome. In our study, one patient diagnosed with Barth syndrome and severe heart failure (NYHA class IV) was implanted with a left ventricular assist device (LVAD) awaiting a heart transplant. The patient died while on the waiting list for a heart transplant.

The results of the studies published in the literature as well as the results of own research indicate that LVNC is a myocardial disease with a varied clinical profile and not fully understood natural history, which prompts the continuation of clinical trials involving a higher number of patients.

#### Limitation of the study

The limitations of this study are mainly inherited by its design. It was single-centre research with relatively small sample size. Needless to say, the results of this study need to be confirmed in large-scale, multicentre, longitudinal studies.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Although heart failure and arrhythmias were very frequent in our study group, thromboembolic events and genetic syndromes were rare.
- Echocardiographic examination is the gold standard for the diagnosis of LVNC. However, cardiac CMR is recommended to confirm the diagnosis, especially in uncertain cases.
- Our results indicate that CMR has a good correlation with echocardiography and a high sensitivity and specificity in detecting non-compacted segments.
- For accurate and reliable assessment of children with LVNC, it is necessary to get to know their family history and detailed clinical profile.
- The high genetic yield resulted in the explanation of molecular etiology in over half (53%) of the studied children.
- Genetic evaluation (testing and counseling) is recommended in each patient with isolated or syndromic LVNC.
- Identifying the genetic cause allows for risk stratification and may help in clinical management and counseling of patients and their relatives.

## REFERENCES

1. Neudorf UE, Hussein A, Trowitzsch E, et al. Clinical features of isolated noncompaction of the myocardium in children. *Cardiol Young*. 2001;11: 439–442.
2. Dong X, Fan P, Tian T, et al. Recent advancements in the molecular genetics of left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 2017; 465: 40-44.
3. Ergul Y, Nisli K, Demirel A, Varkal MA, Oner N, Dursun M, Dindar A, Aydogan U, Omeroglu RE. Left ventricular non-compaction in children and adolescents: clinical features, treatment and follow-up. *Cardiol J*. 2011;18(2):176-84.
4. Jefferies JL, Wilkinson JD, Sleeper LA, Colan SD, Lu M, Pahl E, Kantor PF, Everitt MD, Webber SA, Kaufman BD, Lamour JM, Canter CE, Hsu DT, Addonizio LJ, Lipshultz SE, Towbin JA. Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry Investigators. Cardiomyopathy Phenotypes and Outcomes for Children With Left Ventricular Myocardial Noncompaction: Results From the Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry. *J Card Fail*. 2015; 21(11):877-84.
5. Kubik M, Dąbrowska-Kugacka A, Lewicka E, Daniłowicz-Szymanowicz L, Raczak G. Predictors of poor outcome in patients with left ventricular noncompaction: Review of the literature. *Adv Clin Exp Med*. 2018; 27(3):415-422.
6. Weisz SH, Limongelli G, Pacileo G, Calabro P, Russo MG, Calabro R, Vatta M. Left ventricular non compaction in children. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2010; 5(5): 384-97.
7. Gupta U, Makhija P. Left Ventricular Noncompaction Cardiomyopathy in Pediatric Patients: A Case Series of a Clinically Heterogeneous Disease. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2017; 38(4): 681-690.
8. Suvarna JC, Deshmukh CT, Hajela SA. Left ventricular noncompaction: a cardiomyopathy often mistaken. *Indian J Med Sci*. 2009; 63(7): 303-7.
9. Jenni R, Oechslin E, Schneider J, Attenhofer Jost C, Kaufmann PA. Echocardiographic and pathoanatomical characteristics of isolated left ventricular non-compaction: a step towards classification as a distinct cardiomyopathy. *Heart* 2001; 86(6): 666-71.
10. Petersen SE, Selvanayagam JB, Wiesmann F, et al. Left ventricular non-compaction: insights from cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005; 46: 101–105.
11. Dursun M, Agayev A, Nisli K et al. MR imaging features of ventricular noncompaction: Emphasis on distribution and pattern of fibrosis. *Eur J Radiol*. 2010; 74: 147–151.
12. Pignatelli RH, McMahon CJ, Dreyer WJ et al. Clinical characterization of left ventricular noncompaction in children: A relatively common form of cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*, 2003; 108: 2672–2678.
13. Elshershari H, Okutan V, Celiker A. Isolated noncompaction of ventricular myocardium. *Cardiol Young*, 2001; 11: 472–475.
14. Negri F, De Luca A, Fabris E, Korcova R, Cernetti C, Grigoratos C, Aquaro GD, Nucifora G, Camici PG, Sinagra G. Left ventricular noncompaction, morphological, and clinical features for an integrated diagnosis. *Heart Fail Rev*. 2019; 24(3): 315-323.
15. Hirono K, Hata Y, Miyao N, et al. Left Ventricular Noncompaction and Congenital Heart Disease Increases the Risk of Congestive Heart Failure. *J Clin Med*. 2020; 9(3):785.

16. Ichida F, Tsubata S, Bowles KR, Haneda N, Uese K, Miyawaki T, Dreyer WJ, Messina J, Li H, Bowles NE, Towbin JA. Novel gene mutations in patients with left ventricular noncompaction or Barth syndrome. *Circulation*. 2001;103(9):1256-63.
17. Chin TK, Perloff JK, Williams RG, Jue K, Mohrmann R. Isolated noncompaction of left ventricular myocardium. A study of eight cases. *Circulation*. 1990; 82(2): 507-13.
18. Oechslin EN, Attenhofer Jost CH, Rojas JR, Kaufmann PA, Jenni R. Long-term follow-up of 34 adults with isolated left ventricular noncompaction: A distinct cardiomyopathy with poor prognosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2000; 36: 493–500.
19. Alehan D. Clinical features of isolated left ventricular noncompaction in children. *Int J Cardiol*. 2004; 97: 233–237.
20. Lilje C, Razek V, Joyce JJ et al. Complications of non-compaction of the left ventricular myocardium in a paediatric population: A prospective study. *Eur Heart J*. 2006; 27:1855–1860.
21. Wengrofsky P, Armenia C, Oleszak F, Kupferstein E, Rednam C, Mitre CA, McFarlane SI. Left Ventricular Trabeculation and Noncompaction Cardiomyopathy: A Review. *EC Clin Exp Anat*. 2019; 2(6): 267-283.
22. Tsai SF, Ebenroth ES, Hurwitz RA, Cordes TM, Schamberger MS, Batra AS. Is left ventricular noncompaction in children truly an isolated lesion? *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2009; 30: 597–602.
23. Ergul Y, Nisli K, Varkal MA, Oner N, Dursun M, Dindar A, Aydogan U, Omeroglu RE. Electrocardiographic findings at initial diagnosis in children with isolated left ventricular noncompaction. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*. 2011;16(2):184-91.
24. Gungor B, Alper A.T, Celebi A, Bolca O. Sinus Node Dysfunction as the First Manifestation of Left Ventricular Noncompaction with Multiple Cardiac Abnormalities, *Indian Pacing and Electrophysiology Journal*. 2013; 13 (4): 157-161.
25. Glancy DL, Helmcke FR, Hoang AP. Bradycardia, Syncope, and Left Ventricular Noncompaction Cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2017 Aug 15;120(4):716-717.
26. Muser D, Liang JJ, Witschey WR, Pathak RK, Castro S, Magnani S, Zado ES, Garcia FC, Desjardins B, Callans DJ, Frankel DS, Marchlinski FE, Santangeli P. Ventricular arrhythmias associated with left ventricular noncompaction: Electrophysiologic characteristics, mapping, and ablation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2017;14(2):166-175.
27. Xiaoxiao Z, Li Y, Linli Q, et al. Incremental value of contrast echocardiography in the diagnosis of left ventricular noncompaction. *Front Med* 2016; 10(4): 499-506.
28. Chebrolu LH, Mehta AM, Nanda NC. Noncompaction cardiomyopathy: The role of advanced multimodality imaging techniques in diagnosis and assessment. *Echocardiography*. 2017; 34(2): 279-289.
29. Dursun M, Agayev A, Nisli K et al. MR imaging features of ventricular noncompaction: Emphasis on distribution and pattern of fibrosis. *Eur J Radiol*. 2010; 74: 147–151.
30. Daimon Y, Watanabe S, Takeda S, Hijikata Y, Komuro I. Two-layered appearance of noncompaction of the ventricular myocardium on magnetic resonance imaging. *Circ J*, 2002; 66: 619-621.

31. Cortez D, Sharma N, Imundo JR. Pediatric noncompaction patients with high spatial QRS-T angles are at increased risk for ventricular tachycardia. *Ann. Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* 2019; 24, e12588
32. Ziółkowska L, Petryka J, Boruc A, Kawalec W. Comparison of echocardiography with tissue Doppler imaging and magnetic resonance imaging with delayed enhancement in the assessment of children with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Arch Med Sci* 2017; 13, 2: 328–336.
- 33 Stankala S, Zielińska D, Mrowiec J, Juszczak Z. Zastosowanie ergospirometrii w chorobach układu krążenia [Ergospirometry use in cardiovascular diseases]. *Kardiologia Pol.* 2008; 66(10):1135-9.
34. de la Chica JA, Gómez-Talavera S, García-Ruiz JM, García-Lunar I, Oliva B, Fernández-Alvira JM, López-Melgar B, Sánchez-González J, de la Pompa JL, Mendiguren JM, Martínez de Vega V, Fernández-Ortiz A, Sanz J, Fernández-Friera L, Ibáñez B, Fuster V. Association Between Left Ventricular Noncompaction and Vigorous Physical Activity. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2020;13;76(15):1723-1733.
- 35 Adwani SS, Whitehead BF, Rees PG, Morris A, Turnbull DM, Elliott MJ, de Leval MR. Heart transplantation for Barth syndrome. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 1997;18(2):143-5.