

---

# Accuracy of Haigis Formula Using Total Keratometry for IOL Power Calculation in Eyes with Previous Myopic and Hyperopic LASIK

---

[Alice Galzignato](#)<sup>\*</sup>, [Alejandro Tello](#), Juan Urrea, Kenneth J Hoffer, Catarina Coutinho, [Domenico Schiano-Lomoriello](#), [Giacomo Savini](#)<sup>\*</sup>

Posted Date: 29 April 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202304.1231.v1

Keywords: Haigis formula; Total Keratometry; corneal refractive surgery; IOL power calculation; cataract surgery



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

# Accuracy of Haigis Formula Using Total Keratometry for IOL Power Calculation in Eyes with Previous Myopic and Hyperopic LASIK

Alice Galzignato <sup>1</sup>, Alejandro Tello <sup>2</sup>, Juan Urrea <sup>3</sup>, Kenneth J Hoffer <sup>4</sup>, Catarina Coutinho <sup>5</sup>, Domenico Schiano-Lomoriello <sup>6</sup> and Giacomo Savini <sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Studio Oculistico d'Azeglio, Bologna, Italy; galzignato.alice@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Centro Oftalmologico Virgilio Galvis, Floridablanca, Colombia; alejandrotello@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Centro Oftalmologico Virgilio Galvis, Floridablanca, Colombia; pipeurrea98@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Stein Eye Institute, Los Angeles, California and St.Mary's Eye Center, Santa Monica, California; khoffermd@startmail.com

<sup>5</sup> Studio Oculistico d'Azeglio, Bologna, Italy and GoLP/IPFN, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal; catarina.praefke@gmail.com

<sup>6</sup> I.R.C.C.S. Bietti Foundation, Rome, Italy; giacomo.savini@fondazionebietti.it

<sup>7</sup> I.R.C.C.S. Bietti Foundation, Rome, Italy; domenico.schiano@fondazionebietti.it

\* Correspondence: AG: galzignato.alice@gmail.com, Tel.: +39.051.6493203; GS: Giacomo.savini@fondazionebietti.it, Tel.: +39.06.77052834

**Abstract:** Background: this retrospective study aimed to analyze the results of the combination of the Haigis formula and Total Keratometry (TK) to calculate the IOL power in eyes with previous corneal refractive surgery. Methods: The TK value provided by the IOL Master 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec) was introduced into the Haigis formula; the mean prediction error (PE), mean absolute error (MAE), median absolute error (MedAE) and percentage of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.25$  D,  $\pm 0.5$  D,  $\pm 0.75$  D and  $\pm 1.00$  D were calculated. Results: Ninety-three eyes of 93 patients with previous laser refractive surgery were evaluated. Two groups were defined: Group A included 51 previously myopic eyes, Group B included 42 previously hyperopic eyes. The mean PE in Group A was  $+0.09 \pm 0.44$  D and 76.47% of eyes had a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D. In Group B the mean PE was  $-0.15 \pm 0.46$  D and 66.67% of eyes had a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D. Discussion: The Haigis formula combined with TK is one of the most accurate available methods for IOL power calculation in eyes with prior myopic and hyperopic corneal refractive surgery. In such eyes the results are similar to or better than those reported in previous studies.

**Keywords:** Haigis formula; Total Keratometry; corneal refractive surgery; IOL power calculation; cataract surgery

## 1. Introduction

Many methods have been described over the last 2 decades to calculate the intraocular lens (IOL) power in eyes with previous corneal refractive surgery [1]. One of the most interesting solutions has been devised by Wang et al. [2], who entered the Total Keratometry (TK) provided by the IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) (which is not affected by the keratometric index error) into the standard Haigis formula (which is not affected by the formula error, as it does not use keratometry to predict the IOL position). Various studies have evaluated this approach and found good results in previously myopic eyes, with 58.5 to 64.06% of eyes showing a prediction error (PE) within  $\pm 0.50$  diopters (D) [2-5]; similar results have been reported in previously hyperopic eyes (56.3% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D) [2]. However, these results – although reasonably good – are not as accurate as those reported with other methods or formulas in eyes with prior corneal refractive surgery. Percentages higher than 70% were found in several studies [3,5-7].

Hence, the primary purpose of this study was to again analyze the results of the Haigis-TK formula in both previously myopic and hyperopic LASIK/PRK eyes and compare our findings to those of published studies.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study included patients that underwent cataract surgery after previous corneal laser refractive surgery from two independent samples, one from Italy (I.R.C.C.S. Bietti Foundation, Rome, Italy) and one from Colombia (Centro Oftalmologico Virgilio Galvis, Floridablanca, Colombia). The Italian sample was composed of myopic LASIK/PRK patients, while the Colombian sample included cases of both myopic and hyperopic LASIK/PRK. All patients gave their written informed consent for the study, which was defined according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Bietti Foundation Ethics Committee.

Exclusion criteria were any pathologies including pseudoexfoliation, previous ocular surgery, and any complication during or after cataract surgery. Patients were included only if their distance corrected visual acuity (DCVA) was 20/25 or better and if refraction could be measured at a minimum of 1 month after surgery.

A complete preoperative examination was performed, including an assessment of DCVA and slit lamp biomicroscopy. Biometric measurements were obtained with the IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany, software version 1.90.12.05), which also provides the so-called TK by combining telecentric keratometry and SS-OCT technology to provide measurements of the anterior and posterior corneal surfaces. TK has been shown to have high repeatability and to accurately reflect laser-induced refractive changes, as compared to standard keratometry, which underestimates them [8,9]. Only measurements with good quality were included in the analysis. Subjective manifest refraction was determined for each patient at a minimum of 1 month postoperatively with a chart distance of 4 m.

As regards the IOL power calculation, the Haigis formula was chosen, since it does not use keratometry to predict the IOL position [10]. Therefore, it does not suffer from the so-called formula error, which would require the Double-K solution proposed by Aramberri [11]. As a consequence, the TK provided by the IOL Master 700 can be easily introduced into it. Optimized constants from the ULIB website ([ocusoft.de/ulib/c1.htm](https://ocusoft.de/ulib/c1.htm), accessed on March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2023) or the IOLCON website (<https://iolcon.org>, accessed on March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2023) were used for the different IOL models.

The prediction error (PE) was calculated as the difference between the measured and predicted postoperative refractive spherical equivalent for the power of the implanted IOL. Negative PE values indicate a more myopic result than the predicted refraction and positive PE values represent a more hyperopic result. The mean prediction error (Mean PE), mean absolute error (MAE), median absolute error (MedAE), and percentage of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.25$  D,  $\pm 0.5$  D,  $\pm 0.75$  D,  $\pm 1.00$  D were calculated for both groups.

A minimum sample size of 32 eyes, as previously recommended by Wang et al., was selected [2].

## 3. Results

A total of 93 eyes of 93 patients were enrolled, 62 from Colombia and 31 from Italy (all data are available as supplementary material). Two groups were defined: Group A included 51 eyes of 51 myopic patients (mean age  $60.4 \pm 7.9$  years, 29 females) from both the Italian and Colombian samples. Group B included 42 eyes of 42 hyperopic patients (mean age  $66.9 \pm 6.3$ , 29 females) from the Colombian sample. The results of preoperative measurements and the power of the implanted IOLs are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.**

Mean $\pm$ SD		Range	
Group A	Group B	Group A	Group B

Axial length (mm)	26.49 ±2.28	22.97 ±0.77	21.98-33.54	21.66-24.52
Mean keratometry (D)	40.17 ±2.82	45.17 ±1.36	35.96-49.55	42.20-47.25
Mean TK (D)	39.85 ±3.09	45.39 ±1.51	35.42-50-21	42.08- 47.72
Implanted IOL power (D)	19.06 ±3.19	22.32 ±1.91	11.00-25.00	19.00-26.50

Mean preoperative biometry measurements and implanted IOL power.

Eleven IOL models were implanted (Table 2).

**Table 2.**

Manufacturer	Model	ULIB constant	N° of implanted IOLs	
			Group A	Group B
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	AcrySof SN60WF	119.0	20	15
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	AcrySof Toric SN6Atx	119.2	17	13
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	Vivity DFT015	119.1	1	1
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	Vivity Toric DF315	119.1	2	0
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	Panoptix TFNT00	119.1	1	1
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	Panoptix toric TFNT20	119.1	1	0
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	Clareon CNA0T0	119.1	4	11
Alcon Laboratories, Inc	AcrySof SN60AT	118.8	0	1
J&J Vision	ZCB00/ DCB00	119.3	2	0
J&J Vision	AAB00	119.3	1	0
Rayner Intraocular Vision	Rayone	118.6	1	0
Soleko SPA	FIL611	119.1	1	0

Models and numbers of IOLs used in the study.

The mean PE obtained with the Haigis TK in Group A was  $0.093 \pm 0.440$  D (range: +0.83 to +1.14 D) and 76.47% of eyes had a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D. In Group B, a slightly myopic outcome was obtained, as the mean PE was  $-0.148 \pm 0.462$  D (range: -0.96 to +1.03 D) and 66.67% of eyes had a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D. The complete refractive outcomes of IOL power calculations are reported in Table 3. Linear regression did not reveal any significant correlation between the PE and the preoperative biometric variables in either group.

**Table 3.**

	Group A	Group B
Mean PE	$0.093 \pm 0.440$	$-0.148 \pm 0.462$
MAE	0.364	0.381
MedAE	0.260	0.342
Eyes with PE $\leq \pm 0.25$ D	50.98%	42.86%
Eyes with PE $\leq \pm 0.50$ D	76.47%	66.67%
Eyes with PE $\leq \pm 0.75$ D	90.20%	88.10%
Eyes with PE $\leq \pm 1.00$ D	96.08%	97.62%

Refractive outcomes of IOL power calculation using the combination of the Haigis formula and Total Keratometry in eyes with previous myopic (Group A) and hyperopic (Group B) laser corneal refractive surgery. MAE = mean absolute error; MedAE = median absolute error.

#### 4. Discussion

Our data confirm that the combination of the standard Haigis formula and IOLMaster 700 Total Keratometry is one of the most accurate solutions to calculate the IOL power in eyes with previous myopic and hyperopic laser refractive surgery. The results are superior to those previously reported for the Haigis-L, which was specifically developed for post-LASIK eyes [12]. Several authors, in fact, found that this formula produces moderate outcomes, with 34.38% to 66% of eyes with a PE within

$\pm 0.50$  D in previously myopic eyes and 46.9% to 68.8 % of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D in previously hyperopic eyes [2-5,13,14].

When compared to the data previously reported by other authors who investigated the Haigis-TK combination, our refractive outcomes were more accurate, especially in eyes that had undergone myopic correction. In 53 eyes of 37 previously myopic patients, Wang et al. reported 58.5% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D, while Lawless and Choi reported values of 60% in two series of 50 and 40 eyes, respectively; better outcomes were found by Yeo, with a percentage of 64.06% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D (64 eyes of 49 patients). We do not have a clear explanation as to why we had more than 76% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D. Considering that the axial length was similar in all studies and cannot be considered as an influencing factor, we may hypothesize that racial differences or different methods to measure the refraction may have played a role. Similarly, our results in previous hyperopic LASIK/PRK eyes are slightly more accurate than those previously reported [2].

A comparison of our results with those of studies that investigated other methods for IOL power calculation in eyes with previous myopic excimer laser surgery shows that the Haigis-TK combination can provide one of the most accurate solutions, with 76.47% of eyes showing a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D. Our group previously reported that percentages close to 75% could be obtained by means of ray tracing [7] and with Masket's formula based on measurements by different Scheimpflug cameras [6,15]. Similarly, the Barrett True-K formula with clinical data and posterior curvature measurements was able to reach 70% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D [16]. In contrast, with no-history formulas (Barrett True-K, Haigis-L, Shammas-PL and Triple-S) the same percentages ranged between 40.2 and 53.3% [17]. Many other formulas and methods obtained percentages between 50 and 60% [6,17,18].

The results of the present study are also good when compared to those obtained in long eyes that did not undergo corneal refractive surgery. For example, Liu et al. observed that the most accurate formula in eyes longer than 26.0 mm was the Barrett Universal II (78% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D); in their sample the mean AL was 28.85 mm, a value slightly higher than ours [20]. A lower percentage (70%) with the same formula was reported by Rong et al. in a sample of eyes whose mean AL was 29.3 mm [21].

As regards previously hyperopic eyes, our results stand again among the most accurate ones, since rarely more than 65% of eyes with a PE within  $\pm 0.50$  D had been reported [2,22,23].

This paper has some limitations. First, different IOL types were included in the study: this precluded constant optimization. However, recent guidelines have suggested that constant optimization is not mandatory in subgroups of eyes like the present ones, where optimized constants from larger datasets (like those we used) may be preferred [24]. Second, we did not compare the results of the Haigis-TK combination to those of other formulas. However, this was a deliberate decision based on the fact that the literature already has too many articles with such comparisons and our study was focused only on the Haigis-TK combination.

In conclusion, our data suggest that IOL power calculation with the Haigis formula combined with TK represents one of the most accurate available methods in both myopic and hyperopic eyes with previous corneal refractive surgery. Given that it does not rely on historical data/information, it is particularly useful for all surgeons that can have access to TK.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, G.S.; methodology, G.S. and K.J.H.; validation, A.T. and K.J.H.; formal analysis, A.G. and C.C.; investigation, A.G., J.U.; data curation, A.G., C.C., G.S., A.T., J.U.; writing—original draft preparation, A.G., G.S.; writing—review and editing, A.T., J.U., D.S.L. and K.J.H.; supervision, K.J.H.; funding acquisition, D.S.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The contribution of I.R.C.C.S. Bietti Foundation was supported by Fondazione Roma and the Italian Ministry of Health.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of I.R.C.C.S. Bietti Foundation (protocol CEC/157/15, March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2015).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data of all patients are available as supplementary material.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Dr. Hoffer licenses the registered trademark name Hoffer® to ensure accurate programming of his formulas to Carl Zeiss-Meditec (IOLMasters), Haag-Streit (LenStar/EyeStar), Heidelberg Engineering (Anterior), Oculus (Pentacam AXL), Movu (Argos), Nidek (AL-Scan), Tomey (OA-2000), Topcon EU/VisiaImaging (Aladdin), Ziemer (Galilei G6) and all A-scan biometer manufacturers. Dr. Savini is a consultant to CSO and has received personal fees from Alcon, Johnson & Johnson, Oculus, Staar and Zeiss. The remaining authors have nothing to declare. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results

## References

1. Savini G, Hoffer KJ. Intraocular lens power calculation in eyes with previous corneal refractive surgery. *Eye Vis (London)* **2018**, *5*, 18. doi: 10.1186/s40662-018-0110-5.
2. Wang L, Spektor T, de Souza RG, Koch DD. Evaluation of total keratometry and its accuracy for intraocular lens power calculation in eyes after corneal refractive surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2019**, *45*, 1416-1421. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2019.05.020.
3. Choi JY, Choi A, Kwon H, Jeon S. Accuracy of IOL power calculation formulas for quadrifocal AcrySof IQ Panoptix TFNT implantation in patients with previous corneal refractive surgery: comparison of SS-OCT-based biometers. *J Refract Surg* **2021**, *37*, 836-841. doi: 10.3928/1081597X-20210812-02.
4. Yeo TK, Heng WJ, Pek D, Wong J, Fam HB. Accuracy of intraocular lens formulas using total keratometry in eyes with previous myopic laser refractive surgery. *Eye* **2021**, *35*, 1705-1711. doi: 10.1038/s41433-020-01159-5
5. Lawless M, Jiang JY, Hodge C, Sutton G, Roberts TV, Barrett G. Total keratometry in intraocular lens power calculation in eyes with previous laser refractive surgery. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* **2020**, *48*, 749-756. doi: 10.1111/ceo.13891.
6. Savini G, Hoffer KJ, Barboni P, Balducci N, Schiano-Lomoriello D. Validation of the SToP formula for calculating intraocular lens power in eyes with previous myopic excimer laser surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2019**, *45*, 1562-1567. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2019.06.011.
7. Savini G, Hoffer KJ, Ribeiro FJ, Mendanha Dias J, Coutinho Catarina P, Barboni P, Schiano-Lomoriello D. Intraocular lens power calculation with ray tracing based on AS-OCT and adjusted axial length after myopic excimer laser surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2022**, *48*, 947-953. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.0000000000000902.
8. Savini G, Taroni L, Schiano-Lomoriello D, Hoffer KJ. Repeatability of total keratometry and standard keratometry by the IOLMaster 700 and comparison to total corneal astigmatism by Scheimpflug imaging. *Eye* **2021**, *35*, 307-315. doi: 10.1038/s41433-020-01245-8.
9. Lupardi E, Taroni L, Hoffer KJ, Schiano-Lomoriello D, Savini G. Comparison of corneal power calculation by standard keratometry and total keratometry in eyes with previous myopic FS-LASIK. *J Refract Surg* **2021**, *37*, 848-852. doi: 10.3928/1081597X-20211213-01.
10. Haigis W, Lege B, Miller N, Schneider B. Comparison of immersion ultrasound biometry and partial coherence interferometry for intraocular lens calculation according to Haigis. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* **2000**, *238*, 765-773. doi: 10.1007/s004170000188.
11. Aramberri J. Intraocular lens power calculation after refractive surgery: Double-K method. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2003**, *29*, 2063-2068. doi: 10.1016/s0886-3350(03)00957-x.
12. Haigis W. Intraocular lens calculation after refractive surgery for myopia: Haigis-L formula. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2008**, *34*, 1568-1663. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2008.06.029
13. Wong CW, Yuen L, Tseng P, Han DC. Outcomes of the Haigis-L formula for calculating intraocular lens power in Asian eyes after refractive surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2015**, *41*, 607-612. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2014.06.034.
14. Lanza M, Ruggiero A, Ha J, Simonelli F, Kane JX. Accuracy of formulas for intraocular lens power calculation after myopic refractive surgery. *J Refract Surg* **2022**, *38*, 443-449. doi: 10.3928/1081597X-20220602-01.
15. Savini G, Hoffer KJ, Schiano-Lomoriello D, Barboni P. Intraocular lens power calculation using a Placido disk-Scheimpflug tomographer in eyes that had previous myopic corneal excimer laser surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2018**, *44*, 935-941. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.05.018.
16. Savini G, Hoffer KJ, Barrett GD. Results of the Barrett True-K formula for IOL power calculation based on Scheimpflug camera measurements in eyes with previous myopic excimer laser surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2020**, *46*, 1016-1019. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.0000000000000205.
17. Whang WJ, Hoffer KJ, Kim SJ, Chung SH, Savini G. Comparison of intraocular lens power formulas according to axial length after myopic corneal laser refractive surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2021**, *47*, 297-303. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.0000000000000445.

18. Wang L, Hill WE, Koch DD. Evaluation of intraocular lens power prediction methods using the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgeons post-keratorefractive intraocular lens power calculator. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2010**, *36*, 1466-1473. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2010.03.044.
19. Wang L, Tang M, Huang D, Weikert MP, Koch DD. Comparison of newer intraocular lens power calculation methods for eyes after corneal refractive surgery. *Ophthalmology* **2015**, *122*, 2443-2449. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.08.037.
20. Liu J, Wang L, Chai F, Han Y, Qian S, Koch DD, Weikert MP. Comparison of intraocular lens power calculation formulas in Chinese eyes with axial myopia. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2019**, *45*, 725-731. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2019.01.018.
21. Rong X, He W, Zhu Q, Qian D, Lu Y, Zhu X. Intraocular lens power calculation in eyes with extreme myopia: comparison of Barrett Universal II, Haigis, and Olsen formulas. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2019**, *45*, 732-737. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.12.025.
22. Hamill EB, Wang L, Chopra HK, Hill W, Koch DD. Intraocular lens power calculations in eyes with previous hyperopic laser in situ keratomileusis or photorefractive keratectomy. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2017**, *43*, 189-194. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2016.11.041.
23. Shammas HJ, Shammas MC, Hill WE. Intraocular lens power calculation in eyes with previous hyperopic laser in situ keratomileusis. *J Cataract Refract Surg* **2013**, *39*, 739-744. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2012.11.031.
24. Hoffer KJ, Savini G. Update on intraocular lens power calculation study protocols: the better way to design and report clinical trials. *Ophthalmology* **2021**, *128*, e115:e120. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.07.005.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.