

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Immune Resilience to SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Shifting Risk Within Normal and Disease Populations

[Robert Llewellyn Clancy](#) *

Posted Date: 5 July 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202307.0352.v1

Keywords: Mucosa; Immunity; Vaccines; Common Mucosal System; Immunobiotics



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

Immune Resilience to SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Shifting Risk within Normal and Disease Populations

Robert Llewellyn Clancy

School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Australia

Abstract: The idea of a Common Mucosal Immune system (CMS) is 50 years old. Its relevance to immune protection at mucosal sites and its potential to modulate the impact of vaccination-induced protection against infection of the airway, has been poorly understood. The consequent failure of current SARS-CoV-2 vaccination to satisfy expectations with respect to prevention of infection, viral transmission, duration of protection and pattern of clinical protection, led to public health and medical decisions now under review. This review summarises knowledge of the CMS in man, including the powerful role it plays in immune protection and lessons with respect to what can and can not be achieved by systemic and mucosal vaccination for prevention of airway infection. The powerful impact in both health and disease of optimising delivery of immune protection using selected isolates from the respiratory microbiome, is demonstrated through review of randomised controlled trials (RCT's) in subjects with chronic airway disease, and in otherwise healthy individuals with risk factors, in whom the idea of mucosal immune resilience is introduced. This review is dedicated to two giants of mucosal immunology: Professors John Bienenstock and Allan Cripps. Their recent deaths are keenly felt by their colleagues and students.

Keywords: mucosa; immunity; vaccines; common mucosal system; immunobiotics

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic framed a critical question yet to be answered: "Why do most infected with SARS-CoV-2 virus have few symptoms, yet a small number get severe disease?" The corollary to this question focusses on potential targets for intervention to shift the balance within the host-pathogen relationship towards host protection, away from more severe disease, and towards a status more responsive to vaccine-induced protection.

This paper argues that variation in disease severity from viral infection of the respiratory tract, and vaccine-responsiveness, is largely determined by the integrity of T cell delivery generated from Peyer's patches in response to aspirated microbiome contained in airway secretions. This "off-site" mechanism of delivering immune protection is imperfect, but responsive to boosting through oral delivery of high dose inactivated non typable *Haemophilus influenzae* (NTHi). These observations have led to the development of a novel class of therapeutics named "immunobiotics". Understanding the characteristics of the mucosal immune response to inhaled pathogens and their impact on vaccination or other forms of immune manipulation, is key to development of effective intervention strategies. Failure to recognise the powerful suppression of both local and systemic immunity that follows delivery of antigen to mucosal compartments compared to systemic sites, led to a global over-estimate of vaccine-induced immune protection (1).

The Common Mucosal System (CMS)

Three observations underpin a current understanding of mucosal immunology.

First, recognition that the then recently identified immunoglobulin IgA was the dominant antibody class in mucosal secretions by Tomasi in 1963 (2). The second was identification of Peyer's patches as an enriched source of precursors for IgA containing plasma cells in 1971 by Cebra (3). The third was the demonstration by Bienenstock in 1974 that mucosal sites throughout the body

functioned as a system connected by the trafficking of B lymphocytes generated from aggregates of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue such as Peyer's patches, or in airways, Bronchus Associated Lymphoid Tissue or BALT. Bienenstock called this the CMS (4).

Over the next 20 years, details of the CMS and its relevance to airway protection in man were discovered. These studies followed demonstration that the dominant route of immune protection against lethal infection by gram negative bacteria in rats was via delivery of T cells to the airway mucosa from Peyer's patches rather than from systemic lymphoid tissues. Cross protection against other gram- negative bacteria in Peyer's patch immunised rats, suggested adaptive and innate immune mechanisms combined to protect against a lethal outcome (5).

The first observation was that local immune response to inhaled antigen was transient with resident mucosal immunocytes poorly responsive to antigen or mitogen stimulation (6). Co-cultures of systemic and mucosal lymphocytes using cell populations from resected lung of patients with tuberculosis, showed suppression of PPD antigen stimulation of circulating T cells, by an autologous T cell population obtained from bronchus mucosa (7). Subsequently these were identified as T reg cells, generated via a carpet of specialised dendritic cells within the bronchus mucosa (8). T reg cells generated within the mucosa following inhaled antigen be it allergens or pathogens, distribute throughout systemic lymphoid tissue – subsequent outcome of antigen stimulation reflects an interaction between responsive T cell subsets, and T reg cells, at that site. This dynamic is well understood in oral tolerance to food antigens (8,9) and in the mechanism of downregulation of hypersensitivity to inhaled allergens following repeated antigen immunisation (10). It was less well recognised as the cause of reduced immunity that follows repeated vaccination with genetic Covid-19 vaccines (1,11). The dominance of immune suppression at mucosal sites teleologically sits with the biological need to avoid an explosive inflammatory response to the myriad of colonising microbiota. It is a red flag for attempts to control inhaled pathogens solely by immunisation, as experienced over 80 years of study of influenza vaccination and more recently with COVID-19 (11,12).

Second, following cannulation of the thoracic duct of orally immunised rats, immune protection of the airway was transferred to naïve rats with T cells but not B cells (13). These were subsequently identified as CD4 TH17 cells (14). T cell induced Immune protection from inhaled live bacteria in the rat model, correlated with an influx of activated neutrophils into the bronchus lumen (15). A similar phenotypic change was noted in sputum neutrophils of subjects with chronic bronchitis. These changes were maintained by an autocrine loop involving IL-1 (16). This T cell induced protracted innate immune response became known as "learned innate immunity" (17). Given the transient impact of T cells due to immune suppression, "learned innate immunity" is the key to understanding durable immune protection against luminal pathogens. Study of rats fed allotypic cells, confirmed that T cells participated in the CMS, closing a loop that included antigen presentation to Peyer's patches and T cell homing to the bronchus mucosa (18). Analysis of cytokine expression in orally immunised rats challenged with live NTHi, demonstrated a cytokine pattern underpinning both immune suppression and triggering of learned innate immunity. The first was IL-10, the major cytokine mediating T cell suppression. The second was GM-CSF, a cytokine linking TH17 cells with "learned innate immunity" (19,20).

A third observation examined the role of viral infection and its relationship with NTHi, the main bacteria within the dysbiotic microbiome associated with damaged human airways (21). Co-infection studies in mice with influenza virus and NTHi bacteria, showed mutual stimulation with increase in titres of both microbes. Pre-treatment with oral inactivated NTHi, abrogated the increase in both viral and bacterial numbers (22). Studies in infected mice have confirmed the critical role of Th17 cells with homing receptors including CD161 (23,24). The complexity, redundancy, the presence of multiple sources of Th17 cells, as well as the interaction of Th17 cells with other T cell lineages and antigen presenting cells, have been reviewed (23). While the data on molecular and T cell subsets were consistent with viral-bacterial endobronchial infection being an interplay with the CMS and recruited Th17 cells, additional mechanisms including CD8 cytotoxic T cells and Th1 CD4 T cells were relevant to, respectively, viral infected cells, and intracellular bacterial infections (23).

The Common Mucosal System in Man

To assess whether the CMS operated in man, subjects with chronic cough and sputum (clinically diagnosed as chronic bronchitis) were studied in a randomised clinical study (RCT), with clinical and laboratory end points (25). At the time it was considered that viral infections of the respiratory tract caused bacteria to descend within the respiratory tract causing mucosal inflammation with cough and purulent sputum (identified clinically as acute bronchitis in normal subjects, and “exacerbations” in those with chronic airway disease). Immune protection was expected through secretion of IgA antibody.

The result of the study was a surprise. No change in mucosal antibody was detected, but a significant reduction in acute exacerbations was noted. Two subsequent RCT’s demonstrated protection against acute exacerbations again without stimulation of IgA antibody (26,27 and Table 1.). Reduction of pathogens in sputum was non-specific, including *S. pneumoniae* when present (26,27)). In a study in the Highlands of PNG, sputum showed a 3-log reduction in numbers of NTHi, and reduced numbers of *S. pneumoniae*. The quantitative changes in sputum microbiology persisted for 10 months following three monthly courses of oral inactivated NTHi (27).

Table 1. Oral NTHi in chronic bronchitis.

Ref	Year	No	Age (yrs)	FEV1 (l/sec)	Sputum +ve NTHi (%)	Protection (%)	P value
25	1985	50	65	0.9	69	90	<0.001
26	1991	64	72	0.9	36	30	<0.05
27	1991	62	53	1.4	81	45	<0.05

These studies were followed by three RCT’s where admission criteria changed from “chronic production of purulent sputum” to the functional diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) based on spirometric measurement of fixed airway obstruction. In this group the level of protection against exacerbations was influenced by the incidence of culture positive sputum (28,29,30). For example, in a study of COPD where 60% had at least one culture +ve sputum sample (28), significant protection was recorded, with greater than 50% reduction in both moderate (defined as requiring steroid treatment) and severe (defined as requiring admission to hospital) exacerbations. In a second COPD study (29) where only 3% of subjects had culture +ve sputum, significant reduction of moderate and severe exacerbations was only detected in those under 65. In this study significant protection against day-to-day symptoms of cough, sputum production, wheeze and breathlessness, and reduction of antibiotic usage, were also only found in those less than 65 (29,30). The more severe the airway obstruction, the greater benefit from oral NTHi therapy (30).

In summary, six RCT’s in subjects with chronic bronchitis and/or COPD showed significant protection in those given oral NTHi immunotherapy. In those COPD subjects with little or no sputum production (and therefore less delivery of NTHi to Peyer’s patches) protection was limited to those under 65 years of age due to immune senescence compromising protection when the efficacy of T cell delivery to the airway mucosa was reduced. Immune senescence similarly reduces antibody response to injected Covid vaccines in men over 65 (31).

The results of these studies confirm that the CMS operates in subjects with damaged airways. Transportation of T cells through the CMS is imperfect as delivery can be boosted by regular “pulse” oral NTHi immunotherapy. Inefficient control of Th17 delivery to the respiratory tract as seen in exacerbations in subjects with chronic airway disease, causes delayed but inappropriate and excessive recruitment of neutrophils, which as in any “hypersensitivity” state, contributes to clinical symptoms.

THE CMS IN NORMAL SUBJECTS: DOES ORAL NTHi HAVE A ROLE IN REDUCING RISK FROM VIRAL INFECTION?

Two studies were designed to address this question.

The first was a RCT in 64 normal adults who were long term smokers, a known risk for airway disease. The study design was identical to the studies in airway disease, except for the outcome

measures (32). The study was for 6 months across a winter season. The aims were threefold. First to identify mechanisms relevant to immune protection in healthy but “at risk” subjects. Second to determine if variability in delivery of protective T cells to the airway mucosa was a basis of vulnerability to intercurrent viral infections. The third was to determine if any variability in mucosal control of colonising microbiota could be reversed by pulsed oral immunotherapy with inactivated NTHi.

Several outcomes of this study were noted. First, a prompt increase in T cell delivery was detected in the active group, measured by antigen-reactive T cells, and by response to the T cell mitogen PHA. Second, a dramatic impact of the more efficient T cell delivery in orally immunised subjects was detected. Measuring “leakage” of the dysbiotic microbiome into the gas-exchange apparatus of the lungs (where there is a switch from mucosal immunity to protection by the systemic immune system) in the placebo group, significant fluctuations in IgG antibody titre to NTHi occurred. In those taking oral NTHi there was little to no variation from antibody levels measured at zero time. Third, colonisation of the oropharynx with NTHi in the placebo group, correlated with swings in antibody level contrasting with findings in those taking oral NTHi. Fourth, measurement of lysozyme in oral secretions as a surrogate of inflammation within the mucosal compartment, found reduced levels in those taking oral NTHi, indicating control of subclinical inflammation. The implications of this observation with respect to development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease need further study.

In a separate three-month study of six normal adults who did not smoke, using identical methodology to the study in smokers, net stimulation indices (subtracting stimulation indices in placebos) calculated from incubation of T cells with NTHi antigen, was from 2.0 to 40 (unpublished observations). These results were delayed but higher than those found in the smoking group (consistent with a stimulation of sensitised T cells within the Peyer’s patch induced by the orally administered NTHi, which in turn were less suppressed compared to T cells chronically exposed to high dosage of aspirated NTHi).

The aim of the second study was to test whether oral NTHi protected healthy individuals prone to recurrent acute bronchitis (33).

Forty subjects were selected with two or more “colds going to the chest” each year for the past two years. The study was for 6 months over a winter period, constructed in identical fashion to previous RCT’s. There was a 60% reduction in episodes of acute wheezy bronchitis ($P = 0.02$), with a 58% reduction in antibiotic usage ($P = 0.07$). There was a significant difference in winter colonisation of the oropharynx with NTHi, with suppression of colonisation in the active group.

Using clinical and laboratory parameters of containment of a dysbiotic microbiome in these studies of normal subjects with documented risk, oral NTHi immunotherapy was highly effective at preventing leakage into the systemic compartment of the terminal airways in one study, and in preventing viral initiated acute wheezy bronchitis, in the other. Data confirming detection of antigen-reactive T cells following oral NTHi in normal non-smoking adults, confirmed that while the dynamic may vary, delivery of T cells to the bronchus mucosa is a physiological process, not one restricted to those with high levels of a dysbiotic colonisation of damaged airways.

Discussion

This review extends to healthy subjects the idea of delivery of immune protection to the airway mucosa via a CMS in similar fashion to that documented in those with exacerbations in chronic lung disease and a dysbiotic microbiota. The Covid-19 pandemic drew attention to the potential for immunobiotics to optimise T cell delivery to the airway mucosa in “well subjects”, much as they do in those with damaged airways. In chronic airway disease the outcome of pulsed immunotherapy is to reduce risk of exacerbations following viral infections, by shifting the point within the host-pathogen spectrum towards one favouring host protection. NTHi uptake into Peyer’s patches engages specific adaptive immunity, which in turn maintains non-specific immunity acting to reduce the microbial load within the respiratory mucosa. Reduction in the load of dysbiotic microbiota buffers the inflammatory response to intercurrent viral infection. Studies on normal subjects showed

both protection against viral-associated acute bronchitis, and a mechanism based on pathogen containment. Shoring up mucosal immune competence in those considered at risk of severe outcomes from viral infection of the airway with oral inactivated NTHi, is a novel addition to current management strategies for Covid-19 infection.

The formulation of NTHi, the dominant bacterial species within the airway dysbiota, created a novel therapeutic class termed “immunobiotics”.

First, what are “Immunobiotics”? They are a form of single microbe immunotherapy that include selected inactivated bacteria from the airway microbiome formulated in enteric coated tablets. The active principal is released within the small intestine for uptake into Peyer’s patches. Sensitised T cells migrate to the airway mucosa where they maintain immune protection through boosting “learned innate immunity”. Immunobiotics are a proxy for optimal presentation to Peyer’s patches of bacteria from the bronchial tree maximising delivery of T cells to the bronchus mucosa. Selection of a particular isolate of NTHi is critical to the development of effective promotion of protection through the CMS for two reasons. First, the selected isolate must be able to stimulate sensitised T cells within the Peyer’s patch which in turn are capable of receptor-mediated “homing” to the bronchus mucosa. These T cells must then have the capacity to connect to local innate immune mechanisms, through production of appropriate cytokines. Second, specificity for NTHi in these “homing” T cells must be retained to enable re-stimulation by resident microbiota within the bronchus mucosa (34). These qualities are not those found in other oral bacterial therapies, such as oral vaccines (such as the cholera vaccine), probiotics or polybacterial polyclonal mitogens (PPM’s) (products used extensively in Europe as non-specific “boosts” to mucosal immunity). These latter oral bacterial products operate through the machinery of the CMS but are not surrogates for the physiological agonists within the aspirated microbiome. The limited clinical benefit of PPM’s compared with oral NTHi has been demonstrated in a RCT (35). Probiotics and PPM’s act as superantigens binding directly to the outside of the MHC class II molecule, cross-linking it to the V β chain of the T cell receptor to initiate nonspecific T-cell activation (36,37), with less durable and less focussed outcomes. Non-specifically activated T cells fail to bind to receptors in post-capillary venules within the respiratory mucosa, nor does their cytokine profile reflect that of TH17 cells required to initiate “learned innate immunity” (and more durable mucosal immune protection).

Studies in normal subjects without known risk factors showed that oral immunobiotics drive a brisk specific immune response detected as antigen-reactive T cells, despite less aspirated microbiome than found in those with chronic cough and sputum (unpublished observations). Two studies of oral NTHi in normal subjects with risk factors of smoking (33) or recurrent acute bronchitis (34) identified two mechanisms of protection following inhaled virus. The first was prevention of escape of the microbiome from the bronchus into the gas-exchange apparatus (which risked pneumonia) (33). The second prevented uncontrolled inflammation (which risked acute bronchitis) (34). Collectively, these three observations demonstrate that the T cell boost following oral NTHi in normal subjects shifts the balance within the spectrum of the host-parasite relationship towards host protection. The position of the “risk point” in normal subjects is influenced by genetic and environmental factors. In Covid-19, defects in the local interferon response to infection through impaired receptor recognition of “pathogen associated molecular patterns” (PAMPS) (38) as well as inherited immune deficiencies (39), shift the risk-point to one linked to more serious outcomes. Smoking (40) and age over 65 influence risk for both clinical covid and the immune response to Covid-19 vaccines (32). Variable T cell delivery to the respiratory tract in well subjects, provides a basis for subtle differences between individuals with respect to their position within the host-pathogen spectrum of responses to inhaled virus. Immunotherapy with oral inactivated NTHi boosts release of antigen-specific T cells from Peyer’s patches, which in turn reduces the load of colonising bacteria to buffer against the inflammatory response to intercurrent viral infections.

Current strategies for vaccination against inhaled viruses of concern, such as influenza and SARS-CoV-2 have little impact on infection and viral transmission, as injected vaccines stimulate systemic immunity rather than net positive mucosal immune protection. This has been recognised in recent reviews, calling for innovative novel approaches to achieve immune protection using either

systemic or mucosal antigen delivery (41). The importance of “balance” in terms of reactive and suppressor immunocytes in determining net mucosal IgA antibody response in normal subjects following an oral vaccine has been studied. Those with high baseline antibody, reduce that level following oral delivery of antigen, while those with low antibody titres have a positive antibody response (42). Similarly systemic IgG antibody and T cell responses to antigen presenting to a mucosal surface, reflects the balance of positive and negative reacting T cells seeded from aggregated mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (43). Pulsed oral NTHi immunotherapy distributes a net positive immune protection (37). In addition to shifting mucosal immune preparedness discussed above, pulsed oral NTHi is postulated to establish a more responsive “set” of mucosal and systemic immunity thus enabling a more vigorous and protracted vaccine-induced local and systemic immune response.

Recently a hypothesis identified “immune resilience” as a generic capacity preserving and restoring immune functions in response to antigen driven inflammatory stress (44), which included intercurrent infections. The authors measured T cell subsets and gene expression markers to support their hypothesis that instability of immune competence determined an immunosuppressive-proinflammatory mortality-associated gene-expression profile. The idea was developed that the pressure of repeated inflammatory (antigenic) stressors across a lifetime impaired immune resilience. The extent that this concept involving genetic and/or epigenetic mechanisms is relevant to the idea of immune resilience as a determinant of “wellness” identified in this review, is not clear. While the broader generic idea fits with the observation of the impact of immune senescence in maintaining airway mucosal immune function, the idea of mucosal “wellness” maintained through delivery of T cells via the CMS as developed here, likely involves significant environmental factors. Such factors cause physiological variations as well as damage to the airway, that combine to influence both delivery of T cells, and their impact on local effectors of mucosal immune resilience.

Future Directions

Future studies will identify molecular and genetic mechanisms relevant to delivery of airway immunity to the respiratory tract in man, the complex interactive paths, & their roles in both maintaining airway health, and in disease. Mucosal immune resilience and the spectrum of inflammatory response to inhaled antigens will be defined in terms of molecular, cellular and genetic mechanisms. A major opportunity to improve prevention and management of airway disease by both enhancing and using the CMS, will see more effective antigen delivery systems developed with cloned conserved antigen being used as therapy for complicated diseases such as cystic fibrosis. An important clinical question is whether control of intrabronchial inflammation over time reduces progression of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. As the relationship between mucosal and systemic immunity becomes better understood, combination “vaccine/immunobiotic” will likely evolve to enhance protective immunity and its durability, by minimising downregulation and immune suppression. Current oral NTHi immunotherapy is restricted to optimising T cell delivery to the human airway. New microbial species will be identified with effect at all mucosal sites in man and in animal species. Studies using oral inactivated *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (5) suggest selected isolates of this species satisfies these conditions. Wide usage of oral inactivated NTHi in subjects with chronic airway disease and in normal subjects with risk factors, predicts a significant reduction in total antibiotic usage of approximately 5-10%, and heightened protection against the complications of more severe viral disease such as influenza and COVID-19. The latter achieved by controlling the microbiome and confining pathogens to the non-gas-exchange section of the lungs.

Conflicts of Interest: The author owns shares in Biomune, a company formed to develop intellectual property related to products exploiting research contributed to by the author.

References

1. Shrestha, N, Burke, C, Nowacki, A. Risk of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) among Those Up-to-Date and Not-Up-to-Date on COVID-19 Vaccination. medRxiv <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.06.09.23290893v1>

2. Tomasi, T, Zieglsbaum, S The selective occurrence of gamma1 A globulins in certain body fluids. *J Clin Invest.* 1963; 42:1553-1560
3. Craig, S, Cebra, J. Peyers patches: an enriched source of precursors for IgA-producing immunocytes in the rabbit. *J Exp Med.* 1971; 134(1): 188-200
4. Bienenstock, J, Rudzik, O, Clancy, R, Bronchial lymphoid tissue. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 1974; 45(0): 47-56
5. Cripps, a, Dunkley, M, Clancy, R. Mucosal and systemic immunisations with killed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* protect against acute respiratory infection in rats. *Infect Immun.* 1994; 62(4):1427-36
6. Clancy, R, Bienenstock, J. The proliferative response of bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue after local and systemic immunization. *J Immunol.* 1974; 112(6):1997-2001
7. Clancy, R, Pucci, A, Jelihovsky. Immunologic "memory" for microbial antigens in lymphocytes obtained from human bronchial mucosa. 1978: 117(3): 513-8
8. Holt, P, Stumbles, P. Regulation of immunologic homeostasis in peripheral tissues by dendritic cells: The respiratory tract as a paradigm. 2000: 105(3): 421-429
9. Palomares, O, The role of regulatory T cells in IgE-mediated food allergy. 2013; 23(6): 371-82
10. Verhagen, J, Blaser, K, Akdis, C. Mechanisms of allergen-specific immunotherapy: T-regulatory cells and more. 2006; 26(2):207-31
11. UK Health Security Agency: Covid-19 vaccine surveillance report. Week 42 (2021)
12. Barberis, I, Myles, P, Ault, S. History and evolution of influenza control through vaccination: from the first monovalent vaccine to universal vaccines. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2016; 57(3): E115-E120
13. Wallace, F, Cripps, A, Clancy, R. A role for intestinal T lymphocytes in bronchus mucosal immunity. *Immunology* 1991; 74(1):68-73
14. Clancy, R, Dunkley, M. Acute Exacerbations in COPD and their control with oral immunization with nontypable *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Front Immunol* 2011; 2: 7
15. Wallace, F, Cripps, A, Clancy, R. *Haemophilus influenzae* immunity in the respiratory tract. In "Advances in Mucosal Immunology, eds MacDonald T, Challacombe S, Bland P. (London: Kluwer Academic Publishers) 1990;74:859-860
16. Pang, G, Ortega M, Zighang R. Autocrine modulation of IL-8 production by sputum neutrophils in chronic bronchial sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1997; 155:726-31.
17. Netea, M, Dominguez-Andres, J, Barreiro, L. Defining trained immunity and its role in health and disease *Nature Reviews Immunology* 2020; 20:375-388
18. Husband, A, Dunkley, M, Cripps, A, Antigen-specific response among T lymphocytes following intestinal administration of alloantigens. *Aust. J Exp. Biol Med Sci.* 1984; 62: 687-699
19. Clarke, J. Regulation of Cytokines and Chemokines during Lung Infection with Non-typable *Haemophilus influenzae*. PhD 2008; University of Canberra
20. Chen, Y, Fan, L, Mengqing, H. Role of GM-CSF in Lung Balance and disease. *Front. Immunol.* doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1158859
21. Butt, H, Clancy, R, Cripps, Bacterial colonisation of the respiratory tract in chronic bronchitis. *Aust NZ J Med* 1990; 20:35-8
22. Dunkley, M, Husband, A, Beagley, K. A rodent model of concurrent respiratory infection with influenza virus and gram-negative bacteria: synergistic infection and protection by oral immunisation. In: *Mucosal Solutions: Advances in Mucosal Immunology.* University of Sydney, Sydney Australia. 1997; 1:261-268
23. Iwanaga, N, Kolls, J. Updates on T helper type 17 immunity in respiratory disease. *Immunology.* 2019; 156(1): 3-8
24. Mvaya, L, Mvaya, A, Hummel, A. Airway CD8+CD161+TCR α 7.2+ T cell depletion during untreated HIV infection targets CD103 expressing cells. *Front Immunol.* 2019; 10: 2003. Doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02003
25. Clancy, R, Murree-Allen, K, Cripps, A. Oral immunisation with killed *haemophilus influenzae* for protection against acute bronchitis in chronic obstructive lung disease. *Lancet.* 1985;326:1395-1397
26. Tandon, M, Gebisky, V. A controlled trial of a killed *haemophilus influenzae* vaccine for prevention of acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Intern Med J.* 1991:427-432
27. Lehmann, D, Coakley, K, Coakley C. Reduction in the incidence of acute bronchitis by an *haemophilus influenzae* vaccine in patients with chronic bronchitis in the highlands of Papua New Guinea. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med.* 1991; 144:324-330 .
28. Tandon, M, Phillips, M, Waterer, G. Oral immunotherapy with inactivated nontypable *haemophilus influenzae* reduces severity of acute exacerbations in severe COPD. *Chest.* 2010; 137: 805-811
29. Clancy, R, Dunkley, M, Sockler, J. Multi-site placebo-controlled randomised clinical trial to assess protection following oral immunisation with inactivated non-typable *haemophilus influenzae* in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int Med J.* 2016; 46:684-693
30. Clancy, R, Cripps, A. An Oral Whole-Cell Killed Nontypable *Haemophilus influenzae* Immunotherapeutic For The Prevention of Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Airway Disease. *Int J of Chron Obst Pulm Dis.* 2019; 14: 2423-2431

31. Rodriguez-Morales, A Assessing the effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in older people in Latin America. *Lancet Healthy Longevity* 2022; 3(4): E219-E220
32. Clancy, R, Dunkley, M. Oral nontypable haemophilus influenzae enhances physiological mechanism of airways protection. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2010; 161:127-133
33. Clancy, R, Cripps, A, Gebski, V. Protection against recurrent bronchitis after oral immunisation with Killed haemophilus influenzae. *Med. J. Aust.* 1990; 152:413-416
34. Wallace, F, Clancy, R, Cripps, A. An animal model demonstration of enhanced clearance of nontypable haemophilus influenzae from the respiratory tract after antigen stimulation of gut-associated lymphoid tissue. *Am Rev. Respir Dis.* 1989; 140: 311-316.
35. Clancy, R, Cripps, A, Pang, G. The paradox of immunisation against Haemophilus influenzae-related endobronchitis: protection restricted to ingestion of “non-adjuvanted” vaccine. *Adv in Exp Med and Biol* 1987; 216B: 1759-64
36. Rich, R, Mollick, J, Cook, R. Superantigens: interaction of staphylococcal enterotoxins with MHC class II molecules. *Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc.* 1990; 101:195-206
37. Kumar, H, Kawai, T, Akira, S. Pathogen recognition by the innate system. *Int Rev Immunol.* 2011; 30(1):16-34
38. Stertz, S, Hale, B Interferon system deficiencies exacerbating severe pandemic virus infections. *Trends Microbiol.* 2021; 29(11):973-982
39. Drzmalla, E, Green, R, Knuth, M. COVID-19-related health outcomes in people with primary immunodeficiency: A systematic review. *Clin Immunol.* 2022; doi:10.1016/j.clim.2022.109097
40. Patanavanich, R, Glantz, S. Smoking is associated with worse outcomes of COVID-19 particularly among younger adults. *BMC Public Health* 2021: doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11579-x
41. Morens, D, Taubenberger, J, Fauci, A. Rethinking next-generation vaccines for coronaviruses, influenza viruses, and other respiratory viruses. *Cell Host Microbe* 2023; 31(1): 146-157
42. Clancy, R, Cripps, A, Husband, A, Specific Immune Response in the Respiratory Tract after Administration of an Oral Polyvalent bacterial vaccine. *Infect. Immunol.* 1983: 39: 491-496
43. Russell, M, Moldoveanu, Z, Ogra, P. Mucosal Immunity in Covid-19: A Neglected but Critical Aspect of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Front. Immunol.* doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.611337. eCollection 2020
44. Ahuja, S, Manoharen, M, Lee, G. Immune resilience despite inflammatory stress promotes longevity and favourable health outcomes including resistance to infection. *Nat Commun* 14, 3286 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38238-6>

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.