

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

# The Emerging Role of CD8<sup>+</sup> T Cells in Shaping Treatment Outcomes of Patients with MDS and AML

Athanasios Tasis, Theodoros Spyropoulos, Ioannis Mitroulis

Posted Date: 15 January 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202501.1070.v1

Keywords: CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells; myelodysplastic neoplasms (MDS); acute myeloid leukemia (AML); senescence; exhaustion; treatment response; allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT); chemotherapy; hypomethylating agents (HMAs); immunotherapy



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

# The Emerging Role of CD8+ T Cells in Shaping Treatment Outcomes of Patients with MDS and AML

Athanasios Tasis 1,2, Theodoros Spyropoulos 2 and Ioannis Mitroulis 1,2,\*

- <sup>1</sup> Translational Research and Laboratory Medicine Unit, First Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Alexandroupolis, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Hematology, University Hospital of Alexandroupolis, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece
- \* Correspondence: imitroul@med.duth.gr

**Simple Summary:** Cytotoxic immune cell populations, including CD8+ T cells, play a critical role in anti-tumor immunity, suppressing tumor progression. For this reason, recent therapeutic algorithms include immunotherapeutic agents that target such cell populations for the treatment of patients with a variety of solid tumors. In contrast to solid tumors, such immunotherapeutic strategies failed to show effectiveness in the treatment of patients with myeloid malignancies, including myelodysplastic neoplasms (MDS) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). For this reason, the study of immunophenotypic and molecular changes of cytotoxic effector cell populations in patients with such disorders would provide the necessary evidence for the development of novel immunotherapies. In this review, we provide an overview of experimental studies describing the modulation of CD8+ T cell populations in patients with myeloid neoplasms, focusing on whether and how specific cell phenotypes are linked to disease progression and treatment.

Abstract: CD8+ T cells are critical players in anti-tumor immunity against solid tumors, targeted by immunotherapies. Emerging evidence suggests that CD8+ T cells also play a crucial role in anti-tumor responses and determining treatment outcomes in hematologic malignancies like myelodysplastic neoplasms (MDS) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). In this review, we focus on the implication of CD8+ T cells in treatment response of patients with MDS and AML. First, we review reported studies of aberrant functionality and clonality of CD8+ T cells in MDS and AML, often driven by the immunosuppressive bone marrow microenvironment, which can hinder effective antitumor immunity. Additionally, we discuss the potential use of CD8+ T cell subpopulations, including memory and senescent-like subsets, as predictive biomarkers for treatment response to a variety of treatment regimens, such as hypomethylating agents which is the standard of care for patients with higher-risk MDS, and chemotherapy which is the main treatment of patients with AML. Understanding the multifaceted role of CD8+T cells and their interaction with malignant cells in MDS and AML, will provide useful insights into their potential as prognostic/predictive biomarkers, but also uncover alternative approaches to novel treatment strategies that could reshape the therapeutic landscape, thus improving treatment efficacy, aiding in overcoming treatment resistance and improving patient survival in these challenging myeloid neoplasms.

**Keywords:** CD8+ T cells; myelodysplastic neoplasms (MDS); acute myeloid leukemia (AML); senescence; exhaustion; treatment response; allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT); chemotherapy; hypomethylating agents (HMAs); immunotherapy

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Brief Overview of CD8+ T Cells and Their Established Role in Immune Surveillance

CD8+ T lymphocytes are crucial components of adaptive immunity, playing a pivotal role in immune surveillance [1]. Immune surveillance is particularly important in the context of solid tumors, mainly due to the distinct antigenic profiles these malignancies often present [2]. Solid tumors frequently overexpress specific tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) which serve as prime targets for CD8+ T cells. The ability of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) to recognize and target these TAAs is crucial in initiating effective anti-tumor responses [3–5]. Infiltration of solid tumors by CD8+ T cells, often paired with an enhanced interferon (IFN) signature, is associated with improved patient outcomes, compared to "non-T cell inflamed tumors", strongly reflecting the significance of CTLs in the effective clearance of neoplastic cells [6-10]. However, the tumor microenvironment (TME) can severely influence the function of CTLs, hampering their anti-tumor response [6,7,11,12]. Cancer cells employ a wide range of immune evasion strategies, mainly through induction of immunosuppression and active disruption of CD8+ T cell functionality [13]. One of the most welldescribed mechanisms of immune evasion involves the overexpression of programmed cell death protein-ligand 1 (PD-L1) by tumor cells. PD-L1 binds to the programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1), expressed on CD8+ T cells, leading to T-cell exhaustion, a state of reduced cytokine production and cytotoxic activity [14]. In addition to PD-1 and PD-L1, other immune checkpoint molecules, such as TIM-3, TIGIT, and LAG-3, are overexpressed in the TME, further promoting T cell dysfunction (9,27,28). Abnormal expression of all these molecules in the TME contribute to the suppression of CTL activity and shield malignant cells from immune-mediated destruction [15-17]. Other mechanisms include hypoxia and general metabolic stress or physical barriers created by extracellular matrix [18].

Altogether, these mechanisms highlight the dynamic interplay between CD8+ T cells and the TME, pointing out both the potential and the challenges of mounting an effective anti-tumor response by harnessing CD8+ T cells in cancer immunotherapy [19]. Over the years, the field of immunotherapy, which aims in restoring T cell functionality against malignant cells, has made substantial advancements, showing remarkable promise in improving the clinical outcomes for patients with solid tumors [20,21]. Anti-cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (Anti-CTLA-4) and anti-PD-1 antibodies, either as monotherapies or as combinational therapy, have been used for over a decade for the treatment of melanoma, resulting in sufficient outcomes [22–26]. Furthermore, PD-1/PD-L1 blockade is currently used in the treatment of patients with solid tumors, either as monotherapy or in combination with other treatment regimens [27–30]. However, even with some remarkable results, not all patients benefit from immunotherapy while the need of robust and easily-applicable predictive biomarkers still remains [31].

#### 1.2. Myelodysplastic Neoplasms (MDS) and Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)

MDS encompass a group of heterogenous clonal myeloid disorders primarily characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis, cytopenia and increased incidence of progression to secondary AML [32,33]. Myeloid malignancies are generally characterized by broad molecular heterogeneity [34,35]. Accumulation of genetic abnormalities and epigenetic alterations at the hematopoietic stem cell level are the primary drivers of MDS [34,36]. Overtime the clonal expansion of dysplastic malignant cells lead to disease progression and subsequent AML transformation [37–39].

Despite the recent advances, treatment options for MDS and AML remain limited as they are confronted by significant challenges, mainly due to the profound heterogeneity of these malignancies. The treatment algorithm for these patients is tailored to the disease characteristics, patient condition and their associated risk assessment [40,41]. Based on the revised international prognostic scoring system (IPSS-R), MDS patients are stratified into lower- and higher-risk groups [42]. Conversely, AML treatment is guided by the European LeukemiaNet (ELN) risk classification [41]. The treatment of lower risk MDS (IPSS-R  $\leq$  3.5) remains mainly supportive and aims to improve

quality of life. In more detail, treatment plan involves transfusions, if needed, improvement of cytopenias by providing stimulating factors and combating anemia by using erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESAs), such as Erythropoetin or Luspatercept [43–47]. However, in the case of higher risk MDS (IPSS-R > 3.5), the main goal is limiting the progression rate to AML and prolonging patient survival. To this date, treatment with the Hypomethylating agents (HMAs) Azacytidine (AZA) and Decitabine (DEC) remain the only available treatment options for elderly high risk MDS patients [45]. HMAs do not eliminate the disease but rather prolong its natural progression course to AML without offering a curative option. In AML, treatment choices differ based on the patient status [48]. Younger fit patients receive intensive chemotherapy, usually followed by allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, while older patients receive lower intensity therapies [48]. These include HMAs either as monotherapy or in combination with Venetoclax, a BCL-2 inhibitor [41,48,49].

#### 1.3. Tumor Microenvironment in Myeloid Neoplasms

The BM microenvironment has a central role in the pathogenesis of myeloid malignancies, such as MDS and AML [50,51]. Alterations within the bone marrow microenvironment lead to the dysfunction of immune cell compartment, including T cells, which further enhances the dysregulation of normal hematopoiesis, while promoting immune evasion and the emergence of malignant clones [16,52,53]. Immune cells in MDS and AML often exhibit a highly suppressed phenotype, which is the result of a multifaceted network of dynamic interactions between neoplastic cells, the immune cell compartment and the BM TME [54–56]. This immunosuppressive landscape is further augmented by dysregulation of wide range of soluble factors with immunomodulatory features which further dampens the anti-leukemic response [53,57]. Soluble factors such as IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and TNF-a have been shown to promote leukemic cell growth and resistance to therapy [58], while IL-2 and IL-10 along with TGF- $\beta$  can also promote the survival of malignant cells [58–61].

T regulatory cells (Tregs) and myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) play an important role in creating and maintaining an immunosuppressed BM microenvironment [62-64]. The accumulation of Tregs has been strongly correlated with impairment of CD8+ T cell infiltration and functionality, as well as poor prognosis in disorders beyond hematologic malignancies [65,66]. Increased proportion of circulating Tregs [67,68] as well as BM Tregs [69,70] has been consistently observed in patients with MDS compared to healthy controls. Similarly, studies have demonstrated the aberrant expansion and functionality of Tregs in the peripheral blood (PB) [71-73] and BM [73-76] of AML patients. Intriguingly, it has been shown that Tregs expand profoundly more in the BM of MDS and AML patients than the peripheral compartment [69,73]. BM Tregs, in MDS and AML, exhibit distinct phenotypic and functional characteristics, compared to their peripheral counterparts, including an exacerbated suppressive activity, thus further intensifying the BM immunosuppressive milieu [69,73]. There is a correlation between Treg enrichment and disease progression in both MDS and AML, with their proportion increasing significantly at the time of relapse [70]. Conversely, a decreased frequency of Tregs has been linked to favorable treatment responses, highlighting their critical role in modulating disease outcomes [70,71,73]. Consistent with data from other disorders [77], enrichment of Treg proportion in MDS and AML has been strongly associated with diminished recruitment, functionality, and cytotoxic activity of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [69,78,79].

MDSCs facilitate immunoescape through the overexpression of immunosuppressive cytokines which disrupts the function of T, NK and dendritic cells [80,81]. Significantly elevated frequency of BM MDSCs has been observed in MDS compared to normal controls [82,83], which is associated with inhibition of CD8 $^+$  T cell mediated cytotoxicity *via* the STAT3-ARG1 signaling axis [83]. Similarly, expansion of circulating MDSCs has also been observed in AML patients, which has been correlated negatively with patient prognosis and CD8 $^+$  T cell activity [84,85]. Increased numbers of MDSCs lead to secretion of IL-10 and TGF- $\beta$ , which subsequently lead to enrichment of Treg proliferation and function. This interplay between MDSCs and Tregs results in a synergistic feedback loop which leads

to suppression of CD8+ T cells proliferation and function, and ultimately in malignant cell immune evasion and disease progression [81,82,86,87].

Since immune dysfunction is present in the TME in myeloid malignancies, immunotherapies have been used in patients with myeloid neoplasms, including MDS and AML [32,88], however with limited success and a considerable concern about high probability of adverse events [89–94]. Despite the efforts of treating MDS and AML utilizing immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) either as a monotherapy or in combination with hypomethylating agents (HMAs), the standard of care for elderly high risk MDS and AML patients, no significant outcomes have been observed [95–98]. ICIs have also been used in AML following relapse after allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) with some promising results being observed but again with toxicities and adverse events occurring [99]. Though many clinical trials are ongoing [100–102] testing different combinations of therapies, there is no clear indication of immunotherapies.

# 2. Implication of CD8+ T Cells in MDS and AML

# 2.1. Dysregulated CD8+ T Cell Functionality and Clonality in MDS and AML

Aberrant CD8+ T cell functionality and clonality have emerged as key contributors of immune dysregulation in MDS and AML. Dysfunction of CD8+ T cells, a result of chronic antigen exposure, leads to cell exhaustion and reduced cytotoxicity [103,104]. The leukemic BM microenvironment of MDS and AML further dysregulates the anti-tumor response of CD8+ T cells through the expansion and aberrant functionality of Tregs and MDSCs [15,16,79,87]. Moreover, altered chemokine signaling, a common finding in AML and MDS, suppresses T cell activity while also inhibiting their infiltration into the BM TME, promoting malignant cell dominance [105,106].

Many studies provide evidence of abnormal transcriptomic and immunophenotypic signatures of CD8+ T cells in MDS and AML which lead to compromised anti-tumor responses. Jinglian Tao et al. reported decreased secretion of IFN-γ by peripheral CD8+ T cells from MDS patients and an increased frequency of PB CD8+TIM-3+ T cells compared to healthy controls, which displayed significantly lower levels of perforin and granzyme B compared to their CD8+TIM-3- counterparts [107]. Additionally, previous studies in murine models of AML highlighted the association between upregulation of the PD-1/PD-L1 axis and high frequency of CD8+ T cells co-expressing PD-1 and TIM-3 with impaired anti-leukemic responses and disease progression [108,109]. Consistent with these studies, Kong et al. showed that elevated frequency of circulating CD8+PD1+TIM-3+ T cells in AML patients is correlated with increased relapse rates after transplantation. This cell population was also characterized by impaired cytokine production capacity, including reduced expression of IFN-γ, further hinting at an exhausted phenotype [110–112].

Over the years, T cell dysfunction has been linked with exhaustion-related signatures. However senescence, a process interconnected with exhaustion but differentiated by distinct mechanistical features, has also emerged as a crucial contributor to T cell impairment [113–115]. Persistent immune activation leads to the emergence of antigen-specific highly differentiated -senescent- CD8+ T cells [116,117], which are characterized by downregulation of co-stimulatory molecules, like CD27 and CD28, and overexpression of CD57 and KLRG1. Senescent CD8+ T cells are in a state of cell cycle arrest, unable to proliferate upon TCR stimulation, and exhibit limited lytic activity, maintaining, however their ability to secrete cytokines [113,118–120]. Clonal expansion of CD57+CD28- CD8+ T cells has been observed in MDS patients [121,122]. To this direction, Knaus et al. performed an in-depth phenotypic and functional assessment of the T cell landscape in AML patients at diagnosis and postchemotherapy. In this study, the authors observed that CD8+ T cells from newly diagnosed AML patients diverged significantly from a healthy-like profile, and display a mixed exhausted/senescent phenotype [123]. More specifically, the authors reported lower frequencies of naïve CD8+T cells with a respective elevation of terminally differentiated effector CD8+T cells in AML patients compared to healthy controls. An increased ratio of terminal effector to naïve CD8+ T cells has been verified in other studies too [124,125]. Further analysis revealed lower expression of CD27, CD28 and CD127 in

AML CD8+ T cells hinting at a senescent phenotype [123]. Additionally, compared to healthy controls, CD8+ T cells from AML patients exhibited significantly increased expression of CD57, indicative of senescence [120,126], as well as the exhaustion markers PD-1 and 2B4 [112,127]. Additionally, *in vitro* stimulation of CD8+ T cells from patients with AML, with or without the presence of autologous blasts, resulted in significantly higher upregulation of CD57 expression compared to stimulation of CD8+ T cells derived from healthy controls. These CD8+CD57+ T cells exhibited low expression of the proliferation marker Ki67, pointing out to decreased proliferative capacity aligning with a senescent phenotype. Interestingly, co-culture of healthy CD8+ T cells with AML blasts also led to increased CD57+ expression, however the degree of CD57 upregulation was notably lower compared to AML CD8+ T cells [123]. Functional assessment of CD8+ T cell mediated cytotoxicity against primary leukemic blasts, following activation through an anti–CD33/CD3 bispecific T cell–engaging (BiTE) antibody construct, highlighted the impaired lytic activity of CD8+CD57+ T cells compared to their CD8+CD57- counterparts [123], a finding also confirmed later by Rutella et al. [128]. These findings reinforce the notion that leukemic blasts induce an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment which promotes a senescent CD8+ T cell phenotype, further compromising the anti-tumor response.

Transcriptomic analysis of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells verified these results at the gene expression level. Consistent with the immunophenotypic findings, AML patients displayed overexpression of senescent-related genes, including *B3GAT1* (encoding CD57) and *KLRG1*, as well as inhibitory receptors, including *CD160*, *CD244* (which encodes 2B4), *LAG3* and *TIGIT*. Furthermore, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from AML patients exhibited downregulation of CD28, as well as, genes related to T cell adhesion and migration [123].

This finding is in line with a previous study from Dieu et al., which demonstrated that while AML CD8+ T cells maintain the ability to form cell conjugates with autologous blasts, their ability to effectively form immunological synapses is impaired [129]. Furthermore, it was observed that circulating CD8+ T cells from AML patients overexpress a set of genes related to T cell activation, however these genes diverged from a "healthy" T cell activation signature when the two set of genes were compared [129]. Sand et al. also showed that CD57+ CTLs are characterized by limited cytotoxic activity which was partially attributed to decreased expression of adhesion molecules resulting in their impaired adhesion to target cells [130].

Lastly, one critical factor of CD8+T cell dysfunction in MDS and AML involves alterations in the physiological profile of their TCR repertoire [131–134]. TCR repertoire naturally declines in diversity with age, while malignant conditions, such as MDS and AML, can lead to abnormal clonal expansion [133–135]. TCR repertoire skewing is driven by the expansion of specific clones of CD8+ T cells reflecting the stimulation by distinct leukemic antigens [133]. This restricted repertoire undermines the competence of CD8+ T cell immunosurveillance to recognize a broader range of antigens, eventually leading to limited T cell function and reduced cytotoxicity [122,136]. Studies have shown that MDS and AML patients are characterized by higher clonality and a hyper contracted TCR repertoire, characterized by lower diversity and enrichment compared to healthy controls [133,134,137–139]. Additionally, the clonal expansion intensity has been found significantly higher in BM CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells compared to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the peripheral compartment, once again pointing to a highly dysfunctional and suppressive BM TME in myeloid malignancies [122]. A recent study utilizing scRNA seq on BM CD8+ T cells demonstrated gradual deterioration of clonal diversity in newly-diagnosed AML patients followed by Rel/Ref AML patients, compared to healthy individuals [137], a finding also observed by Feng et al [139]. In the same study the profound amount of hyperexpanded BM CD8+T cells of Rel/Ref AML patients was correlated with declined plasticity and limited cellular transitions [137]. A common finding between studies investigating the TCR landscape of MDS and AML patients is the fact that naïve CD8+ T cells demonstrate the highest frequency of unique clonotypes, whereas effector CD8+T cells are characterized by the most contracted phenotype, presenting the lowest degree of distinct clonotypes [133,134,137]. This finding has also been observed in AML patients post-HSCT, with effector BM CD8+ T cells presenting the highest levels of skewing [75]. Furthermore, CD8+ T oligoclonal expansion has been linked with inferior treatment outcome in these patients [137]. Lastly, CD8+ clonally expanded T cells exhibit altered phenotypes which impair their functionality, mainly characterized by the expression of inhibitory molecules and exhaustion [104,137].

Impairment of CD8+ T cells has been strongly correlated with increased leukemic transformation rate [110,140,141], while dysfunctional CTLs correlate with lower remission rates and reduced overall survival [125,142]. Overall, derangement of CD8+ T cell functionality contributes largely to disease progression in myeloid malignancies and is associated with unfavorable disease outcomes and poor OS [125,137].

#### 2.2. Role of CD8+ T Cells in Allo-HSCT and Chemotherapy

To date, the only possible curative option for patients with MDS and AML is allo-HSCT, however a high frequency of relapse remains, while the role of CD8+T cells in influencing response rates following transplantation, or preventing relapse is not yet sufficiently understood. Noviello et al. studied the expression of inhibitory receptors (IRs) on T cell and the TCR profile of patients with AML post HSCT (Table 1) [143].

**Table 1.** CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell correlatives of allo-HSCT and chemotherapy response

Table 1. CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cell correlatives of allo-HSCT and chemotherapy response.					
Study	Treatment	Disease (Timepoint)	Main findings		
Noviello et al. [143]	allo-HSCT	AML (post HSCT)	Increased co-expression of multiple IRs by BM CD8+ T cells, particularly in their early differentiation stage, was associated with patient relapse. BM CD8+ T cells from relapsed patients displayed impaired effector functions compared to BM CD8+ T cells responders.		
Mathioudaki et al. [144]	allo-HSCT	AML (post HSCT)	CR was associated with an enhanced cytotoxic signature and increased GPR56 expression by BM CD8+ T cells. Relapse was linked with an immunosuppressive milieu, including enrichment of TNF signaling.		
Knaus et al. [123] (	Chemotherapy	AML (pre- and post- chemotherapy)	Treatment failure was linked with enriched senescence and exhaustion signatures in CD8+ T cells.  Conversely, responders displayed a reversion to a healthy-like transcriptomic profile, characterized by a less differentiated CD8+ T cell phenotype and increased expression of co-stimulatory molecules.		
Tang et al. [124]	Chemotherapy	AML (pre- and post- chemotherapy)	Increased frequencies of CD8+ Tn and Tcm cells, along with lower proportions of terminally differentiated CD8+ T cells dictated chemotherapy success. In contrast, increased baseline proportion of the senescent-like CD8+CD57+CD28- T cell subset was associated with poor OS.		
Mazziotta et al. [125]	Chemotherapy	AML (pre- and post- chemotherapy)	Response to chemotherapy was associated with an enriched naïve and memory CD8+ T cell signature before treatment initiation and a significant overexpression of ISGs following		

chemotherapy. A reduced early CD8<sup>-1</sup> Tm/Term ratio was correlated with chemotherapy resistance and inferior OS.

Patients with AML, particularly those that achieved complete remission (CR), exhibited increased ratio of CD8/CD4 T cells compared to healthy controls, both in PB and BM, consistent with an enhanced recovery of CD8+ T cells after transplantation [143]. Increased proportion of BM Tregs were observed in patients that relapsed (REL) compared to responders and healthy controls, while no difference was observed in the frequency of PB Tregs between the groups [143]. Notably, immunophenotypic analysis of the T cell compartment revealed differences associated with outcome exclusively in patients that received HLA-matched HSCT [143]. In contrast, no significant disparities were reported6 regarding the expression of IRs between CR and REL patients that received HLAhaploidentical HSCT, thus the authors shifted their focus on the HLA-matched cohort [143]. In this group, REL was associated with an accumulation of exhausted CD8+T cells in the BM, as revealed by the significantly elevated expression of PD-1, TIM-3 and CTLA-4 on their BM CD8+T cells compared to CR [143]. Unsupervised analysis showed increased co-expression of multiple IRs, including PD-1, KLRG1 and TIM-3, only in the case of REL patients compared to CR and healthy controls, who displayed significantly lower IR expression [143]. Further analysis revealed that the IR expression varied between subsets of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells based on their differentiation stage [143]. While no differences were observed in the IR profile of late differentiated CD8+ T cells between the groups, REL patients exhibited a highly exhausted profile in their early-differentiated BM CD8+ T cells [143]. Additionally, polyfunctional analysis of BM CD8+ T cells revealed that effector functions are impaired in REL patients, regardless of their differentiation stage, as demonstrated by their reduced degranulation and cytokine-secretion capacity [143]. Immunophenotypic analysis of BM samples collected at earlier post-HSCT timepoints showed that a robust exhausted phenotype is present in early differentiated BM CD8+T cells only in patients who would eventually relapse, suggesting that a small subset of BM CD8+ T cells becomes functionally impaired early-on in the post-HSCT setting and this phenomenon is linked with subsequent relapses [143].

Mathioudaki et al. recently dissected the single-cell transcriptomic landscape of BM CD34+ and T cells in AML samples 100 days following HSCT, revealing specific CD8+ T cell gene signatures associated with transplantation outcome [144]. Trajectory analysis revealed that T cells from CR patients are more advanced in pseudotime compared to REL, with the former group showing an increased abundance of clusters that included effector and mature memory T cell subsets alongside a decreased frequency of Tregs [144]. Gene regulatory analysis, utilizing SCENIC [145], showed that CD8+ T cells from REL patients display increased activity of transcription factors (TFs) such as REL, RELB, NFKB1 and NFKB2, all of which are involved in TNF signaling. This molecular pathway has been previously implicated in the pathogenesis of MDS and AML by favoring malignant cell survival and expansion [146-148]. Conversely, CD8+ T cells from CR patients exhibited significantly higher activity of TBX21, also known as T-bet, a key regulator of CD8+ T cell activation and effector functionality [149]. Subsequent differential gene expression analysis of CD8+T cells further validated these findings. REL patients exhibited enrichment of TNF signaling, while CR patients showed a transcriptomic signature strongly associated with immune cell activation and effective anti-tumor response, as well as upregulation of cytotoxic genes like GZMB, CX3CR1. Of note, CR patients also showed upregulation of ADGRG1 (encoding GPR56), which was correlated with co-expression of genes involved in effector functionality and cytotoxicity, such as PRF1, GZMB, GNLY and NKG7. Moreover, BM CD8+ T cells with high GPR56 expression showed no significant expression of exhaustion-related genes, indicative of a functional mediator of anti-tumor immunity [144]. These findings were further verified at the proteomic level by flow cytometry. CD8\*GPR56\* T cells were shown to expand after antigen exposure, gradually increasing in serial BM samples post-HSCT, while displaying a strong affinity in recognizing and exhibiting robust cytotoxic activity against malignant

cells, whereas lower frequencies of this subset were observed in patients prone to eminent relapse [144].

Taken together, these findings highlight the rising role of CD8+ T cells in shaping the outcome of HSCT, with their functional and transcriptomic signatures contributing to transplantation outcomes and influencing disease relapses. Besides allo-HSCT, CD8+T cells have also been described as critical mediators of immune responses during chemotherapy (Table 1). A previous gene expression analysis of PB CD8+ T cells, utilizing paired samples pre- and post-chemotherapy by Knaus et al. [123], has revealed divergent transcriptomic signatures between patients that achieved CR and non-responders (NR). Notably, no significant difference was observed in the gene expression profile between the two response groups at baseline [123]. However, in the post-treatment analysis CR patients displayed a reversion to a healthy-like gene expression pattern, whereas NR displayed evidence of persistent immune dysfunction characterized by downregulation of costimulatory receptors, transcription factors and cell adhesion genes, as well as upregulation of IRs and apoptosisassociated genes [123]. After chemotherapy, CR patients exhibited enrichment of chemokine signaling, costimulatory signaling and a naïve-like CD8+ T cell signature [123]. On the other hand, NR showed enrichment of apoptosis, NFkB signaling, as well as upregulation of genes associated with exhaustion and senescence gene signatures [123]. Immunophenotypic analysis showed that NR patients maintained high levels of late differentiated CD8+ T cells throughout the treatment course, while CR patients demonstrated a significant reduction in this subset following chemotherapy [123]. Furthermore, CR patients also displayed significant reduction of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells co-expressing multiple IRs, post-chemotherapy, whereas NR showed increased expression of TIM-3 and PD-1 on their CD8+ T cells compared to their baseline levels [123].

Similar results were also reported by Tang et al. [124], showing that CR patients post-chemotherapy exhibited increased percentages of circulating Tn and Tcm cells, as well as restoration of CD28 expression, compared to their pretreatment levels. Comparison of the T cell architecture at baseline, based on treatment response, revealed that R/R patients had significantly lower levels Tn and elevated proportions of CD8+PD1+ and CD8+CD57+CD28- T cells compared to CR patients [124]. Additionally, increased frequency of the senescent CD8+CD57+CD28- T cell subset was observed in patients with adverse prognostic factors, as defined per the ELN guidelines, and correlated with inferior OS [124].

Mazziotta et al. recently expanded our understanding of the role of senescent CD8+ T cells in chemotherapy response, by utilizing paired single-cell transcriptomics on longitudinal BM samples [125]. Initial bulk RNA-seq analysis of CD8+ T cells at baseline revealed enrichment of naïve and memory signatures in responders, consistent with prior works [123,124]. Moreover, response to chemotherapy was linked to post-treatment upregulation of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs), suggestive of an enhanced activation of anti-tumor immunity in responders. Complementary flow cytometry analysis showed increased frequency of BM CD8+CD57+ classified as terminally differentiated/senescent-like (Term/SenL), in non-responders compared to responders and healthy controls. Subsequent scRNA-seq analysis of BM T cells revealed transcriptomic profiles in CD8+ T cells associated with chemotherapy response. Interestingly, no definitive signature linked with exhaustion was detected this study, which even though it comes to a contradiction with the previously reported works, it aligns with other studies that have explored the transcriptomic landscape of AML at single-cell resolution [75,137,150]. In line with their immunophenotypic findings, the authors observed higher abundance of the Term/SenL cluster in non-responders, whereas response to chemotherapy was associated with the presence of early memory CD8+ T cells (early Tm). To this direction, utilizing independent patient cohorts, increased Tm/Term ratio was correlated with favorable ELN risk stratification as well as better treatment response and overall survival. Trajectory analysis, utilizing Slingshot, delineated two distinct developmental CD8+ T cell fates, with Tn having the capacity to differentiate into either a functional active lineage or the Term/SenL subset, with the latter cell population being enriched in non-responders, postchemotherapy. Consistent with earlier studies [75,133,137], TCR-seq revealed that CD8+ T cells

bearing a terminal differentiated-senescent signature displayed the highest degree of clonal expansion, while a skewed Term/SenL clonal expansion was linked to treatment resistance [125].

### 2.3. Role of CD8+ T Cells in HMA Treatment

Treatment with HMAs such as AZA and DEC [48,151,152] remain the long-lasting therapy approach for patients who are not eligible for chemotherapy and allo-HSCT. Even after twenty years of the implementation of HMAs against MDS and AML [153–157], the exact mechanism of action of these agents remains largely elusive [151]. Furthermore, the response rates are not satisfactory, with a substantial proportion of patients experiencing relapse [158]. Efforts to discover biomarkers predicting treatment response has not been sufficiently fruitful, further highlighting the complexity of their action [151].

Zhao et al. described that, even though DEC does not significantly alter the proportion of circulating immune cell subsets, treatment with HMA was shown to induce IR expression on T cells and reduce the ability of CD8+T cells to effectively produce cytokines (Table 2) [159].

Table 2. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell correlatives of HMA and immunotherapy response.

<b>Table 2.</b> CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cell correlatives of HMA and immunotherapy response.					
Study	Treatment	Disease (Timepoint)	Main findings		
Zhao et al. [159]	НМА	AML (pre- and post- HMA)	Responders demonstrated higher frequencies of CD8+ Tn and lower frequencies of CD8+ Teff cells. Moreover, CD8+ T cells from responders showed increased capacity of IFN-γ production upon stimulation.		
Lee et al. [160]	Venetoclax & HMA	AML	Azacitidine sensitizes leukemic cells to T cell- mediated clearance, by triggering a viral mimicry response through the activation of the STING/cGAS pathway, while Venetoclax directly activates T cell-mediated response by enhancing their cytotoxicity.		
Fozza et al. [134] Abbas et al. [131]	НМА	MDS (pre- and post- HMA)	Response to HMA was linked to a pronounced expansion of new TCR clonotypes, whereas treatment resistance was associated with a limited and restricted TCR repertoire.		
Grimm et al. [161]	НМА	AML (pre- and post- HMA)	High baseline TCR diversity and a boost of T cell richness, 15 days post-AZA, was associated with treatment response and improved OS.		
Tasis et al. [150]	НМА	MDS and AML (pre-HMA)	Increased baseline frequency of senescent-like BM CD8+CD57+CXCR3+ T cells was correlated with treatment failure and inferior OS. Response to AZA was linked with enhanced ISG and cytotoxic signatures prior to treatment initiation, whereas treatment failure was associated with		

			enriched TGF- $\beta$ signaling coupled with an
			impaired cytotoxic gene signature of BM CD8+ $\mathrm{T}$
			cells.
Penter et al. [162]	Immunotherapy (CTLA-4 blockade)		Responders demonstrated substantial CD8+ T
		R/R MDS/AML	cell infiltration, alongside transcriptomic and
		(post-HSCT)	phenotypic evidence of T cell
			activation and effector functionality.
Desai et al. [137]	Immunotherapy (PD-1 blockade)		R/R patients were characterized by a CD8+ T
		AML	senescent-like
		(pre- and post-	signature. Treatment resistance was associated
		ICB)	with TCR
			repertoire contraction.
Root et al. [163]	Immunotherapy (PD-1 blockade) (	R/R AML (pre and post ICB)	Assessment of T cell polyfunctionality showed
			that response to
			immunotherapy was associated with increased
			baseline
			expression of IFN- $\gamma$ and reduced expression of
			TGF- $\beta$ by BM CD8+ T cells.

In line with other studies [123–125,150], response to treatment was associated with higher abundance of Tn and reduced levels of terminal effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [159]. Notably, expression of exhaustion-related markers, including PD-1, on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells was independent of treatment outcome [159]. Functional analysis of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, following *in vitro* stimulation, showed significantly elevated production of IFN- $\gamma$  in responders versus non-responders, indicative of the important role of IFN-mediated anti-tumor immunity in these patients [159].

Treatment with AZA has been demonstrated to augment the recognition and elimination of malignant cells by CD8+ T cells [164]. This immunomodulatory effect is especially pronounced when AZA is combined with Venetoclax [160]. This therapeutic strategy results in a synergistic effect, improving leukemic blast clearance compared to monotherapy. Venetoclax increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which in turn induces the expression of T cell activation markers [160]. This ROS-induced T cell activation leads to enhanced cytotoxicity, specifically targeting malignant cells [160]. On the other hand, AZA exerts its effects, at least in part, through activation of the STING/cGAS pathway, inducing a viral mimicry state [160]. This phenomenon results in target cells becoming more vulnerable to CD8+ T cell-mediated clearance, further enhancing the venetoclax-augmented cytotoxic response [160].

As previously mentioned, MDS and AML patients exhibit a highly contracted TCR profile [131,133], however, evidence shows that TCR diversity and repertoire improves following AZA treatment [134]. Even though typical TCR repertoire metrics seem independent of treatment outcome, response to HMA has been associated with the emergence of novel CD8+ T cell clonotypes, while contracted clonotypes primarily persist in HMA non-responders [131,133,134]. Additionally, increased baseline TCR diversity and post-AZA T cell richness, has been associated with improved event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival in AML patients [161].

Recently, we further investigated the phenotypic and transcriptomic profile of BM CD8+ T cells before the initiation of AZA treatment, aiming to uncover potential predictive biomarkers and novel treatment targets [150]. Using mass cytometry (CyTOF), we performed an in-depth immunophenotypic analysis on pre-treatment BM samples, revealing substantial qualitative and quantitative differences within T lymphocyte subsets amongst the patient groups [150]. The frequency of a terminal effector CD8+ T cell subset, characterized as CD57+CXCR3+CCR7-CD45RA+ was increased in AML compared to MDS, a finding that was further confirmed by flow cytometry in a secondary cohort of patients. Importantly, patients with MDS and AML who failed to respond to AZA exhibited increased pre-treatment levels of the CD8+CD57+CXCR3+ subset compared to responders, who instead displayed higher baseline abundance of Tn cells [150]. Furthermore, a higher

baseline frequency of CD8+CD57+CXCR3+ T cells was strongly predictive of poor overall survival, while no association was observed between the levels of this immune cell population and the mutational status of the patients [150]. We further engaged scRNA-seq to assess the transcriptional profile of BM-sorted CD8+T cells from patients with MDS and secondary AML at the highest possible resolution, to identify molecular signatures in specific CD8+ T subpopulations tied to favorable outcomes [150]. Our scRNA-seq analysis did not identify any CD8+ T cell clusters characterized by a bona fide exhaustion signature, nor did we observe any significant differences in the expression pattern of exhaustion-related genes associated with treatment outcome [150]. This further reinforces the notion of alternative mechanisms impacting T-cell functionality in myeloid malignancies, in contrast to solid tumors, in which T cell exhaustion dominates functional impairment [137,165]. Instead, differential expression analysis combined with TF regulatory analysis of the identified cytotoxic CD8+ T cell clusters, revealed that treatment response is associated with enrichment of IFN signaling, being in line with a study by Dey et al. [166] in MDS patients treated with AZA combined with anti-TIM-3 blockade. These observations collectively underscore the hypothesized IFNmediated mechanism of action of AZA [167-169]. Conversely, AZA non-responders exhibited enrichment of TNF signaling, similar to the findings of Mathioudaki et al. [144], along with upregulation of genes involved in TGF-β signaling [150]. Enrichment of TGF-β signaling was associated with impaired cytotoxic signature compared to responders [150], in agreement with the established role of TGF-β in inhibiting CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell-mediated cytotoxic function and promoting tumor evasion [60,170,171].

#### 2.4. Role of CD8+ T Cells in Immunotherapy

Even though immunotherapies, particularly ICB targeting PD-1 and CTLA-4, have offered substantial clinical benefit in patients with solid tumors, comparable success is yet to be achieved in myeloid malignancies [93,99,172]. This highlights the significant gap in our understanding of T cell dysfunction in myeloid malignancies and further emphasizes the urgent need to identify effective strategies to restore CD8+ T cell functionality.

Penter et al. demonstrated the efficacy of CTLA-4 blockade post-HSCT is linked to an effective CD8+T cell infiltration and activation [162]. CTLA-4 blockade was shown to systemically alter the proportion of T cell subpopulations and modify the phenotypic profile of CD8+T cells, regardless of treatment outcome. Bulk RNA-seq of tumor-site biopsies, pre- and post-immunotherapy, did not reveal any significant changes in the transcriptomic profile of non-responders. In contrast, patients who achieved CR exhibited a gene expression profile indicative of a robust immune activation compared to baseline. This was evidenced by significant enrichment of pathways related to leukocyte and lymphocyte activation, as well as upregulation of genes associated with enhanced adaptive immune response. Furthermore, CR patients were characterized by increased CD8+T cell infiltration in their biopsies, accompanied by increased levels of pro-inflammatory chemokines in their peripheral blood plasma, related to T cell activation and effector function, compared to baseline.

A recent study by Desai et al. [137], utilizing paired scRNA-seq and scTCR-seq on BM CD8+ T cells from healthy controls and AML patients, identified two unique effector CD8+ T cell subsets with distinct features between newly-diagnosed (ND) and R/R patients with AML. Canonical exhausted BM CD8+ T cells, characterized by elevated co-expression of IRs, constituted only a very small percentage of the total CD8+ T cell population. R/R patients exhibited an increased abundance of CD8+ T cell clusters with a senescent-like signature compared to healthy controls and ND patients [137], once again highlighting the emerging role of senescence in the aberrant functionality of CD8+ T cells in these patients. Conversely, ND patients showed enrichment of effector CD8+ T cell clusters lacking a senescent-like signature [137]. Pseudotemporal analysis revealed that while effector CD8+ T cells from ND patients showed a continuous state of differentiation with similar number of cells across pseudotime, almost the entire population of effector CD8+ T cells from R/R patients clustered in the last compartment of pseudotime, indicative of a preference for terminal differentiation in advanced stages of AML [137]. Furthermore, scTCR-seq analysis demonstrated that R/R patients exhibited the

lowest TCR diversity amongst the groups, coupled with the highest degree of oligoclonal expansion [137]. Of note, the hyperexpanded cells from R/R patients overlapped significantly with the senescent-like identified CD8+ T cell clusters, further tying senescence and exacerbated clonal expansion to T cell impairment in refractory disease [137].

Another study exploring the secretome of T cells at the single-cell level following stimulation provided useful insights into the distinct polyfunctional landscape of T cells at baseline and its association with immunotherapy response [163]. Here, Root et al. noted significant divergence between the polyfunctionality of BM and PB CD8+ T cells. PB CD8+ T cells showed no significant differences in their cytokine expression profile based on patient response, demonstrating the importance of investigating specifically the TME rather than the circulating immune compartment [163]. Importantly, at the baseline setting, a BM CD8+ T polyfunctional group expressing TGF- $\beta$  was elevated in non-responders, while response to immunotherapy was associated with enrichment of a BM CD8+ T cell cluster expressing high levels of IFN- $\gamma$  [163]. This observation strongly aligns with our findings regarding response to AZA in myeloid malignancies [150], further pointing out the importance of the balance between TGF- $\beta$  and IFN- $\gamma$  within the TME in shaping treatment outcomes across different treatment modalities (Table 2).

#### 3. Future Directions

A thorough investigation of the functional dynamics characterizing CD8+ T cells in these disorders remains an unmet necessity, as it holds the potential of elucidating their direct antileukemic responses, and their ability in predicting and dictating clinical outcomes across the diverse treatment approaches. A deeper understanding of how this crucial immune cell population modulates response outcomes to the available treatment approaches -including HMA, ICIs, chemotherapy and allo-HSCT, as well as combinatory strategies- will provide invaluable insights. Deciphering the role of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in shaping these therapeutic responses could pave the way into the development of more precise and long-overdue effective treatment strategies. Ideally, these strategies should be tailored to the unique immunogenomic profiles of individual patients or welldefined specific patient subsets, with the ultimate goal of improving the quality of life, clinical outcomes and OS for patients with MDS and AML. Addressing this informational void requires robust patient recruitment efforts, coupled with the integration of cutting-edge technologies, including single-cell multi-omics in order to illuminate the dynamic and complex crosstalk between the BM TME and malignant cells. By unveiling this interplay, which likely governs the challenging anti-tumor immunity in myeloid malignancies, these efforts hold the potential to introduce novel and individualized therapeutic approaches for patients with MDS and AML.

### 4. Conclusions

Significant progress has been made towards unraveling the sophisticated mechanisms underlying myeloid malignancies, especially with the dawn of multi-omics and single-cell technologies [76,173–175]. Despite these advances, most of the studies focus in dissecting the genomic and epigenomic landscape of these disorders, as well as the intricate characteristics and dynamics of neoplastic cells, that primarily drive clonal evolution in MDS and AML [176–181]. This results in omitting the immune compartment, a critical parameter in determining clinical outcome, particularly the dysfunction of CD8+ T cells which serve as primary orchestrators of anti-tumor immunity.

Following the example of onco-immunology in the field of solid tumors, a similar approach could be transformative, if not revolutionary, for myeloid neoplasms. Employing single-cell multiomics approaches to explore the overshadowed complex dynamics within the immune microenvironment has the potential to provide indispensable insights regarding patient prognosis and treatment responses. The insufficient results of immunotherapy in effectively treating these myeloid malignancies to date, highlight the urgent need to address the gap of knowledge regarding

the nuanced interactions between CD8+T cells and neoplastic cells, and how they influence treatment outcomes.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.T. and I.M.; investigation, A.T. and T.S.; writing—original draft preparation, A.T. and T.S.; writing—review and editing, A.T. and I.M.; supervision, I.M.; funding acquisition, I.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by Khalifa University, grant number RIG-2023-051. No publication fees were required by the journal.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# References

- Rodriguez-Sevilla, J.J.; Colla, S. T-Cell Dysfunctions in Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood 2024, 143, 1329– 1343, doi:10.1182/blood.2023023166.
- Maggi, E.; Munari, E.; Landolina, N.; Mariotti, F.R.; Azzarone, B.; Moretta, L. T Cell Landscape in the Microenvironment of Human Solid Tumors. Immunol. Lett. 2024, 270, 106942, doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2024.106942.
- 3. Bruni, D.; Angell, H.K.; Galon, J. The Immune Contexture and Immunoscore in Cancer Prognosis and Therapeutic Efficacy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2020, 20, 662–680, doi:10.1038/s41568-020-0285-7.
- 4. Swann, J.B.; Smyth, M.J. Immune Surveillance of Tumors. J. Clin. Invest. 2007, 117, 1137–1146, doi:10.1172/JCI31405.
- 5. Zhang, Z.; Lu, M.; Qin, Y.; Gao, W.; Tao, L.; Su, W.; Zhong, J. Neoantigen: A New Breakthrough in Tumor Immunotherapy. Front. Immunol. 2021, 12, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.672356.
- 6. Bao, R.; Stapor, D.; Luke, J.J. Molecular Correlates and Therapeutic Targets in T Cell-Inflamed versus Non-T Cell-Inflamed Tumors across Cancer Types. Genome Med. 2020, 12, 90, doi:10.1186/s13073-020-00787-6.
- 7. Cristescu, R.; Mogg, R.; Ayers, M.; Albright, A.; Murphy, E.; Yearley, J.; Sher, X.; Liu, X.Q.; Lu, H.; Nebozhyn, M.; et al. Pan-Tumor Genomic Biomarkers for PD-1 Checkpoint Blockade–Based Immunotherapy. Science 2018, 362, eaar3593, doi:10.1126/science.aar3593.
- 8. Trujillo, J.A.; Sweis, R.F.; Bao, R.; Luke, J.J. T Cell–Inflamed versus Non-T Cell–Inflamed Tumors: A Conceptual Framework for Cancer Immunotherapy Drug Development and Combination Therapy Selection. Cancer Immunol. Res. 2018, 6, 990–1000, doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0277.
- 9. Ayers, M.; Lunceford, J.; Nebozhyn, M.; Murphy, E.; Loboda, A.; Kaufman, D.R.; Albright, A.; Cheng, J.D.; Kang, S.P.; Shankaran, V.; et al. IFN-γ-Related mRNA Profile Predicts Clinical Response to PD-1 Blockade. J. Clin. Invest. 2017, 127, 2930–2940, doi:10.1172/JCI91190.
- 10. Ott, P.A.; Bang, Y.-J.; Piha-Paul, S.A.; Razak, A.R.A.; Bennouna, J.; Soria, J.-C.; Rugo, H.S.; Cohen, R.B.; O'Neil, B.H.; Mehnert, J.M.; et al. T-Cell-Inflamed Gene-Expression Profile, Programmed Death Ligand 1 Expression, and Tumor Mutational Burden Predict Efficacy in Patients Treated With Pembrolizumab Across 20 Cancers: KEYNOTE-028. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 37, 318–327, doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.78.2276.
- 11. Woo, S.-R.; Corrales, L.; Gajewski, T.F. The STING Pathway and the T Cell-Inflamed Tumor Microenvironment. Trends Immunol. 2015, 36, 250–256, doi:10.1016/j.it.2015.02.003.
- 12. Garris, C.S.; Luke, J.J. Dendritic Cells, the T-Cell-Inflamed Tumor Microenvironment, and Immunotherapy Treatment Response. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2020, 26, 3901–3907, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1321.
- 13. Lao, L.; Zeng, W.; Huang, P.; Chen, H.; Jia, Z.; Wang, P.; Huang, D.; Chen, J.; Nie, Y.; Yang, L.; et al. CD8+ T Cell–Dependent Remodeling of the Tumor Microenvironment Overcomes Chemoresistance. Cancer Immunol. Res. 2023, 11, 320–338, doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-22-0356.
- 14. Pardoll, D.M. The Blockade of Immune Checkpoints in Cancer Immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2012, 12, 252–264, doi:10.1038/nrc3239.
- 15. Raskov, H.; Orhan, A.; Christensen, J.P.; Gögenur, I. Cytotoxic CD8+ T Cells in Cancer and Cancer Immunotherapy. Br. J. Cancer 2021, 124, 359–367, doi:10.1038/s41416-020-01048-4.

- 16. Barakos, G.P.; Hatzimichael, E. Microenvironmental Features Driving Immune Evasion in Myelodysplastic Syndromes and Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Diseases 2022, 10, 33, doi:10.3390/diseases10020033.
- 17. Goldmann, O.; Nwofor, O.V.; Chen, Q.; Medina, E. Mechanisms Underlying Immunosuppression by Regulatory Cells. Front. Immunol. 2024, 15, 1328193, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2024.1328193.
- 18. Scharping, N.E.; Menk, A.V.; Moreci, R.S.; Whetstone, R.D.; Dadey, R.E.; Watkins, S.C.; Ferris, R.L.; Delgoffe, G.M. The Tumor Microenvironment Represses T Cell Mitochondrial Biogenesis to Drive Intratumoral T Cell Metabolic Insufficiency and Dysfunction. Immunity 2016, 45, 374–388, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2016.07.009.
- 19. Callahan, M.K.; Postow, M.A.; Wolchok, J.D. Targeting T Cell Co-Receptors for Cancer Therapy. Immunity 2016, 44, 1069–1078, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2016.04.023.
- 20. Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Z. The History and Advances in Cancer Immunotherapy: Understanding the Characteristics of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells and Their Therapeutic Implications. Cell. Mol. Immunol. 2020, 17, 807–821, doi:10.1038/s41423-020-0488-6.
- 21. Peng, L.; Sferruzza, G.; Yang, L.; Zhou, L.; Chen, S. CAR-T and CAR-NK as Cellular Cancer Immunotherapy for Solid Tumors. Cell. Mol. Immunol. 2024, 21, 1089–1108, doi:10.1038/s41423-024-01207-0
- 22. Robert, C.; Schachter, J.; Long, G.V.; Arance, A.; Grob, J.J.; Mortier, L.; Daud, A.; Carlino, M.S.; McNeil, C.; Lotem, M.; et al. Pembrolizumab versus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015, 372, 2521–2532, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1503093.
- 23. Robert, C.; Ribas, A.; Hamid, O.; Daud, A.; Wolchok, J.D.; Joshua, A.M.; Hwu, W.-J.; Weber, J.S.; Gangadhar, T.C.; Joseph, R.W.; et al. Durable Complete Response After Discontinuation of Pembrolizumab in Patients With Metastatic Melanoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 1668–1674, doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.75.6270.
- 24. Weber, J.S.; O'Day, S.; Urba, W.; Powderly, J.; Nichol, G.; Yellin, M.; Snively, J.; Hersh, E. Phase I/II Study of Ipilimumab for Patients With Metastatic Melanoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2008, 26, 5950–5956, doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.16.1927.
- 25. Brown, L.J.; Weppler, A.; Bhave, P.; Allayous, C.; Patrinely, J.R.; Ott, P.A.; Sandhu, S.K.; Haydon, A.M.; Lebbe, C.; Johnson, D.B.; et al. Combination Anti-PD-1 and Ipilimumab (Ipi) Therapy in Patients with Advanced Melanoma and Pre-Existing Autoimmune Disorders (AD). J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 38, 10026–10026, doi:10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15\_suppl.10026.
- 26. Larkin, J.; Chiarion-Sileni, V.; Gonzalez, R.; Grob, J.J.; Cowey, C.L.; Lao, C.D.; Schadendorf, D.; Dummer, R.; Smylie, M.; Rutkowski, P.; et al. Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015, 373, 23–34, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504030.
- 27. Cheng, Y.; Fan, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Huang, D.; Li, X.; Zhang, P.; Kang, M.; Yang, N.; Zhong, D.; Wang, Z.; et al. Tislelizumab Plus Platinum and Etoposide Versus Placebo Plus Platinum and Etoposide as First-Line Treatment for Extensive-Stage SCLC (RATIONALE-312): A Multicenter, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized, Phase 3 Clinical Trial. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2024, 19, 1073–1085, doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2024.03.008.
- 28. \* Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382, 1894–1905, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1915745.
- 29. Mittendorf, E.A.; Zhang, H.; Barrios, C.H.; Saji, S.; Jung, K.H.; Hegg, R.; Koehler, A.; Sohn, J.; Iwata, H.; Telli, M.L.; et al. Neoadjuvant Atezolizumab in Combination with Sequential Nab-Paclitaxel and Anthracycline-Based Chemotherapy versus Placebo and Chemotherapy in Patients with Early-Stage Triple-Negative Breast Cancer (IMpassion031): A Randomised, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial. Lancet Lond. Engl. 2020, 396, 1090–1100, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31953-X.
- 30. Ribas, A.; Wolchok, J.D. Cancer Immunotherapy Using Checkpoint Blockade. Science 2018, 359, 1350–1355, doi:10.1126/science.aar4060.
- 31. Topalian, S.L.; Taube, J.M.; Anders, R.A.; Pardoll, D.M. Mechanism-Driven Biomarkers to Guide Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Cancer Therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2016, 16, 275–287, doi:10.1038/nrc.2016.36.

- 32. Khoury, J.D.; Solary, E.; Abla, O.; Akkari, Y.; Alaggio, R.; Apperley, J.F.; Bejar, R.; Berti, E.; Busque, L.; Chan, J.K.C.; et al. The 5th Edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. Leukemia 2022, 36, 1703–1719, doi:10.1038/s41375-022-01613-1.
- 33. Chen, J.; Kao, Y.-R.; Sun, D.; Todorova, T.I.; Reynolds, D.; Narayanagari, S.-R.; Montagna, C.; Will, B.; Verma, A.; Steidl, U. Myelodysplastic Syndrome Progression to Acute Myeloid Leukemia at the Stem Cell Level. Nat. Med. 2019, 25, 103–110, doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0267-4.
- 34. Cazzola, M. Myelodysplastic Syndromes. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 383, 1358–1374, doi:10.1056/NEJMra1904794.
- 35. Döhner, H.; Weisdorf, D.J.; Bloomfield, C.D. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015, 373, 1136–1152, doi:10.1056/NEJMra1406184.
- 36. Hosono, N. Genetic Abnormalities and Pathophysiology of MDS. Int. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 24, 885–892, doi:10.1007/s10147-019-01462-6.
- 37. Walter, M.J.; Shen, D.; Ding, L.; Shao, J.; Koboldt, D.C.; Chen, K.; Larson, D.E.; McLellan, M.D.; Dooling, D.; Abbott, R.; et al. Clonal Architecture of Secondary Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2012, 366, 1090–1098, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1106968.
- 38. Woll, P.S.; Kjällquist, U.; Chowdhury, O.; Doolittle, H.; Wedge, D.C.; Thongjuea, S.; Erlandsson, R.; Ngara, M.; Anderson, K.; Deng, Q.; et al. Myelodysplastic Syndromes Are Propagated by Rare and Distinct Human Cancer Stem Cells In Vivo. Cancer Cell 2014, 25, 794–808, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.03.036.
- 39. Menssen, A.J.; Walter, M.J. Genetics of Progression from MDS to Secondary Leukemia. Blood 2020, 136, 50–60, doi:10.1182/blood.2019000942.
- 40. Platzbecker, U. Treatment of MDS. Blood 2019, 133, 1096-1107, doi:10.1182/blood-2018-10-844696.
- 41. Döhner, H.; Wei, A.H.; Appelbaum, F.R.; Craddock, C.; DiNardo, C.D.; Dombret, H.; Ebert, B.L.; Fenaux, P.; Godley, L.A.; Hasserjian, R.P.; et al. Diagnosis and Management of AML in Adults: 2022 Recommendations from an International Expert Panel on Behalf of the ELN. Blood 2022, 140, 1345–1377, doi:10.1182/blood.2022016867.
- 42. Greenberg, P.L.; Tuechler, H.; Schanz, J.; Sanz, G.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Solé, F.; Bennett, J.M.; Bowen, D.; Fenaux, P.; Dreyfus, F.; et al. Revised International Prognostic Scoring System for Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood 2012, 120, 2454–2465, doi:10.1182/blood-2012-03-420489.
- 43. Fenaux, P.; Santini, V.; Spiriti, M.A.A.; Giagounidis, A.; Schlag, R.; Radinoff, A.; Gercheva-Kyuchukova, L.; Anagnostopoulos, A.; Oliva, E.N.; Symeonidis, A.; et al. A Phase 3 Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study Assessing the Efficacy and Safety of Epoetin-α in Anemic Patients with Low-Risk MDS. Leukemia 2018, 32, 2648–2658, doi:10.1038/s41375-018-0118-9.
- 44. Fenaux, P.; Platzbecker, U.; Mufti, G.J.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Buckstein, R.; Santini, V.; Díez-Campelo, M.; Finelli, C.; Cazzola, M.; Ilhan, O.; et al. Luspatercept in Patients with Lower-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 382, 140–151, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1908892.
- 45. Sekeres, M.A.; Cutler, C. How We Treat Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood 2014, 123, 829–836, doi:10.1182/blood-2013-08-496935.
- 46. Platzbecker, U.; Della Porta, M.G.; Santini, V.; Zeidan, A.M.; Komrokji, R.S.; Shortt, J.; Valcarcel, D.; Jonasova, A.; Dimicoli-Salazar, S.; Tiong, I.S.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of Luspatercept versus Epoetin Alfa in Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent-Naive, Transfusion-Dependent, Lower-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes (COMMANDS): Interim Analysis of a Phase 3, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial. Lancet Lond. Engl. 2023, 402, 373–385, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00874-7.
- 47. Brunner, A.M.; Leitch, H.A.; van de Loosdrecht, A.A.; Bonadies, N. Management of Patients with Lower-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood Cancer J. 2022, 12, 166, doi:10.1038/s41408-022-00765-8.
- 48. Garcia-Manero, G. Myelodysplastic Syndromes: 2023 Update on Diagnosis, Risk-Stratification, and Management. Am. J. Hematol. 2023, 98, 1307–1325, doi:10.1002/ajh.26984.
- 49. Dombret, H.; Gardin, C. An Update of Current Treatments for Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Blood 2016, 127, 53–61, doi:10.1182/blood-2015-08-604520.

- 50. Balderman, S.R.; Li, A.J.; Hoffman, C.M.; Frisch, B.J.; Goodman, A.N.; LaMere, M.W.; Georger, M.A.; Evans, A.G.; Liesveld, J.L.; Becker, M.W.; et al. Targeting of the Bone Marrow Microenvironment Improves Outcome in a Murine Model of Myelodysplastic Syndrome. Blood 2016, 127, 616–625, doi:10.1182/blood-2015-06-653113.
- 51. Lamble, A.J.; Kosaka, Y.; Laderas, T.; Maffit, A.; Kaempf, A.; Brady, L.K.; Wang, W.; Long, N.; Saultz, J.N.; Mori, M.; et al. Reversible Suppression of T Cell Function in the Bone Marrow Microenvironment of Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2020, 117, 14331–14341, doi:10.1073/pnas.1916206117.
- 52. Vegivinti, C.T.R.; Keesari, P.R.; Veeraballi, S.; Martins Maia, C.M.P.; Mehta, A.K.; Lavu, R.R.; Thakur, R.K.; Tella, S.H.; Patel, R.; Kakumani, V.K.; et al. Role of Innate Immunological/Inflammatory Pathways in Myelodysplastic Syndromes and AML: A Narrative Review. Exp. Hematol. Oncol. 2023, 12, 60, doi:10.1186/s40164-023-00422-1.
- 53. Buggins, A.G.; Milojkovic, D.; Arno, M.J.; Lea, N.C.; Mufti, G.J.; Thomas, N.S.; Hirst, W.J. Microenvironment Produced by Acute Myeloid Leukemia Cells Prevents T Cell Activation and Proliferation by Inhibition of NF-kappaB, c-Myc, and pRb Pathways. J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950 2001, 167, 6021–6030, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.167.10.6021.
- 54. Barreyro, L.; Chlon, T.M.; Starczynowski, D.T. Chronic Immune Response Dysregulation in MDS Pathogenesis. Blood 2018, 132, 1553–1560, doi:10.1182/blood-2018-03-784116.
- 55. Wang, C.; Yang, Y.; Gao, S.; Chen, J.; Yu, J.; Zhang, H.; Li, M.; Zhan, X.; Li, W. Immune Dysregulation in Myelodysplastic Syndrome: Clinical Features, Pathogenesis and Therapeutic Strategies. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 2018, 122, 123–132, doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2017.12.013.
- 56. Taghiloo, S.; Asgarian-Omran, H. Immune Evasion Mechanisms in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Focus on Immune Checkpoint Pathways. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 2021, 157, 103164, doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2020.103164.
- 57. Orleans-Lindsay, J.K.; Barber, L.D.; Prentice, H.G.; Lowdell, M.W. Acute Myeloid Leukaemia Cells Secrete a Soluble Factor That Inhibits T and NK Cell Proliferation but Not Cytolytic Function Implications for the Adoptive Immunotherapy of Leukaemia. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 2001, 126, 403–411, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2249.2001.01692.x.
- 58. Binder, S.; Luciano, M.; Horejs-Hoeck, J. The Cytokine Network in Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML): A Focus on pro- and Anti-Inflammatory Mediators. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2018, 43, 8–15, doi:10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.08.004.
- 59. Geyh, S.; Rodríguez-Paredes, M.; Jäger, P.; Koch, A.; Bormann, F.; Gutekunst, J.; Zilkens, C.; Germing, U.; Kobbe, G.; Lyko, F.; et al. Transforming Growth Factor B1-Mediated Functional Inhibition of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Myelodysplastic Syndromes and Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Haematologica 2018, 103, 1462–1471, doi:10.3324/haematol.2017.186734.
- 60. Batlle, E.; Massagué, J. Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling in Immunity and Cancer. Immunity 2019, 50, 924–940, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.024.
- 61. Wang, C.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zheng, L.; Liu, Y.; Yan, A.; Zhang, Y.; Chang, Q.; Sha, S.; Xu, Z. Comprehensive Characterization of TGFB1 across Hematological Malignancies. Sci. Rep. 2023, 13, 19107, doi:10.1038/s41598-023-46552-8.
- 62. Lv, M.; Wang, K.; Huang, X. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Hematological Malignancies: Friends or Foes. J. Hematol. Oncol. J Hematol Oncol 2019, 12, 105, doi:10.1186/s13045-019-0797-3.
- 63. Togashi, Y.; Shitara, K.; Nishikawa, H. Regulatory T Cells in Cancer Immunosuppression Implications for Anticancer Therapy. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 16, 356–371, doi:10.1038/s41571-019-0175-7.
- 64. Ustun, C.; Miller, J.S.; Munn, D.H.; Weisdorf, D.J.; Blazar, B.R. Regulatory T Cells in Acute Myelogenous Leukemia: Is It Time for Immunomodulation? Blood 2011, 118, 5084–5095, doi:10.1182/blood-2011-07-365817.
- 65. Geffner, L.; Basile, J.I.; Yokobori, N.; Sabio y García, C.; Musella, R.; Castagnino, J.; Sasiain, M.C.; de la Barrera, S. CD4+CD25highforkhead Box Protein 3+ Regulatory T Lymphocytes Suppress Interferon-γ and CD107 Expression in CD4+ and CD8+ T Cells from Tuberculous Pleural Effusions. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 2014, 175, 235–245, doi:10.1111/cei.12227.

- 66. Fu, J.; Xu, D.; Liu, Z.; Shi, M.; Zhao, P.; Fu, B.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, C.; et al. Increased Regulatory T Cells Correlate With CD8 T-Cell Impairment and Poor Survival in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. Gastroenterology 2007, 132, 2328–2339, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2007.03.102.
- 67. Hamdi, W.; Ogawara, H.; Handa, H.; Tsukamoto, N.; Nojima, Y.; Murakami, H. Clinical Significance of Regulatory T Cells in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndrome. Eur. J. Haematol. 2009, 82, 201–207, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0609.2008.01182.x.
- 68. Kordasti, S.Y.; Ingram, W.; Hayden, J.; Darling, D.; Barber, L.; Afzali, B.; Lombardi, G.; Wlodarski, M.W.; Maciejewski, J.P.; Farzaneh, F.; et al. CD4+CD25high Foxp3+ Regulatory T Cells in Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS). Blood 2007, 110, 847–850, doi:10.1182/blood-2007-01-067546.
- 69. Alfinito, F.; Sica, M.; Luciano, L.; Della Pepa, R.; Palladino, C.; Ferrara, I.; Giani, U.; Ruggiero, G.; Terrazzano, G. Immune Dysregulation and Dyserythropoiesis in the Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Br. J. Haematol. 2010, 148, 90–98, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2009.07921.x.
- 70. Kotsianidis, I.; Bouchliou, I.; Nakou, E.; Spanoudakis, E.; Margaritis, D.; Christophoridou, A.V.; Anastasiades, A.; Tsigalou, C.; Bourikas, G.; Karadimitris, A.; et al. Kinetics, Function and Bone Marrow Trafficking of CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ Regulatory T Cells in Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS). Leukemia 2009, 23, 510–518, doi:10.1038/leu.2008.333.
- Szczepanski, M.J.; Szajnik, M.; Czystowska, M.; Mandapathil, M.; Strauss, L.; Welsh, A.; Foon, K.A.; Whiteside, T.L.; Boyiadzis, M. Increased Frequency and Suppression by Regulatory T Cells in Patients with Acute Myelogenous Leukemia. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2009, 15, 3325–3332, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-3010.
- 72. Wang, X.; Zheng, J.; Liu, J.; Yao, J.; He, Y.; Li, X.; Yu, J.; Yang, J.; Liu, Z.; Huang, S. Increased Population of CD4(+)CD25(High), Regulatory T Cells with Their Higher Apoptotic and Proliferating Status in Peripheral Blood of Acute Myeloid Leukemia Patients. Eur. J. Haematol. 2005, 75, 468–476, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0609.2005.00537.x.
- 73. Shenghui, Z.; Yixiang, H.; Jianbo, W.; Kang, Y.; Laixi, B.; Yan, Z.; Xi, X. Elevated Frequencies of CD4+ CD25+ CD127lo Regulatory T Cells Is Associated to Poor Prognosis in Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Int. J. Cancer 2011, 129, 1373–1381, doi:10.1002/ijc.25791.
- 74. Williams, P.; Basu, S.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Hourigan, C.S.; Oetjen, K.A.; Cortes, J.E.; Ravandi, F.; Jabbour, E.J.; Al-Hamal, Z.; Konopleva, M.; et al. The Distribution of T-Cell Subsets and the Expression of Immune Checkpoint Receptors and Ligands in Patients with Newly Diagnosed and Relapsed Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Cancer 2019, 125, 1470–1481, doi:10.1002/cncr.31896.
- 75. Penter, L.; Liu, Y.; Wolff, J.O.; Yang, L.; Taing, L.; Jhaveri, A.; Southard, J.; Patel, M.; Cullen, N.M.; Pfaff, K.L.; et al. Mechanisms of Response and Resistance to Combined Decitabine and Ipilimumab for Advanced Myeloid Disease. Blood 2023, 141, 1817–1830, doi:10.1182/blood.2022018246.
- 76. van Galen, P.; Hovestadt, V.; Wadsworth Ii, M.H.; Hughes, T.K.; Griffin, G.K.; Battaglia, S.; Verga, J.A.; Stephansky, J.; Pastika, T.J.; Lombardi Story, J.; et al. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals AML Hierarchies Relevant to Disease Progression and Immunity. Cell 2019, 176, 1265-1281.e24, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.031.
- 77. Antony, P.A.; Piccirillo, C.A.; Akpinarli, A.; Finkelstein, S.E.; Speiss, P.J.; Surman, D.R.; Palmer, D.C.; Chan, C.-C.; Klebanoff, C.A.; Overwijk, W.W.; et al. CD8+ T Cell Immunity against a Tumor/Self-Antigen Is Augmented by CD4+ T Helper Cells and Hindered by Naturally Occurring T Regulatory Cells. J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950 2005, 174, 2591–2601, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.174.5.2591.
- 78. Zhou, Q.; Munger, M.E.; Highfill, S.L.; Tolar, J.; Weigel, B.J.; Riddle, M.; Sharpe, A.H.; Vallera, D.A.; Azuma, M.; Levine, B.L.; et al. Program Death-1 Signaling and Regulatory T Cells Collaborate to Resist the Function of Adoptively Transferred Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes in Advanced Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Blood 2010, 116, 2484–2493, doi:10.1182/blood-2010-03-275446.
- 79. Giovazzino, A.; Leone, S.; Rubino, V.; Palatucci, A.T.; Cerciello, G.; Alfinito, F.; Pane, F.; Ruggiero, G.; Terrazzano, G. Reduced Regulatory T Cells (Treg) in Bone Marrow Preferentially Associate with the Expansion of Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes in Low Risk MDS Patients. Br. J. Haematol. 2019, 185, 357–360, doi:10.1111/bjh.15496.

- 80. Li, K.; Shi, H.; Zhang, B.; Ou, X.; Ma, Q.; Chen, Y.; Shu, P.; Li, D.; Wang, Y. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells as Immunosuppressive Regulators and Therapeutic Targets in Cancer. Signal Transduct. Target. Ther. 2021, 6, 362, doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00670-9.
- 81. Groth, C.; Hu, X.; Weber, R.; Fleming, V.; Altevogt, P.; Utikal, J.; Umansky, V. Immunosuppression Mediated by Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells (MDSCs) during Tumour Progression. Br. J. Cancer 2019, 120, 16–25, doi:10.1038/s41416-018-0333-1.
- 82. Chen, X.; Eksioglu, E.A.; Zhou, J.; Zhang, L.; Djeu, J.; Fortenbery, N.; Epling-Burnette, P.; Van Bijnen, S.; Dolstra, H.; Cannon, J.; et al. Induction of Myelodysplasia by Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells. J. Clin. Invest. 2013, 123, 4595–4611, doi:10.1172/JCI67580.
- 83. Qi, X.; Jiang, H.; Liu, P.; Xie, N.; Fu, R.; Wang, H.; Liu, C.; Zhang, T.; Wang, H.; Shao, Z. Increased Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes Suppress CD8+ T Lymphocyte Function through the STAT3-ARG1 Pathway. Leuk. Lymphoma 2021, 62, 218–223, doi:10.1080/10428194.2020.1817431.
- 84. Wang, H.; Tao, Q.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Xiao, H.; Zhou, M.; Dong, Y.; Zhai, Z. Circulating Monocytic Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells Are Elevated and Associated with Poor Prognosis in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J. Immunol. Res. 2020, 2020, 7363084, doi:10.1155/2020/7363084.
- 85. Wang, L.; Jia, B.; Claxton, D.F.; Ehmann, W.C.; Rybka, W.B.; Mineishi, S.; Naik, S.; Khawaja, M.R.; Sivik, J.; Han, J.; et al. VISTA Is Highly Expressed on MDSCs and Mediates an Inhibition of T Cell Response in Patients with AML. Oncoimmunology 2018, 7, e1469594, doi:10.1080/2162402X.2018.1469594.
- 86. Forghani, P.; Harris, W.; Li, jian-M.; Khorramizadeh, M.R.; Waller, E. CD11b+gr-1+ Myeloid Derived Suppressor Cells (MDSC) In Normal Bone Marrow Suppress T Cell Proliferation and Enhance T-Regulatory Function Via a IL10-, IL4- and IDO-Independent Mechanism. Blood 2010, 116, 4801, doi:10.1182/blood.V116.21.4801.4801.
- 87. Kittang, A.O.; Kordasti, S.; Sand, K.E.; Costantini, B.; Kramer, A.M.; Perezabellan, P.; Seidl, T.; Rye, K.P.; Hagen, K.M.; Kulasekararaj, A.; et al. Expansion of Myeloid Derived Suppressor Cells Correlates with Number of T Regulatory Cells and Disease Progression in Myelodysplastic Syndrome. Oncoimmunology 2016, 5, e1062208, doi:10.1080/2162402X.2015.1062208.
- 88. Arber, D.A.; Orazi, A.; Hasserjian, R.P.; Borowitz, M.J.; Calvo, K.R.; Kvasnicka, H.-M.; Wang, S.A.; Bagg, A.; Barbui, T.; Branford, S.; et al. International Consensus Classification of Myeloid Neoplasms and Acute Leukemias: Integrating Morphologic, Clinical, and Genomic Data. Blood 2022, 140, 1200–1228, doi:10.1182/blood.2022015850.
- 89. Spillane, D.R.; Assouline, S. Immunotherapy for Myelodysplastic Syndrome and Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Where Do We Stand? Expert Rev. Hematol. 2023, 16, 819–834, doi:10.1080/17474086.2023.2268273.
- 90. Shallis, R.M.; Bewersdorf, J.P.; Gowda, L.; Podoltsev, N.A.; Prebet, T.; Gore, S.D.; Halene, S.; Isufi, I.; Foss, F.M.; Huntington, S.F.; et al. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes: A Single-Center Experience. Blood 2019, 134, 1330, doi:10.1182/blood-2019-127422.
- 91. Daver, N.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Basu, S.; Boddu, P.C.; Alfayez, M.; Cortes, J.E.; Konopleva, M.; Ravandi-Kashani, F.; Jabbour, E.; Kadia, T.; et al. Efficacy, Safety, and Biomarkers of Response to Azacitidine and Nivolumab in Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Non-Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 2 Study. Cancer Discov. 2019, 9, 370–383, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0774.
- 92. Tambaro, F.P.; Singh, H.; Jones, E.; Rytting, M.; Mahadeo, K.M.; Thompson, P.; Daver, N.; DiNardo, C.; Kadia, T.; Garcia-Manero, G.; et al. Autologous CD33-CAR-T Cells for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myelogenous Leukemia. Leukemia 2021, 35, 3282–3286, doi:10.1038/s41375-021-01232-2.
- 93. Zeidner, J.F.; Vincent, B.G.; Ivanova, A.; Moore, D.; McKinnon, K.P.; Wilkinson, A.D.; Mukhopadhyay, R.; Mazziotta, F.; Knaus, H.A.; Foster, M.C.; et al. Phase II Trial of Pembrolizumab after High-Dose Cytarabine in Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Blood Cancer Discov. 2021, 2, 616–629, doi:10.1158/2643-3230.BCD-21-0070.

- 94. Bouligny, I.M.; Montalban-Bravo, G.; Sasaki, K.; Daver, N.; Jabbour, E.; Alvarado, Y.; DiNardo, C.D.; Ravandi, F.; Borthakur, G.; Pemmaraju, N.; et al. A Phase II Trial of Ipilimumab, Nivolumab, or Ipilimumab and Nivolumab with or without Azacitidine in Relapsed or Refractory Myelodysplastic Neoplasms. Leukemia 2024, 1–5, doi:10.1038/s41375-024-02457-7.
- 95. Garcia-Manero, G.; Tallman, M.S.; Martinelli, G.; Ribrag, V.; Yang, H.; Balakumaran, A.; Chlosta, S.; Zhang, Y.; Smith, B.D. Pembrolizumab, a PD-1 Inhibitor, in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS) after Failure of Hypomethylating Agent Treatment. Blood 2016, 128, 345, doi:10.1182/blood.V128.22.345.345.
- 96. Zeidan, A.M.; Knaus, H.A.; Robinson, T.M.; Towlerton, A.M.H.; Warren, E.H.; Zeidner, J.F.; Blackford, A.L.; Duffield, A.S.; Rizzieri, D.; Frattini, M.G.; et al. A Multi-Center Phase I Trial of Ipilimumab in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes Following Hypomethylating Agent Failure. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2018, 24, 3519–3527, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3763.
- 97. Sallman, D.A.; Al Malki, M.M.; Asch, A.S.; Wang, E.S.; Jurcic, J.G.; Bradley, T.J.; Flinn, I.W.; Pollyea, D.A.; Kambhampati, S.; Tanaka, T.N.; et al. Magrolimab in Combination With Azacitidine in Patients With Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Final Results of a Phase Ib Study. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 2023, 41, 2815–2826, doi:10.1200/JCO.22.01794.
- 98. Zeidan, A.M.; Boss, I.; Beach, C.L.; Copeland, W.B.; Thompson, E.; Fox, B.A.; Hasle, V.E.; Ogasawara, K.; Cavenagh, J.; Silverman, L.R.; et al. A Randomized Phase 2 Trial of Azacitidine with or without Durvalumab as First-Line Therapy for Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood Adv. 2022, 6, 2207–2218, doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2021005487.
- 99. Davids, M.S.; Kim, H.T.; Bachireddy, P.; Costello, C.; Liguori, R.; Savell, A.; Lukez, A.P.; Avigan, D.; Chen, Y.-B.; McSweeney, P.; et al. Ipilimumab for Patients with Relapse after Allogeneic Transplantation. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 375, 143–153, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1601202.
- 100. Brunner, A.M.; Esteve, J.; Porkka, K.; Knapper, S.; Traer, E.; Scholl, S.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Vey, N.; Wermke, M.; Janssen, J.J.W.M.; et al. Phase Ib Study of Sabatolimab (MBG453), a Novel Immunotherapy Targeting TIM-3 Antibody, in Combination with Decitabine or Azacitidine in High- or Very High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Am. J. Hematol. 2024, 99, E32–E36, doi:10.1002/ajh.27161.
- 101. Chien, K.S.; Kim, K.; Nogueras-Gonzalez, G.M.; Borthakur, G.; Naqvi, K.; Daver, N.G.; Montalban-Bravo, G.; Cortes, J.E.; DiNardo, C.D.; Jabbour, E.; et al. Phase II Study of Azacitidine with Pembrolizumab in Patients with Intermediate-1 or Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndrome. Br. J. Haematol. 2021, 195, 378–387, doi:10.1111/bjh.17689.
- 102. Garcia-Manero, G.; Ribrag, V.; Zhang, Y.; Farooqui, M.; Marinello, P.; Smith, B.D. Pembrolizumab for Myelodysplastic Syndromes after Failure of Hypomethylating Agents in the Phase 1b KEYNOTE-013 Study. Leuk. Lymphoma 2022, 63, 1660–1668, doi:10.1080/10428194.2022.2034155.
- 103. Szabo, P.A.; Levitin, H.M.; Miron, M.; Snyder, M.E.; Senda, T.; Yuan, J.; Cheng, Y.L.; Bush, E.C.; Dogra, P.; Thapa, P.; et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomics of Human T Cells Reveals Tissue and Activation Signatures in Health and Disease. Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 4706, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12464-3.
- 104. Philip, M.; Schietinger, A. CD8+ T Cell Differentiation and Dysfunction in Cancer. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2022, 22, 209–223, doi:10.1038/s41577-021-00574-3.
- 105. Kornblau, S.M.; McCue, D.; Singh, N.; Chen, W.; Estrov, Z.; Coombes, K.R. Recurrent Expression Signatures of Cytokines and Chemokines Are Present and Are Independently Prognostic in Acute Myelogenous Leukemia and Myelodysplasia. Blood 2010, 116, 4251–4261, doi:10.1182/blood-2010-01-262071.
- 106. Sand, K.E.; Rye, K.P.; Mannsåker, B.; Bruserud, O.; Kittang, A.O. Expression Patterns of Chemokine Receptors on Circulating T Cells from Myelodysplastic Syndrome Patients. Oncoimmunology 2013, 2, e23138, doi:10.4161/onci.23138.
- 107. Tao, J.; Li, L.; Wang, Y.; Fu, R.; Wang, H.; Shao, Z. Increased TIM3+CD8+T Cells in Myelodysplastic Syndrome Patients Displayed Less Perforin and Granzyme B Secretion and Higher CD95 Expression. Leuk. Res. 2016, 51, 49–55, doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2016.11.003.
- 108. Zhang, L.; Gajewski, T.F.; Kline, J. PD-1/PD-L1 Interactions Inhibit Antitumor Immune Responses in a Murine Acute Myeloid Leukemia Model. Blood 2009, 114, 1545–1552, doi:10.1182/blood-2009-03-206672.

- 109. Zhou, Q.; Munger, M.E.; Veenstra, R.G.; Weigel, B.J.; Hirashima, M.; Munn, D.H.; Murphy, W.J.; Azuma, M.; Anderson, A.C.; Kuchroo, V.K.; et al. Coexpression of Tim-3 and PD-1 Identifies a CD8+ T-Cell Exhaustion Phenotype in Mice with Disseminated Acute Myelogenous Leukemia. Blood 2011, 117, 4501–4510, doi:10.1182/blood-2010-10-310425.
- 110. Kong, Y.; Zhang, J.; Claxton, D.F.; Ehmann, W.C.; Rybka, W.B.; Zhu, L.; Zeng, H.; Schell, T.D.; Zheng, H. PD-1hiTIM-3+ T Cells Associate with and Predict Leukemia Relapse in AML Patients Post Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation. Blood Cancer J. 2015, 5, e330–e330, doi:10.1038/bcj.2015.58.
- 111. Jiang, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhu, B. T-Cell Exhaustion in the Tumor Microenvironment. Cell Death Dis. 2015, 6, e1792–e1792, doi:10.1038/cddis.2015.162.
- 112. Huang, Y.; Jia, A.; Wang, Y.; Liu, G. CD8+ T Cell Exhaustion in Anti-Tumour Immunity: The New Insights for Cancer Immunotherapy. Immunology 2023, 168, 30–48, doi:10.1111/imm.13588.
- 113. Liu, X.; Hoft, D.F.; Peng, G. Senescent T Cells within Suppressive Tumor Microenvironments: Emerging Target for Tumor Immunotherapy. J. Clin. Invest. 130, 1073–1083, doi:10.1172/JCI133679.
- 114. Exhaustion and Senescence: Two Crucial Dysfunctional States of T Cells in the Tumor Microenvironment | Cellular & Molecular Immunology Available online: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41423-019-0344-8 (accessed on 27 July 2023).
- 115. Crespo, J.; Sun, H.; Welling, T.H.; Tian, Z.; Zou, W. T Cell Anergy, Exhaustion, Senescence, and Stemness in the Tumor Microenvironment. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 2013, 25, 214–221, doi:10.1016/j.coi.2012.12.003.
- 116. Dock, J.N.; Effros, R.B. Role of CD8 T Cell Replicative Senescence in Human Aging and in HIV-Mediated Immunosenescence. Aging Dis. 2011, 2, 382–397.
- 117. Liu, Z.; Liang, Q.; Ren, Y.; Guo, C.; Ge, X.; Wang, L.; Cheng, Q.; Luo, P.; Zhang, Y.; Han, X. Immunosenescence: Molecular Mechanisms and Diseases. Signal Transduct. Target. Ther. 2023, 8, 1–16, doi:10.1038/s41392-023-01451-2.
- 118. Vallejo, A.N. CD28 Extinction in Human T Cells: Altered Functions and the Program of T-Cell Senescence. Immunol. Rev. 2005, 205, 158–169, doi:10.1111/j.0105-2896.2005.00256.x.
- 119. Ye, J.; Huang, X.; Hsueh, E.C.; Zhang, Q.; Ma, C.; Zhang, Y.; Varvares, M.A.; Hoft, D.F.; Peng, G. Human Regulatory T Cells Induce T-Lymphocyte Senescence. Blood 2012, 120, 2021–2031, doi:10.1182/blood-2012-03-416040.
- 120. Brenchley, J.M.; Karandikar, N.J.; Betts, M.R.; Ambrozak, D.R.; Hill, B.J.; Crotty, L.E.; Casazza, J.P.; Kuruppu, J.; Migueles, S.A.; Connors, M.; et al. Expression of CD57 Defines Replicative Senescence and Antigen-Induced Apoptotic Death of CD8+ T Cells. Blood 2003, 101, 2711–2720, doi:10.1182/blood-2002-07-2103
- 121. Kook, H.; Zeng, W.; Guibin, C.; Kirby, M.; Young, N.S.; Maciejewski, J.P. Increased Cytotoxic T Cells with Effector Phenotype in Aplastic Anemia and Myelodysplasia. Exp. Hematol. 2001, 29, 1270–1277, doi:10.1016/S0301-472X(01)00736-6.
- 122. Epling-Burnette, P.K.; Painter, J.S.; Rollison, D.E.; Ku, E.; Vendron, D.; Widen, R.; Boulware, D.; Zou, J.X.; Bai, F.; List, A.F. Prevalence and Clinical Association of Clonal T-Cell Expansions in Myelodysplastic Syndrome. Leukemia 2007, 21, 659–667, doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2404590.
- 123. Knaus, H.A.; Berglund, S.; Hackl, H.; Blackford, A.L.; Zeidner, J.F.; Montiel-Esparza, R.; Mukhopadhyay, R.; Vanura, K.; Blazar, B.R.; Karp, J.E.; et al. Signatures of CD8+ T Cell Dysfunction in AML Patients and Their Reversibility with Response to Chemotherapy. JCI Insight 2018, 3, 120974, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.120974.
- 124. Tang, L.; Wu, J.; Li, C.-G.; Jiang, H.-W.; Xu, M.; Du, M.; Yin, Z.; Mei, H.; Hu, Y. Characterization of Immune Dysfunction and Identification of Prognostic Immune-Related Risk Factors in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2020, 26, 1763–1772, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3003.
- 125. Mazziotta, F.; Biavati, L.; Rimando, J.; Rutella, S.; Borcherding, N.; Parbhoo, S.; Mukhopadhyay, R.; Chowdhury, S.; Knaus, H.A.; Valent, P.; et al. CD8+ T-Cell Differentiation and Dysfunction Inform Treatment Response in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Blood 2024, 144, 1168–1182, doi:10.1182/blood.2023021680.

- 126. Mondal, A.M.; Horikawa, I.; Pine, S.R.; Fujita, K.; Morgan, K.M.; Vera, E.; Mazur, S.J.; Appella, E.; Vojtesek, B.; Blasco, M.A.; et al. P53 Isoforms Regulate Aging- and Tumor-Associated Replicative Senescence in T Lymphocytes. J. Clin. Invest. 2013, 123, 5247–5257, doi:10.1172/JCI70355.
- 127. Wherry, E.J. T Cell Exhaustion. Nat. Immunol. 2011, 12, 492–499, doi:10.1038/ni.2035.
- 128. Rutella, S.; Vadakekolathu, J.; Mazziotta, F.; Reeder, S.; Yau, T.-O.; Mukhopadhyay, R.; Dickins, B.; Altmann, H.; Kramer, M.; Knaus, H.A.; et al. Immune Dysfunction Signatures Predict Outcomes and Define Checkpoint Blockade-Unresponsive Microenvironments in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J. Clin. Invest. 2022, 132, e159579, doi:10.1172/JCI159579.
- 129. Le Dieu, R.; Taussig, D.C.; Ramsay, A.G.; Mitter, R.; Miraki-Moud, F.; Fatah, R.; Lee, A.M.; Lister, T.A.; Gribben, J.G. Peripheral Blood T Cells in Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) Patients at Diagnosis Have Abnormal Phenotype and Genotype and Form Defective Immune Synapses with AML Blasts. Blood 2009, 114, 3909–3916, doi:10.1182/blood-2009-02-206946.
- 130. Sand, K.; Theorell, J.; Bruserud, Ø.; Bryceson, Y.T.; Kittang, A.O. Reduced Potency of Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes from Patients with High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Cancer Immunol. Immunother. CII 2016, 65, 1135–1147, doi:10.1007/s00262-016-1865-y.
- 131. Abbas, H.A.; Reville, P.K.; Jiang, X.; Yang, H.; Reuben, A.; Im, J.S.; Little, L.; Sinson, J.C.; Chen, K.; Futreal, A.; et al. Response to Hypomethylating Agents in Myelodysplastic Syndrome Is Associated With Emergence of Novel TCR Clonotypes. Front. Immunol. 2021, 12, 659625, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.659625.
- 132. Kang, S.; Li, Y.; Qiao, J.; Meng, X.; He, Z.; Gao, X.; Yu, L. Antigen-Specific TCR-T Cells for Acute Myeloid Leukemia: State of the Art and Challenges. Front. Oncol. 2022, 12, 787108, doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.787108.
- 133. Abbas, H.A.; Hao, D.; Tomczak, K.; Barrodia, P.; Im, J.S.; Reville, P.K.; Alaniz, Z.; Wang, W.; Wang, R.; Wang, F.; et al. Single Cell T Cell Landscape and T Cell Receptor Repertoire Profiling of AML in Context of PD-1 Blockade Therapy. Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 6071, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26282-z.
- 134. Fozza, C.; Corda, G.; Barraqueddu, F.; Virdis, P.; Contini, S.; Galleu, A.; Isoni, A.; Dore, F.; Angelucci, E.; Longinotti, M. Azacitidine Improves the T-Cell Repertoire in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes and Acute Myeloid Leukemia with Multilineage Dysplasia. Leuk. Res. 2015, 39, 957–963, doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2015.06.007.
- 135. Naylor, K.; Li, G.; Vallejo, A.N.; Lee, W.-W.; Koetz, K.; Bryl, E.; Witkowski, J.; Fulbright, J.; Weyand, C.M.; Goronzy, J.J. The Influence of Age on T Cell Generation and TCR Diversity. J. Immunol. 2005, 174, 7446–7452, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.174.11.7446.
- 136. Shah, K.; Al-Haidari, A.; Sun, J.; Kazi, J.U. T Cell Receptor (TCR) Signaling in Health and Disease. Signal Transduct. Target. Ther. 2021, 6, 1–26, doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00823-w.
- 137. Desai, P.N.; Wang, B.; Fonseca, A.; Borges, P.; Jelloul, F.Z.; Reville, P.K.; Lee, E.; Ly, C.; Basi, A.; Root, J.; et al. Single-Cell Profiling of CD8+ T Cells in Acute Myeloid Leukemia Reveals a Continuous Spectrum of Differentiation and Clonal Hyperexpansion. Cancer Immunol. Res. 2023, 11, 1011–1028, doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-22-0961.
- 138. Fozza, C.; Contini, S.; Galleu, A.; Simula, M.P.; Virdis, P.; Bonfigli, S.; Longinotti, M. Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes Display Several T-Cell Expansions, Which Are Mostly Polyclonal in the CD4(+) Subset and Oligoclonal in the CD8(+) Subset. Exp. Hematol. 2009, 37, 947–955, doi:10.1016/j.exphem.2009.04.009.
- 139. Feng, Z.; Fang, Q.; Kuang, X.; Liu, X.; Chen, Y.; Ma, D.; Wang, J. Clonal Expansion of Bone Marrow CD8+ T Cells in Acute Myeloid Leukemia Patients at New Diagnosis and after Chemotherapy. Am. J. Cancer Res. 2020, 10, 3973–3989.
- 140. Kong, Y.; Zhu, L.; Schell, T.D.; Zhang, J.; Claxton, D.F.; Ehmann, W.C.; Rybka, W.B.; George, M.R.; Zeng, H.; Zheng, H. T-Cell Immunoglobulin and ITIM Domain (TIGIT) Associates with CD8+ T-Cell Exhaustion and Poor Clinical Outcome in AML Patients. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2016, 22, 3057–3066, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2626.
- 141. Norde, W.J.; Maas, F.; Hobo, W.; Korman, A.; Quigley, M.; Kester, M.G.D.; Hebeda, K.; Falkenburg, J.H.F.; Schaap, N.; de Witte, T.M.; et al. PD-1/PD-L1 Interactions Contribute to Functional T-Cell Impairment in Patients Who Relapse with Cancer after Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation. Cancer Res. 2011, 71, 5111–5122, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-0108.

- 142. Vadakekolathu, J.; Minden, M.D.; Hood, T.; Church, S.E.; Reeder, S.; Altmann, H.; Sullivan, A.H.; Viboch, E.J.; Patel, T.; Ibrahimova, N.; et al. Immune Landscapes Predict Chemotherapy Resistance and Immunotherapy Response in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Sci. Transl. Med. 2020, 12, eaaz0463, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz0463.
- 143. Noviello, M.; Manfredi, F.; Ruggiero, E.; Perini, T.; Oliveira, G.; Cortesi, F.; De Simone, P.; Toffalori, C.; Gambacorta, V.; Greco, R.; et al. Bone Marrow Central Memory and Memory Stem T-Cell Exhaustion in AML Patients Relapsing after HSCT. Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 1065, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08871-1.
- 144. Mathioudaki, A.; Wang, X.; Sedloev, D.; Huth, R.; Kamal, A.; Hundemer, M.; Liu, Y.; Vasileiou, S.; Lulla, P.; Müller-Tidow, C.; et al. The Remission Status of AML Patients after Allo-HCT Is Associated with a Distinct Single-Cell Bone Marrow T-Cell Signature. Blood 2024, 143, 1269–1281, doi:10.1182/blood.2023021815.
- 145. Aibar, S.; González-Blas, C.B.; Moerman, T.; Huynh-Thu, V.A.; Imrichova, H.; Hulselmans, G.; Rambow, F.; Marine, J.-C.; Geurts, P.; Aerts, J.; et al. SCENIC: Single-Cell Regulatory Network Inference and Clustering. Nat. Methods 2017, 14, 1083–1086, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4463.
- 146. Guzman, M.L.; Neering, S.J.; Upchurch, D.; Grimes, B.; Howard, D.S.; Rizzieri, D.A.; Luger, S.M.; Jordan, C.T. Nuclear Factor-kappaB Is Constitutively Activated in Primitive Human Acute Myelogenous Leukemia Cells. Blood 2001, 98, 2301–2307, doi:10.1182/blood.v98.8.2301.
- 147. Tsimberidou, A.M.; Estey, E.; Wen, S.; Pierce, S.; Kantarjian, H.; Albitar, M.; Kurzrock, R. The Prognostic Significance of Cytokine Levels in Newly Diagnosed Acute Myeloid Leukemia and High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Cancer 2008, 113, 1605–1613, doi:10.1002/cncr.23785.
- 148. Fleischman, A.G.; Aichberger, K.J.; Luty, S.B.; Bumm, T.G.; Petersen, C.L.; Doratotaj, S.; Vasudevan, K.B.; LaTocha, D.H.; Yang, F.; Press, R.D.; et al. TNFα Facilitates Clonal Expansion of JAK2V617F Positive Cells in Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. Blood 2011, 118, 6392–6398, doi:10.1182/blood-2011-04-348144.
- 149. St Paul, M.; Ohashi, P.S. The Roles of CD8+ T Cell Subsets in Antitumor Immunity. Trends Cell Biol. 2020, 30, 695–704, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2020.06.003.
- 150. Tasis, A.; Papaioannou, N.E.; Grigoriou, M.; Paschalidis, N.; Loukogiannaki, C.; Filia, A.; Katsiki, K.; Lamprianidou, E.; Papadopoulos, V.; Rimpa, C.M.; et al. Single-Cell Analysis of Bone Marrow CD8+ T Cells in Myeloid Neoplasms Reveals Pathways Associated with Disease Progression and Response to Treatment with Azacitidine. Cancer Res. Commun. 2024, 4, 3067–3083, doi:10.1158/2767-9764.CRC-24-0310.
- 151. Stomper, J.; Rotondo, J.C.; Greve, G.; Lübbert, M. Hypomethylating Agents (HMA) for the Treatment of Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Mechanisms of Resistance and Novel HMA-Based Therapies. Leukemia 2021, 35, 1873–1889, doi:10.1038/s41375-021-01218-0.
- 152. Hellström-Lindberg, E.S.; Kröger, N. Clinical Decision-Making and Treatment of Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood 2023, 142, 2268–2281, doi:10.1182/blood.2023020079.
- 153. Kaminskas, E.; Farrell, A.; Abraham, S.; Baird, A.; Hsieh, L.-S.; Lee, S.-L.; Leighton, J.K.; Patel, H.; Rahman, A.; Sridhara, R.; et al. Approval Summary: Azacitidine for Treatment of Myelodysplastic Syndrome Subtypes. Clin. Cancer Res. 2005, 11, 3604–3608, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-2135.
- 154. Fenaux, P.; Mufti, G.J.; Hellström-Lindberg, E.; Santini, V.; Gattermann, N.; Germing, U.; Sanz, G.; List, A.F.; Gore, S.; Seymour, J.F.; et al. Azacitidine Prolongs Overall Survival Compared with Conventional Care Regimens in Elderly Patients with Low Bone Marrow Blast Count Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 2010, 28, 562–569, doi:10.1200/JCO.2009.23.8329.
- 155. Fenaux, P.; Mufti, G.J.; Hellstrom-Lindberg, E.; Santini, V.; Finelli, C.; Giagounidis, A.; Schoch, R.; Gattermann, N.; Sanz, G.; List, A.; et al. Efficacy of Azacitidine Compared with That of Conventional Care Regimens in the Treatment of Higher-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes: A Randomised, Open-Label, Phase III Study. Lancet Oncol. 2009, 10, 223–232, doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70003-8.
- 156. Schuh, A.C.; Döhner, H.; Pleyer, L.; Seymour, J.F.; Fenaux, P.; Dombret, H. Azacitidine in Adult Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 2017, 116, 159–177, doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2017.05.010.
- 157. Malik, P.; Cashen, A.F. Decitabine in the Treatment of Acute Myeloid Leukemia in Elderly Patients. Cancer Manag. Res. 2014, 6, 53–61, doi:10.2147/CMAR.S40600.

- 158. Prébet, T.; Gore, S.D.; Esterni, B.; Gardin, C.; Itzykson, R.; Thepot, S.; Dreyfus, F.; Rauzy, O.B.; Recher, C.; Adès, L.; et al. Outcome of High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndrome after Azacitidine Treatment Failure. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 2011, 29, 3322–3327, doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.35.8135.
- 159. Zhao, C.; Jia, B.; Wang, M.; Schell, T.D.; Claxton, D.F.; Ehmann, W.C.; Rybka, W.B.; Mineishi, S.; Naik, S.; Songdej, N.; et al. Multi-Dimensional Analysis Identifies an Immune Signature Predicting Response to Decitabine Treatment in Elderly Patients with AML. Br. J. Haematol. 2020, 188, 674–684, doi:10.1111/bjh.16228.
- 160. Gang, A.O.; Frøsig, T.M.; Brimnes, M.K.; Lyngaa, R.; Treppendahl, M.B.; Grønbæk, K.; Dufva, I.H.; Straten, P.T.; Hadrup, S.R. 5-Azacytidine Treatment Sensitizes Tumor Cells to T-Cell Mediated Cytotoxicity and Modulates NK Cells in Patients with Myeloid Malignancies. Blood Cancer J. 2014, 4, e197, doi:10.1038/bcj.2014.14.
- 161. Lee, J.B.; Khan, D.H.; Hurren, R.; Xu, M.; Na, Y.; Kang, H.; Mirali, S.; Wang, X.; Gronda, M.; Jitkova, Y.; et al. Venetoclax Enhances T Cell-Mediated Antileukemic Activity by Increasing ROS Production. Blood 2021, 138, 234–245, doi:10.1182/blood.2020009081.
- 162. Grimm, J.; Simnica, D.; Jäkel, N.; Paschold, L.; Willscher, E.; Schulze, S.; Dierks, C.; Al-Ali, H.K.; Binder, M. Azacitidine-Induced Reconstitution of the Bone Marrow T Cell Repertoire Is Associated with Superior Survival in AML Patients. Blood Cancer J. 2022, 12, 19, doi:10.1038/s41408-022-00615-7.
- 163. Zheng, L.; Qin, S.; Si, W.; Wang, A.; Xing, B.; Gao, R.; Ren, X.; Wang, L.; Wu, X.; Zhang, J.; et al. Pan-Cancer Single-Cell Landscape of Tumor-Infiltrating T Cells. Science 2021, 374, abe6474, doi:10.1126/science.abe6474.
- 164. Dey, A.; Narayan, R.; Smith, N.; Tirard, A.; Arnold, B.; McGuire, J.; Chan, T.; Lombardi Story, J.; Casson, T.; Behnan, T.T.; et al. Single-Cell Multiomics Analysis Reveals Potential Drivers of Response to the Anti-TIM3 Inhibitor Sabatolimab Combined with Azacitidine in MDS and CMML. Blood 2023, 142, 4319, doi:10.1182/blood-2023-189858.
- 165. Ohtani, H.; Ørskov, A.D.; Helbo, A.S.; Gillberg, L.; Liu, M.; Zhou, W.; Ungerstedt, J.; Hellström-Lindberg, E.; Sun, W.; Liang, G.; et al. Activation of a Subset of Evolutionarily Young Transposable Elements and Innate Immunity Are Linked to Clinical Responses to 5-Azacytidine. Cancer Res. 2020, 80, 2441–2450, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1696.
- 166. Chiappinelli, K.B.; Strissel, P.L.; Desrichard, A.; Li, H.; Henke, C.; Akman, B.; Hein, A.; Rote, N.S.; Cope, L.M.; Snyder, A.; et al. Inhibiting DNA Methylation Causes an Interferon Response in Cancer via dsRNA Including Endogenous Retroviruses. Cell 2015, 162, 974–986, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.011.
- 167. Roulois, D.; Yau, H.L.; Singhania, R.; Wang, Y.; Danesh, A.; Shen, S.Y.; Han, H.; Liang, G.; Pugh, T.J.; Jones, P.A.; et al. DNA-Demethylating Agents Target Colorectal Cancer Cells by Inducing Viral Mimicry by Endogenous Transcripts. Cell 2015, 162, 961–973, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.056.
- 168. Thomas, D.A.; Massagué, J. TGF-Beta Directly Targets Cytotoxic T Cell Functions during Tumor Evasion of Immune Surveillance. Cancer Cell 2005, 8, 369–380, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2005.10.012.
- 169. Yang, L.; Pang, Y.; Moses, H.L. TGF-Beta and Immune Cells: An Important Regulatory Axis in the Tumor Microenvironment and Progression. Trends Immunol. 2010, 31, 220–227, doi:10.1016/j.it.2010.04.002.
- 170. Khaldoyanidi, S.; Nagorsen, D.; Stein, A.; Ossenkoppele, G.; Subklewe, M. Immune Biology of Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Implications for Immunotherapy. J. Clin. Oncol. 2021, 39, 419–432, doi:10.1200/JCO.20.00475.
- 171. Penter, L.; Zhang, Y.; Savell, A.; Huang, T.; Cieri, N.; Thrash, E.M.; Kim-Schulze, S.; Jhaveri, A.; Fu, J.; Ranasinghe, S.; et al. Molecular and Cellular Features of CTLA-4 Blockade for Relapsed Myeloid Malignancies after Transplantation. Blood 2021, 137, 3212–3217, doi:10.1182/blood.2021010867.
- 172. Root, J.L.; Desai, P.N.; Ly, C.; Wang, B.; Jelloul, F.Z.; Zhou, J.; Mackay, S.; Alfayez, M.; Matthews, J.; Pierce, S.; et al. Single-Cell CD4 and CD8 T-Cell Secretome Profiling Reveals Temporal and Niche Differences in Acute Myeloid Leukemia Following Immune Checkpoint Blockade Therapy. Cancer Res. Commun. 2024, 4, 671–681, doi:10.1158/2767-9764.CRC-23-0402.

- 173. Serrano, G.; Berastegui, N.; Díaz-Mazkiaran, A.; García-Olloqui, P.; Rodriguez-Res, C.; Huerga-Dominguez, S.; Ainciburu, M.; Vilas-Zornoza, A.; Martin-Uriz, P.S.; Aguirre-Ruiz, P.; et al. Single-Cell Transcriptional Profile of CD34+ Hematopoietic Progenitor Cells from Del(5q) Myelodysplastic Syndromes and Impact of Lenalidomide. Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 5272, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-49529-x.
- 174. Ediriwickrema, A.; Gentles, A.J.; Majeti, R. Single-Cell Genomics in AML: Extending the Frontiers of AML Research. Blood 2023, 141, 345–355, doi:10.1182/blood.2021014670.
- 175. Redavid, I.; Conserva, M.R.; Anelli, L.; Zagaria, A.; Specchia, G.; Musto, P.; Albano, F. Single-Cell Sequencing: Ariadne's Thread in the Maze of Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Diagn. Basel Switz. 2022, 12, 996, doi:10.3390/diagnostics12040996.
- 176. Beneyto-Calabuig, S.; Merbach, A.K.; Kniffka, J.-A.; Antes, M.; Szu-Tu, C.; Rohde, C.; Waclawiczek, A.; Stelmach, P.; Gräßle, S.; Pervan, P.; et al. Clonally Resolved Single-Cell Multi-Omics Identifies Routes of Cellular Differentiation in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Cell Stem Cell 2023, 30, 706-721.e8, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2023.04.001.
- 177. Naldini, M.M.; Casirati, G.; Barcella, M.; Rancoita, P.M.V.; Cosentino, A.; Caserta, C.; Pavesi, F.; Zonari, E.; Desantis, G.; Gilioli, D.; et al. Longitudinal Single-Cell Profiling of Chemotherapy Response in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Nat. Commun. 2023, 14, 1285, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-36969-0.
- 178. Fan, H.; Wang, F.; Zeng, A.; Murison, A.; Tomczak, K.; Hao, D.; Jelloul, F.Z.; Wang, B.; Barrodia, P.; Liang, S.; et al. Single-Cell Chromatin Accessibility Profiling of Acute Myeloid Leukemia Reveals Heterogeneous Lineage Composition upon Therapy-Resistance. Commun. Biol. 2023, 6, 1–13, doi:10.1038/s42003-023-05120-6.
- 179. Rodriguez-Meira, A.; Norfo, R.; Wen, S.; Chédeville, A.L.; Rahman, H.; O'Sullivan, J.; Wang, G.; Louka, E.; Kretzschmar, W.W.; Paterson, A.; et al. Single-Cell Multi-Omics Identifies Chronic Inflammation as a Driver of TP53-Mutant Leukemic Evolution. Nat. Genet. 2023, 55, 1531–1541, doi:10.1038/s41588-023-01480-1.
- 180. Leppä, A.-M.; Grimes, K.; Jeong, H.; Huang, F.Y.; Andrades, A.; Waclawiczek, A.; Boch, T.; Jauch, A.; Renders, S.; Stelmach, P.; et al. Single-Cell Multiomics Analysis Reveals Dynamic Clonal Evolution and Targetable Phenotypes in Acute Myeloid Leukemia with Complex Karyotype. Nat. Genet. 2024, 1–14, doi:10.1038/s41588-024-01999-x.
- 181. Gaiti, F.; Hawkins, A.; Chamely, P.; Swett, A.; Dai, X.; Kluegel, L.; Chen, C.; Beaulaurier, J.; Drong, A.; Hickey, S.; et al. Single-Cell Multi-Omics Defines the Cell-Type Specific Impact of SF3B1 Splicing Factor Mutations on Hematopoietic Differentiation in Human Clonal Hematopoiesis and Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Blood 2021, 138, 145, doi:10.1182/blood-2021-147529.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.