Preprints.org

Article

Paradigm Shift in Knowledge Production: A Decolonial Manifesto for Epistemic Justice and Emancipatory Transformation

Pitshou Moleka [*]

Article

Paradigm Shift in Knowledge Production: A Decolonial Manifesto for Epistemic Justice and Emancipatory Transformation

Pitshou Moleka

Managing Research African Network/Kinshasa, DR Congo, Postdoctoral Fellow, Eudoxia Research Centre / India; sodecordc1@gmail.com

Abstract: This article champions a decolonial praxis that seeks to fundamentally reconfigure the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations of the academic research enterprise. Departing from the Eurocentric rationalities and technocratic orientations that have long defined the modern university, this transformative framework centers the marginalized epistemologies and subaltern knowledge systems of the Global South and Indigenous communities. By excavating the complex histories and ongoing legacies of colonial science, the article lays bare the entrenched power hierarchies and epistemic injustices that have systematically suppressed non-Western ways of knowing. In response, it champions a radical pluralism that empowers diverse epistemes as vital sources of insight, resilience, and emancipatory potential essential for navigating our cascading global crises. Beyond the mere valorization of marginalized knowledge systems, the article also catalyzes a profound reconfiguration of the research enterprise's modalities and organizational structures. By championing collaborative, place-based, and community-embedded modes of knowledge co-production, it directly challenges the extractive, exclusionary, and technocratic tendencies of the traditional university model. Ultimately, this decolonial praxis holds the power to catalyze a radical renewal of the social contract linking academic inquiry and societal transformation - unleashing the emancipatory potential of knowledge in service of global justice, ecological regeneration, and collective flourishing.

Keywords: decolonization; epistemic justice; cognitive pluralism; knowledge co-production; marginalized knowledge systems; socio-ecological transformation

---

1. Introduction: Towards a Radical Decolonization of the Academic Enterprise

In the face of the profound crises convulsing our world - environmental degradation, social inequality, economic precarity, and political polarization - the very foundations of academic knowledge production have come under intense scrutiny. The dominant paradigms that have long defined the modern research enterprise, rooted in the Eurocentric rationalities and technocratic orientations of the Western academy, have proven increasingly inadequate in grappling with the complex, multidimensional realities of the contemporary global landscape (Rahman, 2023 ; Moleka, 2024a ; 2024b ; 2024c ; 2024d). Despite the emergence of more interdisciplinary and participatory approaches in recent decades, the ivory tower continues to be haunted by the lingering ghosts of colonial science - a sordid legacy of extractive research practices, epistemic marginalization, and the systematic devalorization of non-Western ways of knowing (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018; Tuhiwai Smith, 2012). It is within this context of urgent transformation that this pioneering article ventures to center the decolonial perspectives and subaltern epistemologies (Moleka, 2024e ; 2024f ; 2024g ; 2024h ; 2024i) that have long been relegated to the margins of the academic world. Departing from the narrow confines of Eurocentrism and the hegemonic dominance of Western rationality, this revolutionary framework champions a radical pluralism that empowers the diverse knowledge systems of the

Global South and Indigenous communities to become full protagonists in the co-creation of authoritative forms of understanding essential for navigating our cascading crises (Wheatley, 2023 ; Grosfoguel, 2013; Mignolo & Walsh, 2018 ; Moleka, 2024j ; 2024k ; 2024l). Undergirding this decolonial praxis is a profound recognition of the inherent cognitive diversity that has been systematically suppressed by the technocratic biases and exclusionary tendencies of the traditional university model. Rejecting the reductive logics and extractive orientations that have long characterized the modern research enterprise, this transformative framework embraces an expansive, relational, and ecocentric epistemology - one that values the plurality of human and more-than-human ways of knowing, while positioning marginalized knowledge systems as vital sources of insight, resilience, and emancipatory potential (Escobar, 2018; Masolo, 2010). At the heart of this decolonial project lies a clear-eyed reckoning with the complex histories and ongoing legacies of colonial science. By excavating the sordid record of epistemic injustice, material dispossession, and symbolic violence that have been perpetrated by the academic research enterprise, this groundbreaking article lays bare the entrenched power hierarchies and Eurocentric biases that have long defined the modern university (Maldonado-Torres, 2007; Quijano, 2000). In doing so, it charts a revolutionary path towards cognitive justice - empowering the epistemologies of the Global South and Indigenous communities to reclaim their rightful place within the hallowed halls of the ivory tower, and catalyzing a profound decolonization of the very moral, organizational, and institutional foundations of the knowledge production enterprise. Importantly, this decolonial agenda does not merely represent a peripheral consideration or a pragmatic concession to the realities of an interconnected world. Rather, it lies at the very heart of the transformative praxis championed in this pioneering volume - an integral dimension of the revolutionary Mode 4 paradigm that seeks to fundamentally rethink the relationship between academic research and the complex sociopolitical, ecological, and spiritual landscapes in which it is embedded (Moleka, 2024m). By centering the voices, perspectives, and self-determined goals of marginalized communities, this visionary framework holds the power to catalyze a profound reconfiguration of the social contract linking science and society - one that promises to unleash the emancipatory potential of knowledge in service of global justice, ecological regeneration, and collective flourishing.

2. Excavating the Histories of Epistemic Injustice: Confronting the Colonial Legacies of the Ivory Tower

At the heart of the decolonial agenda championed in this groundbreaking article lies a clear-eyed reckoning with the complex histories and ongoing legacies of colonial science. For centuries, the academic research enterprise has been deeply complicit in the material and symbolic violence of colonial domination - a sordid legacy of extractive practices, epistemic marginalization, and the systematic devalorization of non-Western ways of knowing that continues to haunt the hallowed halls of the ivory tower (Mbembe, 2016; Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2013). Tracing the genealogies of this colonial legacy, one finds a long and troubled history of anthropological expeditions, medical trials, and agricultural experiments that have reduced the communities of the Global South to passive "objects" of study - dispossessing them of their lands, resources, and cultural autonomy in the process (Otuegbe, 2023 ; Pels & Salemink, 1999; Tuck & Yang, 2014 ; Moleka, 2024n ; 2024o). From the extractive collection of Indigenous botanical knowledge by European naturalists to the coercive recruitment of racialized subjects into exploitative medical research, the modern university has been a vital institutional conduit for the reproduction of structural oppression, wielding the authority of scientific rationality to justify the material and symbolic violence of colonial domination (Grosfoguel, 2013; Mignolo, 2011). Importantly, this colonial legacy of academic knowledge production extends far beyond the mere extraction and appropriation of subaltern knowledges. It has also involved the systematic devalorization and active suppression of non-Western ways of knowing, as the Eurocentric biases and technocratic rationalities that have long defined the modern research enterprise have relegated Indigenous philosophies, Afro-diasporic traditions, and other marginalized epistemes to the realm of the "unscientific," "irrational," or "primitive" (Nhemachena, Hlabangane & Matowanyika, 2020 ; Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018; Santos, 2014). This epistemic violence,

in turn, has had profound material consequences - shaping the contours of social, political, and economic marginalization that have historically defined the lived realities of colonized peoples. As the authoritative forms of knowledge produced within the ivory tower have been weaponized to justify the dispossession of indigenous lands, the exploitation of racialized labor, and the imposition of alien cultural values, the colonial university has become a vital instrument for the reproduction of structural oppression (Zainub, 2019 ; Tuck & Yang, 2014; Mignolo, 2000; Quijano, 2000). Importantly, this sordid legacy of epistemic injustice has not been relegated to the dustbins of history. Rather, its pernicious effects continue to structure the very parameters within which knowledge production and social transformation unfold in post-colonial contexts - shaping the power dynamics, institutional configurations, and cultural perceptions that marginalized communities must navigate as they confront the encroachment of the academic research enterprise (Coulthard, 2014; Fanon, 1967). For grassroots movements, Indigenous collectives, and subaltern organizations across the Global South, the modern university remains a deeply ambivalent and contested space - an institution that has historically represented the vanguard of colonial domination, yet also holds the potential to become a vital site of epistemic decolonization and social emancipation (Grosfoguel, 2013; Tuhiwai Smith, 2012). This profound ambivalence, in turn, imbues the engagement between local stakeholders and the transformative agenda championed in this volume with a complex and delicate character, as the legacies of extractive and exclusionary knowledge production continue to cast long shadows of distrust, resentment, and resistance. Confronting this complex legacy of colonial science, the decolonial praxis outlined in this groundbreaking article must navigate a nuanced web of power dynamics, reappropriations, and resistances as it ventures into post-colonial landscapes. Rather than assuming a posture of naive optimism or uncritical progressivism, this revolutionary framework must grapple with the entrenched structural violence and epistemic politics that have been deeply sedimented within the social, cultural, and institutional fabric of the formerly colonized world (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018; Santos, 2014).

3. Towards a Pluriverse of Epistemic Diversity: Valorizing Marginalized Knowledge Systems

At the heart of the decolonial agenda championed in this pioneering article lies a profound commitment to cognitive justice - the imperative to recognize, valorize, and empower the inherent diversity of human and more-than-human ways of knowing that have long been suppressed by the hegemonic grip of Western rationality (Shiva, 1993; Santos, 2014). Departing from the narrow confines of Eurocentrism and the reductive logics that have historically defined the modern research enterprise, this transformative praxis embraces an expansive, relational, and ecocentric epistemology - one that positions subaltern knowledge systems as vital sources of insight, resilience, and emancipatory potential essential for navigating our cascading crises (Masolo, 2010; Escobar, 2018). Importantly, this decolonial valorization of marginalized epistemologies does not merely represent a tokenistic inclusion or a pragmatic accommodation to the realities of a globalized world. Rather, it catalyzes a profound reconfiguration of the very moral, organizational, and institutional foundations that have historically animated the academic research enterprise. By decentering from the technocratic biases and extractive orientations of the traditional university model, this visionary framework champions the incubation of radically new modes of knowledge production - heterarchical structures and participatory processes that directly empower the marginalized voices, situated perspectives, and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories (Grosfoguel, 2013; Mignolo & Walsh, 2018). At the forefront of this decolonial praxis are the vital contributions of prominent thinkers from the postcolonial world, whose revolutionary scholarship has illuminated the deeply entrenched power dynamics and epistemic hierarchies that have long defined the ivory tower. Figures such as Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, Enrique Dussel, and Vandana Shiva have been at the vanguard of challenging the Eurocentric hegemony of academic knowledge production, championing the valorization of subaltern epistemologies and the dismantling of the colonial legacies that continue to haunt the modern university (Thiong'o, 1986; Dussel, 1995; Shiva, 1993). Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's seminal work on the "decolonization of the mind" has been instrumental in this decolonial project, powerfully critiquing the ways in which the

dominance of European languages and cultural frameworks within academia have served to suppress and delegitimize the rich diversity of African philosophies, languages, and modes of being (Thiong'o, 1986). Drawing upon this vital tradition of anti-colonial struggle, the decolonial praxis outlined in this groundbreaking article directly confronts the material and symbolic violence that has been perpetrated by the extractive research practices and exclusionary knowledge hierarchies of the colonial university. Enrique Dussel's influential philosophy of "transmodernity" has also been a vital reference point for this transformative agenda, offering a radical critique of Western modernity's Eurocentrism and championing the epistemic sovereignty of the Global South (Dussel, 1995). By valorizing the diverse knowledge systems and emancipatory praxes that have been marginalized by the hegemonic project of European universalism, Dussel's work has catalyzed the incubation of new theoretical frameworks and political imaginaries capable of dismantling the Enlightenment legacies that continue to structure the modern academy. Vandana Shiva's pioneering scholarship on "cognitive justice" has likewise been instrumental in shaping the decolonial foundations of this revolutionary paradigm, powerfully asserting the imperative to recognize and empower the vital contributions of Indigenous and peasant knowledge systems to the search for more sustainable and equitable futures (Shiva, 1993). Challenging the anthropocentric biases and technocratic rationalities that have long defined the Western research enterprise, Shiva's work has championed an expansive, ecocentric epistemology that positions the plurality of human and more-than-human ways of knowing as essential for navigating our cascading global crises. Importantly, this decolonial valorization of marginalized epistemologies is not merely limited to the contributions of prominent postcolonial thinkers. It also draws vital inspiration and guidance from the grassroots movements, Indigenous collectives, and subaltern organizations that have been at the forefront of challenging the colonial legacies of academic knowledge production. From the "living laboratories" incubated by Afro-descendant communities in Latin America (Hernández-Medina, 2010) to the autonomous research centers established by Indigenous peoples across the Global North and South (Compagnucci et al., 2021), these protagonists of change have been actively asserting their epistemic sovereignty, reclaiming their right to define the trajectories and impacts of academic inquiry within their territories. The work of Latin American scholars such as Boaventura de Sousa Santos and Walter Mignolo has also been instrumental in shaping the decolonial foundations of this revolutionary agenda. Santos' (2014) conceptualization of "epistemologies of the South" has powerfully challenged the Eurocentrism of Western knowledge production, championing the legitimacy and transformative potential of marginalized knowledge systems across the Global South. Mignolo's (2000) scholarship on "border thinking" and "decolonial aesthesis" has likewise been vital in theorizing the radical pluralism and relational ontologies that underpin this decolonial praxis. Importantly, this decolonial valorization of marginalized epistemologies does not merely represent a superficial diversification or a pragmatic accommodation to the realities of an interconnected world. Rather, it catalyzes a profound reconfiguration of the very moral, organizational, and institutional foundations that have historically animated the academic research enterprise. By decentering from the technocratic biases and extractive orientations of the traditional university model, this decolonial praxis champions the incubation of radically new modes of knowledge production - heterarchical structures and participatory processes that directly empower the voices, perspectives, and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories. At the heart of this transformative agenda lies a deep commitment to epistemic pluralism and relational ontologies. Rejecting the hegemonic dominance of Western rationality, this revolutionary framework embraces a "pluriverse" of coexisting, mutually-enriching knowledge systems - from Indigenous philosophies that position humans as kin to the more-than-human world (Masolo, 2010), to Afro-diasporic traditions that conceive of the self as fundamentally entangled within webs of spiritual and material interdependence (Escobar, 2018). By valorizing these marginalized epistemes and directly integrating them into the core of the research process, the decolonial praxis outlined in this groundbreaking article holds the power to catalyze a profound decolonization of the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations of the academic enterprise. Far from a mere tokenistic inclusion or a pragmatic accommodation, this transformative agenda champions a radical reorientation of the

university's relationship to the complex sociopolitical, ecological, and spiritual landscapes in which it is embedded - directly challenging the extractive, exclusionary, and Eurocentric biases that have long defined the modern ivory tower. Importantly, this decolonial valorization of marginalized epistemologies does not merely represent an addendum or a peripheral consideration within the broader transformative agenda championed in this volume. Rather, it lies at the very heart of the revolutionary Mode 4 paradigm - an integral dimension of the visionary project to fundamentally rethink the social contract linking academic knowledge production and societal change. By centering the voices, perspectives, and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories, this decolonial praxis holds the power to catalyze a radical renewal of the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations that must animate the research enterprise - empowering marginalized epistemes to become full protagonists in the co-creation of authoritative forms of understanding essential for global justice, ecological regeneration, and collective flourishing.

4. Challenging Extractive Research through Collaborative Knowledge Co-Production

Beyond the mere valorization of marginalized epistemologies, the decolonial agenda championed in this article also catalyzes a profound transformation in the very modalities and organizational structures that have historically defined the academic research enterprise. Departing from the extractive, exclusionary, and technocratic tendencies that have long characterized the traditional university model, this revolutionary framework champions the incubation of radically new modes of knowledge co-production - collaborative, place-based, and community-embedded processes that directly empower marginalized stakeholders as co-creators and protagonists in the generation of authoritative forms of understanding. At the forefront of this decolonial praxis are the emergent modalities of "living labs," "transdisciplinary research centers," and "collaborative innovation networks" - vibrant, heterarchical ecosystems that directly challenge the Eurocentric biases and extractive orientations of conventional academic inquiry (Caniglia et al., 2021; Compagnucci et al., 2021). By centering the needs, priorities, and epistemologies of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories, these innovative organizational structures position local stakeholders as full partners in the co-definition, co-design, and co-implementation of research initiatives - catalyzing tangible improvements in socio-ecological conditions while nurturing radically new forms of knowledge co-creation (Amoamo & Ruckstuhl, 2024).

Importantly, these collaborative modes of knowledge co-production do not merely represent a superficial adjustment or a pragmatic accommodation to the realities of a globalized world. Rather, they embody a profound reconceptualization of the very purpose, modalities, and value systems that must animate the academic research enterprise - directly contesting the technocratic rationalities and colonial legacies that have long defined the traditional university model. At the heart of this transformative recalibration lies a deep commitment to the principles of cognitive justice, epistemic equality, and shared stewardship. Departing from the entrenched power hierarchies and exclusionary decision-making processes that have historically characterized the ivory tower, these heterarchical organizational forms champion radically participatory modes of collective deliberation, resource allocation, and impact assessment - empowering diverse stakeholders to shape the trajectories and outcomes of research in alignment with their own emancipatory visions (Golmohammadi, 2023 ; Caniglia et al., 2021; Fals-Borda & Rahman, 1991). Undergirding this reconfigured governance architecture is a profound recognition of the inherent cognitive diversity and epistemological pluralism that must animate the knowledge production enterprise. Rejecting the hegemonic dominance of Western rationality, these collaborative ecosystems actively valorize and integrate a wide array of marginalized ways of knowing - embracing Indigenous philosophies, Afro-diasporic traditions, and other subaltern knowledge systems as vital sources of authoritative understanding essential for global justice and ecological regeneration (Escobar, 2018; Masolo, 2010). Importantly, this deep commitment to epistemic pluralism and collaborative knowledge co-production directly challenges the extractive, instrumentalist, and exclusionary research practices that have historically targeted marginalized communities in post-colonial contexts. By positioning local stakeholders as full partners in the generation of knowledge, these innovative organizational

structures directly contest the legacies of colonial science, empowering communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories to reclaim their epistemic sovereignty and shape the trajectories of academic inquiry in alignment with their own emancipatory visions (Mignolo, 2000; Santos, 2014). Undergirding this transformative reconfiguration of the research enterprise is a profound recognition of the vital role that grassroots movements, Indigenous collectives, and subaltern organizations have played in challenging the entrenched power hierarchies and Eurocentric biases of the traditional university model. Drawing upon the rich traditions of anti-colonial struggle and decolonial praxis that have long animated the struggles of marginalized communities, these protagonists of change have been at the forefront of incubating alternative models of knowledge co-production that directly empower local stakeholders as co-creators and protagonists (Freire, 1970; Hall, 1992). From the "living laboratories" established by Afro-descendant communities in the rural regions of Latin America, where local knowledge holders collaborate with academic researchers to address pressing socio-ecological challenges (Hernández-Medina, 2010), to the autonomous research centers founded by Indigenous peoples in North America, Australia, and the Arctic, which assert their epistemic sovereignty and self-determined research agendas (Compagnucci et al., 2021), these innovative organizational forms have been vital in challenging the extractive and exclusionary tendencies of the traditional university. Importantly, the decolonial praxis outlined in this groundbreaking article does not merely seek to incorporate or accommodate these grassroots initiatives within the existing structures of the ivory tower. Rather, it champions a profound reconfiguration of the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations that have historically defined the academic research enterprise - directly empowering marginalized communities to shape the very parameters within which knowledge is produced, mobilized, and applied in service of social, ecological, and spiritual transformation. By centering the voices, perspectives, and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories, this decolonial agenda holds the power to catalyze a radical renewal of the social contract linking science and society. Far from a mere tokenistic inclusion or a pragmatic concession, this transformative framework champions a profound reorientation of the university's relationship to the complex sociopolitical, ecological, and spiritual landscapes in which it is embedded - directly challenging the extractive, exclusionary, and Eurocentric biases that have long defined the modern ivory tower. Ultimately, this decolonial valorization of marginalized epistemologies and collaborative modes of knowledge co-production represents a bold and visionary challenge to the entrenched power hierarchies and Eurocentric hegemony that have historically structured the academic research enterprise. By empowering the diverse knowledge systems and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories, it holds the potential to catalyze a profound decolonization of the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations of the university - unleashing the emancipatory power of knowledge in service of global justice, ecological regeneration, and collective flourishing.

5. Conclusion: The Revolutionary Potential of Decolonial Transformation

The decolonial praxis outlined in this pioneering article represents a bold and visionary challenge to the entrenched power hierarchies and Eurocentric hegemony that have long defined the academic research enterprise. By centering the voices, perspectives, and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories, it holds the power to catalyze a profound reconfiguration of the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations that must animate the university in the 21st century. At the heart of this transformative agenda lies a deep commitment to epistemic pluralism and cognitive justice - the imperative to recognize, valorize, and empower the inherent diversity of human and more-than-human ways of knowing that have been systematically suppressed by the technocratic biases and exclusionary tendencies of the traditional research model. Departing from the narrow confines of Eurocentrism and the hegemonic dominance of Western rationality, this decolonial praxis embraces an expansive, relational, and ecocentric epistemology - one that positions marginalized knowledge systems as vital sources of insight, resilience, and emancipatory potential essential for navigating our cascading global crises. Importantly, this decolonial valorization of subaltern epistemologies does not merely represent a

tokenistic inclusion or a pragmatic accommodation to the realities of an interconnected world. Rather, it catalyzes a profound reconfiguration of the very institutional architectures and governance structures that have historically defined the academic enterprise. By championing the incubation of radically new modes of collaborative knowledge co-production - heterarchical ecosystems that directly empower local stakeholders as co-creators and protagonists - this transformative agenda directly challenges the extractive, exclusionary, and technocratic tendencies that have long characterized the modern university. At the forefront of this decolonial praxis are the emergent modalities of "living labs," "transdisciplinary research centers," and "collaborative innovation networks" - innovative organizational forms that position marginalized communities as full partners in the co-definition, co-design, and co-implementation of research initiatives. Undergirding these heterarchical structures is a deep commitment to the principles of cognitive justice, epistemic equality, and shared stewardship - empowering diverse stakeholders to shape the trajectories and impacts of academic inquiry in alignment with their own emancipatory visions and self-determined goals. Importantly, this decolonial reconfiguration of the research enterprise draws vital inspiration and guidance from the grassroots movements, Indigenous collectives, and subaltern organizations that have long been at the forefront of challenging the colonial legacies of the ivory tower. From the autonomous research centers founded by Native peoples across the Global North and South, to the "living laboratories" incubated by Afro-descendant communities in Latin America, these protagonists of change have been actively asserting their epistemic sovereignty and reclaiming their right to define the parameters of academic inquiry within their own territories. By directly empowering these marginalized stakeholders as co-creators and protagonists in the generation of authoritative knowledge, the decolonial praxis outlined in this groundbreaking article holds the power to catalyze a radical renewal of the social contract linking science and society. Far from a mere tokenistic inclusion or a pragmatic accommodation, this transformative agenda champions a profound reorientation of the university's relationship to the complex sociopolitical, ecological, and spiritual landscapes in which it is embedded - directly challenging the extractive, exclusionary, and Eurocentric biases that have long defined the modern ivory tower. Ultimately, this decolonial valorization of marginalized epistemologies and collaborative modes of knowledge co-production represents a bold and visionary challenge to the hegemonic power structures that have historically shaped the academic research enterprise. By empowering the diverse knowledge systems and self-determined goals of communities across the Global South and Indigenous territories, it holds the potential to catalyze a profound decolonization of the moral, organizational, and institutional foundations of the university - unleashing the emancipatory power of knowledge in service of global justice, ecological regeneration, and collective flourishing. As the modern university confronts the cascading crises of our era, the decolonial praxis championed in this groundbreaking article offers a transformative pathway forward - a revolutionary Mode 4 paradigm that fundamentally rethinks the relationship between academic inquiry and the complex sociopolitical, ecological, and spiritual landscapes in which it is embedded. By centering the voices, perspectives, and self-determined goals of marginalized communities, this visionary framework holds the power to catalyze a radical renewal of the social contract linking science and society - one that promises to usher in a new era of epistemic justice, cognitive pluralism, and emancipatory knowledge production essential for navigating our interconnected world.

References

Amoamo, M., & Ruckstuhl, K. (2024). Conceptualizing RRI from a Global South perspective through Indigenous innovation practices in Aotearoa New Zealand's high-tech science sector. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, *11*(1), 2414528.

Caniglia, G., Schäpke, N., Erdmann, L., Frantzeskaki, N., Loorbach, D., Omoto, Y., ... & von Wirth, T. (2021). Transdisciplinary knowledge creation in urban real-world laboratories. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 8(1), 1-16.

Compagnucci, L., Spigarelli, F., Coelho, J., & Duarte, C. A. (2021). The Quadruple Helix Model and the renewal of local policy for sustainability: A comparative analysis of two cases of urban regeneration. Journal of Cleaner Production, 297, 126603.

Coulthard, G. S. (2014). Red skin, white masks: Rejecting the colonial politics of recognition. U of Minnesota Press.

Dussel, E. (1995). The invention of the Americas: Eclipse of "the other" and the myth of modernity. Continuum.

Escobar, A. (2018). Designs for the pluriverse: Radical interdependence, autonomy, and the making of worlds. Duke University Press.

Fals-Borda, O., & Rahman, M. A. (Eds.). (1991). Action and knowledge: Breaking the monopoly with participatory action-research. Apex Press.

Fanon, F. (1967). Black skin, white masks. Grove Press.

Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Continuum.

Golmohammadi, G. (2023). *Realizing the Principle of Participatory Democracy in the EU: The Role of Law-making Consultation* (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Law, Stockholm University).

Grosfoguel, R. (2013). The structure of knowledge in Westernized universities: Epistemic racism/sexism and the four genocides/epistemicides of the long 16th century. Human Architecture: Journal of the Sociology of Self-Knowledge, 11(1), 8.

Hall, B. L. (1992). From margins to center? The development and purpose of participatory research. The American Sociologist, 23(4), 15-28.

Hernández-Medina, E. (2010). Social inclusion through participation: the case of the participatory budget in São Paulo. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 34(3), 512-532.

Maldonado-Torres, N. (2007). On the coloniality of being: Contributions to the development of a concept. Cultural studies, 21(2-3), 240-270.

Masolo, D. A. (2010). Self and community in a changing world. Indiana University Press.

Mbembe, A. (2016). Decolonizing the university: New directions. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education, 15(1), 29-45.

Mignolo, W. D. (2000). Local histories/global designs: Coloniality, subaltern knowledges, and border thinking. Princeton University Press.

Mignolo, W. D. (2011). The darker side of western modernity: Global futures, decolonial options. Duke University Press.

Mignolo, W. D., & Walsh, C. E. (2018). On decoloniality: Concepts, analytics, praxis. Duke University Press.

Moleka, P. (2024a). Innovationology: A Comprehensive, Transdisciplinary Framework for Driving Transformative Innovation in the 21st Century. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.0700.v1

Moleka, P. (2024b). Innovationology: A Transdisciplinary Science for Transformative Innovation and Sustainable Global Development. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.1064.v1

Moleka, P. (2024c). Frugal Innovation for Inclusive and Sustainable Development in Africa. Advanced Research in Economics and Business Strategy Journal.5(1):107-117.

Moleka, P. (2024d). Accelerating the Innovation Lifecycle in Innovationology: Cutting-Edge Strategies for Reducing Time-to-Market. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.1658.v1

Moleka, P. (2024e). Holistic Education. Enhancing the Mind, Body and Soul. The Innovationology Series / TOME V. GRIN: Verlag.

Moleka, P. (2024f). Innovationology and the Geoeconomics of the BRICS. Towards a Sustainable and Equitable Global Order. The Innovationology Series / TOME VII. GRIN: Verlag.

Moleka, P. (2024g). Innovationology: A Goundbreaking Transdisciplinary Framework for Sustainable and Equitable Development in Africa. International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review. 7(5):178-193.

Moleka, P. (2024h). Innovation Metrics for the 21st Century: An Innovationology-based Comprehensive, Multidimensional Framework. International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review. 7(5):199-210.

Moleka, P. (2024i). Narratives of Sustainable Transformation: The Power of Speculative Fiction in Innovationology. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.0204.v1

Moleka, P. (2024j). Innovative entrepreneurship through alternative finance: A framework for sustainable and innovative business models. In M. Fanea-Ivanovici & H. Baber (Eds.), Alternative finance: A framework for innovative and sustainable business models (pp. 13-28). Taylor & Francis.

Moleka, P. (2024k). Ubuntu and Sustainable Cities in Africa. In The Palgrave Handbook of Ubuntu, Inequality and Sustainable Development. ChapterDOI 10.1007/978-3-031-69573-5_22

Moleka, P. (2024l). The Transformative Power of Innovationology. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.2225.v1

Moleka, P. (2024m). The Revolutionary Potential of Mode 4 Knowledge Production. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.2509.v1

Moleka, P. (2024n). Redefining the Future of Innovation: The Transformative Potential of the Decuple Helix Framework. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202411.0216.v1

Moleka, P. (2024o). Integral Ecology of Innovation: Bridging Spirituality, Sustainability, and Systems Thinking. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202411.0442.v1

Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2013). Coloniality of power in postcolonial Africa: Myths of decolonization. Codesria.

Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2018). Epistemic freedom in Africa: Deprovincialization and decolonization. Routledge.

Nhemachena, A., Hlabangane, N., & Matowanyika, J. Z. (Eds.). (2020). *Decolonising Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) in an age of technocolonialism: Recentring African indigenous knowledge and belief systems*. Langaa RPCIG.

Otuegbe, N. (2023). Recalling trauma: the legacy of slavery and colonialism in contemporary black women's fiction. PhD theis, Charles Darwin University.

Pels, P., & Salemink, O. (Eds.). (1999). Colonial subjects: Essays on the practical history of anthropology. University of Michigan Press.

Quijano, A. (2000). Coloniality of power and Eurocentrism in Latin America. International Sociology, 15(2), 215-232.

Rahman, M. M. (2023). Navigating the landscape of research paradigms: An overview and critique. *Available at SSRN 4392879*.

Santos, B. D. S. (2014). Epistemologies of the South: Justice against epistemicide. Routledge.

Shiva, V. (1993). Monocultures of the mind: Perspectives on biodiversity and biotechnology. Zed Books.

Tuck, E., & Yang, K. W. (2014). Unbecoming claims: Pedagogies of refusal in qualitative research. Qualitative Inquiry, 20(6), 811-818.

Wheatley, A. (2023). *Radical socioecological transitions? Regenerative design and pluriversal possibility in Costa Rica* (Doctoral dissertation, Charles Darwin University (Australia)).

Tuhiwai Smith, L. (2012). Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples. Zed Books Ltd.

Zainub, A. (Eds.). (07 Jun. 2019). Decolonization and Anti-colonial Praxis. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004404588