

1 *Review*

2 **Towards Individualized Use of Probiotics and**
3 **Prebiotics for Metabolic Syndrome and Associated**
4 **Diseases Treatment: Does Pathophysiology-Based**
5 **Approach Work and Can Anticipated Evidence Be**
6 **Completed?**

7 **Rostyslav Bubnov^{1,2*}, Mykola Spivak^{1,3}**

8 ¹ Zabolotny Institute of Microbiology and Virology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Zabolotny
9 Str., 154, Kyiv 03143, Ukraine

10 ² Clinical hospital 'Pheophania' of State Affairs Department, Zabolotny str., 21, Kyiv 03143, Ukraine

11 ³ PJSC «SPC Diaproph-Med», Kyiv, Ukraine, Svitlycky Str., 35, Kyiv 04123, Ukraine

12 * Correspondence: rostbubnov@gmail.com; Tel.: +380679213151

13

14 **Abstract:** The modification the gut microbiota in metabolic syndrome and associated chronic
15 diseases is among leading tasks of microbiome research and needs for clinical use of probiotics.
16 Evidence lack for the implications for microbiome modification to improve metabolic health in
17 particular when applied impersonalized. Probiotics have tremendous potential in personalized
18 nutrition and medicine to develop healthy diets. The aim was to conduct comprehensive
19 overview of recent updates of role of microbiota on human health and development of metabolic
20 syndrome and efficacy of microbiota modulation considering specific properties of probiotic strain
21 and particular aspects of metabolic syndrome and patient's phenotype to fill the gap between
22 probiotic product and individual to facilitate development of individualized / personalized
23 probiotic and prebiotic treatments. We discuss the relevance of using host phenotype-associated
24 biomarkers, those based on imaging and molecular and patient's history, reliable and accessible to
25 facilitate person-specific application of probiotics and prebiotic substances. Microbiome phenotypes
26 can be parameters of predictive medicine to recognize patient's predispositions and evaluate
27 treatment responses; the number of phenotype markers can be effectively involved to monitor
28 microbiome modulation. The studied strain-dependent properties of probiotic strains are
29 potentially relevant for individualized treatment for gut and distant sites microbiome modulation.
30 The evidence regarding probiotic strains properties can be taken to account via
31 pathophysiology-based approach for most effective individualized treatment via gut, oral and
32 vaginal and other sites microbiome modulation according to phenotype of the patient providing
33 individualized and personalized medical approaches. Preventive potential of probiotics is strong
34 and well-documented. Recommendations for individualized clinical use of probiotics, and for
35 probiotic studies design have been suggested.

36 **Keywords:** predictive preventive personalized medicine; *Lactobacillus*; *Bifidobacterium*;
37 probiotics, gut microbiota; patient phenotype, individualized medicine; metabolic syndrome

42 **1. Introduction**43 **Microbiota and metabolic syndrome: strains stratification for effective personalized
44 probiotic interventions**45 *Metabolic syndrome* (MetS) is a violation of metabolism including the development of obesity,
46 liver disease, hypertension, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia and insulin resistance and still is a large
47 global challenge [1-3].48 The **diagnosis of "MetS"** can be made if at least three of the following five criteria [2] are met:49

- obesity with **abdominal fat distribution**, determined by an abdominal circumference of
50 over 102 cm in men or over 88 cm in women;
- dyslipidemia (increasing Serum triglycerides greater than 150 mg/dL (>1.7 mmol/L);
- high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol \leq 40 mg/dL;
- hypertension of 130/85 mmHg or more;
- and **fasting blood sugar \geq 110 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L), or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).**

55 MetS is a condition of alteration of metabolism of lipids, carbohydrates, insulin, and
56 associated with development of inflammatory reactions. Obesity in adults and children is a global
57 epidemic, is often associated with hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia, dyslipidemia and
58 hypertension and is considered as the main risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (CVD). WHO has
59 predicted that CVD to remain the leading cause of death, and by 2030 [2]. The developing and continuous
60 updating a panel of biomarkers of the MetS for diagnosis and prediction of metabolic
61 diseases, prevention and personalized treatment is an urgent task. The development and continuous
62 updating of MetS biomarkers is an urgent task for the diagnosis and prognosis of metabolic diseases,
63 prevention and individual treatment. The importance of the prognostic and diagnostic value of total
64 cholesterol and its fractions is widely demonstrated by experimental and clinical studies [13] as the
65 main risk factor for coronary heart disease. Today, cholesterol administration requires statin therapy
66 at a growing target level for low-density lipoprotein (LDL) -cholesterol levels of 4.9 mmol / L in
67 patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease [3].68 The gut microbiota is considered an extension of the self and, together with the genetic
69 makeup, determines the physiology of an organism, metabolism and digestion. Intestinal microbial
70 population largely represented by Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes, has been proven to impact on
71 human health and maintaining homeostasis [4-10]. The gut microbiota has been recognized as an
72 important contributor to pathological conditions such as obesity and metabolic disorders.73 Numerous findings on MetS and obesity support evidence for manipulation of the gut
74 microbiota as treatment of obesity and associated health complications, both as a standalone therapy
75 and as part of interventions such as weight loss. Modification the gut microbiota in chronic diseases
76 and metabolic syndrome is among leading tasks of microbiome research and needs for clinical use of
77 probiotics [11-18].78 The **aim** was to conduct comprehensive overview of the recent updates of role of
79 microbiota on human health and development of metabolic syndrome and efficacy of microbiota
80 modulation considering specific properties of strain and particular aspects of metabolic syndrome

81 and patient's phenotype to fill the gap between probiotic product and individual to facilitate
82 development of individualized / personalized probiotic and prebiotic treatments.
83

84 **Probiotics and prebiotics**

85 The definition of a *probiotic* as "*live microorganisms which when administered in adequate*
86 *amounts confer a health benefit on the host*" defined by Food and Agriculture Organization of the
87 United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2001 [19]; and was confirmed
88 in 2014 by International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) experts [20] and
89 later remain unchanged being agreed in the broad expert communities.

90 The studied strains meet such important selection criteria as antibiotic resistance according to
91 international guidelines for probiotics like the FAO and WHO [2] and European Food Safety
92 Authority (EFSA) [22,23].

93 There is a large promising potential of using probiotics to develop healthy diets and
94 integrated approach for immunity-related diseases treatment and prevention; are effective actors in
95 the gut and in distant sites [8] with strong potential for applications in personalized medicine and
96 nutrition [24-26].

97 Thus, the current ISAPP consensus panel now proposes the following definition of a *prebiotic*: **a**
98 **substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms conferring a health benefit** [27]

99 However, *evidence-supported knowledge* on probiotics contribution to disease pathophysiology
100 and applicability to clinical care is *not yet sufficient*, excluding very few aspects. Thus, in cases of
101 antibiotic- and *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea, and respiratory tract infections, the effects of
102 probiotics are considered "*evidence-based*" [28-30].

103

104 *Evidence based probiotic treatment* was summarized by Wilkins et al. according to the recent
105 Cochrane and systematic reviews it was established as follows [30]:

- 106 • Probiotic use reduces the risk of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in children and adults
107 (level of evidence A);
- 108 • Probiotic use may reduce the incidence of *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea
109 (level of evidence B);
- 110 • Probiotics can significantly reduce the risk of hepatic encephalopathy, however, the
111 evidence is insufficient in respect to the effect on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
112 (NAFLD) and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (level of evidence B);
- 113 • Probiotic use increases remission rates in adults with ulcerative colitis (level of
114 evidence A);
- 115 • Probiotics can alleviate abdominal pain in children and adults with irritable bowel
116 syndrome (level of evidence B).

117 *Evidence supporting probiotic interventions efficacy has not been completed yet in respect to MetS,*
118 *hypercholesterolemia, liver disease, hypertension treatment and the modification gut microbiota in obesity.*

119

120 **Clinical indication prioritization**

121 The semi-structured interviews performed by van den Nieuwboer et al [31] allowed the
122 identification of nine major disease areas potentially equiring increased research attention for
123 probiotics, as follows: *metabolic disorders*, allergies, auto-immune disorders, cancer, cardiovascular
124 disease, gastrointestinal disorders, infections (bacterial and viral), neurological disorders and
125 general conditions (e.g., acne).

126

127 Current review is a logical follow up on our previous in vitro [32,33] and in vivo research on
128 probiotic strains [10, 34] and on potential prebiotics [35-38] and discussed in [7-9], and suggesting
129 that cumulated evidence in regard to phenotype of the probiotic strain should be considered for
130 most effective individualized treatment via gut, oral and vaginal and other sites microbiome
131 modulation. This can be implemented according to phenotype of the patient and therefore
132 individualized and personalized medical approaches. Number of microbiome phenotype variables
133 can be used as parameters of predictive medicine to recognize patient's predispositions and evaluate
134 treatment responses; on the other hand, number of phenotype markers have been effectively
135 involved during microbiome modulation.

136

137 **2. Patophysiology: microbiota & MetS interplay**138 **Relevance of *in vitro* research**

139 Recently we have studied [32] the biological properties of LAB and Bifidobacteria probiotic
140 strains, namely adhesive properties, resistance to antibiotics and biological fluids (gastric juice, bile,
141 pancreatic enzymes); and *formulated potential 'secondary' effects for beneficial individualized use meeting*
142 *the patient's needs.*

143 The studied strains of LAB and bifidobacteria have been found to be sensitive to wide range of
144 antibiotics, however, showed different **resistance** to *gastric juice, bile and pancreatic enzymes* [32]. The
145 most resistant to antibiotics were *L. rhamnosus* LB-3 VK6 and *L. delbrueckii* LE VK8 strains. The most
146 susceptible to gastric juice was *L. plantarum* LM VK7, which stopped its growth at 8% of gastric juice;
147 *L. acidophilus* IMV B-7279, *B. animalis* VKL and *B. animalis* VKB strains were resistant even in the
148 100% concentration. Strains *L. acidophilus* IMV B-7279, *L. casei* IMV B-7280, *B. animalis* VKL, *B.*
149 *animalis* VKB, *L. rhamnosus* LB-3 VK6, *L. delbrueckii* LE VK8 and *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* IMV
150 B-7281 were resistant to pancreatic enzymes.

151 **Adhesive** properties have been detected as high in strains of *L. casei* IMV B-7280, *B. animalis*
152 VKL and *B. animalis* VKB; were moderate in *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* IMV B-7281; and were low
153 in strains as *L. acidophilus* IMV B-7279, *L. rhamnosus* LB-3 VK6, *L. delbrueckii* LE VK8 and *L. plantarum*
154 LM VK7.

155

156 **Probiotic bacterial cell wall heterogeneity - a biomarker to predict host–bacteria interaction**
157 [33]

158 Since the LAB are gram-positive bacteria, their cell walls is complex and include glycolipids,
159 lipoproteins, and phosphorylated polysaccharides within a thick layer of PGN, a polymer of β
160 linked *N*-acetylglucosamine and *N*-acetylmuramic acid, cross-linked by short peptides [39]. The
161 Gram-positive bacteria membrane is covered by a thick cell wall consisting of multiple layers of
162 peptidoglycan, capsular polysaccharide (CPS), lipoproteins, and teichoic acids [39]. Some of these
163 molecules contain specific *microbe-associated molecular patterns* (MAMPs) that are recognized by
164 specific *pattern-recognition receptors* (PRRs) expressed in host intestinal mucosa. *L. delbrueckii* subsp.
165 *bulgaricus* IMV B-7281, that had the most elastic cell wall, caused the considerable activation of the
166 phagocytes. According to the patterns of cytokine, some strains of lactic acid bacteria can stimulate
167 macrophages and dendritic cells to the IL-12 synthesis, which, along with IFN- γ , play a key role in
168 the activation of cell-mediated immunity. All the mentioned strains can significantly stimulate
169 macrophages to the IL-12 production [33].

170

171 **Diet and microbiota**

172 Nutrition is a driving factor in shaping gut microbiota composition and its functional
173 maturation from the early stages of life, resulting alterations of the gut microbiota composition and
174 functional properties are associated with obesity. It is strongly recommended to medical
175 professionals to make decisions on prevention and treatment of disease by food and probiotics using
176 *evidence-based data* [40].

177 Thus, as the examples, an increasing *Bifidobacterium* spp. in diet may have anti-obesity effects
178 [41]; the recent knowledge does not support the idea that dietary *fat* or *carbohydrate* content *per se*
179 promotes development of metabolic syndrome [42]; thus, high-fat *vs* hypercaloric-hydrocarbonate

180 diets have not been proved as a clear causal trigger of obesity, consuming energy via *carbohydrate* or
181 *fat* did not differentially altered visceral adiposity and metabolic syndrome.

182 **Calorie restriction**

183 The findings suggest that the microbiome should be largely considered as a target during
184 antiobesity programs [43], close interplay between modulation of gut microbiota and healthy aging
185 has been demonstrated [44]. Thus, calorie restriction can effectively increase lifespan in animal
186 models, and has potential for and health-promoting effects in humans balancing gut microbiota via
187 homoeostatic control of microbiota in the lower gut supporting competition between bacteria for
188 nutrients. This so called 'oligotrophic condition' is recommended to preserve during lifespan [44].

189 On the other hand underestimated values of nutrition like content of *fructose* and *monosodium*
190 *glutamate* intake were reported in resulting *hyperuricemia* [45-49].

191 **Fructose intake**

192 Fructose is a major chemical of sweets and is one of the key, althoogh underestimated, dietary
193 promoters of metabolic syndrome development [45-49]. Dietary fructose is converted into glucose
194 and organic acids in small intestine, a higher doses of fructose exceed capacity of intestinal fructose
195 absorption and clearance, resulting in reaching fructose to both the liver and colonic microbiota [45].
196 *Diets enriched in fructose reduce bacterial colonization, lead to dysbiosis, increase numbers of mucin-degrading*
197 *bacteria* [45].

198 When fructose from dietary sources is absorbed through the fructose transporter GLUT5 within the
199 intestinal epithelium and transported to the liver, it is rapidly phosphorylated in the liver by
200 fructokinase, causing hepatic accumulation of fructose-1-phosphate (F-1-P) and a simultaneously
201 increase in AMP [45].

202 Fructose promotes alterations in the gut microbiota profile triggering inflammation and metabolic
203 imbalance in the gut, liver, and in visceral white adipose tissue. These obesity-related features can be
204 experimentally reversed by treatment with antibiotics [46]. *Fructose-rich diet (FRD)* induce
205 endocrine-metabolic alterations and dysbiosis in mice; FRD does not alter the phyla of Bacteroidetes
206 and Firmicutes, but decreases *Lactobacillus* spp. [46]. The beneficial effects of *L. kefiri* as a probiotic
207 was demonstrated to alleviate effects of high fructose intake [48].

208 Importantly, that even a single administration of fructose reduces uric acid excretion in the ileum
209 and long-term use of fructose suppresses renal uric excretion resulting in *increased serum uric acid*
210 levels and gout development [45].

211 The preventive effect of *Lactobacillus kefiri* (*L. kefiri*) administration for FRD was demonstrated in a
212 mice model [46]. More studies of the effects of fructose intake on health and gut microbiota are
213 needed.

214 **Dietary fibers - fermentable carbohydrates**

215 The production of **short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs)** via fermentation of carbohydrates by probiotic
216 bacteria is an example of balanced microbial ecosystem and key beneficial effects for human health
217 [50]. A group of **acetate and butyrate**-producing bacterial strains has been identified that can be
218 selectively promoted by increased availability of various fermentable carbohydrates in the form of
219 dietary fibers [50].

220 **Butyrate** has been found to be a major energy source for intestinal cells, and also to increase
221 mitochondrial activity, prevent metabolic endotoxemia, improve insulin sensitivity, possess

222 anti-inflammatory potential, increase intestinal barrier function and protect against diet-induced
223 obesity without causing hypophagia. **Propionate** has been found to inhibit cholesterol synthesis,
224 that is antagonizing to the cholesterol increasing activity of **acetate**, and can inhibit the expression of
225 resistin in adipocytes [51-53].

226 **Monosodium glutamate** (MSG, C5H8NO4Na, E 621) is widely distributed and is naturally
227 occurring in various standard foods and increase food intake. MSG can enhance the flavor of bland
228 food, and contain purines, which are directly metabolized into **uric acid**, as guanylate (E626, E627,
229 E628 and E629), inosinate (E630, E631, E632 and E633), and their compounds ribonucleotides (E634 and
230 E635) are metabolized to purines and lead to the development of hyperuricemia, gout [35]. Because the
231 deleterious effects of MSG, i.e., induced overfeeding, were not seen in the animals fed the
232 fiber-enriched diets [54].

233 **A gluten-free diet (GFD)** is the most commonly adopted special diet worldwide, positive
234 effect of a GFD on the composition of the gut microbiome have been reported in coeliac disease
235 patients. GFD can modify the composition of the intestinal microbiota and change the activity of
236 microbial pathways. The most important observation in these studies is the difference in the number
237 and variety of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria in treated and untreated patients [55].

238 **The vegetarian diet** that includes soy-based foods supposes increased levels of
239 phytoestrogens beneficial for MetS and LF, however, might be associated with a higher risk of
240 altering the male reproductive male system [56].

241 Genetic microbial variation trigger phenotypic diversity and influences the predisposition to
242 metabolic syndrome altering **diet-induced metabolic phenotypes** [57]. Gut microbiome contributes
243 to the genetic and phenotypic strains diversity and provide a link between the gut microbiome and
244 insulin secretion. Since, microbial taxa correlate with their metabolic phenotypes, the gut
245 microbiome is a source of broad genetic variation that determine different host-associated
246 diet-induced *metabotypes* [57]. This impact of gut microbes on host physiology is suggested to be
247 modulating in part by BA pool composition [57].

248 The promising approaches among dietary interventions to improve metabolism and
249 microbiota seem intermittent fasting and ketonic diet (KD). Thus, KD can beneficially modify gut
250 microbiota (increasing Akkermansia muciniphila and Lactobacillus), and improve immune and
251 metabolic profiles and increase endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) protein expressions [58].
252

253 **Hereditary factors and family diet history**

254 The priority effects important to human health has an origin from the early life according to
255 ecological theory and circumstantial evidence [59-61]. The mechanisms, conditions and
256 consequences of priority effects that might affect microorganisms in the gut, bacterial community
257 remains highly conserved between corresponding body sites in human hosts, while gene
258 transcription is much more variable [60]. Early life may influence the epigenome via
259 microbial metabolites, which can contribute to the observed development of adult obesity [60-61].
260 “*First 1,000 days of life*” concept has been suggested describing critical windows in organism
261 development where all systems and functions are largely vulnerable in particular for DNA
262 methylation [61].

263 Dietary and food patterns can modulate the gut microbiota composition and therefore its
264 metabolites. The difference in the presence of short-chain fatty acids (for example, butyrate) and

265 bacterial metabolites important for one-carbon metabolism (folic acid) depend on food habits and
266 microbiota composition.

267 Thus, these substrates provide **epigenetic** activity, early postnatal nutrition can form the
268 developing epigenome of target tissues, which can determine the predisposition to obesity.

269 As examples, following bacterial metabolites are able to modulate the epigenome:

270 1) *folate*, that is crucially involved in one-carbon metabolism and can influence DNA
271 methylation to disable gene transcription;

272 2) *butyrate*, a SCFA and a potent inhibitor of histone deacetylases [60].

273 Specific synbiotics have been reported to be effective for early life protection against diet-induced
274 obesity in early life [63].

275

276 **Prebiotics**

277 *Prebiotics* have immense ability to enhance probiotics effects and in the context of above has
278 largely potential to modulate microbiome and metabolome by itself. A prebiotic was defined by
279 Gibson et al. as a "*non-digestible food ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selectively stimulating
280 the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, and thus improves host health*"
281 [27]. The issue of the specificity of microbial changes has been defined as the key point to be studied.

282 Number food ingredients, many still underevaluated, being selectively fermented, can induce
283 specific changes in gut microbiota; prebiotics are beneficial to the host's well-being and health have a
284 protective effect and may be useful for many conditions. The terms of prebiotic / functional food
285 seem overly bureaucratic, since e.g., *fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT)*, although not being
286 probiotic, could be considered a fermented food, given the microbes and nutrients present. The
287 option of strains that are core to FMT efficacy being used as a probiotic is also being viewed as a
288 drug, but if the strains have a safe history of use in humans, this [64].

289 Thus, as examples, herbal-based biopolymers as *fenugreek* have antiobesogenic properties and
290 offer effective added value as prebiotic towards the enhancement of probiotic activity [35]. The
291 combined use of probiotics with nanoparticle-based treatment and food supplements is promising
292 in particule, nanoparticles of cerium dioxide [36-38, 65] and gold [66, 67] have been known as strong
293 agents against oxidative damage having anti-aging activity, and can demonstrate antiviral,
294 antibacterial, antifungal activity, cardioprotective, neurotrophic, hepato- and nephroprotective, and
295 anti-aging effect, have potential for various biomedical applications [36-38]. Nanoceria has also
296 therapeutic and preventive perspectives in reproductive medicine, enhancing female and male
297 fertility [38].

298

299 **Antibiotics**

300 The enormous use of antibiotics can alter and gut microbiota and host's phenotypes and
301 metabolism and can increase risk of obesity and atherosclerosis [68-70]. The uncontrolled antibiotic
302 therapy has became widespread epidemics in recent decades, this led to the formation of
303 associations of microorganisms with increased virulence, in particular so-called "hospital strains".
304 Gut microbiota is a potential reservoir of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes; microorganisms
305 including AMR have been extensively studied within the as so called "resistome" [69-71]. The ability
306 for the horizontal transfer to potential pathogenic bacteria within this ecosystem was demonstrated
307 [69], this antibiotic susceptibility of probiotic strain can be a significant specific indicator, and the

308 antibacterial resistance was studied for LAB and Bifidobacterium strains [70]. The impact of
309 antibiotics on the establishment of the *infant gut resistome* was demonstrated [71].

310

311 **Molecular mechanisms of probiotic effects**

312 Molecular mechanisms of health benefits of by consumption probiotics is largely unknown.
313 Bacterial metabolites were indicated to have an **epigenetic** function. Therefore, xenobiotic
314 metabolism of gut microbiota is essential issue fo future studies and enzymes discovery [59, 72-75].

315 Probiotic strains can alter host's **genes**, thus, administration of *Lactobacillus paracasei* CNCM
316 I-4034, *Bifidobacterium breve* CNCM I-4035 and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* CNCM I-4036 can modulate
317 the expression of genes in the intestinal mucosa of obese Zucker rats [76].

318

319 **Transcriptional networks** regulate major basal mucosal processes and uncovered remarkable
320 similarity to response profiles obtained for specific bioactive molecules and drugs [76], probiotic
321 strains from the species *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *L. casei*, and *L. rhamnosus* induce differential
322 gene-regulatory networks and pathways in the human mucosa of the proximal small intestine of
323 healthy volunteers. Thus, consumption of *L. casei* can lead to *mucosal gene-expression networks* that
324 regulating Th1 and Th2 between and cell proliferation and balance, immune response, metabolism,
325 and hormonal activity regulating blood pressure. The consumption of *L. rhamnosus* can lead to
326 modify the expression of genes involved in wound repair and healing, angiogenesis, IFN response,
327 calcium signaling, and ion homeostasis [76]. A core microbiota established in early life accompanies
328 host's organism during human life, and decrease in abundance along with aging [77]. In this regard
329 the breast milk containing a large amount of LAB is considered as crucial important programing
330 factor for further human life.

331

332 **Microbiota and immunity – allergy and autoimmune diseases**

333 Strachan [78] described the *hygiene hypothesis* that is associated with reduced microbial contact
334 to microbes in early life and is suggested to be one of the main mechanisms of the increasing
335 predisposition to allergic diseases over the past decades. Today, reduced microbial exposures (and
336 accordingly the rise in allergic conditions) have been triggered by Western diet, antibiotic use,
337 vaccinations, smaller household size and improved hygiene [78].

338 Gut microbiota is involved in regulating both *Th1* and *Th2* immune response. Thus, in patients
339 with IBD the gut microbiota has been shown to be of less diversity, an altered microbial metabolite
340 profile with reduced number of bacteria compared to healthy individuals has been demonstrated
341 [79]. A similar etiology is believed to exist in rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, multiple
342 sclerosis, type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), and celiac disease [80].

343 Obesity coincides with a low-level chronic inflammation in metabolic tissues. This
344 obesity-related 'metabolic inflammation' involving adipose tissue, liver and muscle, which are key
345 regulators of whole-body glucose homoeostasis, drives immunological underpinnings of insulin
346 resistance and CVD.

347 We hypothesized that according to the *inflammation-centred theory* the immune response and
348 metabolic regulation are highly integrated and the proper function of each is dependent on the other
349 [81], claiming that gut microbiota can influence immune function beyond the gut, would be crucially
350 helpful for choosing appropriate probiotic bacteria in the personalized clinical set.

351 Environmental factors, *i.e.* medication (antibiotics, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs and
352 hormones), dietary habits, are of living environment, and previous infections history have clear
353 influence on this immune balance [79].

354

355 Cytokine profiles of Toll-like receptors

356 Gram positive bacteria affect the formation of T-and B-cell immune response by altering
357 products primarily IFN- γ and IL-12 are required for differentiation of T helper cells into Th1
358 subpopulation direction. But probiotic preparations are capable of activating both (Th1 and Th2)
359 lymphocyte subpopulations, which provides a balance of cytokine production. Immunomodulatory
360 activity of probiotic preparations most important to identify for the goods induced opposite
361 cytokines IL-10 or IL-12 in experiments *in vitro* when stimulated macrophage cells [82]. The immune
362 response against infectious diseases of probiotic drugs due to the ability to balance the body's
363 immune status at the level of receptor-ligand interactions [82].

364 Induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by dendritic cells (DCs) expressing pattern
365 recognition receptors may skew naive T cells to T helper 1 polarization, which is strongly implicated
366 in mucosal autoimmunity through a mechanism that involves IL-10 and CD4+ FoxP3+ T regulatory
367 cells to dampen exaggerated mucosal inflammation [83]. The ability of probiotics to affect the
368 relevant *Toll-like receptors* (TLRs) can promote effective immune response and the initiation of an
369 effective immune defense.

370 **Interleukin (IL)-10** is an anti-inflammatory cytokine. cytokine profile of IL-10 is associated
371 with the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) most pronounced changes in the Peyer's patch.
372 probiotic-mediated immune modulation in IL-10 knock-out mice demonstrated a probiotic
373 mechanism of treatment of gastrointestinal inflammation independent of IL-10 [84].

374 **Interleukin-22 (IL-22)** has a crucial role in the early phase of host defense against *C. rodentium*.
375 Innate immune function for IL-22 in regulating early defense mechanisms against A/E bacterial
376 pathogens [85].

377

378 Defining causality *vs* correlation - is an inflammation in focus?

379 The identifying the causative associations of obesity and the human microbiota is still a
380 challenge [77,81,86]. The communication between the microbiota and immunity alter the metabolic
381 responses during obesity and MetS. The beneficial bacteria can induce pro-inflammatory or
382 regulatory immune responses, depending on the individual phenotype of gut microbiome, and
383 dietary habits [86]. The associations between *immune modulatory and hyposholesterolemic properties* of *L.*
384 *reuteri* ATCC PTA 4659 probiotic strain demonstrated [86].

385 *Lipopolysaccharide*, the cell wall component of gram-negative bacteria in the gut, are supposed as an
386 important trigger of chronic inflammation associated with obesity [87]. Gram-positive bacteria are
387 potent inducers of monocytic proinflammatory interleukin-12 (IL-12) with immunoregulatory
388 functions, while gram-negative bacteria preferentially stimulate anti-inflammatory IL-10
389 production [88].

390

391

392

Infections

393 Many routinely-used antibiotics are already ineffective in the clinic; some even speculate that
394 the 21st century will come to be known as the 'post-antibiotic' era [88]. However, the use of
395 probiotics might have several potential disadvantages; namely, the introduction of foreign
396 microorganisms induces antagonistic activity against pathogenic and indigenous microorganisms
397 and rapid elimination of probiotic strains. Therefore, to achieve a personalized approach, products
398 developed and applied from the own strains of the body, appear promising. For this reason, some
399 individual microorganisms can be grown on artificial nutrients, studying their ecological
400 compatibility, establishing the antagonistic effects of the spectrum on the body. A potential
401 alternative to probiotics may be proposed by lysates of probiotic strains, which can also maintain
402 immunomodulatory activity [34].

403 The broad associations have been illustrated among **virus action** during metabolic syndrome
404 and T2DM development, including HPV infection, cellular oxidative stress, gene damage, multiple
405 microbiota-related immune pathways and proteomic changes leading cancer and chronic disorders
406 genesis [89,90].

407

408

Intestinal permeability

409 The interrelated parameters of the metabolic disease, such as fatty liver disease, high values of
410 homeostatic model assessment (HOMA), high waist circumference, and subclinical inflammation, have
411 been known associated with *intestinal permeability*. Recent data show that by successfully treated
412 overweight, increased intestinal permeability may be altered to normal levels [91]. A similar effect
413 has been found in obese people who have undergone a dietary intervention based on traditional
414 Chinese medicines and prebiotics [92].

415

416

Oxidative stress: emerging role of nanomedicine

417 It is known that oxidative stress has been postulated as one of the principle
418 physiopathological mechanisms of number of chronic diseases, including the pathogenesis of
419 obesity-related diseases [93,94]. The cellular imbalance between endogenous antioxidant defenses
420 and reactive oxygen species (ROS) is one of its primary characteristics [93,94]. Several mechanisms
421 have been suggested to explain the enhanced oxidative stress observed in obese subjects, including
422 altered lipid and glucose metabolism, chronic inflammation, tissue dysfunction, hyperleptinemia,
423 and abnormal post-prandial ROS generation [93]. Thus, the nanoparticles of gold [66,67] and cerium
424 dioxide [38,65] were reported to be effective agents against oxidative damage having anti-aging
425 activity, and potential for prebiotic activity via modifying intracellular ROS generation in bacteria.

426

427

428

429

However, only a few studies have been conducted on the oxygen tolerance of probiotic
bacteria. Most of these studies have focused on *Bifidobacterium* spp. Little is known about the effect of
oxygen on the physiology of *L. acidophilus*. *L. rhamnosus* GG can potentiate intestinal
hypoxia-inducible factor [95].

430

431

Microbiota profile & microorganism-based biomarkers

432

433

The search for reliable phenotypic microbial markers is essential for longitudinal observation
and reproduced in large populations, is the most important task for the study of microbial and

434 probiotics *in clinico*. Prebiotic and probiotic therapy is aimed at the formation of microbiota for the
435 improvement of health. However, the gut contains a large number of different microorganisms that
436 are difficult to calculate. Out of these, **three phyla, Bacteroidetes (Gram negative), Firmicutes**
437 **(Gram positive) and Actinobacteria (Gram positive)**, are most common and they determine the
438 dominant role in the pathophysiology of metabolic disorders, in particular in obesity. Other fillets
439 also contribute, but to a lesser extent [96,97].

440 Arumugam et al. [98] even identified some typical clusters of fecal microbial compositions called
441 "enterotypes" composition that are recurring in the healthy population and partly depend on dietary
442 habits. **Enterotypes** were allocated primarily by levels of **Bacteroides (B)** and **Prevotella (P)** that
443 were associated with long-term diets, particularly protein and animal fat (Bacteroides) versus simple
444 carbohydrates (Prevotella). It was suggested that the ratio of Bacteroides / Prevotella (P / B) may be a
445 tool for stratification of subjects when studying the effect of interfering with intestinal microbiota
446 [99]. *Stratification* of humans based simply on their P/B ratio could allow better assessment of
447 possible effects of interventions on the gut microbiota and physiological biomarkers [99].
448 For example, the *Prevotella* enterotype with a high representation of *Prevotella* spp., has been
449 associated with **high-carbohydrate, high-fiber diets**.

450

451 **Plant- vs animal-based diets**

452 High-fat diets have been associated with harmful effects on the gut microbiota. These diets generally
453 promote decreasing in Bacteroidetes representation and overgrowth of Firmicutes, including a wide
454 range of opportunistic pathogens (such as LABs).

455 Adherence to the **Mediterranean diet** is associated with beneficial microbiological effects in the
456 intestine, including higher biological diversity, excessive *Prevotella*'s presence, and lack of
457 opportunistic pathogens.

458 The **animal-based** diet increased a large number of bile tolerant microorganisms (Alistipes,
459 *Bilophila*, *Bacteroides*) and decreased levels of Firmicutes that metabolize polysaccharides of dietary
460 plants (*Roseburia*, *Eubacterium rectale*, and *Ruminococcus bromii*). Microbial activity is a mirror of
461 difference between herbivorous and carnivorous mammals, reflecting compromises between
462 carbohydrates and protein fermentation [100,101].

463 The remarkable differences were observed in transcriptional responses and in gene abundance
464 between the intestinal microbiomes elicited by plant- and animal-based diets [100]; catabolism of
465 amino acids against biosynthesis, as well as the relationships of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and
466 oxaloacetate in herbivorous and carnivorous mammals respectively [100].

467 Microbial communities that could quickly and properly self-modify their functional repertoire in
468 response to a diet change will eventually improved human flexibility in diet [100,101].

469 The degradation of polysaccharides by the intestinal microbiota and its influence on human health
470 [53,102].

471 The microbial community of the gut is one of the sources of human genetic and metabolic diversity,
472 which are different among human populations, and, depend on age, geography and cultural
473 traditions and is unique to different locations and lifestyles, in particular differ for modern western
474 diet and a rural diet, and correlates with westernization [103,104].

475 Recently, it has been observed that the composition of gut microbiota of healthy persons is different
476 from that of obese diabetes, T2DM patients. Such observations suggested a possible relationship
477 between the compositional pattern of gut microbiota and pathology of metabolic disorders.
478 Since human colon harbours a vast number of microorganisms which are extremely diverse
479 [105,106], the *metagenomics* analysis of microbiome divided human **into three groups**, namely:
480 Enterotype 1 (Bacteriodes), enterotype 2 (Prevotella), and enterotype 3 (Ruminococcus) according to
481 bacteria population found to be dominant [107].
482

483 **The Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio** was linked to body-weight and BMI [108] and was
484 reported to be higher in obese subjects with metabolic syndrome. Louis et al calculated the F/B ratio
485 for each sample and found a high variability between individuals and time-points without
486 correlation with BMI or other clinical parameters [109].

487 Successful weight reduction in the obese is accompanied with increased Akkermansia levels
488 in feces. Metabolic co-morbidities are associated with a higher Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio,
489 *microbiota differences might allow discrimination between successful and unsuccessful weight loss prior to*
490 *intervention* [109].

491 Probiotics have a significant capacity to remodel the microbiome of an individual recovering from
492 antibiotic therapy during the recovery phase the probiotic cause a suppression of Enterobacteriaceae
493 downgrowth (Shigella and Escherichia) and can promote a growth of Firmicutes, particularly from
494 the Anaerotruncus genus [110]. *L. reuteri* significantly decrease the intestinal inflammation and
495 reduce in proteobacterial populations [111].
496

497 **Microbial diversity** is an important parameter of intestinal health [112-115]. Thus, lower richness of
498 gut microbiota compositions, was found in Western diet consumers shapes the microbial ecosystem
499 [103,104] and in the populations under the burden of obesity and metabolic disease [85,86].
500 Individuals with higher diversity were reported to have a healthier dietary pattern [114,115].
501 The lower diversity was associated with greater abdominal adiposity. Meta-analyses across the
502 replication in independent samples from three population-based cohorts including American Gut,
503 Flemish Gut Flora Project and the extended TwinsUK cohort using BMI as a surrogate phenotype,
504 demonstrtaed significant associations of adiposity-OTU abundances with host genetic variants in
505 the *FHIT*, *TDRG1* and *ELAVL4* genes, suggesting a potential role for host genes to mediate the link
506 between the fecal microbiome and obesity [115]. Variety of metabolites are modulated by the action
507 of gut microbiota richness, number of recently discovered crosslinks between gut microbes and
508 different circulating metabolites with high predictive and diagnostic potential have been recently
509 identified

510 Individuals who have a low bacterial richness (23% of the population) characterized by more
511 expressed overall obesity, insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia and a more pronounced
512 inflammatory phenotype compared with individuals of high bacterial richness [116].

513 Metabolically active and safe *Lactobacillus* species and specific strains with particular functional
514 properties increase the biodiversity of the whole intestinal microbiota [117].

515 Focused primarily on bacteria, but priority effects are also possible across domains of life (that is,
516 between bacteria and archaea and/or eukaryotic microorganisms) [118].

517 The parameter as *Alpha and Beta diversity* are useful tools to evaluate microbiota. Thus, **Alpha**
518 **diversity** indicates microbial species richness - number of taxa within a single microbial ecosystem.
519 **Beta diversity** – is a parameter of diversity in microbial community between different environments
520 (difference in taxonomic abundance profiles from different samples).

521 Recently **mycobiome** has been suggested as a factor of the protective benefits via intestinal
522 colonization by commensal fungi [119,120] that functionally replace intestinal bacteria and alleviate
523 tissue injury by positive activation of protective CD8 T cells. Thus, commensal gut fungi protect local
524 and systemic immunity reactivity by providing tonic microbial stimulation that can functionally
525 replace intestinal bacteria.

526 Fungi are transmitted from mother to infant in early life, their dispersal history can be highly
527 variable among infants, and once immigrated, they can interact strongly with bacteria [123].
528 In particular, diverse fungal communities are present in infants [121-123].

529

530 **Vaginal, oral and dermal microbial profiles in distant sites [8]**

531 **Vaginal** microbiota has been known to have extensive links with the gut microbiome and metabolic
532 syndrome development [124-127]. *Lactobacillus* species dominate in vaginal microbiota in the most
533 of of pre and post-menopausal women being an indicator of vaginal health.

534 The recent study reports using interactomic approach required for vaginal probiotic administration
535 in post-menopausal women to detect the subtle molecular changes induced by probiotic instillation
536 [126]. Marked diversity in microbial composition was detected between women with bacterial
537 vaginosis (BV) and those with normal flora in pregnancy [127].

538 **Vaginal dryness** and atrophy have been reported to be associated with down-regulation of human
539 genes in epithelial structure involving changes in barrier function, up-regulated inflammation due to
540 reducing lactobacilli in menopause [125].

541 Current knowledge of the **male genitalia microbiome** is very limited. *Gardnerella vaginalis* is
542 predominant in half of the women whose partners had significant leukocytospermia [128]. Vaginal
543 microbiome was reported to drive the chronic inflammation-malignant development of prostatic
544 adenocarcinoma in couples [129].

545 Studies of structure of vaginal microbiota in regards to inflammatory conditions via analysis of
546 samples collected in the various stages of disease and in different at-risk populations, in regards to
547 the role of host genotype, involvement hormonal receptors might suggest promising approach for
548 understanding pathogenesis of chronic gender-related inflammatory diseases, development
549 personalized treatments, diet and lifestyle corrections.

550 The ability of LAB and bifidobacteria strains to adhere to epithelial cells in vitro is one of the most
551 important criteria for the selection of potentially probiotic strains for intravaginal use, since it
552 indicates their ability to attach and colonize the vaginal surfaces [32].

553

554 **Vaginal** and **male genital tract ecosystems** as the functional interaction between the genital microbiota
555 and the host, and the association of semen and vaginal microbiomes are still poorly studied [130].

556 Combined oral and topical treatment of male partners of women with BV is acceptable and well
557 tolerated. The combined acceptability and microbiological data presented in this paper supports the

558 need for larger studies with longer follow up to characterize the sustained effect of dual partner
559 treatment on the genital microbiota of couples and assess the impact on BV recurrence [131].
560 Thus, *neither clinical criteria, nor microbial composition can fully explain symptomatic bacterial vaginosis*.
561 Recently the term bacterial vaginosis was suggested be dropped, as it currently offers no adequate
562 description of a single condition [132]. The new definition will require precise definitions, diagnosis,
563 and management options. In some case, the use of probiotics and/or prebiotics may help to restore
564 and maintain a vaginal and male genitalia microbiome health.

565

566 **Microbiome of oral cavity**

567 The various analysis methods reveal Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria,
568 Bacteroidetes and Spirochaetes as the the dominant genus a healthy microbiome of oral cavity
569 constituting 96% of total oral bacteria [36,133,134].

570 Recently *metatranscriptome* sequencing indicated overexpression of a number of virulence-related
571 transcripts in oral bacterial composition during the early stages of transition to gingivitis, and the
572 upregulation genes including those involved in proteolytic and nucleolytic processes [134].
573 Core oral microbiome may my significantly different under carbohydrate and protein-rich diet
574 consumpotion [135].

575 Future research dedicated to the oral bacteria involved in the pathology and leading to obesity is
576 needed addressing the question - *how the salivary microbiology affects gastrointestinal microbiology*. The
577 great interest is about how orally administered probiotic therapy influence on bot oral and gut
578 microbiota.

579 Oral bacteria are known to contribute to the weight increase and development of obesity by at least
580 three mechanisms [135]: (1) the oral bacteria may contribute to increased metabolic efficiency, (2) by
581 increasing appetite, and (3) energy metabolism by facilitating insulin resistance through TNF α
582 increasing levels or reducing levels of adiponectin.

583 MSG-induced obesity triggers periodontal tissue alterations in the rat model. Nanoceria contributes
584 to the corrections of pathological changes in periodontal tissues in glutamate-induced obese rats via
585 balancing protein-inhibitory capacity and reducing the depolymerization of fucosylated proteins
586 and proteoglycans and antioxidative activity [36].

587 Lactobacillus crispatus KT-11 strain intake can prevent periodontal disease through the
588 improvement of oral conditions, decreased plaque scores, reddish tinge, and gingival swelling
589 scores in female participants and increased oral mucosa fluid scores in male participants [136].

590

591 **Skin microbiome**

592 Interactions of skin microbial communities with host immunity and imbalance of microorganisms,
593 termed skin dysbiosis plays crucial role in diseases of the skin [137-139]. Skin *mycobiome* plays
594 importnt role in shaping innate and adaptive immunity in health and disease [123, 140]. Recent
595 studies in the unique setting of the Antarctic have shown an increase in fungi on the skin in
596 expedition participants,believed to be due to interferences with local immunity and dysbiosis of the
597 normal skin microbiome due to stress, recycled air and antiseptic agents [141]. Akkermansia
598 muciniphila is believed to have an important function in the pathogenesis of IBD and obesity;

599 therefore, *Akkermansia muciniphila*, which is an indicator of health status, may be a key node for
600 psoriasis as well as IBD and obesity [142].

601

602 **Wound healing**

603 Wound healing is involved in metabolic disease and is remarkable a marker of health, strongly
604 depending on the phenotype including such opponent condition as MetS and obesity and *Flammer*
605 *syndrome* [143]. *Lean body mass* (LBM) is the parameter important for prediction and prognosis of the
606 physiological wound healing. *Matrix metalloproteases* (MMPs) and inhibitors are secreted as inactive
607 proenzymes (zymogens) neutrophils, macrophages, fibroblasts and keratinocyt and get activated as
608 the extracellular component [143].

609 Probiotics have been associated with improved healing of intestinal ulcers, and healing of infected
610 cutaneous wounds. LAB and bifidobacteria utilize their association with gut to directly inhibit
611 pathogens' growth and ability to induce host mucosal defense systems and tissue repair
612 mechanisms [144]. data demonstrate that *L. rhamnosus* GG lysate accelerates reepithelialization of
613 keratinocyte scratch assays, potentially via chemokine receptor pairs that induce keratinocyte
614 migration [145]. *Lactobacillus reuteri* enhances wound-healing properties through up-regulation of
615 the neuropeptide hormone *oxytocin*, a factor integral in social bonding and reproduction, by a vagus
616 nerve-mediated pathway. Bacteria-triggered oxytocin serves to activate host CD4+Foxp3+CD25+
617 immune T regulatory cells conveying transplantable wound healing capacity to naive Rag2-deficient
618 animals [146].

619

620 **The gut microbiota in aging and longevity**

621 A core microbiota accompanies human life, decreasing in abundance along with aging [77]. Aging is
622 thus associated with specific changes in gut microbiota. After the age of 65, overall gut microbiota
623 composition resilience is generally reduced, so that its is more vulnerable to lifestyle changes,
624 antibiotics treatments, and diseases [60,61]. As a result, species biodiversity / richness (i.e., the
625 number of taxa that metagenomic analyses are able to identify in fecal samples) is reduced, and
626 interindividual variability is enhanced [147,148].

627 In an Irish population-based study, Claesson et al. [148] showed that gut microbiota biodiversity is
628 inversely correlated with physical function and the institutionalization of older individuals [60]. The
629 same authors also showed a dramatic interindividual variability in the fecal microbiota of elderly
630 subjects.

631 In cases of **longevity**, the age-related enrichment of subdominant taxa is boosted. The microbiota of
632 longevous hosts accommodates allochthonous bacteria. In longevity, the age-related content of
633 sub-dominant species increases, including pro-inflammatory species, as well as health-related taxa
634 that can support extreme aging [77]. "Adaptation to longevity" seems to enrich the health-related
635 bacteria [77].

636

637

638 **3. Disease- and person-specific application of probiotics**639 **Obesity**

640 A broad evidence demonstrate associations between the human and microbiota and
641 immunity altering the metabolic responses during obesity and MetS [11-17,77,81,86]. The beneficial
642 bacteria can induce pro-inflammatory or regulatory immune responses, depending on the
643 individual phenotype of gut microbiome, and dietary habits [86]. Bacterial strains of the same
644 species showed different effects on adiposity and insulin sensitivity, illustrating the complexity of
645 hostbacterial cross-talk and the importance of investigating specific bacterial strains. Thus, the study
646 by Fåk et al. demonstrated associations between *immune modulatory and hypolcholesterolemic properties*
647 of *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 4659 probiotic strain which partly prevented diet-induced obesity in Apoe-/-
648 mice, yet, induced *no effects on blood cholesterol* or atherosclerosis and likewise *no effect on inflammatory*
649 *markers* (on macrophages or T-cell numbers in plaques) [86]. *L. reuteri* was associated with increased
650 liver β -oxidation, reduction of the adipose and liver weights [86].

651 In animal model, the weight of obese mice that received *L. casei* IMV B-7280, *L. delbrueckii* subsp.
652 *bulgaricus* IMV B-7281, *B. animalis* VKB, *B. animalis* VKL (separately) or *B. animalis* VKL / *B. animalis*
653 VKB / *L. casei* IMV B-7280 and *L. casei* IMV B-7280 / *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* IMV B-7281
654 probiotic compositions was decreased [10].

655 The changes in the host immune system composition into a more anti-inflammatory profile, which
656 may explain the decrease in body fat [149]. Randomized controlled trial demonstrated some
657 evidence that a three-month synbiotic supplementation (*L. reuteri* with partially hydrolyzed guar
658 gum and inulin) in addition to lifestyle modification is superior to lifestyle modification alone for the
659 reduction of body weight, BMI and *waist circumference* and treatment of NASH.

660 **Synbiotic** did not improve intestinal **permeability** or *small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO)* and
661 lipopolysaccharide (LPS) serum levels [150].

662 **Synbiotics use can resultin** reduction in steatosis, lost weight, diminished BMI and waist
663 circumference (WC) measurement.

664 The double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial showed that probiotic and prebiotic
665 supplementation along with lifestyle intervention creates favorable changes in glycemic parameters
666 and leptin levels compared with the lifestyle intervention alone [151]; *oligofructose* dietary fiber
667 intake has been demonstrated to be as effective as probiotic supplementation for insulinemia and
668 adipokines [151].

669

670 **CVD, hypertension & hypercholesterolemia**

671 Obesity-induced endotoxemia and liver dysfunction might be modulated by beneficial
672 microbes via immune response, e.g., by TLR to inhibit cholesterol synthesis signaling pathway in the
673 liver. *However, the associations between immune modulatory vs hypolcholesterolemic activity has not been*
674 *finally not elucidated yet.* Based on our preliminary data we hypothesized that *the ability of the strain*
675 *with its immune-modulatory properties to decrease cholesterol may be for treatment CVD.*

676 *Hypertension* is a part of MetS [2] and is as a major risk factor for number of complication and *heart*
677 *failure.* CVD affects one billion adults globally and leads to nine million deaths every year
678 according to estimates by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2013) [152].

679 Daily ingestion of *L. plantarum* DSM 15313 or blueberries fermented by this strain for three months
680 did not, in the current study set up, reduce the blood pressure of hypertensive subjects and did not
681 affect either the diversity or the composition of the oral and the faecal microbiota during the
682 intervention period [153]. Authors observed that both the oral and the faecal microbiota were highly
683 stable within the individuals, compared to the faecal microbiota, the oral one fluctuated more and
684 varied more between individuals. It was demonstrated that *Lactobacillus helveticus* are capable of
685 releasing antihypertensive peptides [154].

686 To enhance the research power in order to predict outcomes for probiotic studies in clinical set
687 for CVD and smart utilizing *in vivo* data to develop microbiota-related biomarkers and associated
688 individualized treatment is an important task.

689 The probiotic composition VSL#3 can decrease TNF-alpha levels, MMP-2 and MMP-9 activities, and
690 expression of iNOS and COX-2 in rats, fed the HFD diet [155]. Nanogold demonstrated prebiotic
691 properties and is effective heart failure treatment [66,67] that is largely associated with metabolic
692 syndrome

693

694 **Diabetes mellitus**

695 Recently, it has been observed that the composition of gut microbiota of healthy persons is
696 different from that of obese T2DM patients. Such observations suggested a possible relationship
697 between the compositional pattern of gut microbiota and pathology of metabolic disorders [156].

698 Data from the meta-analysis conducted by Zhang et al [157] show that probiotic consumers can
699 modestly improve glucose metabolism with a potentially greater effect if the duration of the
700 intervention is ≥ 8 weeks, or several types of probiotics are consumed.

701 Gu et al. [158] suggested that gut microbiota and plasma bile acids allow stratification of patients for
702 antidiabetic treatment via for the treatment of antidiabetic drugs by means of the so-called
703 *acarbose-gut microbiota-BA axis* and distinguished two microbiome clusters (*Bacteroides* and
704 *Prevotella* clusters) interacting with BA metabolism. Highly relevant biomarkers of T2DM, like *bile*
705 *acid metabolism* [158] and signs of diabetic neuropathy [159] will help to effectively stratify patients
706 with MetS- T2DM for appropriate management also using individualized probiotic therapy.

707 Recently we have demonstrated [160], that probiotic strain *L. casei* IMV B-7280 (separately) and
708 composition *L. casei* IMV B-7280 / *B. animalis* VKB / *B. animalis* VKL can re-equilibrate metabolic
709 and inflammation indices in mouse obesity model, induced by fat-enriched diet (FED). Probiotics
710 were effective in reducing mice weight and visceral fat, normalization of tumor necrosis factor-
711 alpha (TNF-alpha) and functional activity of PEMs. *L. casei* IMV B-7280 alone was more efficient in
712 decreasing glucose levels than composition of strains [160].

713

714 **Liver disease and MetS**

715 Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a worldwide health problem characterized by ectopic
716 accumulation of triacylglycerols in the liver, represents a hepatic metabolic syndrome and includes
717 fatty liver (simple steatosis), steatohepatitis (NASH), liver fibrosis (LF), and cirrhosis [1-3]. The
718 disease was more common in women, obese, with diabetes mellitus, cholestasis, gallstones and
719 thyroid disease and largely associated with microbiota [47,161-162]. Beneficial microbes-based
720 treatment have huge potential for correction MetS and NAFLD, the knowledge has been cumulated

721 supporting probiotic therapy as a safe, inexpensive, and a noninvasive strategy that can reduce
722 pathophysiological symptoms and improve different types of liver diseases without side effects
723 [163-166].

724 Furthermore, serum *ghrelin* levels positivey correlated with *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*, serum leptin
725 concentrations positivey correlated with the quantity of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*, and
726 negatively correlated with *Clostridium*, *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* [163].

727 *L. rhamnosus* CCFM1107 decreased the level of cholesterol in the liver and serum of mice with
728 alcoholic affection of liver [167]. After administration *L. acidophilus* to obese mice with damaged liver
729 after cholesterol-enriched diet the reduction of cholesterol level both in serum and liver was
730 observed [168]; and *L. plantarum* CAI6 and *L. plantarum* SC4 had a protective effect in models of CVD
731 in hyperlipidemic mice by reducing the level of total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [169].

732 In the recent study [10] we revealed that *L. casei* IMV B-7280, *B. animalis* VKL or *B. animalis*
733 VKL - *B. animalis* VKB - *L. casei* IMV B-7280 composition recovered the liver structure of obese mice
734 [10]. After administration of this probiotic composition in obese mice, degenerative changes in the
735 liver were not detected, fatty degeneration and hepatocyte necrosis are reduced after treatment. with
736 these probiotic bacteria or probiotic compositions. Yet, hemorrhages in the liver were not found in
737 obese mice treated with *L. casei* IMV B-7280 or *B. animalis* VKL / *B. animalis* VKB / *L. casei* IMV B-7280
738 composition. However, after injection of *B. animalis* VKB, *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* IMV
739 B-7281or *B. animalis* VKL / *B. animalis* VKB composition to obese mice, we found necrosis and fatty
740 degeneration of hepatocytes. The treatment with *B. animalis* VKL / *B. animalis* VKB / *L. casei* IMV
741 B-7280 composition effectively recovered the liver morphological structure in obese mice. *L. casei*
742 IMV B-7280 and *B. animalis* VKL (separately) restored the liver morphological structure of obese
743 mice to a lesser degree. *B. animalis* VKB or *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* IMV B-7281 (separately) and
744 *B. animalis* VKL / *B. animalis* VKB composition were ineffective.

745 Probiotic use significantly reduces the risk of hepatic encephalopathy, but there is insufficient
746 evidence regarding the effect on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis
747 (level of evidence B) [30].

748 However, the therapeutic use of probiotics and prebiotics treatment and prevention of patients with
749 obesity-related NAFLD is not supported by high-quality clinical studies [170].

750 The complexity and **gender aspects** of liver fibrosis development and liver potential to regenerate
751 associations with reproductive system was demonstrated [171].

752 The **non-invasive markers** like FIB-4, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) to alanine
753 aminotransferase (ALT) ratio (AAR), AST to platelet count ratio (APRI), and platelet count to spleen
754 diameter (PC/SD) ratio, etc. are definitely underestimated in the clinical set [172] and can be
755 effectively used to evalutae metyabolic syndrome case for prescrition probiotic treatment.

756 Substances with prebiotic properties have large potential to be used with probiotic strain for
757 liver disease. Nanoceria demonstrate liver-protective properties [172]; citrulline a non-essential
758 amino acid that helps to maintain healthy protein balance, facilitates protein synthesis for muscle
759 tissue retention, can improve Western diet-induced liver injuries via decreased lipid deposition,
760 increased insulin sensitivity, lower inflammatory process and preserved antioxidant status [173].

761 **Cholestasis** is an important and underestimated in clinical set diet-related issue for
762 non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) development. Relationship between adipose tissue and

763 fatty liver and its possible evolution in fibrosis, multifactorial pathogenesis of NAFLD, and
764 treatments for various contributory risk factors are well supported by clinical and research
765 experience [174-177]. The upper limit of normality measured diameters of common bile duct was
766 reported to be 7.9 mm (from 3.9 mm among those aged 18-25 years to 4.7 mm in aged more than 55
767 years) [178].

768 Well-designed unbiased multicenter studies on evaluation of the gut-microbiota-liver
769 metabolic network and the intervention of these relationships using probiotics, synbiotics, and
770 prebiotics, and personalized nutrition are strongly required in the field.

771

772 ***Thus, bacterial strains have different probiotic effects on metabolic disease and obesity.***

773 Probiotics affect on physiological functions and metabolic processes directly or through the
774 normalization of microbiocenosis of mucous membranes of various organs and body systems,
775 however, the range of their biological activity is a *strain-dependent characteristic* [32].

776 For example, in clinical and experimental studies probiotic bacteria *L. plantarum* and *L. gasseri*
777 reduced the body weight [179] and cholesterol level [180], but, on the contrary, *L. acidophilus*, *L.*
778 *fermentum* or *L. ingluviei* affect increase the body weight [181], and *L. acidophilus* NCDC 13 had no
779 impact on obesity [182].

780 In the recent study [10] we defined that the probiotic bacteria *L. casei* IMV B-7280, *L. delbrueckii*
781 subsp. *bulgaricus* IMV B-7281, *B. animalis* VKB and *B. animalis* VKL (separately) or *B. animalis* VKL / *B.*
782 *animalis* VKB / *L. casei* IMV B-7280 and *B. animalis* VKB / *B. animalis* VKL compositions were capable
783 to decrease the weight of obese BALB/c mice and cholesterol level in serum and partially normalized
784 intestinal dysbiosis, that was manifested in the increased number *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium*
785 spp. and coliform bacteria. A decreasing of the liver size and a mesenteric fat thickness measured in
786 obese mice by ultrasound was also observed under the effect of mentioned probiotics [10.]

787 Recently Vinderola et al. [183] noted that the value of in vitro tests as predictors of probiotic
788 therapeutic capacity is still uncertain, and a lack of standardized in vitro protocols for strain
789 selection. Nevertheless, studied criteria can allow narrowing the list of potential strain candidates.

790 Collected evidence during last two-three decades naming *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*
791 as best genera with probiotic properties, has been revisited and assessed through meta-analyses,
792 several demonstrated that *Lactobacilli* and *Bifidobacteria* are effective, always in a **strain-dependent**
793 manner, against different microbiota-associated diseases [184].

794 The claimed beneficial characteristics are **strain-dependant** however, can be found within a
795 genus and considered where appropriate as **genus-specific** if evidence on strain-specific action
796 lacking. *Species- and genus-specific* health claims were documented [184].

797

798 **Kidney and MetS**

799 Obesity co-morbidities include insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus type 2, dislipidemia,
800 which are the most frequent contributing factors for the inception of metabolic syndrome (MetS),
801 and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) that includes steatosis and steatohepatitis and liver
802 fibrosis and increase the risk of developing chronic kidney disease (CKD) [185].

803 ***Endogenous intoxication syndrome (EIS)*** has several non-specific displays in organism in
804 pathological conditions with inflammatory effects and metabolome changes. Biological fluids of

805 organism in pathological processes have high contents of lipids and carbohydrates metabolites and
806 when altered demonstrate toxic effects on the liver, kidneys and brain cells [186,187]. Most of these
807 toxins belong to the *middle mass molecules* (middle molecules, MM).

808 The *Middle Molecule Hypothesis* was suggested decades ago by Babb et al. [186] and has been
809 rediscovered recently in personalized medicine via developing unbiased techniques in the
810 proteomic, genomic and metabonomic [186,187].

811 specific changes in the gut microbiota in CKD an increase in bacterial species prone to
812 proteolytic fermentation, such as *Clostridium* and *Bacteroides* and/or a decrease in bacteria that may be
813 protective or release potentially nephroprotective molecules (e.g., short chain fatty acids), such
814 as *Lactobacillus* [188]

815 *Fenugreek* can be considered a potentially effective prebiotic for a number of beneficial applications and
816 advances in development of treatments of immune-related disorders and decrease MM content to the
817 normal level levels of uric acid and urea in blood in high-calorie diet induced obesity rat model [35].

818 ***Renal Doppler***

819 Ultrasound (US) is a well-acknowledged source to provide number of relevant biomarkers of
820 disease and phenotype. Thus, type 2 diabetic patients have higher values of resistive index (RI) on
821 Doppler ultrasound as compared to non-diabetics and this increment is proportional to the duration
822 of diabetes. An intrarenal RI value of > 0.7 identifies diabetic patients at risk of progressive renal
823 disease. Higher RI correlates to higher protein in urine and duration of diabetes in diabetic patients
824 [189].

825 *Renadyl* probiotic composition (*S. thermophilus* KB 19, *L. acidophilus* KB 27, and *B. longum* KB 31) was
826 reported to be safe to administer to end-stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis. Stability in
827 QOL assessment is an encouraging result for a patient cohort in such advanced stage of kidney
828 disease [190].

829

830 ***Hyperuricemia and gout***

831 Ultrasound can be an effective method for early detection of liver and kidneys involvement in gout
832 patients for facilitate performing personalized treatment. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and
833 negative predictive value and accuracy the gout involvement of liver and kidneys using complex
834 ultrasonography diagnostic criteria has been known as high as 92.6%, 84.4%, 80%, 95%, and
835 91.9% respectively. Nephropathy appearance correlates with diffuse liver involvement. Integrated
836 index is reliable for disease staging and control treatment follow up [191].

837 Probiotic therapy alleviates hyperuricemia in C57BL/6 mouse model [192]. Probiotics
838 supplementation administration including compositions of *L. acidophilus* KB27 + *L. rhamnosus* KB79 or
839 *L. acidophilus* KB27+ *L. rhamnosus* KB79 compositions prevented renal alterations, oxidative stress
840 induced by hyperuricemia [193]. The probiotic strain *Bifidobacterium longum* 5(1A) ameliorate
841 monosodium urate crystal (MSU)-induced inflammation in a murine model of gout, evoke
842 inhibition of the production of CXCL1 and interleukin(IL)-1 β in joints as seen by reduced
843 hypernociception, reduced neutrophil accumulation in the joint and myeloperoxidase activity in
844 periarticular tissue; and increase levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 [194].

845

846

847 **Asthma**

848 Recently we performed focused study to evaluate health metabolic parameters associated with
849 asthma and potential external triggers affecting life quality and observed significantly higher
850 incidence in patients with asthma [195]: younger age (20-40 years); female gender; the predominant
851 months of birth in patients with were *January, April and July*; appendectomy and / or tonsillectomy in
852 anamnesis strongly correlated with asthma incidence. Among asthma-associated diseases an allergy
853 occurred in 69 % patients with asthma; obesity - in 32 %; gout – in 18 %; T2DM - in 28 %;intestinal
854 disorders (reflux, IBD) – in 58%; microsplenia - in 54 %; fungal sensitization - in 15% patients
855 respectively. Physical and intellectual exertion, alcohol consumption, sauna, long stay in cold and
856 damp room were most relevant parameters affecting life quality and provoking exacerbations. are,
857 significantly associated with asthma, risk factors, affecting exacerbations [195].

858 Recent data show that *C. butyricum* (CB) administration significantly increased the therapeutic
859 effect of allergy immunotherapy (AIT) on asthma, in which the allergen-specific B10 cells were
860 generated via inducing the chromatin remolding at the IL-10 gene locus in the B cells [196].

861 Lactobacillus strains were reported to improve outcomes of respiratory infections. Mucosal
862 adhesion is incorrectly taught as essential for both non-immune and mucosal immune defense
863 mechanisms. For example, noncolonizing probiotics, such as *Lactobacillus casei*, may exert their
864 functions in a transient manner or by influencing the existing microbial communities [197].

865

866 **Role of spleen-associated biomarkers in patient stratification for microbiota modulating**

867 Our preliminary results demonstrated changes in the spleen size in all participants after 1-year
868 Antarctic expeditions with a tendency to decrease after returning (this was also observed in the liver
869 and thyroid gland size) [141]. Inordinate splenic erythropoiesis can be initiated e.g. during the
870 development of chronic mountain sickness in chronic hypoxia [198].

871 The spleen and intestine are two major immune organs involved in the innate immune response to
872 infection [199]. Spleen structure and size might be supposed as promising imaging biomarker for
873 immunity- and stress-related conditions. Spleen structure and function are underestimated in
874 medical profiling, since the bone marrow remains the most important erythropoietic organ under
875 both resting and stimulated states.

876 LAB strains properly selected according to their antagonistic activity against pathogenic bacteria,
877 resistance to low pH and milieu of bile salts can affect cytokine Th1/Th2 balance toward nonallergic
878 Th1 response [200].

879

880 **Probiotics for neuroendocrine applications, APUD cells, serotonin, glutamate signaling**

881 Neuroendocrine, amine precursor uptake decarboxylase (APUD) cells signaling, *serotonin* are
882 important and not sufficiently studied mechanisms for a number of pathologies of different
883 localization and link among series of pathological processes as obesity, gut motility, cancer, etc.
884 Serotonin is a primal signaling molecule conserved across phyla that is implicated in the control of
885 energy balance [201-203].

886 As obesity increases peripheral serotonin, the inhibition of serotonin signaling or its synthesis in
887 adipose tissue may be an effective treatment for obesity and its comorbidities [202].

888 Crane et al. [202] have found that genetic or chemical inhibition of Tph1 protects or reverses the
889 development of FED-induced obesity and dysglycemia via activation of UCP1-mediated
890 thermogenesis. Thus, inhibiting Tph1-derived serotonin may be effective in reversing obesity and
891 related clinical disorders such as NAFLD and type 2 diabetes [203].

892 APUD-system play important role in apoptosis signalling and interreaction among health normal
893 and pathological conditions cycle changes in the endometrium [204].

894 MSG induce development insulin resistance to peripheral glucose uptake, induces hyperinsulinemia
895 and the obesity disrupt the regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis resulting in the
896 hyperfunctional state of adrenals [34]. MSG evoke metabolic alteration characterized by an enhanced
897 adipocyte capacity to transport glucose and to synthetize lipids resulting in increased insulin
898 sensitivity. It was supposed that the central lesions produced by MSG treatment. Probiotics mixture
899 (2:1:1 *Lactobacillus casei* IMVB-7280, *Bifidobacterium animalis* VKL, *B. animalis* VKB) was effective for
900 MSG-induced obesity [34].

901

902 **Collateral pathologies associated with the obesity in women**

903 Metabolic disturbances in obesity causes a number of diseases, namely CVD, and a number of tumor
904 sites of lung cancer, breast cancer, uterine cancer, and ovarian cancer; in women, there is a violation
905 of ovarian menstrual cycle called dyslipidemia [205].

906 *Progesteron*

907 Evidence indicates that obesity is associated with hormonal (estrogen/progesterone) imbalance and
908 also with inflammation not only in adipose tissue, but with systemic inflammation. Primary studies
909 of experimental obesity have unfolded that progesterone promotes the growth of adipose mass of
910 female rats [206,207]. Progesterone replacement therapy has demonstrated the increased uptake of
911 glucose and elevated protein level in the tissues of aging animals, increase of natural killer's activity
912 and the with restoration of lipid and hormone levels as well [207].

913 The neuropeptide hormone **oxytocin** plays role in up-regulation of wound-healing enhancement
914 using *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotic [146].

915 *Thyroid hormones*

916 probiotics are recommended for autoimmune diseases [7,80], both thyroiditis and Graves' disease
917 are autoimmune thyroid conditions

918 Decreased metabolism can be a result of thyroid hormone deficiency – **hypothyroidism, in majority**
919 **induced by** autoimmunity and manifesting by fatigue, cold intolerance, constipation, dryness of
920 skin and mucosda and weight gain. Probiotics- have not been known to directly affect thyroid
921 hormones parameters in hypothyroid patients, however influence on thyroid hormones homeostasis
922 is suggested since probiotics supplementation could be able to prevent serum hormonal fluctuations
923 [208]. Hypothyroidism is associated with altered gut motility and *small intestinal bacterial overgrowth*
924 (SIBO) [209]. *Bacillus clausii* was reported to be effective for SIBO [210].

925

926 **Gut microbiota and gut motility**

927 The disrupted microbiome in patients with constipation could be a potential therapeutic target.
928 Many studies support the effects of different probiotics intervention with as a feasible way to

929 ameliorate constipation, clinical trials show promising results in the application of probiotics (Kim et
930 al., 2015; Wojtyniak et al., 2017) [211,212].

931 The genus *Bacteroides* and proteins involved in **iron acquisition** and metabolism, cell wall, capsule,
932 virulence and mucin degradation were enriched at the end of HBR suggest that both constipation
933 and EC decreased intestinal metal availability leading to modified expression of co-regulated genes
934 in *Bacteroides* genomes [213,214]. Exercise prevent the crosstalk between the microbial physiology,
935 mucin degradation and proinflammatory immune activities in the host [213].

936 We recently reported effects of CeO₂ nanoparticles affecting gastrointestinal motility on rat model
937 and reviewed data supporting their perspectives to be applied as effective laxatives [37].

938

939 **Probiotics for musculoskeletal diseases and pain: gut–muscle axis**

940 The regulatory role of the gut microbiota in immune and inflammatory activity and the metabolic
941 potential that it harbors provide a novel avenue of research for musculoskeletal diseases with
942 potentially novel treatment options. The number of studies support the idea of significant
943 associations among gut microbiota, physical activity and health [215-218...].

944 Regular physical exercise performed at the moderate doses are recommended by the World Health
945 Organization (WHO) [219], such physical activity as walking, cycling, or participating in sports can
946 reduce the risk of CVD, diabetes, colon and breast cancer, and depression.

947 The human link with bacteria lasts over billion years and is explained by *endosymbiosis theory*. The
948 similarity of mitochondria with Proteobacteria (gram negative bacteria) is a clear evidence for such
949 link [220]. *Mitochondrial (MT) dysfunction* has been implicated in the aetiology of many complex
950 diseases, as well as the ageing process. Much of the research on mitochondrial dysfunction has
951 focused on how mitochondrial damage may potentiate pathological phenotypes [221,222] also
952 during physical activity. The potential for precise therapeutic microbiome interventions can target
953 microbial-mitochondrial metabolic communication [216]. Thus, the microbiome can be an essential
954 supplier of metabolites that act at the level of resident mitochondria of host in skeletal muscle to
955 stabilize host metabolism [216].

956 ***Muscle aging and gut microbiota***

957 **Frailty** is the age-related loss of reserve capacity in multiple systems simultaneously, which results
958 in reduced resistance to stressors at increasing age, sarcopenia is a condition of muscle loss and
959 decreased performance and also with bone and joint disease in elderly. **Frailty** has been associated
960 with alterations in the microbiome, in particular with butyrate producing microorganisms.

961 The use of novel therapeutic approaches influencing the gut *microbiota-muscle-brain axis* was
962 considered for treatment of the frailty syndrome [223-225].

963 Lactobacillus strains appear to be effective for sarcopenia on a mouse model [223]. *L. reuteri* 6475
964 could impact the suppression of bone in a menopausal ovariectomized (Ovx) mouse model by
965 possibly alteration of the immune response by changing intestinal microbial communities found in
966 Ovx animals [225].

967 A small number of human studies have examined the impact of **exercise** on gut microbiota [226,227].
968 Professional athletes had lower levels of inflammatory cytokines than the controls. In addition, they
969 had increased microbial diversity (a positive indicator of gut health) [228].

970 Accumulation of metabolites in muscles and in organism as a whole (like Pyruvate and Lactate)
971 during exercise in normoxic and severe acute hypoxic conditions can be a target for microbiota
972 associated interventions [214]. Gut microbiota effects via by regulating gut mucosal
973 pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory actions through the activity of reactive oxygen species
974 (ROS) required for normal cellular homeostasis and physiological function including muscles
975 [214,215].

976 Multiple studies suggest a relationship between gut microbiota and inflammatory conditions such
977 as **rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondyloarthropathies and gout** [223]. Alterations in the gut
978 microbiome, in particular in *Prevotella* spp, associate with RA, but disease stage and genotype
979 appear to moderate associations seen [223].

980 RA has long been associated with periodontal disease and oral microbiome [230].

981 A crucial molecular mechanism underlying **autoimmune and inflammatory diseases** like
982 psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis were discovered recently. Bloch et al. [230]
983 observed that the activity of the proinflammatory cytokine **IL-23** relies on the structural activation
984 of its receptor **IL-23R**. The researchers involved hope that this information will support the
985 development of **new therapies** targeting IL-23 [230].

986 *L. casei* appeared to have synergistic action with alone or alongside type II collagen (CII) and
987 glucosamine (GS) (a candidate prebiotic) for effective reducing pain, cartilage destruction, and
988 lymphocyte infiltration in an animal model of osteoarthritis [231]. Oral administration of *L. casei*
989 together with CII and Gln more effectively reduced pain, cartilage destruction, and lymphocyte
990 infiltration than the treatment of Gln or *L. casei* alone. This co-administration also decreased
991 expression of various pro-inflammatory cytokines (interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), IL-2, IL-6, IL-12, IL17,
992 tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), and interferon- γ (IFN- γ)) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP1,
993 MMP3, and MMP13), while up-regulating anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-4 and IL-10). These
994 results are concomitant with reduced translocation of NF- κ B into the nucleus and increased
995 expression of the tissue inhibitor of MMP1 (TIMP1) and CII in chondrocytes [231].

996

997 **Obesity-associated** inflammation can affect **osteoarthritis** progression independent of mechanical
998 stress due to excess weight.

999 MetS has a cumulative and negative effect on hand osteoarthritis occurrence, independent of weight.
1000 Controlling metabolic comorbidities may have a beneficial effect on osteoarthritis, especially in
1001 obese patients [232-234].

1002 Substantially, exercise can increase levels of Bacteroidetes and reduced *Firmicutes*.
1003 Appetite-regulating hormones (therefore the nutritional status) and exercise importantly affected
1004 the gut microbiota composition [163, 235].

1005 The profound analysis of the regulatory pathways and mutual links between immune mechanics in
1006 tendon and muscles and skeletal muscle and their spasticity evoking myofascial pain. Chronic
1007 tension are associated with inflammation in tendons [236] and in muscles involving both immune
1008 and non-immune pathways contributing to muscle damage and weakness in myositis [237].

1009 The concept of *repetition strain injury* (RSI) syndrome [238], and the evaluation of trigger points
1010 phenomena [239], and nervous phenomena evoking visceral pain can justify integrated
1011 multiparameter approach [240] in the field. This might give important pathogenesis clues to

1012 understanding this gut-brain-circulation-pain interaction as a whole for prevention of wide
1013 spectrum of MetS-associated collateral diseases and suggesting new health care policy, smart
1014 decision-making, and advances in education for economic benefits for aging society and working
1015 population.

1016 It is essential to make efforts in increasing the level of evidence of individualized / personalized
1017 procedures of biological therapy Interventions like platelet rich plasma(PR) [241] and/or stem cells
1018 [242], develop reliable self-assessment, development of relevant questionnaires for participating
1019 medicine, and set the studies of mutual impact of pain, lifestyle, metabolism, nutrition, gut-brain
1020 axis (GBA).

1021 The correlation between MetS parameters like insulin resistance and blood pressure with
1022 anthropometric measures in adolescents (like WC, and others) were demonstrated [243,244]. Thus,
1023 development and validation of neuromuscular, anatomy-based, movement assessemtn-based and
1024 pain biomarkers for predictive approach and for measuring outcomes can help their effective use.
1025 Extensive multilevel evaluation of motion posture is feasible and informative protocol using
1026 CAREN, static & dynamic balance tests, pressure analysis, US patterns of movement analysis to
1027 detect fitnes muscle, tendonds of fasciarelevant to metabolic disorders.

1028

1029 **Vascular regulation in obesity, congestion, hypoxia and ischemic conditions**

1030 Recent studies have shown that adipose tissue is an active endocrine and paracrine organ secreting
1031 several mediators called *adipokines* [245]. Adipokines include hormones, inflammatory cytokines and
1032 other proteins [245]; namely: circulatory hormones (leptin, adiponectin, omentin, visfatin,
1033 angiotensin II, resistin, tumor necrosis factor- α , interleukin-6, apelin) and/or via local paracrine
1034 factors (perivascular adipocyte-derived relaxing and contractile factors). In obesity, adipose tissue
1035 becomes dysfunctional, resulting in an overproduction of proinflammatory adipokines and a lower
1036 production of anti-inflammatory adipokines. The pathological accumulation of dysfunctional
1037 adipose tissue that characterizes obesity is a major risk factor for many other diseases, including type
1038 2 diabetes, CVD and hypertension.

1039 Dysregulated synthesis of the vasoactive and proinflammatory adipokines may underlie the
1040 compromised vascular reactivity in obesity and obesity-related disorders.

1041 **Arterial** tone can be controlled through the release of ROS, leptin, adiponectin, TNF α , IL-6, Ang II,
1042 omentin, resistin, visfatin, apelin and ADRF. The regulation of arterial tone might be compromised
1043 in obesity and obesity-related disorders (for example, T2DM, CVD and hypertension) because of
1044 alterations in the secretion of vasoactive adipokines by dysfunctional adipose tissue. Circulating
1045 levels of adiponectin and are decreased, while levels of leptin, resistin, apelin and proinflammatory
1046 cytokines are increased [245,246].

1047 Different depots of adipose tissue include white adipose tissue (WAT), brown adipose tissue (BAT)
1048 and thoracic and abdominal perivascular adipose tissue (PVAT). The phenotype of thoracic PVAT
1049 resembles BAT, whereas abdominal PVAT is more like WAT [247].

1050 *Perivascular adipose tissue (PVAT)* was suggested to determine the inflammatory phenotype
1051 depending on species, anatomic location, and environmental factors, and that these differences are
1052 fundamentally important in determining a pathogenic versus protective role of PVAT in a vascular
1053 disease [248]. Dysfunction of perivascular adipose tissue induced by fat feeding suggests that this

1054 unique adipose depot is capable of linking metabolic signals to inflammation in the blood vessel
1055 wall [249].

1056 Meyer et al. [250] noted that perivascular fat cells in the aorta of obese mice potentiate vascular
1057 contractility to serotonin and phenylephrine, indicating activity of a factor formed by a perivascular
1058 fat cell, which was designated as 'adipose-derived contracting factor' (ADCF) [250]. Inhibition
1059 cyclooxygenase (COX) completely prevented ADCF-mediated reductions, whereas selective
1060 inhibition of COX-1 or COX-2 was only partially effective. In contrast, the inhibition of superoxide
1061 anions, NO-synthase or endothelin receptors did not affect the activity of ADCF [250].

1062 *Endothelial dysfunction* (ED) is a major risk factor that affects blood flow control in various organs.
1063 Obesity impairs the microvascular function in several ways. ED is the result of an imbalance
1064 between nitric oxide (NO) and endothelin (EDN), a vascular function regulators. ED is associated
1065 with a decrease in NO production due to impaired activity and expression of endothelial NO
1066 synthase and increased production of superoxide anion and an endogenous NOS, ADMA inhibitor,
1067 along with increased vasoconstrictor factors, such as activation of endothelin-1 and sympathetic
1068 nerve [251].

1069 In obesity, a mixed-food drink reduces skin perfusion mainly and causes acetylcholine-associated
1070 vasodilatation but does not affect the density of the capillary [252]. The acetylcholine-mediated
1071 vasodilation after eating can be impaired in obesity, the latter findings detected with a
1072 deterioration of the postprandial microvascular function in obesity [252]. Genetic variants in NO
1073 synthase and isoforms EDN and its receptors (EDNRA and EDNRB) appear to take into account
1074 important components of dispersion in ED, especially if there are simultaneous risk factors such as
1075 obesity. The analysis of genotype-phenotype interactions is critical for formulating a potentially
1076 variable susceptibility to CVD [253]. NO synthase and endothelin genes are associated with many
1077 diseases, such as asthma [254], which makes them a potential biomarker for numerical pathologies
1078 of obesity.

1079 Insulin-resistance participates in the development of endothelial dysfunction and interferes with
1080 vascular homeostasis in patients with metabolic syndrome [255].

1081 MetS involve large conductance vessels, promoting atherosclerosis, but also occurs at a
1082 microcirculation level, suggesting an important role for insulin in controlling vascular resistance
1083 and, finally, organ perfusion.

1084 Early vascular changes the liver microcirculation are induced by insulin-resistance in non-alcoholic
1085 fatty liver disease and in chronic hepatitis with insulin-resistance [255].

1086 intestinal inflammation associated with changes in the underlying mesenteric fat depots as venular
1087 dilatation and **congestion**, and perivascular accumulation of neutrophils [256].

1088 *Congestive mesenteric and/or pelvic syndromes* are the condition characterized by the presence of
1089 venous congestion and varicose veins in the mesenteric and pelvic region, and play important role
1090 for dysregulation of intestinal and systemic microcirculation mechanisms leading to ED and have
1091 potential risk for the development of many vascular and hormonal disorders [37].

1092 Systemic *congestive* phenomena due to heart failure associated with distinct gut microbiota dysbiosis
1093 [257].

1094 **Doppler techniques** for assessment of vascular responses following cuff-induced arterial occlusion
1095 allow determinations of the kinetics of post-ischemic reperfusion and provides an accurate reporter

1096 of NO-mediated physiological recruitment [258]. At present, the reference diagnostic modality for
1097 intestinal ischaemia is contrast-enhanced *computed tomography* (CT) [259]. However, there are some
1098 disadvantages associated with these techniques, such as radiation exposure, potential nephrotoxicity
1099 and the risk of an allergic reaction to the contrast agents. Thus, not all patients with suspected bowel
1100 ischaemia can be subjected to these examinations. Despite its limitations, US could constitutes a
1101 good imaging method as first examination in acute settings of suspected mesenteric ischemia [259].

1102 **Hypoxia in the gut**

1103 The epithelium overlying all mucosal tissues is supported by a rich vasculature. In these settings,
1104 even small perturbations in blood flow can result in relatively large decreases in O₂ delivery
1105 (hypoxia) to the supporting epithelium [213,214].

1106 Hypoxia, and specifically HIF-target pathways that are strongly associated with tissue barrier
1107 function and metabolism that contribute fundamentally to inflammatory resolution [260].

1108 Tissue (NBR) and combination of tissue and systemic hypoxia (HBR) increased inflammatory
1109 responses in inactive variants were recently linked to central inflammatory mediators nuclear factor
1110 kappa B (NF- κ B) and transcription factor hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) as a regulator of the
1111 cellular response to low oxygen levels to shape nutritional-immunity status of the gut and induce
1112 the release of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species [261].

1113 However, it was reported [214] that a short-term modifications in host exercise levels and
1114 constipation or systemic hypoxia do not change significantly gut permeability, concentration of
1115 crucial intestinal metabolites, structure and abundance of butyrate producing microbial
1116 community; but progressive constipation (decreased intestinal motility) and increased local
1117 inflammation markers suggest that changes in microbial colonization and metabolism were taking
1118 place at the location of small intestine [214].

1119 According to our recent observations a long stay in extreme conditions of Antarctica evoke adaptive
1120 reactions associated with hypoxia and mitochondrial dysfunction, determined by a set of
1121 molecular-genetic mechanisms that trigger the expression of the corresponding genes and alter the
1122 mitochondria ultrastructure, leading to the death of organelles, and subsequently the cells, and are
1123 associated with pronounced oxidative stress [262].

1124 The mesenteric blood flow redistribution can impact on the gut microbiota and potential probiotic
1125 effect [263]. The higher release of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) was reported by the distal
1126 intestines relative to the proximal intestines. SCFAs concentrations were measured highest in the
1127 inferior mesenteric vein and the portal vein and lowest in the radial artery. The mucosa of the
1128 proximal intestines may metabolise a relatively larger fraction of SCFA and the differences in local
1129 SCFA production may play a role [263]. Since arterial acetate concentrations correlate with those in
1130 the mesenteric vein, the last value can serve as biomarker for evaluating efficacy of probiotic
1131 strain.

1132 The development of adipose tissue involves remodelling of the extracellular matrix (ECM), which
1133 requires *matrix metalloproteinase* (MMP) activity, the potential of MMP inhibitor (*tolylsam*) to inhibit
1134 adipose tissue-derived MMP-2 and MMP-9 was confirmed. Paradoxically, gelatinase A (MMP-2)
1135 and gelatinase B (MMP-9) mRNA expression in adipose tissues was enhanced following inhibitor
1136 treatment [264].

1137 Strains VSL#3 impact on MMP activity , MMP-2 and MMP-9 activities, and expression of iNOS
1138 and COX-2 in the rats receiving FED [155].

1139 **Peripheral microcirculation** assessment might be considered to support a supplementary
1140 information for obese patients, including imaging laboratory biomarkers and capillaroscopy [
1141 particularly for vasospasm assessment and also for *Flammer syndrome* [265-267].

1142 Probiotic VSL#3 ingestion prevents endothelial dysfunction in the mesenteric artery of CBDL rats,
1143 and this effect is associated with an improved vascular oxidative stress most likely by reducing
1144 bacterial translocation and the local angiotensin system [268].

1145 The oxygen tolerance of probiotic bacteria can provide promising insights in the matter.
1146 Little is known about the effect of oxygen and hypoxia on the physiology of probiotic bacteria and
1147 microbe-host interactions. *Bifidobacterium* spp., *L. acidophilus*, *L. rhamnosus* GG can potentiate
1148 intestinal hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) [95].

1149 The relevance of vascular componen during microbiota modulating MetS is underestimated but has
1150 to be considered in following context:

- 1151 • Adipose tissue produce and secrete several adipokines. Some of these adipokines possess
1152 vasoactive properties;
- 1153 • Arterial tone and congestive phenomena provide different vascular patterns;
- 1154 • Vascular phenomena impact on permeability and absorption of metabolites digestion in
1155 different part of intestine;
- 1156 • Hypoxia can impact on microbes and specific straind have different properties;
- 1157 • The role of microbiota in vascular dysregulation development via genetic predisposition
1158 and mutual affecting is still unclear;
- 1159 • Probiotics can boost antiinflammatory PVAT, affect endothelin, HIF [95] signaling, etc.

1161 **Cancer, gut microbiota and MetS**

1162 Various prognostic and etiological factors, biomarkers, and molecular pathways of development
1163 and progression of the disease, common to MetS, atherosclerosis and cancer, suggest that the two
1164 most common diseases globally are significantly more aligned than previously thought. Both
1165 diseases have common etiological factors: genetic predisposition, age, sex hormones, smoking
1166 cigarettes, high intake of dietary fat, toxins and mutagens. The consequences of the aforementioned
1167 etiologic factor actions are deregulation of the cell cycle, oxidative stress, chronic inflammation,
1168 endothelial dysfunction, dysregulation of apoptosis and angiogenesis, instability of DNA and
1169 damage to DNA repair [269].

1170 The TGF- β signaling pathway, other growth factors, cell adhesion molecules, the Wnt- β -catenin
1171 signaling pathway, excess matrix digestion associated with matrix metalloproteases, and NF- κ B
1172 signaling pathway represent other common molecular progression pathways shared by both
1173 diseases [269].

1174 In addition, the associations between microbiota and metastatic cancer, hypoxia in particular for
1175 Flammer syndromne phenotype individuals is a challenging task [267]. A novel hypoxia-based
1176 mechanism of regulation of homeostasis and metastasis, leading to the formation of focal
1177 pre-metastatic lesions, and these lesions subsequently provide a platform for circulating tumour
1178 cells to colonise and form metastases [267, 270].

1179 Many of the bacterial species of the phylum Firmicutes (LAB) produce butyrate, and a decreased
1180 abundance of these bacteria was observed in patients with colorectal cancer [271]. It has become
1181 evident that microbiota, and particularly the gut microbiota, modulates the response to cancer
1182 therapy and susceptibility to toxic side effects [272,273]. Finally, many probiotic properties should be
1183 implemented to cancer case management as supoprive therapy and to faciliate symptoms,
1184 associated with treatment [273].

1185 *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG probiotic strain have been shown in mice to protect the intestinal mucosa
1186 against chemotherapy- or radiotherapy-induced toxicity by relocating cyclooxygenase 2
1187 (COX2)-expressing cells from the villi to the base of the intestinal crypts [274]. *Bifidobacterium* spp. in
1188 the gut microbiota promotes antitumour immunity in mice that is received anti-PDL1 therapy [275].
1189 *However, the translation from mouse models as a main source of evidence to humans is a challenge.* Thus, it is
1190 difficult yet to conclude that activation of TLR9 in humans by *Bifidobacterium* spp. has the same
1191 immunostimulating activity as observed in the mouse, and detailed clinical data are required to
1192 determine whether *Bifidobacterium*-containing probiotics would stimulate antitumour activity also
1193 in patients [272].

1194

1195 **Gender-specific approach for microbiota modulation**

1196 **Age and gender aspects** are important issues for the selection of probiotic species for individual use.
1197 Gender-specific integrated *Women and Men health* concepts have been widely appreciated as part of a
1198 large range of factors that affect fertility and general health that are associated with lifestyles,
1199 nutrition, obesity, and gender, with pathology [205,276].

1200 *There is no consensus on what would be characteristic and consistent discrepancies between the microbiote of*
1201 *women and men still exists.*

1202 Differential metabolic responses to weight loss diets, with lower abdominal fat loss for women,
1203 better response to high levels of protein compared to high carbohydrate diets, higher seizure-risk
1204 behaviors compared to the benefits of physical exercise, as well as the tendency to slow down central
1205 manifestations obesity, MetS, T2DM, cardiovascular disease and some types of cancers before
1206 menopause, but then accelerates-do not foresee the need for different metabolic and chronological
1207 perspectives for the prevention running / interference [276].

1208 A large number of bacterial genes was smaller in men than in women. In fact, a large number of this
1209 type has decreased in men with an increase in BMI [277].

1210 Thus, the use of antibiotics like vancomycin can seriously affect the host microbiota and metabolism,
1211 especially in the risk groups of obesity prediabetes, in men, can reduce the bacterial diversity and
1212 reduce Firmicutes, which are involved in the metabolism of the short chain fatty acids and bile acids,
1213 and also activatethe expression of genes in adipose tissue of the oxidative pathway and associated
1214 with the immune pathway [278].

1215 Among the factors that most likely mediate gender-dependent interactions are **sex hormones**
1216 [279-281].

1217 Org et al. [279] showed gender-specific differences in gut microbiota composition and bile acids
1218 Interestingly, the hormonal status of male mice clearly affected the composition of microbiota on
1219 chow and high fat diets, whereas *in females this effect was more prevalent in response to the high-fat diet.*
1220 Testosterone treatment after gonadectomy prevented the significant changes that were seen in

1221 untreated males. Hormonal changes can also strongly affect bile acid profiles and that significant
1222 gender-specific differences in bile acid profiles become more prominent in response to a high-fat
1223 high-sugar diet [279].

1224 Sex-specific changes in glucose–insulin homeostasis, can be ameliorated in males treated with
1225 estrogen [280,281].

1226 Compared with males, female mice demonstrate increased capacity for adipocyte enlargement in
1227 response to a long-term high-fat feeding, which is associated with reduced adipose tissue
1228 macrophage infiltration and lower fat deposition in the liver, and with better insulin sensitivity
1229 [282]. The extensibility of adipose tissue linked to adiponectin secretion might determine the sex
1230 differences in obesity-associated metabolic disorders [282].

1231 The associations between liver function and reproductive system as well as sex-dependent aspects
1232 of liver fibrosis were demonstrated [171].

1233 A high incidence of hyperandrogenism, polycystic ovarian morphology (PCOM) and polycystic
1234 ovary syndrome (PCOS) has been reported in T1DM, which is thought to be due to intensive insulin
1235 therapy [283]. Patients with PCOS have less diversity and altered phylogenetic profile in the
1236 microbiota of the stool, due to clinical parameters. Intestinal barrier and endotoxin dysfunction are
1237 not the driving factors in this cohort of patients, but may contribute to the clinical phenotype in some
1238 patients with PCOS [284]. Women with PCOM have changed α diversity, which was an intermediate
1239 between the two other groups. Below, α -diversity is observed in women with PCI compared with
1240 healthy women. The results show that hyperandrogenicity can play a decisive role in the change of
1241 intestinal microbial in women with PCOS [285]. The probiotic supplementation for women with
1242 PCOS for 12 weeks favorably affects the total testosterone, TAC and MDA, SHBG, mFG scores,
1243 hs-CRP, but did not affect other metabolic profiles [286].

1244

1245 Age

1246 This integrated vision of theory of aging, and longevity under “optimistic conception of
1247 prolongation of human life” under using probiotics, developed and foreseen by Ukrainian scientist
1248 Elie Metchnikoff, the founder of concepts of probiotics, phagocytosis, and gerontology [287], and
1249 more, the Nobel prize winner in 1908, who, created and developed the concept for diet-driven
1250 microbiota modulation and probiotic treatments, beneficial for health decline upon ageing that
1251 becomes to a reality today over 100 years after [288].

1252 Three problems common in the elderly, namely, undernutrition, constipation, and the decline in
1253 efficiency of the immune system may all be beneficially affected by appropriate probiotic organisms
1254 [289]. Collectively, the data support a relationship between diet, microbiota and health status, and
1255 indicate a role [289, 290].

1256 The loss of community-related microbiota correlates with increased muscle **frailty**. In general, the
1257 data support the relationship between diet, microbiota and health, and points to the role of
1258 nutritional changes in microbiota of varying degrees in the reduction of aging [290]. During aging,
1259 the microbiological compartment significantly correlates with indicators of weakness, concomitant
1260 illness, nutrition, inflammatory markers and metabolites in fecal water. The individual microbiota of
1261 long-term care was much less diverse than that of the community. The loss of microbiota
1262 associated with the community correlates with increased deficits [290].

1263

1264 *Women in menopause is specific case of aging strongly associated with gut microbiota changes.* However, the
1265 scientific evidence up to date still *do not definitively demonstrate* how non-vaginal microbiota interplay
1266 with the health of menopausal women [291].

1267 Reproductive aging negatively affects diabetes [292]. Women with T1DM have have shorter than
1268 average reproductive life through later menarche and earlier menstruation. Reproductive aging
1269 among women with T2DM is more diverse; early menopause may occur more often [292].

1270

1271 Lignans, which are the major phytoestrogens occurring in Western diets are recommended for
1272 people in age [293]. Consumption of *Bifidobacterium lactis*, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Lactobacillus*
1273 *acidophilus* demonstrated increasing the ability to fight infections in elderly patients [293].

1274

1275 **Ethnicity**

1276 The evidence about ethnicity or population-specific microbiome compositional variations rise
1277 questions on the universality of microbiome modulations and suppose to recommend geographically
1278 adapted approaches for therapeutic strategies. General microbiological manipulations, developed
1279 on the basis of research in Western societies, might have unexpected and even adverse effects for
1280 non-western groups [78, 103, 104, 294].

1281 **Environment**

1282 The gut microbiome is not significantly associated with **genetic** ancestry, and host genetics have a
1283 minor role in determining microbiome composition, rather **environment** is supposed to be a main
1284 trigger modulating human microbiome [118,295]. On the other hand reciprocallymicrobiome data
1285 can significantly improve the prediction accuracy for many human diseases like MetS, compared to
1286 models that use only host genetic and environmental data [295].

1287 A notable beneficial mutualistic relationship of the host with gut microbiota, effort should be given
1288 to the identification of the conditions that change the expression and maintenance of the probiotic
1289 effector compounds mediating host–microbe interactions in the gut [296].

1290 Recently the role of structure of the surrounding microbial ecology, its biodiversity, has been
1291 emphasized for implications on human microbiome and public health [297], in particlule the indoor
1292 microbiome as a complex microbial ecosystem is largely dependent on the human-associated
1293 habitats, and environmental factors like geography and building type [297], these interrelationship
1294 maintaining critical.

1295 Differential gut microbial community assembly scenarios in **rural** and **urban** settings were
1296 demonstrated [298]. Thus, Western diets, antibiotics and food additives (high variable selection) lead
1297 to low α -diversity (species richness within a single microbial ecosystem) and high β -diversity
1298 (diversity in microbial community between different) [298]. High α -diversity and low β -diversity is
1299 observed under low dispersal limitation (poor hygiene and sanitation) [298].

1300 *Therefore promoting low homogeneous selection (visiting rural area, in particular milk farms, more*
1301 *farming and labour work, and contact to domestic animals ,etc), low variable selection (diet rich in*
1302 *fibre and natural foods) might be hypothesized to increase environmental and human diversity and*
1303 *improve multisite microbial health.*

1304

1305 **4 Endnotes and recommendations**1306 **4.1 Recommendation for individualized clinical use of probiotics**

1307 Interindividual differences in the risk of developing MetS, disease manifestations, and responses to
1308 diet and medical treatment are often ascribed to human genetics and lifestyle [25].

1309 The brief summarizing data on the implications for individualized treatments using LAB and
1310 Bifidobacterium genera probiotic strains and prebiotics for basic condition that constitute MetS
1311 (those studied and augmented with literature data) are presented in the Table 1.

1312 ***Recommendations:***

- 1313 • High product quality;
- 1314 • Effectiveness should be proven on the basis of evidence-based medicine for routine use in
1315 the clinical setting;
- 1316 • Personalized (or individualized) approach needed in prescribing probiotic according to the
1317 disease, clinical case and phenotype of the patient;
- 1318 • The probiotic properties should be considered in strain-dependent approach [32] and /or
1319 genus-specific [184] in case if the evidence on strain-specific effects is lacking;
- 1320 • Using live microorganisms is essential for therapeutic efect (however, dead microorganisms
1321 also might demonstrate fair theraphetic effect);
- 1322 • Selection the 'best' strain for particular case (for example, the *L casei* strain has strongest
1323 properties in most characteristics);
- 1324 • The higher effectiveness of *multiprobiotics* has not been finally proved – best "single strain"
1325 concept is preferable for the personalized use;
- 1326 • The dose should be at least 10^9 microbial bodies;
- 1327 • Use of right prebiotics and right combination with probiotic;
- 1328 • The appropriate route of delivering a probiotic drug (capsule, gel, novel encapsulation
1329 technologies);
- 1330 • Crucially important is combination with a appropriate diet.

1331
1332 **Dose & periodicity of probiotics treatments**

1333 **The recent review of dose-responses of probiotics during studies and antiobesity programs
1334 suggests that**

- 1335 • The studying higher doses for this end-point would be most worthwhile;
- 1336 • the lack of a clear dose-response on lower doses (less than 10^8 CFU/day) [299];
- 1337 • are lacking and may explain why a non-effective dose is not commonly identified
- 1338 • evidence-based recommendations for treatment indications for probiotics suggested the
1339 dose 10^9 or higher [19], in some cases dose can be increased;
- 1340 • in a volunteer study by Larsen et al. [113] the recovery was demonstrated in group
1341 receiving 10^{11} CFU/day of probiotic strain. ***High doses of probiotics*** in humans are well
1342 tolerated [300].

1343 The recent findings suggested that the microbiome should be targeted during antiobesity
1344 programs, close interplay between nutritional modulation of gut microbiota for healthy aging. E.g.,
1345 calorie restriction can effectively lengthen lifespan has health-promoting potential. However, these

1346 option should be treatly person-related. A correct selection of an optimal time-frame for intervention
1347 during antiobesity program is critical point effecting clinical success. In our study the metabolic
1348 disorders (e.g., increased glucose, cholesterol levels) remained long after receiving FED, even on the
1349 standard diet.

1350 Recommendations on a probiotic treatment **duration**, breaks between sessions and dietary
1351 regime during and after treatment [301] have not been finalized.

1352 The beneficial changes of both gut microbiome diversity and metabolism in obese humans
1353 under weight loss intervention were not sustained during weight maintenance (Heinsen et al. [302]).
1354

1355 4.2 Recommendation for probiotic studies design

1356 The major of strains demonstrating beneficial properties for health *in vivo* have to be supposed
1357 to be clinically effective and chosen for further studies to be tested more precisely. This approach to
1358 choose appropriate strain would be helpful considering strong bias in the clinical trials.

1359 Correlation between in vitro and in vivo assays in selection strains has been debated [183].
1360 Some common in vitro tests in the selection of potential probiotic strains used globally include
1361 evaluation of resistance to gastrointestinal digestion, adhesion to cell lines and
1362 prokaryotic-eukaryotic co-culture for immunomodulation. Some common in vitro tests in the
1363 selection of potential probiotic strains used globally include evaluation of resistance to
1364 gastrointestinal digestion, adhesion to cell lines and prokaryotic-eukaryotic co-culture for
1365 immunomodulation.

1366 The associations between in vitro properties and potential probiotic application were
1367 hypothesized and illustrated [32]. The study by Larsen [303] indicates that pectins have a potential to
1368 protect probiotic bacteria of *Lactobacillus* species through the gastro-intestinal transit. Thus, pectins
1369 have a potential to improve survival of probiotic *Lactobacillus* species exposed to the
1370 gastro-intestinal stresses, and identifies the features linked to their functionality [303].
1371 Recent results indicated that *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains preferred to metabolize malic acid and
1372 reducing sugar in non-pH-adjusted juice (NJ, pH 2.65) [304].

1373 *Animal studies need to be closer to real digestion, focus on environmental models over genetic
1374 as more realistic*

1375 Microbiome data significantly improve the prediction accuracy for many human traits, such as
1376 glucose and obesity measures, compared to models that use only host genetic and environmental
1377 data [295].

1378 Microbiome interventions improving clinical outcomes may be carried out across diverse
1379 genetic backgrounds [295]. Using algorithm integrating information of omics-based matrices [305]
1380 including study epigenetics transcriptome, etc. [58,59]. more predictable for human intervention
1381 studies [183].

1382 Novel protocols are needed to render the selection of potential future probiotics more rational
1383 and the fact that changes in gastric pH and gastric emptying along digestion [183], using parameters
1384 of the microbiota diversity, like Alpha and Beta diversity, (P/B) ratio, Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes
1385 (F/B) mycobiome, etc. [97-99].

1386 Some concerns about these tests include the fact that changes in gastric pH and gastric
1387 emptying along digestion are difficult to mimic in simple in vitro tests, unless more sophisticated
1388 approaches (for example, SHIME) should be used [183].

1389 Recently we discussed the role of Simulator of Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME) to
1390 study diet and microbiota and suggested as follows [306]: 1). Direct coupling of the SHIME
1391 technology with cell culture models required for evaluation of the gut barrier and endothelial
1392 function; 2). Clinical intervention study with SGM sequencing data before and after defined diets
1393 implementation for chronic diseases treatment is necessary; 3). Comparison of SHIME integrated
1394 technology with results obtained on cells/animal experiments and in silico model data for evaluation
1395 of adequacy of pre-clinical and clinical tools for the following implementation of patient
1396 stratification strategy in health care system [306].

1397 Using of **preclinical imaging** (in analogue with the setting *in clinico*) can strongly extend
1398 results of experiment.

1399 The bacterial wall elasticity evaluation as a fast and accurate method to assess parameters of
1400 probiotic strains to predict their immune-modulatory properties.

1401 According to our observations, strains with most pronounced immune-modulatory properties
1402 demonstrate also a high efficacy in decreasing cholesterol levels, the correlation between *in vitro* – *in*
1403 *vivo* studies in decreasing cholesterol levels has been showed e.g. for *L. casei* IMV B-7280. There are
1404 examples of successful clinical implementation [24].

1405

1406 **Human studies - personalized approach for microbiome-modulating interventions needed 1407 for searching evidence**

1408 Many novel treatment although usual every day practice treatments found to be effective are
1409 still not supported by *level-I evidence*.

1410 A case of probiotic research and the translation is a cornerstone to solve, possible only via
1411 changing health care and extensive public–private partnerships and regulatory bodies [307].

1412 Importantly to consider appropriate *designs* for conducting, publishing, and communicating
1413 results of clinical studies involving probiotic applications in human participants [308,309].

1414 The recommendations of International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics
1415 (ISAPP) [309] suggest to follow four recommendations to conduct clinical studies of probiotic and/or
1416 prebiotic use: to define the end goal to reach a highest clinical effect and impact; design the study to
1417 maximize the chance of a positive response; choose which strain(s) and/or product should be used
1418 and why; and carefully select the study cohort.

1419 • *Nevertheless, it is realistic, that proper design of probiotic clinical trials is rather
1420 unfeasible or largely limited in large cohorts, especially done unpersonalized.*

1421 • *Selection of most effective strain needs an effective research agenda for translation require
1422 high validity for prediction results in clinical set based on studies in vivo.*

1423 • *Evidence might lack, when personalized approach (or at least individualized or
1424 person-centred) should be initially supposed, but not applied.*

1425 The recent advances in *predictive, preventive, and personalized medicine* (PPPM) open new era in
1426 utilization of the microbiome in human health for patient-tailored preventative or early treatment
1427 measures. Personalized modulation of the microbiome via nutritional and *pre-, pro-, and post-biotic*
1428 intervention, suppose dramatic increasing of their efficacy and level of evidence [7,25,40,310].

1429 We believe that a comprehensive approach for evaluating efficacy of probiotic strains on
1430 obesity model allows to select the strains for creation effective probiotic preparations for prevention
1431 and treatment of metabolic diseases, which could be recommended for further preclinical and
1432 clinical studies.

1433 *The microbiome-wide association studies*, which are analogous to genome-wide association
1434 studies *are the best option to follow up* current research with multiparameter stratification patients with
1435 MetS, including data of lipid, carbohydrates metabolism, antioxidant system, inflammatory
1436 response, etc. on the largest cohorts possible [311].

1437 In order to achieve this ambitious goal a **diagnostic and predictive panel** with reliable model
1438 for stratification MetS is needed to be created via host profiling using dynamic monitoring of a set of
1439 translational biomarkers. A basic panel should include data of host's sex, age, phenotype, and
1440 *metabolic profile* with estimation of levels of cholesterol, lipids, glucose, insulin resistance, uric acid,
1441 leptin, adiponectin, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, interleukin-6, -10, -12, -22, tumor necrosis
1442 factor- α , oxidized LDL, paraoxonase-1; imaging data on liver, kidney structure/function, organs
1443 vascularity patterns, etc.

1444 *Microbiome biomarkers*, those related to the etiological role of gut microbiota, like
1445 lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP), C-reactive protein (CRP), fasting insulin, and homeostasis
1446 model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and other host-associated factors influencing
1447 the gut microbiota.

1448 *Flammer syndrome* biomarkers (including NO, endothelin-1, questionnaire data), physical
1449 activity patterns and a broad data on dietary experience [265-267] should be considered.

1450 *Gender aspects for the use of probiotics are unclear*, immune response was reported to have
1451 differences in both sexes, as well as gut microbiota differ in men and women and its impact on
1452 insulin sensitivity, therefore women are considered to be less sensitive to gut microbiota-associated
1453 metabolic diseases than men, yet is efficacious in premenopausal women [312].

1454 Imaging biomarkers using non-invasive imaging techniques, such as computed tomography
1455 (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and *US are largely underevaluated during microbiome*
1456 *modulating*. The information regarding colonic microbiota and the colonic mucosa; muscles and
1457 nerves, vasularisation continue microbiota-related inflammatory morphologic changes of tissues
1458 particular in the colon can be obtained.

1459 *Study of microbiome under stress, physical and psychical exercises* should provide a source of
1460 potential biomarkers.

1461 This early detection, stratification patients with MetS will support treatment and prevention
1462 via nutritional and lifestyle modulation.

1463

1464 **Diet, food and prebiotic**

1465 Study of probiotics of consumption should be studied and implemented with strong agreement on
1466 beneficial and functional foods patterns implemented by personalized approach, provided by

1467 properly applied and interpreted *dietary biomarkers*, evidence on probiotic-nutrients interactions and
1468 assessed with proper data collection tools.

1469 The study should keep the focus on the potential increase in the efficiency and level of
1470 evidence through the use of potential effects of probiotic compositions (mixtures) detection the best
1471 strains and additional use of prebiotics.

1472 The new definition of a **prebiotic** as '*a substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms*
1473 *conferring a health benefit*' opens an opportunity to test substances that were not previously
1474 considered as prebiotics and can be suggested for use with probiotic strains with synergized activity.

1475 **Using mathematical modeling**, e.g., Bayesian network analysis was used to derive the first
1476 hierarchical model of initial inactivity mediated deconditioning steps over time [214]; considering
1477 use of alpha, beta, and gamma diversities (alpha x beta = gamma) among the fundamental
1478 descriptive variables of ecology [313]. Shannon measures were shown to be the only standard
1479 diversity measures which can be decomposed into meaningful independent alpha and beta
1480 components when community weights are unequal [313].

1481

1482 4.3 Legislative issues of microbiome

1483 A successful translation of microbiome research is needed for recognition of the microbial
1484 effects of food products and their ingredients on health; relevant regulations; and reliable products
1485 with clear consumer health [98].

1486 The use of probiotics is governed by the guidelines of a number of organizations including
1487 WHO and Food and Agriculture organisation (FAO) [19], World Gastroenterology Organisation
1488 (WGO) [21], ISAPP [20,27], European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) [22,23], United European
1489 Gastroenterology organization (UEG) and EPMA [7,24] and others. *The legislative process* is complex
1490 and has been recently criticized in particular for EU to be 'adjudicate claims for probiotics is severely
1491 flawed, as has been stated by many outstanding scientists, companies and organisations' [314].
1492 Taking into account the expected rapid progress in conducting research on microbiomes and
1493 probiotics within the framework of predictive preventive personalized medicine, it is necessary to
1494 combine interdisciplinary approaches.

1495 4.4 Ethical issues of microbiome

1496 All interventions should adhere World Medical Association's Helsinki Agreement [315].
1497 However, novel reality of microbiome study challenges new demands also in ethics [316],
1498 considering e.g., psychological aspects of personal identity the concepts of "confidentiality" and
1499 "privacy". In medical practice, including microbiota study patients need preserve their medical
1500 history, diagnosis, and prognosis only to be shared among the health professionals who need it for
1501 providing care [317].

1502 This is of great importance for the development of **biobanks** in the context of the study of
1503 probiotics and fecal transplantation [318, 319].

1504 The task of translating human microbiome research results into practical applications requires
1505 further understanding of the number of scientific, clinical, political and public interests and concerns
1506 [318].

1507 **Returning** individual results in human microbiome research can provide a valuable clinical
1508 tool for patient care management, but highlight the need to address how to manage the processes
1509 ethically and consider contextual factors that may be unique to human microbiome research [318].

1510 The issues highly relevant to microbiome biobanking were suggested [319] and should be
1511 addressed early on in microbiome research projects and also call for adjusting or developing new
1512 governance mechanism to better accommodate these changes: the nature of human microbiome
1513 samples and how different understandings have an impact on benefit/risk evaluation, privacy,
1514 informed consent, and returning the result to participants [319].

1515

1516 **4.5 Business model aspect of probiotic use: guarantees & warranties of quality of**
1517 **probiotic products**

1518 It has recently been reported that the content of many bifidobacterial probiotic products in the
1519 United States is different from the list of ingredients, sometimes at sub-species level. Only one out of
1520 16 probiotics perfectly matches its labels in all samples tested [320].

1521 Given the development of sophisticated business models in personalized medicine [321],
1522 probiotic treatment is strongly needed.

1523

1524

1525 **Abbreviations**

1526 metabolic syndrome (MetS)

1527 body mass index (BMI)

1528 waist circumference (WC)

1529 lactic acid bacteria (LAB)

1530 fat-enriched diet (FED)

1531 fructose-rich diet (FRD)

1532 high density lipoprotein (HDL)

1533 high density lipoprotein (HDL)

1534 interleukin (IL)

1535 lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

1536 peritoneal exudate macrophages (PEMs)

1537 short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)

1538 tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α)

1539 diabetes mellitus (DM)

1540 type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)

1541 fasting blood glucose (FBG)

1542 angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)

1543 reactive oxygen species (ROS)

1544 nitric oxide (NO)

1545 endothelin (EDN),

1546 cyclooxygenase (COX)

1547 adipose-derived contracting factor (ADCF)

1548 small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO)

1549 endothelial dysfunction (ED)

1550 nitric oxide (NO)

1551 endothelin (EDN)

1552 white adipose tissue (WAT)

1553 brown adipose tissue (BAT)

1554 perivascular adipose tissue (PVAT)

1555 computed tomography (CT)

1556 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

1557 ultrasound (US)

1558 small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO)

1559 non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)

1560 chronic kidney disease (CKD)

1561

1562

1563 **Author Contributions**

1564 RVB suggested the idea, did did the literature analysis, prepared discussion, formulated future
1565 outlooks, prepared the first draft and performed the second and final article drafting.

1566 MYS did the revision manuscript and data interpretation, did the contribution to the overall
1567 development of the studied topic. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

1568

1569 **Conflicts of Interest:** Declare conflicts of interest or state "The authors declare no conflict of
1570 interest."

1571

1572 **Ethics:** No human subjects or animals were included to the study. This study has been approved by
1573 the ethics committee of institutional review board and Special Academic Council on Doctoral Thesis
1574 of D.K. Zabolotny Institute of Microbiology and Virology of the National Academy of Sciences of
1575 Ukraine (protocol N 7 issued 03.07.2018).

1576

1577 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

1578

1579 **References**

- 1580 1. WHO: Obesity and overweight: Fact sheet N. 311.
<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/> Accessed 19 Mar 2018
- 1581 2. WHO, "Cardiovascular Disease," Fact sheet no. 317, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 2009,
<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/print.html>.
- 1582 3. Harsch IA, Konturek PC. The Role of Gut Microbiota in Obesity and Type 2 and Type 1 Diabetes
- 1583 Mellitus: New Insights into "Old" Diseases. *Med Sci (Basel)*. 2018 Apr 17;6(2). pii: E32. doi:
1584 10.3390/medsci6020032.
- 1585 4. Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human
- 1586 microbiome. *Nature* 2012;486:207-14.
- 1587 5. Parekh PJ, Balart LA, Johnson DA. The Influence of the Gut Microbiome on Obesity, Metabolic
- 1588 Syndrome and Gastrointestinal Disease. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. 2015 Jun 18;6:e91. doi:
1589 10.1038/ctg.2015.16.
- 1590 6. Aron-Wisnewsky J, Clément K. The gut microbiome, diet, and links to cardiometabolic and chronic
- 1591 disorders. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2016 Mar;12(3):169-81. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2015.191.
- 1592 7. Bubnov RV, Spivak MY, Lazarenko LM, Bomba A, Boyko NV. Probiotics and immunity: provisional
- 1593 role for personalized diets and disease prevention. *EPMA J*. 2015; 6:14.
- 1594 8. Reid G, Abrahamsson T, Bailey M, Bindels LB, Bubnov R, Ganguli K, Martoni C, O'Neill C, Savignac
- 1595 HM, Stanton C, Ship N, Surette M, Tuohy K, van Hemert S. How do probiotics and prebiotics function
- 1596 at distant sites? *Benef Microbes*. 2017 Jul 20;1:1-14. DOI 10.3920/BM2016.0222
- 1597 9. Lazarenko LM, Babenko LP, Bubnov RV, Demchenko OM, Zotsenko VM, Boyko NV, et al.
- 1598 Imunobiotics are the novel biotech drugs with antibacterial and Immunomodulatory properties.
- 1599 *Mikrobiol Z*. 2017; 79(1):66-75.
- 1600 10. Bubnov RV, Babenko LP, Lazarenko LM, Mokrozub VV, Demchenko OA, Nechypurenko OV, Spivak
- 1601 MY. Comparative study of probiotic effects of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacteria strains on cholesterol
- 1602 levels, liver morphology and the gut microbiota in mice. *EPMA J*. 2017 Oct 10;8(4):357-376. doi:
1603 10.1007/s13167-017-0117-3.
- 1604 11. Dao MC, Clément K. Gut microbiota and obesity: Concepts relevant to clinical care. *Eur J Intern Med*.
- 1605 2018 Feb;48:18-24. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2017.10.005.
- 1606 12. Khan MJ, Gerasimidis K, Edwards CA, Shaikh MG. Role of Gut Microbiota in the Aetiology of Obesity:
- 1607 Proposed Mechanisms and Review of the Literature *Journal of Obesity*. 2016 Sep 15; 2016: 7353642.
- 1608 13. Park S, Bae JH. Probiotics for weight loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Res*
- 1609 2015;35:566-575.
- 1610 14. Shen J, Obin MS, Zhao L. The gut microbiota, obesity and insulin resistance. *Mol Aspects Med*. 2013
- 1611 Feb; 34(1):39-58. *Epub* 2012 Nov 16.
- 1612 15. Arora T, Singh S, Sharma RK. Probiotics: interaction with gut microbiome and antiobesity potential.
- 1613 *Nutrition*. 2013; 29(4):591-6. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2012.07.017.
- 1614
- 1615

1616 16. Lumeng CN. Innate Immune Activation in Obesity. *Molecular aspects of medicine*. 2013;34(1):12-29.
1617 doi:10.1016/j.mam.2012.10.002.

1618 17. Chakraborti CK. New-found link between microbiota and obesity. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal*
1619 *Pathophysiology*. 2015;6(4):110-119. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i4.110.

1620 18. Luca F, Kupfer SS, Knights D, Khoruts A, Blekhman R. Functional Genomics of Host-Microbiome
1621 *Interactions in Humans*. *Trends Genet*. 2018 Jan;34(1):30-40. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2017.10.001.

1622 19. WHO/FAO scientific document.
http://who.int/foodsafety/fs_management/en/probiotic_guidelines.pdf. Accessed 11 Feb 2018

1623 20. Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, et al. Expert consensus document. The
1624 International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and
1625 appropriate use of the term probiotic. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014(8):506-14.
1626 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66>

1627 21. WGO UPDATES GUIDELINES ON PROBIOTICS AND PREBIOTICS
1628 <http://www.worldgastroenterology.org/UserFiles/file/guidelines/Probiotics-and-prebiotics-English201>
1629 7.pdf Accessed 28 June 2017

1630 22. EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2016. General scientific
1631 guidance for stakeholders on health claim applications. *EFSA Journal* 2016;14(1):4367 [38 pp.].
1632 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4367

1633 23. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Technical guidance - Update of the criteria used in the
1634 assessment of bacterial resistance to antibiotics of human or veterinary importance *EFSA J*. 2008,
1635 732:1-15. DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2008.732

1636 24. Golubnitschaja O, Baban B, Boniolo G, Wang W, Bubnov R, Kapalla M, et al. Medicine in the early
1637 twenty-first century: paradigm and anticipation - EPMA position paper 2016. *EPMA J*. 2016;7:23.

1638 25. Jobin C. Precision medicine using microbiota. *Science*. 2018 Jan 5; 359(6371):32-34. doi:
1639 10.1126/science.aar2946.

1640 26. Sanders ME, Merenstein DJ, Ouwehand AC, Reid G, Salminen S, Cabana MD, Paraskevacos G, Leyer
1641 G. 2016. Probiotic Use in At-Risk Populations. *J Amer Pharmacy Assoc*. 56:680-686.

1642 27. Gibson GR, Hutkins R, Sanders ME, Prescott SL, Reimer RA, Salminen SJ, et al. Expert consensus
1643 document: the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus
1644 statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017
1645 Aug;14(8):491-502. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.75.

1646 28. Rondanelli M, Faliva MA, Perna S, Giacosa A, Peroni, G, Castellazzi AM. Using probiotics in clinical
1647 practice: where are we now? A review of existing meta-analyses. *Gut microbes* 2017, 8(6), 521-543.
1648 DOI: 10.1080/19490976.2017.1345414

1649 29. Parker EA, Roy T, D'Adamo CR, Wieland LS. Probiotics and gastrointestinal conditions: An overview
1650 of evidence from the Cochrane Collaboration. *Nutrition*. 2018 Jan;45:125-134.e11. doi:
1651 10.1016/j.nut.2017.06.024.

1652

1653 30. Wilkins T, Sequoia J. Probiotics for Gastrointestinal Conditions: A Summary of the Evidence. *Am Fam Physician*. 2017 Aug 1;96(3):170-178.

1654 31. van den Nieuwboer M, Browne PD, Claassen E. Patient needs and research priorities in probiotics: A quantitative KOL prioritization analysis with emphasis on infants and children. *Pharma Nutrition*, 2016, 4(1): 19-28.

1655 32. Bubnov RV, Babenko LP, Lazarenko LM, Mokrozub VV, Spivak MY. Specific properties of probiotic 1656 strains: relevance and benefits for the host *EPMA J* 2018 Apr 13;9(2):205-223. doi: 10.1007/s13167-018-0132-z.

1657 33. Mokrozub VV, Lazarenko LM, Sichel LM, Bubnov RV, Spivak MY. The role of beneficial bacteria wall 1658 elasticity in regulating innate immune response. *EPMA J*. 2015;6:13.

1659 34. Savcheniuk OA, Virchenko OV, Falalyeyeva TM, Beregova Tetyana V, Babenko LP, Lazarenko LM, 1660 Demchenko OM, Bubnov RV, Spivak MY: The efficacy of probiotics for monosodium 1661 glutamate-induced obesity: dietology concerns and opportunities for prevention. *EPMA Journal* 2014, 1662 5:2.

1663 35. Konopelniuk VV, Goloborodko II, Ishchuk TV, Synelnyk TB, Ostapchenko LI, Spivak MYa, Bubnov 1664 RV. Efficacy of Fenugreek-based bionanocomposite on renal dysfunction and endogenous intoxication 1665 in high-calorie diet-induced obesity rat model—comparative study. *EPMA J*. 2017. 1666 doi:10.1007/s13167-017-0098-2

1667 36. Beregova TV, Neporada KS, Skrypnyk M, Falalyeyeva TM, Zholobak NM, Shcherbakov OB, Spivak 1668 MY, Bubnov RV. Efficacy of nanoceria for periodontal tissues alteration in glutamate-induced obese 1669 rats—multidisciplinary considerations for personalized dentistry and prevention. *EPMA J*. 2017 Mar 1670 14;8(1):43-49. doi: 10.1007/s13167-017-0085-7.

1671 37. Yefimenko OY, Savchenko YO, Falalyeyeva TM, Beregova TV, Zholobak NM, Spivak MY, 1672 Shcherbakov OB, Bubnov RV. Nanocrystalline cerium dioxide efficacy for gastrointestinal motility: 1673 potential for prokinetic treatment and prevention in elderly. *EPMA Journal* 2015, 6:6.

1674 38. Kobyliak NM, Falalyeyeva TM, Kuryk OG, Beregova TV, Bodnar PM, Zholobak NM, Shcherbakov OB, 1675 Bubnov RV, et al. Antioxidative effects of cerium dioxide nanoparticles ameliorate age-related male 1676 infertility: optimistic results in rats and the review of clinical clues for integrative concept of men 1677 health and fertility. *EPMA Journal* 2015, 6:1.

1678 39. Polak-Berecka M, Waśko A, Paduch R, Skrzypek T, Sroka-Bartnicka A. The effect of cell surface 1679 components on adhesion ability of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*. 1680 2014;106(4):751–62. doi: 10.1007/s10482-014-0245-x

1681 40. Reid G. Microbes in food to treat and prevent disease, *Expert Review of Precision Medicine and Drug* 1682 *Development*, 2018. DOI: 10.1080/23808993.2018.1429217

1683 41. Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Arora T. Nutrition, the gut microbiome and the metabolic syndrome. *Best* 1684 *Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. 2013 Feb;27(1):59-72. doi: 10.1016/j.bpg.2013.03.017.

1685 42. Veum VL, Laupsa-Borge J, Eng Ø, Rostrup E, Larsen TH, Nordrehaug JE, Nygård OK, Sagen JV, 1686 Gudbrandsen OA, Dankel SN, Mellgren G. Visceral adiposity and metabolic syndrome after very 1687 1688 1689 1690

1691 high-fat and low-fat isocaloric diets: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2017
1692 Jan;105(1):85-99. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.115.123463.

1693 43. Heinsen FA, Fangmann D, Müller N, Schulte DM, Rühlemann MC, Türk K, Settgast U, Lieb W, Baines
1694 JF, Schreiber S, Franke A, Laudes M. Beneficial Effects of a Dietary Weight Loss Intervention on
1695 Human Gut Microbiome Diversity and Metabolism Are Not Sustained during Weight Maintenance.
1696 *Obes Facts.* 2016;9(6):379-391. doi: 10.1159/000449506

1697 44. Zhang C, Li S, Yang L, Huang P, Li W, Wang S, Zhao G, Zhang M, Pang X, Yan Z, Liu Y, Zhao L.
1698 Structural modulation of gut microbiota in life-long calorie-restricted mice. *Nat Commun.* 2013;4:2163.
1699 doi: 10.1038/ncomms3163

1700 45. Jang C, Hui S, Lu W, Cowan AJ, Morscher RJ, Lee G, Liu W, Tesz GJ, Birnbaum MJ, Rabinowitz JD. The
1701 Small Intestine Converts Dietary Fructose into Glucose and Organic Acids. *Cell Metab.* 2018 Feb
1702 6;27(2):351-361.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.12.016.

1703 46. Wei X, Song M, Yin X, Schuschke DA, Koo I, McClain CJ, et al. Effects of dietary different doses of
1704 copper and high fructose feeding on rat fecal metabolome. *J Proteome Res.* (2015) 14:4050-8.
1705 doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00596

1706 47. Lambertz J, Weiskirchen S, Landert S, Weiskirchen R. Fructose: A Dietary Sugar in Crosstalk with
1707 Microbiota Contributing to the Development and Progression of Non-Alcoholic Liver Disease. *Front
1708 Immunol.* 2017 Sep 19;8:1159. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01159.

1709 48. Zubiría MG, Gambaro SE, Rey MA, Carasi P, Serradell MLÁ, Giovambattista A. Deleterious Metabolic
1710 Effects of High Fructose Intake: The Preventive Effect of Lactobacillus kefiri Administration.
1711 *Nutrients.* 2017 May 17;9(5). pii: E470. doi: 10.3390/nu9050470.

1712 49. Crescenzo R, Mazzoli A, Di Luccia B, Bianco F, Cancelliere R, Cigliano L, et al. Dietary fructose causes
1713 defective insulin signalling and ceramide accumulation in the liver that can be reversed by gut
1714 microbiota modulation. *Food Nutr Res.* (2017) 61(1):1331657. doi:10.1080/16546628.2017.1331657

1715 50. Zhao L, Zhang F, Ding X, Wu G, Lam YY, Wang X, Fu H, Xue X, Lu C, et al. Gut bacteria selectively
1716 promoted by dietary fibers alleviate type 2 diabetes. *Science* 2018; 359, 1151–1156. DOI:
1717 10.1126/science.ao5774

1718 51. Chakraborti CK. New-found link between microbiota and obesity. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal
1719 Pathophysiology.* 2015;6(4):110-119. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i4.110.

1720 52. Flint HJ, Scott KP, Duncan SH, Louis P, Forano E. Microbial degradation of complex carbohydrates in
1721 the gut. *Gut Microbes.* 2012 Jul-Aug;3(4):289-306. Epub 2012 May 10. Review.

1722 53. Morrison DJ, Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on
1723 human metabolism. *Gut Microbes* 2016; 7:189-200.

1724 54. Diniz YS, Faine LA, Galhardi CM, Rodrigues HG, Ebaid GX, Burneiko RC, Cicogna AC, Novelli EL.
1725 Monosodium glutamate in standard and high-fiber diets: metabolic syndrome and oxidative stress in
1726 rats *Nutrition.* 2005 Jun;21(6):749-55.

1727 55. Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Cai X, Trynka G, Cenit MC, Hrdlickova B, Zhong H, Vatanen T, Gevers D,
1728 Wijmenga C, Wang Y, Zhernakova A. The influence of a short-term gluten-free diet on the human gut
1729 microbiome. *Genome Med.* 2016 Apr 21;8(1):45. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-0295-y.

1730 56. Cederroth CR, Zimmermann C, Nef S. Soy, phytoestrogens and their impact on reproductive health.
1731 *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2012;355(2):192–200. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2011.05.049.

1732 57. Kreznar JH, Keller MP, Traeger LL, Rabaglia ME, Schueler KL, Stapleton DS, Zhao W, Vivas EI,
1733 Yandell BS, Broman AT, et al. Host Genotype and Gut Microbiome Modulate Insulin Secretion and
1734 Diet-Induced Metabolic Phenotypes. *Cell Rep.* 2017 Feb 14; 18(7):1739-1750.

1735 58. Ma D, Wang AC, Parikh I, Green SJ, Hoffman JD, Chlipala G, Murphy MP, Sokola BS, Bauer B, Hartz
1736 AMS, Lin AL. Ketogenic diet enhances neurovascular function with altered gut microbiome in
1737 young healthy mice. *Sci Rep.* 2018 Apr 27;8(1):6670. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-25190-5.

1738 59. Mischke M, Plösch T. The Gut Microbiota and their Metabolites: Potential Implications for the Host
1739 Epigenome. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2016;902:33-44. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-31248-4_3.

1740 60. Sprockett D, Fukami T, Relman DA. Role of priority effects in the early-life assembly of the gut
1741 microbiota. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018 Jan 24. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.173.

1742 61. Steegenga WT, Mischke M, Lute C, Boekschoten MV, Lendvai A, Pruis MG, Verkade HJ, van de
1743 Heijning BJ, Boekhorst J, Timmerman HM, Plösch T, Müller M, Hooiveld GJ. Maternal exposure to a
1744 Western-style diet causes differences in intestinal microbiota composition and gene expression of
1745 suckling mouse pups. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* 2017 Jan;61(1). doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201600141. Epub 2016 Jul
1746 12.

1747 62. Jimenez-Chillaron JC, Diaz R, Martinez D, Pentinat T, Ramon-Krauel M, Ribo S, Plösch T. The role
1748 of nutrition on epigenetic modifications and their implications on health. *Biochimie* 94: 2242-2263, 2012.

1749 63. Mischke M, Arora T, Tims S, Engels E, Sommer N, van Limpt K, Baars A, Oozeer R, Oosting A,
1750 Bäckhed F, Knol J. Specific synbiotics in early life protect against diet-induced obesity in adult mice.
1751 *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2018 Feb 20. doi: 10.1111/dom.13240.

1752 64. Reid G. Microbes in food to treat and prevent disease. *Expert Review of Precision Medicine and Drug*
1753 *Development* 2018, DOI: 10.1080/23808993.2018.1429217

1754 65. Zholobak NM, Sherbakov AB, Babenko LS, Bogorad-Kobelska OS, Bubnov RV, Ivanov VK, Spivak
1755 MY: The perspectives of biomedical application of the nanoceria. *EPMA J.* 2014, 5 (Suppl 1):
1756 A136-doi:10.1186/1878-5085-5-S1-A136

1757 66. Spivak MY, Bubnov RV, Yemets IM, Lazarenko LM, Timoshok NO, Ulberg ZR: Gold nanoparticles -
1758 the theranostic challenge for PPPM: nanocardiology application. *EPMA J.* 2013, 4 (1):
1759 18-10.1186/1878-5085-4-18.

1760 67. Spivak MY, Bubnov RV, Yemets IM, Lazarenko LM, Tymoshok NO, Ulberg ZR: Development and
1761 testing of gold nanoparticles for drug delivery and treatment of heart failure: a theranostic potential
1762 for PPP cardiology. *EPMA J.* 2013, 4 (1): 20-10.1186/1878-5085-4-20.

1763 68. Rodrigues RR, Greer RL, Dong X, DSouza KN, Gurung M, Wu JY, Morgun A, Shulzhenko N.

1764 Antibiotic-Induced Alterations in Gut Microbiota Are Associated with Changes in Glucose

1765 Metabolism in Healthy Mice. *Front Microbiol.* 2017 Nov 22; 8:2306. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02306.

1766 69. Penders J, Stobberingh EE, Savelkoul PHM, Wolffs PFG. The human microbiome as a reservoir of

1767 antimicrobial resistance. *Front. Microbiol.* 2013;4:87. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00087.

1768 70. D'Aimmo MR, Modesto M, Biavati B. Antibiotic resistance of lactic acid bacteria and *Bifidobacterium*

1769 spp. isolated from dairy and pharmaceutical products. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2007 Apr 1;115(1):35-42.

1770 71. Nogacka AM, Salazar N, Arboleya S, Suárez M, Fernández N, Solís G, de Los Reyes-Gavilán CG,

1771 Gueimonde M. Early microbiota, antibiotics and health. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2018 Jan;75(1):83-91. doi:

1772 10.1007/s00018-017-2670-2.

1773 72. Koppel N, Maini Rekdal V, Balskus EP. Chemical transformation of xenobiotics by the human gut

1774 microbiota. *Science.* 2017;356(6344).

1775 73. Flint HJ. Gut microbial metabolites in health and disease. *Gut Microbes.* 2016 May 3;7(3):187-8. doi:

1776 10.1080/19490976.2016.1182295.

1777 74. Lebeer S, Bron PA, Marco ML, VanPijkeren JP, O'Connell Motherway M, Hill C, Pot B, Roos S,

1778 Klaenhammer T. Identification of probiotic effector molecules: present state and future perspectives.

1779 *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2017 Nov 15;49:217-223. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2017.10.007.

1780 75. Plaza-Díaz J, Robles-Sánchez C, Abadía-Molina F, Sáez-Lara MJ, Vilchez-Padial LM, Gil Á,

1781 Gómez-Llorente C, Fontana L. Gene expression profiling in the intestinal mucosa of obese rats

1782 administered probiotic bacteria. *Sci Data.* 2017 Dec 12;4:170186. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2017.186.

1783 76. van Baarlen P, Troost F, van der Meer C, Hooiveld G, Boekschenen M, Brummer RJ, Kleerebezem M.

1784 Human mucosal in vivo transcriptome responses to three lactobacilli indicate how probiotics may

1785 modulate human cellular pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011 Mar 15;108 Suppl 1:4562-9. doi:

1786 10.1073/pnas.1000079107.

1787 77. Biagi E, Franceschi C, Rampelli S, Severgnini M, Ostan R, Turroni S, Consolandi C, Quercia S, Scurti M,

1788 Monti D, Capri M, Brigidi P, Candela M. Gut Microbiota and Extreme Longevity. *Current Biology*

1789 2016, 26:1480–1485.

1790 78. Strachan DP. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. *BMJ.* 1989;299:1259–60.

1791 79. Halling ML, Kjeldsen J, Knudsen T, Nielsen J, Hansen LK. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease

1792 have increased risk of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. *World Journal of Gastroenterology.*

1793 2017;23(33):6137-6146. doi:10.3748/wjg.v23.i33.6137.

1794 80. Vieira SM, Pagovich OE, Kriegel MA. Diet, microbiota and autoimmune diseases. *Lupus.*

1795 2014;23:518–526.

1796 81. Hotamisligil GS. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. *Nature.* 2006 Dec 14;444(7121):860-7.

1797 82. Tymoshok NO, Lazarenko LM, Bubnov RV, Shynkarenko LN, Babenko LP, Mokrozub VV, et al. New

1798 aspects the regulation of immune response through balance Th1/Th2 cytokines. *EPMA J.* 2014;5 Suppl

1799 1:A134.

1800 83. Mohamadzadeh M, Pfeiler EA, Brown JB, Zadeh M, Gramarossa M, Managlia E, Bere P, Sarraj B, Khan
1801 MW, Pakanati KC, Ansari MJ, O'Flaherty S, Barrett T, Klaenhammer TR. Regulation of induced colonic
1802 inflammation by Lactobacillus acidophilus deficient in lipoteichoic acid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
1803 2011 Mar 15;108 Suppl 1:4623-30. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005066107.

1804 84. Sheil B, MacSharry J, O'Callaghan L, O'Riordan A, Waters A, Morgan J, Collins JK, O'Mahony L,
1805 Shanahan F. Role of interleukin (IL-10) in probiotic-mediated immune modulation: an assessment in
1806 wild-type and IL-10 knock-out mice. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2006 May;144(2):273-80.

1807 85. Zheng Y, Valdez PA, Danilenko DM, Hu Y, Sa SM, Gong Q, Abbas AR, Modrusan Z, Ghilardi N, de
1808 Sauvage FJ, Ouyang W. Interleukin-22 mediates early host defense against attaching and effacing
1809 bacterial pathogens. *Nat Med*. 2008 Mar;14(3):282-9. doi: 10.1038/nm1720.

1810 86. Fåk F, Bäckhed F. Lactobacillus reuteri prevents diet-induced obesity, but not atherosclerosis, in a
1811 strain dependent fashion in Apoe/- mice. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e46837. doi:
1812 10.1371/journal.pone.0046837.

1813 87. Cani PD, Delzenne NM. Interplay between obesity and associated metabolic disorders: new insights
1814 into the gut microbiota. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2009 Dec; 9(6):737-43.

1815 88. Hessle C, Andersson B, Wold AE. Gram-positive bacteria are potent inducers of monocytic
1816 interleukin-12 (IL-12) while gram-negative bacteria preferentially stimulate IL-10 production. *Infect*
1817 *Immun* 2000;68(6):3581-6.

1818 89. Cebioglu M, Schild HH, Golubnitschaja O: Cancer predisposition in diabetics: risk factors considered
1819 for predictive diagnostics and targeted preventive measures. *EPMA J*. 2010, 1 (1): 130-137.
1820 10.1007/s13167-010-0015-4.

1821 90. Lazarenko LM, Nikitina OE, Nikitin EV, Demchenko OM, Kovtonyuk GV, Ganova LO, Bubnov RV,
1822 Shevchuk VO, Nastradina NM, Bila VV, Spivak MY: Development of biomarker panel to predict,
1823 prevent and create treatments tailored to the persons with human papillomavirus-induced cervical
1824 precancerous lesions. *EPMA J*. 2014 Jan 6;5(1):1. doi: 10.1186/1878-5085-5-1.

1825 91. Damms-Machado A, Louis S, Schnitzer A, Volynets V, Rings A, Basrai M, Bischoff SC. Gut
1826 permeability is related to body weight, fatty liver disease, and insulin resistance in obese individuals
1827 undergoing weight reduction. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2017 Jan;105(1):127-135. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.116.131110.

1828 92. Xiao S, Fei N, Pang X, Shen J, Wang L, Zhang B, Zhang M, Zhang X, Zhang C, Li M, et al. A gut
1829 microbiota-targeted dietary intervention for amelioration of chronic inflammation underlying
1830 metabolic syndrome. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2014;87:357-67.

1831 93. Furukawa S, Fujita T, Shimabukuro M, et al. Increased oxidative stress in obesity and its impact on
1832 metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Invest*. 2004;114(12):1752-1761. doi:10.1172/JCI200421625.

1833 94. Huang C-J, McAllister MJ, Slusher AL, Webb HE, Mock JT, Acevedo EO. Obesity-Related Oxidative
1834 Stress: the Impact of Physical Activity and Diet Manipulation. *Sports Med Open*. 2015 Dec; 1: 32.
1835 doi:10.1186/s40798-015-0031-y.

1836 95. Wang Y, Kirpich I, Liu Y, Ma Z, Barve S, McClain CJ, Feng W. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG treatment
1837 potentiates intestinal hypoxia-inducible factor, promotes intestinal integrity and ameliorates
1838 alcohol-induced liver injury. *Am J Pathol.* 2011 Dec;179(6):2866-75. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.08.039.

1839 96. Chakraborti CK. New-found link between microbiota and obesity. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal*
1840 *Pathophysiology.* 2015;6(4):110-119. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i4.110.

1841 97. Abdallah Ismail N, Ragab SH, Abd Elbaky A, Shoeib AR, Alhosary Y, Fekry D. Frequency of
1842 Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in gut microbiota in obese and normal weight Egyptian children and
1843 adults. *Arch Med Sci.* 2011 Jun; 7(3):501-7.

1844 98. Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes GR, et al.
1845 Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature.* 2011 May 12;473(7346):174-80. doi:
1846 10.1038/nature09944. Epub 2011 Apr 20. Erratum in: *Nature.* 2011 Jun 30;474(7353):666. *Nature.* 2014
1847 Feb 27;506(7489):516.

1848 99. Roager HM, Licht TR, Poulsen SK, Larsen TM, Bahl MI. Microbial Enterotypes, Inferred by the
1849 Prevotella-to-Bacteroides Ratio, Remained Stable during a 6-Month Randomized Controlled Diet
1850 Intervention with the New Nordic Diet. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2014 Feb; 80(3): 1142–1149.
1851 doi:10.1128/AEM.03549-13.

1852 100. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut
1853 microbiome. *Nature.* 2014;505(7484):559-563. doi:10.1038/nature12820.

1854 101. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, Ramazzotti M, Poulet JB, Massart S, et al. Impact of diet in
1855 shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa

1856 102. Cockburn DW, Koropatkin NM. Polysaccharide degradation by the intestinal microbiota and its
1857 influence on human health and disease, *Journal of Molecular Biology* (2016), doi:
1858 10.1016/j.jmb.2016.06.02

1859 103. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. Human gut
1860 microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature* 2012; 486:222–7.
1861 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11053>.

1862 104. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, et al. Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a
1863 comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. . *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
1864 2010;107:14691–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005963107>.

1865 105. Chakraborti CK. New-found link between microbiota and obesity. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal*
1866 *Pathophysiology.* 2015;6(4):110-119. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i4.110.

1867 106. Abdallah Ismail N, Ragab SH, Abd Elbaky A, Shoeib AR, Alhosary Y, Fekry D. Frequency of
1868 Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in gut microbiota in obese and normal weight Egyptian children and
1869 adults. *Arch Med Sci.* 2011 Jun; 7(3):501-7.

1870 107. Jazayeri O, Daghighi SM, Rezaee F, Lifestyle alters GUT-bacteria function: Linking immune response
1871 and host, *Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.bpg.2017.09.009.

1872 108. Ley RE, Bäckhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JI. Obesity alters gut microbial
1873 ecology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005 Aug 2; 102(31):11070-5.

1874 109. Louis S, Tappu RM, Damms-Machado A, Huson DH, Bischoff SC. Characterization of the Gut

1875 Microbial Community of Obese Patients Following a Weight-Loss Intervention Using Whole

1876 Metagenome Shotgun Sequencing. *PLoS One*. 2016 Feb 26;11(2):e0149564. doi:

1877 10.1371/journal.pone.0149564.

1878 110. Grazul H, Kanda LL, Gondek D. Impact of probiotic supplements on microbiome diversity following

1879 antibiotic treatment of mice. *Gut Microbes*. 2016;7(2):101-14. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2016.1138197.

1880 111. del Campo R, Garriga M, Pérez-Aragón A, Guallarte P, Lamas A, Máiz L, Bayón C, Roy G, Cantón R,

1881 Zamora J, Baquero F, Suárez L. Improvement of digestive health and reduction in proteobacterial

1882 populations in the gut microbiota of cystic fibrosis patients using a *Lactobacillus reuteri* probiotic

1883 preparation: a double blind prospective study. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2014 Dec;13(6):716-22. doi:

1884 10.1016/j.jcf.2014.02.007.

1885 112. Morgan XC, Segata N, Huttenhower C. Biodiversity and functional genomics in the human

1886 microbiome. *Trends Genet*. 2013 Jan; 29(1):51-8. Epub 2012 Nov 7.

1887 113. Kong LC, Holmes BA, Cotillard A, Habi-Rachedi F, Brazeilles R, Gougis S, et al. Dietary patterns

1888 differently associate with inflammation and gut microbiota in overweight and obese subjects. *PLoS*

1889 *ONE* 2014;9:e109434. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109434>.

1890 114. Cotillard A, Kennedy SP, Kong LC, Prifti E, Pons N, Le Chatelier E, et al. Dietary intervention impact

1891 on gut microbial gene richness. *Nature* 2013;500:585–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12480>.

1892 115. Beaumont M, Goodrich JK, Jackson MA, Yet I, Davenport ER, Vieira-Silva S, et al. Heritable

1893 components of the human fecal microbiome are associated with visceral fat. *Genome Biol*

1894 2016;17:189 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1052-7>.

1895 116. Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, Prifti E, Hildebrand F, Falony G, Almeida M, Arumugam M, Batto JM,

1896 Kennedy S, Leonard P, et al. Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers.

1897 *Nature* 2013; 500, 541–546. doi: 10.1038/nature12506.

1898 117. Mikelsaar M, Sepp E, Štšepetova J, Songisepp E, Mändar R. Biodiversity of Intestinal Lactic Acid

1899 Bacteria in the Healthy Population. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2016;932:1-64. Review.

1900 118. Tucker CM, Fukami T. Environmental variability counteracts priority effects to facilitate species

1901 coexistence: evidence from nectar microbes. *Proc Biol Sci*. 2014 Jan 15;281(1778):20132637. doi:

1902 10.1098/rspb.2013.2637.

1903 119. Jiang TT, Shao TY, Ang WXG, Kinder JM, Turner LH, Pham G, Whitt J, Alenghat T, Way SS.

1904 Commensal Fungi Recapitulate the Protective Benefits of Intestinal Bacteria Cell Host Microbe. 2017

1905 Dec 13;22(6):809-816.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2017.10.013.

1906 120. Ilavenil S, Park HS, Vijayakumar M, Arasu MV, Kim DH, Ravikumar S, Choi KC. Probiotic Potential of

1907 *Lactobacillus* Strains with Antifungal Activity Isolated from Animal Manure. *ScientificWorldJournal*.

1908 2015;2015:802570. doi: 10.1155/2015/802570.

1909 121. Ward, T. L., Knights, D. & Gale, C. A. Infant fungal communities: current knowledge and research

1910 opportunities. *BMC Med*. 15, 30 (2017).

1911 122. Rizzetto L, De Filippo C, Cavalieri D. Richness and diversity of mammalian fungal communities shape
1912 innate and adaptive immunity in health and disease. *Eur J Immunol.* 2014 Nov; 44(11):3166-81. Epub
1913 2014 Oct 30.

1914 123. Iliev ID, Leonardi I. Fungal dysbiosis: immunity and interactions at mucosal barriers. *Nat Rev*
1915 *Immunol.* 2017 Oct;17(10):635-646. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.55.

1916 124. Babenko LP, Lazarenko LM, Shynkarenko LM, Mokrozub VV, Pidgorskyi VS, Spivak MY. The effect of
1917 lacto- and bifidobacteria compositions on the vaginal microflora in cases of intravaginal
1918 staphylococcosis. *Mikrobiol Z.* 2012 Nov-Dec;74(6):80-9.

1919 125. Hummelen R, Macklaim JM, Bisanz JE, Hammond J-A, McMillan A, et al. (2011) Vaginal Microbiome
1920 and Epithelial Gene Array in Post-Menopausal Women with Moderate to Severe Dryness. *PLoS ONE*
1921 6(11): e26602. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026602

1922 126. Bisanz JE, Seney S, McMillan A, Vongsa R, Koenig D, et al. A Systems Biology Approach Investigating
1923 the Effect of Probiotics on the Vaginal Microbiome and Host Responses in a Double Blind,
1924 Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Post-Menopausal Women. *PLoS ONE* 2014; 9(8): e104511.
1925 doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0104511

1926 127. Subramaniam A, Kumar R, Cliver SP, et al. Vaginal Microbiota in Pregnancy: Evaluation Based on
1927 Vaginal Flora, Birth Outcome, and Race. *American journal of perinatology.* 2016;33(4):401-408.
1928 doi:10.1055/s-0035-1565919.

1929 128. Mändar R, Punab M, Borovkova N, Lapp E, Kiiker R, Korrovits P, et al. Complementary
1930 seminovaginal microbiome in couples. *Res Microbiol.* 2015;166(5):440-7.

1931 129. Reece AS. Dying for love: Perimenopausal degeneration of vaginal microbiome drives the chronic
1932 inflammation-malignant transformation of benign prostatic hyperplasia to prostatic adenocarcinoma.
1933 *Med Hypotheses.* 2017 Apr;101:44-47. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2017.02.006.

1934 130. Mändar R, Punab M, Borovkova N, Lapp E, Kiiker R, Korrovits P, et al. Complementary
1935 seminovaginal microbiome in couples. *Res Microbiol.* 2015;166(5):440-7.

1936 131. Plummer EL, Vodstrcil LA, Danielewski JA, Murray GL, Fairley CK, Garland SM, Hocking JS, Tabrizi
1937 SN, Bradshaw CS. Combined oral and topical antimicrobial therapy for male partners of women with
1938 bacterial vaginosis: Acceptability, tolerability and impact on the genital microbiota of couples - A pilot
1939 study. *PLoS One.* 2018 Jan 2;13(1):e0190199. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190199.

1940 132. Reid G. Is bacterial vaginosis a disease? *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2018 Jan;102(2):553-558. doi:
1941 10.1007/s00253-017-8659-9.

1942 133. Verma D, Garg PK, Dubey AK. Insights into the human oral microbiome. *Arch Microbiol.* 2018 Mar 23.
1943 doi: 10.1007/s00203-018-1505-3.

1944 134. Nowicki EM, Shroff R, Singleton JA, Renaud DE, Wallace D, Drury J, Zirnheld J, Colletti B, Ellington
1945 AD, Lamont RJ, Scott DA, Whiteley M. 2018. Microbiota and metatranscriptome changes
1946 accompanying the onset of gingivitis. *mBio* 9:e00575-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00575-18>.

1947 135. Goodson JM, Groppo D, Halem S, Carpino E. Is obesity an oral bacterial disease? *J Dent Res.*
1948 2009;88(6):519-23. doi: 10.1177/0022034509338353.

1949 136. Tobita K, Watanabe I, Tomokiyo M, Saito M. Effects of heat-treated *Lactobacillus crispatus* KT-11
1950 strain consumption on improvement of oral cavity environment: a randomised double-blind clinical
1951 trial. *Benef Microbes*. 2018 Apr 10:1-8. doi: 10.3920/BM2017.0137.

1952 137. Grice EA, Segre JA. The skin microbiome. *Nature reviews Microbiology*. 2011;9(4):244-253.
1953 doi:10.1038/nrmicro2537.

1954 138. Sanford JA, Gallo RL. Functions of the skin microbiota in health and disease. *Seminars in immunology*.
1955 2013;25(5):370-377. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2013.09.005.

1956 139. Opazo MC, Ortega-Rocha EM, Coronado-Arrázola I, Bonifaz LC, Boudin H, Neunlist M, Bueno SM,
1957 Kalergis AM, Riedel CA. Intestinal Microbiota Influences Non-intestinal Related Autoimmune
1958 Diseases *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018 Mar 12; 9: 432

1959 140. Rizzetto L, De Filippo C, Cavalieri D. Richness and diversity of mammalian fungal communities shape
1960 innate and adaptive immunity in health and disease. *Eur J Immunol*. 2014 Nov; 44(11):3166-81.

1961 141. Moiseyenko YV, Sukhorukov VI, Pyshnov GY, Mankovska IM, Rozova KV, Miroshnychenko OA,
1962 Kovalevska OE, Madjar SA, Bubnov RV, Gorbach AO, Danylenko KM, Moiseyenko OI. -Antarctica
1963 challenges the new horizons in predictive, preventive, personalized medicine: preliminary results and
1964 attractive hypotheses for multi-disciplinary prospective studies in the Ukrainian "Akademik
1965 Vernadsky" station. *EPMA J*. 2016 May 31;7:11. doi: 10.1186/s13167-016-0060-8.

1966 142. Tan L, Zhao S, Zhu W, Wu L, Li J, Shen M, Lei L, Chen X, Peng C. The *Akkermansia muciniphila* is a
1967 gut microbiota signature in psoriasis. *Exp Dermatol*. 2018 Feb;27(2):144-149. doi: 10.1111/exd.13463.

1968 143. Stolzenburg-Veeser L, Golubnitschaja O. Mini-encyclopaedia of the wound healing - Opportunities for
1969 integrating multi-omic approaches into medical practice. *J Proteomics*. 2017 Jul 28. pii:
1970 S1874-3919(17)30261-0. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2017.07.017.

1971 144. Lukic J, Chen V, Strahinic I, Begovic J, Lev-Tov H, Davis SC, Tomic-Canic M, Pastar I. Probiotics or
1972 pro-healers: the role of beneficial bacteria in tissue repair. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2017
1973 Nov;25(6):912-922. doi: 10.1111/wrr.12607.

1974 145. Mohammedsaeed W, Cruickshank S, McBain AJ, O'Neill CA. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG lysate
1975 increases re-epithelialization of keratinocyte scratch assays by promoting migration. *Sci Rep*
1976 2015;5:16147.

1977 146. Poutahidis T, Kearney SM, Levkovich T, Qi P, Varian BJ, Lakritz JR, et al. (2013) Microbial Symbionts
1978 Accelerate Wound Healing via the Neuropeptide Hormone Oxytocin. *PLoS ONE* 8(10): e78898.
1979 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078898>

1980 147. Cusack, S.; O'Sullivan, O.; Greene-Diniz, R.; de Weerd, H.; Flannery, E.; Marchesi, J.R.; Falush, D.;
1981 Dinan, T.; Fitzgerald, G.; et al. Composition, variability, and temporal stability of the intestinal
1982 microbiota of the elderly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108 (Suppl. S1), 4586–4591.

1983 148. Claesson, M.J.; Jeffery, I.B.; Conde, S.; Power, S.E.; O'Connor, E.M.; Cusack, S.; Harris, H.M.; Coakley,
1984 M.; Lakshminarayanan, B.; O'Sullivan, O.; et al. Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and
1985 health in the elderly. *Nature* 2012, 488, 178–184.

1986 149. Poutahidis T, Kleinewietfeld M, Smillie C, Levkovich T, Perrotta A, Bhela S, Varian BJ, Ibrahim YM,

1987 Lakritz JR, Kearney SM, Chatzigiagkos A, Hafler DA, Alm EJ, Erdman SE. Microbial reprogramming

1988 inhibits Western diet-associated obesity. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e68596.

1989 150. Ferolla SM, Couto CA, Costa-Silva L, Armiliato GN, Pereira CA, Martins FS, Ferrari Mde L, Vilela EG,

1990 Torres HO, Cunha AS, Ferrari TC. Beneficial Effect of Synbiotic Supplementation on Hepatic Steatosis

1991 and Anthropometric Parameters, But Not on Gut Permeability in a Population with Nonalcoholic

1992 Steatohepatitis. *Nutrients*. 2016 Jun 28;8(7). pii: E397. doi: 10.3390/nu8070397.

1993 151. Behrouz V, Jazayeri S, Aryaeian N, Zahedi MJ, Hosseini F. Effects of Probiotic and Prebiotic

1994 Supplementation on Leptin, Adiponectin, and Glycemic Parameters in Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver

1995 Disease: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Middle East J Dig Dis*. 2017 Jul;9(3):150-157. doi:

1996 10.15171/mejdd.2017.66.

1997 152. WHO, Global brief on hypertension. 2013

1998 http://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/publications/global_brief_hypertension/en/ Accessed 20

1999 Aug 2018.

2000 153. Xu J, Ahrén IL, Olsson C, Jeppsson B, Ahrné S, Molin G. Oral and faecal microbiota in volunteers with

2001 hypertension in a double blind, randomised placebo controlled trial with probiotics and fermented

2002 bilberries. *J Funct Foods* 2015; 18:275–288.

2003 154. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Hyyrynen J, Korpela R, Karhunen ML, Mikkola L, Jauhainen T, Seppo L,

2004 Nissinen A. Effect of ingesting sour milk fermented by *Lactobacillus helveticus* bacteria on blood

2005 pressure in subjects with mild hypertension. *J. Human Hyperten*. 2004;18:795–802.

2006 155. Esposito E, Iacono A, Bianco G, Autore G, Cuzzocrea S, Vajro P, Canani RB, Calignano A, Raso GM,

2007 Meli R. Probiotics reduce the inflammatory response induced by a high-fat diet in the liver of young

2008 rats. *J Nutr*. 2009 May;139(5):905-11. doi: 10.3945/jn.108.101808.

2009 156. Chakraborti CK. New-found link between microbiota and obesity. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal*

2010 *Pathophysiology*. 2015;6(4):110-119. doi:10.4291/wjgp.v6.i4.110.

2011 157. Zhang Q, Wu Y, Fei X. Effect of probiotics on glucose metabolism in patients with type 2 diabetes

2012 mellitus: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2016;52(1):28-34. doi:

2013 10.1016/j.medici.2015.11.008.

2014 158. Gu Y, Wang X, Li J, Zhang Y, Zhong H, Liu R, et al. Analyses of 1149 gut microbiota and plasma bile

2015 acids enable stratification of patients for antidiabetic treatment. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8(1):1785. 1151

2016 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01682-2>.

2017 159. Bubnov R.V., Ostapenko T.V. Ultrasound diagnosis for diabetic neuropathy - comparative study.

2018 *EPMA Journal* 7 (Suppl 1): A12

2019 160. Lazarenko, L.; Melnikova, O.; Babenko, L.; Bubnov, R.; Beregovaya, T.; Falalyeyeva, T.; Spivak, M.

2020 *Lactobacillus and Bifidobacteria Probiotic Strains Improve Glycemic and Inflammation Profiles in*

2021 *Obesity Model in Mice. Preprints* 2018, 2018080169 (doi: 10.20944/preprints201808.0169.v1).

2022 161. Tilg H, Cani PD and Mayer EA. Gut microbiome and liver diseases. *Gut* 2016; 65: 2035–2044.

2023 162. Qin N, Yang F, Li A, Prifti E, Chen Y, Shao L, Guo J, Le Chatelier E, Yao J, Wu L, Zhou J, Ni S, Liu L,

2024 Pons N, Batto JM, Kennedy SP, Leonard P, Yuan C, Ding W, Chen Y, Hu X, Zheng B, Qian G, Xu W,

2025 Ehrlich SD, Zheng S, Li L. Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. *Nature*. 2014 Sep

2026 4;513(7516):59-64. doi: 10.1038/nature13568.

2027 163. Codella R, Luzi L, Terruzzi I. Exercise has the guts: How physical activity may positively modulate gut

2028 microbiota in chronic and immune-based diseases. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2018 Apr;50(4):331-341. doi:

2029 10.1016/j.dld.2017.11.016.

2030 164. Rincón D, Vaquero J, Hernando A, Galindo E, Ripoll C, Puerto M, Salcedo M, Francés R, Matilla A,

2031 Catalina MV, et al. Oral probiotic VSL#3 attenuates the circulatory disturbances of patients with

2032 cirrhosis and ascites. *Liver Int*. 2014 Nov; 34(10):1504-12. Epub 2014 Apr 4.

2033 165. Marlicz W, Wunsch E, Mydlowska M, Milkiewicz M, Serwin K, Mularczyk M, Milkiewicz P,

2034 Raszeja-Wyszomirska J. The effect of short term treatment with probiotic VSL#3 on various clinical

2035 and biochemical parameters in patients with liver cirrhosis. *J Physiol Pharmacol*. 2016

2036 Dec;67(6):867-877.

2037 166. Kondo S, Kamei A, Xiao JZ, Iwatsuki K, Abe K. *Bifidobacterium breve* B-3 exerts metabolic

2038 syndrome-suppressing effects in the liver of diet-induced obese mice: a DNA microarray analysis.

2039 *Benef Microbes*. 2013; (3):247-51. doi: 10.3920/BM2012.0019

2040 167. Tian F, Chi F, Wang G, Liu X, Zhang Q, Chen Y, et al. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* CCFM1107 treatment

2041 ameliorates alcohol-induced liver injury in a mouse model of chronic alcohol feeding. *J Microbiol*. 2015;

2042 53(12):856-63. doi: 10.1007/s12275-015-5239-5.

2043 168. Nido SA, Shituleni SA, Mengistu BM, Liu Y, Khan AZ, Gan F, et al. Effects of Selenium-Enriched

2044 Probiotics on Lipid Metabolism, Antioxidative Status, Histopathological Lesions, and Related Gene

2045 Expression in Mice Fed a High-Fat Diet. *Biol Trace Elem Res*. 2016; 171(2):399-409. doi:

2046 10.1007/s12011-015-0552-8

2047 169. Wang LX, Liu K, Gao DW, Hao JK. Protective effects of two *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains in

2048 hyperlipidemic mice. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2013; 28;19(20):3150-6. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i20.3150

2049 170. Tarantino G, Finelli C. Systematic review on intervention with prebiotics/probiotics in patients with

2050 obesity-related nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Future Microbiol*. 2015;10(5):889-902. doi:

2051 10.2217/fmb.15.13

2052 171. Bubnov RV, Drahulian MV, Buchek PV, Gulko TP. High regenerative capacity of the liver and

2053 irreversible injury of male reproductive system in carbon tetrachloride-induced liver fibrosis rat model.

2054 *EPMA J*. 2017 Oct 11;9(1):59-75. doi: 10.1007/s13167-017-0115-5.

2055 172. Kobyliak N, Virchenko O, Falalyeyeva T, Kondro M, Beregova T, Bodnar P, Shcherbakov O, Bubnov R,

2056 Caprnida M, Delev D, Sabo J, Kruzliak P, Rodrigo L, Opatrilova R, Spivak M. Cerium dioxide

2057 nanoparticles possess anti-inflammatory properties in the conditions of the obesity-associated NAFLD

2058 in rats. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2017 Jun;90:608-614. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.03.099.

2059 173. Jegatheesan P, Beutheu S, Freese K, Waligora-Dupriet AJ, Nubret E, Butel MJ, et al. Preventive effects
2060 of citrulline on Western diet-induced non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in rats. *Br J Nutr* (2016)
2061 116:191–203. doi:10.1017/S0007114516001793

2062 174. Thomas H. NAFLD: A gut microbiome signature for advanced fibrosis diagnosis in NAFLD. *Nat Rev
2063 Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017 May 17. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.67.

2064 175. Nie YF, Hu J, Yan XH. Cross-talk between bile acids and intestinal microbiota in host metabolism and
2065 health. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B*. 2015 Jun; 16(6):436-46. doi: 10.1631/jzus.B1400327

2066 176. Park MY, Kim SJ, Ko EK, Ahn SH, Seo H, Sung MK. Gut microbiota-associated bile acid deconjugation
2067 accelerates hepatic steatosis in ob/ob mice. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2016 Sep; 121(3):800-10.

2068 177. Gu Y, Wang X, Li J, Zhang Y, Zhong H, Liu R, Zhang D, Feng Q, Xie X, Hong J, Ren H, Liu W, Ma J, Su
2069 Q, Zhang H, Yang J, Wang X, Zhao X, Gu W, Bi Y, Peng Y, Xu X, Xia H, Li F, Xu X, Yang H, Xu G,
2070 Madsen L, Kristiansen K, Ning G, Wang W. Analyses of gut microbiota and plasma bile acids enable
2071 stratification of patients for antidiabetic treatment. *Nat Commun*. 2017 Nov 27;8(1):1785. doi:
2072 10.1038/s41467-017-01682-2.

2073 178. Lal N, Mehra S, Lal V. Ultrasonographic measurement of normal common bile duct diameter and its
2074 correlation with age, sex and anthropometry. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2014 Dec;8(12):AC01-4. doi:
2075 10.7860/JCDR/2014/8738.5232.

2076 179. Wu CC, Weng WL, Lai WL, Tsai HP, Liu WH, Lee MH, et al. Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* Strain
2077 K21 on High-Fat Diet-Fed Obese Mice. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2015;2015:391767. doi:
2078 10.1155/2015/391767

2079 180. Million M, Angelakis E, Paul M, Armougom F, Leibovici L, Raoult D. Comparative meta-analysis of
2080 the effect of *Lactobacillus* species on weight gain in humans and animals. *Microb Pathog*. 2012;
2081 53(2):100-8. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2012.05.007.

2082 181. Michael DR, Davies TS, Moss JWE, Calvente DL, Ramji DP, Marchesi JR, Pechlivanis A, Plummer SF,
2083 Hughes TR. The anti-cholesterolaemic effect of a consortium of probiotics: An acute study in C57BL/6J
2084 mice. *Sci Rep*. 2017 Jun 6;7(1):2883. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02889-5.

2085 182. Arora T, Anastasovska J, Gibson G, Tuohy K, Sharma RK, Bell J, et al. Effect of *Lactobacillus
2086 acidophilus* NCDC 13 supplementation on the progression of obesity in diet-induced obese mice. *Br J
2087 Nutr*. 2012 Oct 28;108(8):1382-9. doi: 10.1017/S0007114511006957.

2088 183. Vinderola G, Gueimonde M, Gomez-Gallego C, Defederico L, Salminen S. Correlation between in vitro
2089 and in vivo assays in selection of probiotics from traditional species of bacteria. *Trends in Food Science
2090 & Technology* 2017; 68: 83–90. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.08.005.

2091 184. Fijan S. Microorganisms with Claimed Probiotic Properties: An Overview of Recent Literature.
2092 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2014;11(5):4745-4767.
2093 doi:10.3390/ijerph110504745.

2094 185. Câmara NO, Iseki K, Kramer H, Liu ZH, Sharma K. Kidney disease and obesity: epidemiology,
2095 mechanisms and treatment. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2017 Mar;13(3):181-190. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2016.191.
2096 Review.

2097 186. Babb AL, Ahmad S, Bergström J, Scribner BH. The middle molecule hypothesis in perspective. *Am J*
2098 *Kidney Dis.* 1981 Jul;1(1):46-50.

2099 187. Vanholder R, Van Laecke S, Glorieux G. The middle-molecule hypothesis 30 years after: lost and
2100 rediscovered in the universe of uremic toxicity? *J Nephrol.* 2008 Mar-Apr;21(2):146-60. Review.

2101 188. Castillo-Rodriguez E, Fernandez-Prado R, Esteras R, Perez-Gomez MV, Gracia-Iguacel C,
2102 Fernandez-Fernandez B, Kanbay M, Tejedor A, Lazaro A, Ruiz-Ortega M, Gonzalez-Parra E, Sanz AB,
2103 Ortiz A, Sanchez-Niño MD. Impact of Altered Intestinal Microbiota on Chronic Kidney Disease
2104 Progression. *Toxins (Basel).* 2018 Jul 19;10(7). pii: E300. doi: 10.3390/toxins10070300.

2105 189. Youssef DM, Fawzy FM. Value of renal resistive index as an early marker of diabetic nephropathy in
2106 children with type-1 diabetes mellitus. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2012 Sep;23(5):985-92. doi:
2107 10.4103/1319-2442.100880.

2108 190. Natarajan R, Pechenyak B, Vyas U, Ranganathan P, Weinberg A, Liang P, Mallappallil MC, Norin AJ,
2109 Friedman EA, Saggi SJ. Randomized controlled trial of strain-specific probiotic formulation (Renadyl)
2110 in dialysis patients. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:568571. doi: 10.1155/2014/568571.

2111 191. Bubnov RV, Melnyk IM Evaluation of biomarkers for diagnostic decision making in patients with
2112 gout using novel mathematical model. Complex PPPM approach. *EPMA Journal* 5 (Suppl 1): A58.

2113 192. Tong Cao, Xiaoyu Li , Tao Mao , Hua Liu , Qingxi Zhao , Xueli Ding , Changgui Li , Lijuan Zhang,
2114 Zibin Tian Probiotic therapy alleviates hyperuricemia in C57BL/6 mouse model *Biomedical Research*
2115 2017; 28 (5): 2244-2249.

2116 193. García-Arroyo FE, Gonzaga G, Muñoz-Jiménez I, Blas-Marron MG, Silverio O, Tapia E, Soto V,
2117 Ranganathan N, Ranganathan P, Vyas U, Irvin A, Ir D, Robertson CE, Frank DN, Johnson RJ,
2118 Sánchez-Lozada LG. Probiotic supplements prevented oxonic acid-induced hyperuricemia and renal
2119 damage. *PLoS One.* 2018 Aug 24;13(8):e0202901. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202901.

2120 194. Vieira AT, Galvão I, Amaral FA, Teixeira MM, Nicoli JR, Martins FS. Oral treatment with
2121 *Bifidobacterium longum* 51A reduced inflammation in a murine experimental model of gout. *Benef*
2122 *Microbes.* 2015;6(6):799-806. <https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2015.0015>.

2123 195. Bubnov R, Petrenko L. Asthma-associated factors - Potential predictive markers for patients
2124 stratification, personalized treatments and prevention. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48: Suppl. 60, 3366. DOI:
2125 10.1183/13993003.congress-2016.PA3366

2126 196. Liu J, Chen FH, Qiu SQ, Yang LT, Zhang HP, Liu JQ, Geng XR, Yang G, Liu ZQ, Li J, Liu ZG, Li HB,
2127 Yang PC. Probiotics enhance the effect of allergy immunotherapy on regulating antigen specific B cell
2128 activity in asthma patients. *Am J Transl Res.* 2016 Dec 15;8(12):5256-5270.

2129 197. Tapiovaara L, Pitkaranta A, Korpela R (2016) Probiotics and the Upper Respiratory Tract - A Review.
2130 *Pediatric Infect Dis* 1:19. doi: 10.21767/2573-0282.100019

2131 198. Kam HY, Ou LC, Thron CD, Smith RP, Leiter JC. Role of the spleen in the exaggerated polycythemic
2132 response to hypoxia in chronic mountain sickness in rats. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 1999 Nov;87(5):1901-8.

2133 199. Khailova L, Baird CH, Rush AA, Barnes C, Wischmeyer PE. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG treatment
2134 improves intestinal permeability and modulates inflammatory response and homeostasis of spleen

2135 and colon in experimental model of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia. *Clin Nutr.* 2016 Oct 1. pii:
2136 S0261-5614(16)31265-1. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.09.025

2137 200. Cukrowska B, Motyl I, Kozáková H, Schwarzer M, Górecki RK, Klewicka E, Slizewska K, Libudzisz Z.
2138 Probiotic *Lactobacillus* strains: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Folia Microbiol (Praha).* 2009
2139 Nov;54(6):533-7. doi: 10.1007/s12223-009-0077-7.

2140 201. Li Z, Chalazonitis A, Huang YY, Mann JJ, Margolis KG, Yang QM, et al. Essential roles of enteric
2141 neuronal serotonin in gastrointestinal motility and the development/survival of enteric dopaminergic
2142 neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2011;31:8998-9009.

2143 202. Crane JD, Palanivel R, Mottillo EP, Bujak AL, Wang H, Ford RJ, et al. Inhibiting peripheral serotonin
2144 synthesis reduces obesity and metabolic dysfunction by promoting brown adipose tissue
2145 thermogenesis. *Nat Med.* 2015;21(2):166-72.

2146 203. Khan WI, Ghia JE. Gut hormones: emerging role in immune activation and inflammation. *Clin Exp*
2147 *Immunol.* 2010;161:19-27.

2148 204. Benyuk VO, Kalenskaya OV, Goncharenko VM, Strokan AM, Bubnov RV. Immunohistological
2149 chemical research of the apoptosis and endometrium APUD-system state interreaction in normal and
2150 pathological conditions. *Women Health* 2016; 1:63-66. Accessed 20.04.2018
2151 http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/Zdzh_2016_1_12

2152 205. Goncharenko VM, Beniuk VA, Demchenko OM, Spivak MY, Bubnov RV: Predictive diagnosis of
2153 endometrial hyperplasia and personalized therapeutic strategy in fertile age women. *EPMA J.* 2013, 4:
2154 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1878-5085-4-24>

2155 206. Carlson MJ, Thiel K w., Yang S, Leslie KK. Catch It Before It Kills: Progesterone, Obesity, and the
2156 Prevention of Endometrial Cancer. *Discov Med.* 2012;14(76):215-222.

2157 207. Moorthy K, Yadav UC, Mantha AK, Cowsik SM, Sharma D, Basir SF, Baquer NZ. Estradiol and
2158 progesterone treatments change the lipid profile in naturally menopausal rats from different age
2159 groups. *Biogerontology.* 2004;5(6):411-9.

2160 208. Spaggiari G, Brigante G, De Vincentis S, Cattini U, Roli L, De Santis MC, Baraldi E, Tagliavini S, Varani
2161 M, Trenti T, Rochira V, Simoni M, Santi D. Probiotics Ingestion Does Not Directly Affect Thyroid
2162 Hormonal Parameters in Hypothyroid Patients on Levothyroxine Treatment. *Front Endocrinol*
2163 (Lausanne). 2017 Nov 14;8:316. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2017.00316.

2164 209. Patil AD. Link between hypothyroidism and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Indian J Endocrinol*
2165 *Metab.* 2014;18(3):307-309. doi:10.4103/2230-8210.131155.

2166 210. Gabrielli M, Lauritano EC, Scarpellini E, Lupascu A, Ojetta V, Gasbarrini G, Silveri NG, Gasbarrini A.
2167 *Bacillus clausii* as a treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2009 May;
2168 104(5):1327-8.

2169 211. Wojtyniak, K., Horvath, A., Dziechciarz, P. and Szajewska, H., 2017. *Lactobacillus casei rhamnosus*
2170 *Lcr35* in the management of functional constipation in children: a randomized trial. *Journal of*
2171 *Pediatrics* 184: 101-105

2172 212. Kim, S.E., Choi, S.C., Park, K.S., Park, M.I., Shin, J.E., Lee, T.H., Jung, K.W., Koo, H.S. and Myung, S.J.,
2173 2015. Change of fecal flora and effectiveness of the short-term VSL#3 probiotic treatment in patients
2174 with functional constipation. *Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility* 21: 111-120

2175 213. Šket R, Debevec T, Kublik S, Schloter M, Schoeller A, Murovec B, Vogel Mikuš K, Makuc D, Pečnik K,
2176 Plavec J, Mekjavić IB, Eiken O, Prevoršek Z, Stres B. Intestinal Metagenomes and Metabolomes in
2177 Healthy Young Males: Inactivity and Hypoxia Generated Negative Physiological Symptoms Precede
2178 Microbial Dysbiosis. *Front Physiol.* 2018 Mar 13;9:198. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00198.

2179 214. Šket R, Treichel N, Debevec T, Eiken O, Mekjavić I, Schloter M, Vital M, Chandler J, Tiedje JM,
2180 Murovec B, Prevoršek Z, Stres B Hypoxia and Inactivity Related Physiological Changes (Constipation,
2181 Inflammation) Are Not Reflected at the Level of Gut Metabolites and Butyrate Producing Microbial
2182 Community: The PlanHab Study. *Front Physiol.* 2017; 8:250.

2183 215. Vitetta L, Coulson S, Linnane AW, Butt H. The gastrointestinal microbiome and musculoskeletal
2184 diseases: a beneficial role for probiotics and prebiotics. *Pathogens.* 2013;2(4):606–26. doi:
2185 10.3390/pathogens2040606

2186 216. Falvey E, Shanahan F, Cotter PD. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial
2187 diversity. *Gut.* 2014 Dec;63(12):1913-20. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541.

2188 217. Moloney RD, Desbonnet L, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. The microbiome: stress, health and disease.
2189 *Mamm Genome.* 2014 Feb;25(1-2):49-74. doi: 10.1007/s00335-013-9488-5. Epub 2013 Nov 27. Review.

2190 218. Cerdá B, Pérez M, Pérez-Santiago JD, Tornero-Aguilera JF, González-Soltero R, Larrosa M. Gut
2191 microbiota modification: another piece in the puzzle of the benefits of physical exercise in health?
2192 *Front Physiol.* 2016 Feb 18; 7:51. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00051.

2193 219. WHO: Physical activity Fact sheet, Updated February 2018
2194 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/>

2195 220. Martin W, Roettger M, Kloesges T, Thiergart T, Woehle C, Gould S, et al. Modern endosymbiotic
2196 theory: Getting lateral gene transfer into the equation. *Endocytobiosis & Cell Research.* 2012;23.

2197 221. Hu F, Liu F. Mitochondrial stress: A bridge between mitochondrial dysfunction and metabolic
2198 diseases? *Cellular Signalling* 2011, 23:1528–1533.

2199 222. Franco-Obregón A, Gilbert JA. The Microbiome-Mitochondrion Connection: Common Ancestries,
2200 Common Mechanisms, Common Goals. *mSystems.* 2017 May 9; 2(3). pii: e00018-17. doi:
2201 10.1128/mSystems.00018-17

2202 223. Steves CJ, Bird S, Williams FM, Spector TD. The Microbiome and Musculoskeletal Conditions of
2203 Aging: A Review of Evidence for Impact and Potential Therapeutics. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2016
2204 Feb;31(2):261-9. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2765.

2205 224. Buiques, C.; Fernandez-Garrido, J.; Pruijboom, L.; Hoogland, A.J.; Navarro-Martinez, R.;
2206 Martinez-Martinez, M.; Verdejo, Y.; Mascaros, M.C.; Peris, C.; Cauli, O. Effect of a prebiotic
2207 formulation on frailty syndrome: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17,
2208 932.

2209 225. Britton RA, Irwin R, Quach D, et al. Probiotic *L. reuteri* treatment prevents bone loss in a menopausal
2210 ovariectomized mouse model. *J Cell Physiol*. 2014 Nov;229(11):1822–30.

2211 226. Rankin A, O'Donavon C, Madigan SM, et al 'Microbes in sport' –The potential role of the gut
2212 microbiota in athlete health and performance *Br J Sports Med* Published Online First: 25 January 2017.
2213 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-097227

2214 227. O'Sullivan O, Cronin O, Clarke SF, et al. Exercise and the microbiota. *Gut Microbes* 2015;6:131–6.

2215 228. Clarke SF, Murphy EF, O'Sullivan O, Lucey AJ, Humphreys M, Hogan A, Hayes P, O'Reilly M, Jeffery
2216 IB, Wood-Martin R, Kerins DM, Quigley E, Ross RP, O'Toole PW, Molloy MG, Falvey E, Shanahan F,
2217 Cotter PD. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. *Gut* 2014;
2218 63:1913–20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541>

2219 229. Morales-Alamo D, Guerra B, Santana A, Martin-Rincon M, Gelabert-Rebato M, Dorado C and Calbet
2220 JAL. Skeletal Muscle Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Phosphorylation and Lactate Accumulation During
2221 Sprint Exercise in Normoxia and Severe Acute Hypoxia: Effects of Antioxidants. *Front. Physiol.* 2018;
2222 9:188. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00188

2223 230. Bloch Y, Bouchareychas L, Merceron R, Składanowska K, Van den Bossche L, Detry S, Govindarajan S,
2224 Elewaut D, Haerlynck F, Dullaers M, Adamopoulos IE, Savvides SN. Structural Activation of
2225 Pro-inflammatory Human Cytokine IL-23 by Cognate IL-23 Receptor Enables Recruitment of the
2226 Shared Receptor IL-12R β 1. *Immunity*. 2018 Jan 16;48(1):45–58.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jimmuni.2017.12.008.

2227 231. So JS, Song MK, Kwon HK, et al. *Lactobacillus casei* enhances type II collagen/glucosamine-mediated
2228 suppression of inflammatory responses in experimental osteoarthritis. *Life Sci.* 2011 Feb
2229 14;88(7–8):358–66.

2230 232. Courties A, Sellam J, Berenbaum F. Metabolic syndrome-associated osteoarthritis. *Curr Opin
2231 Rheumatol.* 2017 Mar;29(2):214–222. doi: 10.1097/BOR.0000000000000373.

2232 233. Sun AR, Panchal SK, Friis T, et al. Obesity-associated metabolic syndrome spontaneously induces
2233 infiltration of pro-inflammatory macrophage in synovium and promotes osteoarthritis. Gualillo O, ed.
2234 *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(8):e0183693. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0183693.

2235 234. Collins KH, Paul HA, Reimer RA, Seerattan RA, Hart DA, Herzog W. Relationship between
2236 inflammation, the gut microbiota, and metabolic osteoarthritis development: studies in a rat model.
2237 *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015 Nov;23(11):1989–98. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2015.03.014.

2238 235. Queipo-Ortuño MI, Seoane LM, Murri M, Pardo M, Gomez-Zumaquero JM, Cardona F, et al. Gut
2239 microbiota composition in male rat models under different nutritional status and physical activity and
2240 its association with serum leptin and ghrelin levels. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e65465.
2241 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065465.

2242 236. Fouada MB, Thankam FG, Dilisio MF, Agrawal DK. Alterations in tendon microenvironment in
2243 response to mechanical load: potential molecular targets for treatment strategies. *Am J Transl Res.* 2017
2244 Oct 15;9(10):4341–4360.

2245 237. Miller FW, Lamb JA, Schmidt J, Nagaraju K. Risk factors and disease mechanisms in myositis. *Nature
2246 Reviews Rheumatology* 2018; 14:255–268. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2018.48

2247 238. Quintner J. The RSI syndrome in historical perspective. *Int Disabil Stud.* 1991 Jul-Sep;13(3):99-104.

2248 239. Bubnov RV. The use of trigger point 'dry' needling under ultrasound guidance for the treatment of

2249 myofascial pain (technological innovation and literature review). *Lik. Sprava* 2010; 5(6), 56-64.

2250 240. Bubnov RV. Evidence-based pain management: is the concept of integrative medicine applicable?

2251 *EPMA J.* 2012;3(1):13. doi: 10.1186/1878-5085-3-13.

2252 241. Bubnov R, Yevseenko V, Semeniv I. Ultrasound guided injections of platelets rich in plasma for muscle

2253 injury in professional athletes: comparative study. *Med Ultrasound.* 2013;15(2):101-5.

2254 242. Centeno CJ, Al-Sayegh H, Freeman MD, Smith J, Centeno CJ, Al-Sayegh H, Freeman MD, Smith J,

2255 Murrell WD, Bubnov R. A multi-center analysis of adverse events among two thousand, three

2256 hundred and seventy two adult patients undergoing adult autologous stem cell therapy for

2257 orthopaedic conditions. *Int Orthop.* 2016, 40:1755-1765. doi:10.1007/s00264-016-3162-y

2258 243. De Moraes PRS, Sousa ALL, Jardim T de SV, et al. Correlation of Insulin Resistance with

2259 Anthropometric Measures and Blood Pressure in Adolescents. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2016;106(4):319-326.

2260 doi:10.5935/abc.20160041.

2261 244. Sasaki R, Yano Y, Yasuma T, Onishi Y, Suzuki T, Maruyama-Furuta N, Gabazza EC, Sumida Y, Takei

2262 Y. Association of Waist Circumference and Body Fat Weight with Insulin Resistance in Male Subjects

2263 with Normal Body Mass Index and Normal Glucose Tolerance. *Intern Med.* 2016;55(11):1425-32. doi:

2264 10.2169/internalmedicine.55.4100.

2265 245. Maenhaut N, Van de Voorde J. Regulation of vascular tone by adipocytes. *BMC Med.* 2011 Mar 16;

2266 9:25.

2267 246. Boydens C, Maenhaut N, Pauwels B, Decaluwé K, Van de Voorde J. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* 2012 Jun;

2268 14(3):270-8.

2269 247. van Dam AD, Boon MR, Berbée JFP, Rensen PCN, van Harmelen V. Targeting white, brown and

2270 perivascular adipose tissue in atherosclerosis development. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2017 Dec 5;816:82-92. doi:

2271 10.1016/j.ejphar.2017.03.051.

2272 248. Omar A, Chatterjee TK, Tang Y, Hui DY, Weintraub NL. Proinflammatory phenotype of perivascular

2273 adipocytes. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2014 Aug; 34(8): 1631-1636.

2274 doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303030.

2275 249. Chatterjee TK, Stoll LL, Denning GM, et al. Pro-inflammatory phenotype of perivascular adipocytes:

2276 influence of high fat feeding. *Circ Res.* 2009 Feb 27; 104(4): 541-549.

2277 doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.182998.

2278 250. Meyer MR, Fredette NC, Barton M, Prossnitz ER. Regulation of vascular smooth muscle tone by

2279 adipose-derived contracting factor. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11):e79245.

2280 251. Toda N, Okamura T. Obesity impairs vasodilatation and blood flow increase mediated by endothelial

2281 nitric oxide: an overview. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2013;53(12):1228-39. doi:10.1002/jcph.179.

2282 252. Jonk AM, Houben AJ, Schaper NC, de Leeuw PW, Serné EH, Smulders YM, Stehouwer CD Obesity is

2283 associated with impaired endothelial function in the postprandial state. *Microvasc Res.* 2011 Nov;

2284 82(3):423-9.

2285 253. Chatsuriyawong S, Gozal D, Kheirandish-Gozal L, Bhattacharjee R, Khalyfa AA, Wang Y, et al. Genetic
2286 variance in nitric oxide synthase and endothelin genes among children with and without endothelial
2287 dysfunction. *J Transl Med.* 2013;11:227.

2288 254. Leung TF, Liu EK, Tang NL, Ko FW, Li CY, Lam CW, et al. Nitric oxide synthase polymorphisms and
2289 asthma phenotypes in Chinese children. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2005;35(10):1288–94.

2290 255. Pasarín M, Abraldes JG, Liguori E, Kok B, La Mura V. Intrahepatic vascular changes in non-alcoholic
2291 fatty liver disease: Potential role of insulin-resistance and endothelial dysfunction. *World J
2292 Gastroenterol.* 2017 Oct 7; 23(37): 6777–6787. doi:10.3748/wjg.v23.i37.6777.

2293 256. Karagiannides I, Pothoulakis C. Neuropeptides, Mesenteric Fat, and Intestinal Inflammation. *Annals
2294 of the New York Academy of Sciences.* 2008;1144:127-135. doi:10.1196/annals.1418.009.

2295 257. Cui X, Ye L, Li J, Jin L, Wang W, Li S, Bao M, Wu S, Li L, Geng B, Zhou X, Zhang J, Cai J. Metagenomic
2296 and metabolomic analyses unveil dysbiosis of gut microbiota in chronic heart failure patients. *Sci Rep.*
2297 2018 Jan 12;8(1):635. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18756-2.

2298 258. Bubnov RV. Ultrasonography diagnostic capability for mesenteric vascular disorders. *Gut.* 2011;60
2299 Suppl 3:A104.

2300 259. Reginelli A, Genovese E, Cappabianca S, et al. Intestinal Ischemia: US-CT findings correlations. *Critical
2301 Ultrasound Journal.* 2013;5(Suppl 1):S7. doi:10.1186/2036-7902-5-S1-S7.

2302 260. Glover LE, Lee JS, Colgan SP. Oxygen metabolism and barrier regulation in the intestinal mucosa. *J
2303 Clin Invest.* 2016 Oct 3; 126(10): 3680–3688. doi:10.1172/JCI84429.

2304 261. Faber F, Bäumler AJ. The impact of intestinal inflammation on the nutritional environment of the gut
2305 microbiota. *Immunology letters.* 2014;162(0):48-53. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2014.04.014.

2306 262. Bubnov RV, Moiseyenko YV, Spivak MYa, NASC of Ukraine. The influence of environmental factors
2307 and stress on human health and chronic diseases: PPPM lessons from Antarctica, In EPMAWorld
2308 Congress: Traditional Forum in Predictive, Preventive and Personalised Medicine for
2309 Multi-Professional Consideration and Consolidation. *EPMA Journal* (2017) 8 (Suppl 1):S22-23.

2310 263. Neis EP, van Eijk HM, Lenaerts K, Olde Damink SW, Blaak EE, Dejong CH, Rensen SS. Distal versus
2311 proximal intestinal short-chain fatty acid release in man. *Gut.* 2018 Apr 4. pii: gutjnl-2018-316161. doi:
2312 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316161.

2313 264. Van Hul M, Lijnen H. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibition affects adipose tissue mass in obese mice.
2314 *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.* 2012, 39:544.

2315 265. Konieczka K, Ritch R, Traverso CE, Kim DM, Kook MS, Gallino A, et al. Flammer syndrome. *EPMA J.*
2316 2014;5:11.

2317 266. Yeghiazaryan K, Flammer J, Golubnitschaja O: Predictive molecular profiling in blood of healthy
2318 vasospastic individuals: clue to targeted prevention as personalised medicine to effective costs. *EPMA
2319 J.* 2010, 1 (2): 263-72. 10.1007/s13167-010-0032-3.

2320 267. Bubnov R, Polivka J Jr, Zubor P, Konieczka K, Golubnitschaja O. Pre-metastatic niches" in breast cancer:
2321 are they created by or prior to the tumour onset? "Flammer Syndrome" relevance to address the
2322 question. *EPMA J.* 2017;8:141–157 DOI 10.1007/s13167-017-0092-8

2323 268. Rashid SK, Khodja NI, Auger C, et al. Probiotics (VSL#3) Prevent Endothelial Dysfunction in Rats with
2324 Portal Hypertension: Role of the Angiotensin System. Peiró C, ed. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(5):e97458.
2325 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097458.

2326 269. Grech G, Zhan X, Yoo BC, Bubnov R, Hagan S, Danesi R, Vittadini G, Desiderio DM. EPMA position
2327 paper in cancer: current overview and future perspectives. EPMA J. 2015;6(1):9. doi:
2328 10.1186/s13167-015-0030-6

2329 270. Cox TR, Rumney RMH, Schoof EM, et al. The hypoxic cancer secretome induces pre-metastatic bone
2330 lesions through lysyl oxidase. Nature. 2015;522(7554):106-110. doi:10.1038/nature14492.

2331 271. Wang T, Cai G, Qiu Y, Fei N, Zhang M, Pang X, Jia W, Cai S, Zhao L. Structural segregation of gut
2332 microbiota between colorectal cancer patients and healthy volunteers. ISME J. 2012, 6: 320-329.

2333 272. Roy S, Trinchieri G. Microbiota: a key orchestrator of cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017
2334 May;17(5):271-285. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2017.13.

2335 273. York A. Microbiome: Gut microbiota sways response to cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2336 2018 Mar;16(3):121. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2018.12.

2337 274. Ciorba MA, Riehl TE, Rao MS, Moon C, Ee X, Nava GM, Walker MR, Marinshaw JM, Stappenbeck TS,
2338 Stenson WF. Lactobacillus probiotic protects intestinal epithelium from radiation injury in a TLR-2/
2339 cyclo-oxygenase-2-dependent manner. Gut 2012; 61:829-838.

2340 275. Sivan A, Corrales L, Hubert N, Williams JB, Aquino-Michaels K, Earley ZM, Benyamin FW, Lei YM,
2341 Jabri B, Alegre ML, Chang EB, Gajewski TF. Commensal Bifidobacterium promotes antitumor
2342 immunity and facilitates anti-PD-L1 efficacy. Science 2015; 350: 1084-1089.

2343 276. Shapira N. Women's higher health risks in the obesogenic environment: a gender nutrition approach
2344 to metabolic dimorphism with predictive, preventive, and personalised medicine. EPMA J. 2013;4(1):1.

2345 277. Haro C, Rangel-Zúñiga OA, Alcalá-Díaz JF, Gómez-Delgado F, Pérez-Martínez P, Delgado-Lista J, et
2346 al. (2016) Intestinal Microbiota Is Influenced by Gender and Body Mass Index. PLoS ONE 11(5):
2347 e0154090. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0154090

2348 278. Reijnders D, Goossens GH, Hermes GD, Neis EP, van der Beek CM, Most J, Holst JJ, Lenaerts K, Kootte
2349 RS, Nieuwdorp M, Groen AK, Olde Damink SW, Boekschoten MV, Smidt H, Zoetendal EG, Dejong
2350 CH, Blaak EE. Effects of Gut Microbiota Manipulation by Antibiotics on Host Metabolism in Obese
2351 Humans: A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial. Cell Metab. 2016 Jul 12;24(1):63-74.
2352 doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.06.016.

2353 279. Org E, Mehrabian M, Parks BW, et al. Sex differences and hormonal effects on gut microbiota
2354 composition in mice. Gut Microbes. 2016;7(4):313-322. doi:10.1080/19490976.2016.1203502.

2355 280. Rubinow KB. Chapter 24: Estrogens and Body Weight Regulation in Men Advances in experimental
2356 medicine and biology. 2017; 1043: 285-313. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-70178-3_14.

2357 281. Dakin RS, Walker BR, Seckl JR, Hadoke PW, Drake AJ. Estrogens protect male mice from obesity
2358 complications and influence glucocorticoid metabolism. Int J Obes (Lond). 2015 Oct; 39(10):1539-47.
2359 Epub 2015 Jun 2.

2360 282. Medrikova D, Jilkova ZM, Bardova K, Janovska P, Rossmeisl M, Kopecky J. Sex differences during the
2361 course of diet-induced obesity in mice: adipose tissue expandability and glycemic control. *Int J Obes*
2362 (*Lond*). 2012 Feb; 36(2):262-72. Epub 2011 May 3.

2363 283. Codner E, Soto N, Lopez P, Trejo L, Avila A, Eyzaguirre FC, Iniguez G, Cassorla F. Diagnostic criteria
2364 for polycystic ovary syndrome and ovarian morphology in women with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin*
2365 *Endocrinol Metab*. 2006 Jun;91(6):2250-6.

2366 284. Lindheim L, Bashir M, Münzker J, et al. Alterations in Gut Microbiome Composition and Barrier
2367 Function Are Associated with Reproductive and Metabolic Defects in Women with Polycystic Ovary
2368 Syndrome (PCOS): A Pilot Study. Yu Y, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(1):e0168390.
2369 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168390.

2370 285. Torres PJ, Siakowska M, Banaszewska B, Pawelczyk L, Duleba AJ, Kelley ST, Thackray VG. Gut
2371 Microbial Diversity in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Correlates with Hyperandrogenism. *J*
2372 *Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018 Jan 23. doi: 10.1210/jc.2017-02153.

2373 286. Karamali M, Eghbalpour S, Rajabi S, Jamilian M, Bahmani F, Tajabadi-Ebrahimi M, Keneshlou F,
2374 Mirhashemi SM, Chamani M, Hashem Gelougerdi S, Asemi Z. Effects of Probiotic Supplementation on
2375 Hormonal Profiles, Biomarkers of Inflammation and Oxidative Stress in Women With Polycystic
2376 Ovary Syndrome: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Arch Iran Med*. 2018 Jan
2377 1;21(1):1-7.

2378 287. Metchnikoff E. Lactic acid as inhibiting intestinal putrefaction. The prolongation of life: optimistic
2379 studies. London: William Heinemann; 1907. p. 161–83.

2380 288. Anukam KC, Reid G 2008. Probiotics: 100 years (1907–2007) after Elie Metchnikoff's observations. In
2381 Communicating current research and educational topics and trends in applied microbiology, 2007 ed.
2382 (ed. Mendez-vilas A), pp. 466–474.

2383 289. Hamilton-Miller J. Probiotics and prebiotics in the elderly. *Postgrad Med J*. 2004 Aug; 80(946):
2384 447–451. doi:10.1136/pgmj.2003.015339.

2385 290. Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, Power SE, O'Connor EM, Cusack S, Harris HM, Coakley M,
2386 Lakshminarayanan B, O'Sullivan O, et al. Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health
2387 in the elderly. *Nature* 2012; 488:178-84. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11319>

2388 291. Vieira AT, Castelo PM, Ribeiro DA, Ferreira CM. Influence of Oral and Gut Microbiota in the Health of
2389 Menopausal Women. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017;8:1884. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01884.

2390 292. Wellons MF, Matthews JJ, Kim C. Ovarian aging in women with diabetes: An overview. *Maturitas*.
2391 2017 Feb;96:109-113. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.11.019.

2392 293. Landete JM, Gaya P, Rodríguez E, Langa S, Peirotén Á, Medina M, Arqués JL. Probiotic Bacteria for
2393 Healthier Aging: Immunomodulation and Metabolism of Phytoestrogens. *Biomed Res Int*.
2394 2017;2017:5939818. doi: 10.1155/2017/5939818.

2395 294. Gupta VK, Paul S and Dutta C. Geography, Ethnicity or Subsistence-Specific Variations in Human
2396 Microbiome Composition and Diversity. *Front. Microbiol*. 2017; 8:1162. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01162

2397 295. Rothschild D, Weissbrod O, Barkan E, Kurilshikov A, Korem T, Zeevi D, Costea PI, Godneva A, et al.
2398 Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature*. 2018 Mar
2399 8;555(7695):210-215. doi: 10.1038/nature25973.

2400 296. Marco ML, Tachon S. Environmental factors influencing the efficacy of probiotic bacteria. *Curr Opin*
2401 *Biotechnol*. 2013 Apr;24(2):207-13. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2012.10.002.

2402 297. Adams RI, Bateman AC, Bik HM, Meadow JF. Microbiota of the indoor environment: a meta-analysis.
2403 *Microbiome*. 2015 Oct 13;3:49. doi: 10.1186/s40168-015-0108-3.

2404 298. Zuo T, Kamm MA, Colombel JF, Ng SC. Urbanization and the gut microbiota in health and
2405 inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018 Apr 18. doi:
2406 10.1038/s41575-018-0003-z.

2407 299. Ouwehand AC. A review of dose-responses of probiotics in human studies *Benef Microbes*. 2017 Apr
2408 26(8):143-151. doi: 10.3920/BM2016.0140. Epub 2016 Dec 23.

2409 300. Larsen CN, Nielsen S, Kaestel P, Brockmann E, Bennedsen M, Christensen HR, Eskesen DC, Jacobsen
2410 BL, Michaelsen KF. Dose-response study of probiotic bacteria *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp *lactis*
2411 BB-12 and *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp *paracasei* CRL-341 in healthy young adults. *Eur J Clin Nutr*.
2412 2006 Nov;60(11):1284-93. Epub 2006 May 24.

2413 301. Chen J, He X, Huang J. Diet effects in gut microbiome and obesity. *J Food Sci*. 2014 Apr;79(4):R442-51.
2414 doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.12397.

2415 302. Heinsen FA, Fangmann D, Müller N, Schulte DM, Rühlemann MC, Türk K, Settgast U, Lieb W, Baines
2416 JF, Schreiber S, Franke A, Laudes M. Beneficial Effects of a Dietary Weight Loss Intervention on
2417 Human Gut Microbiome Diversity and Metabolism Are Not Sustained during Weight Maintenance.
2418 *Obes Facts*. 2016;9(6):379-391. doi: 10.1159/000449506

2419 303. Larsen N. The effect of pectins on survival of probiotic *Lactobacillus* spp. in gastrointestinal juices is
2420 related to their structure and physical properties *Food Microbiology* 2018; 74:11e20.
2421 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.02.015>

2422 304. Wei M, Wang S, Gu P, et al. Comparison of physicochemical indexes, amino acids, phenolic
2423 compounds and volatile compounds in bog bilberry juice fermented by *Lactobacillus plantarum* under
2424 different pH conditions. *J Food Sci Technol* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-018-3141-y>

2425 305. Putignani L, Dallapiccola B. Foodomics as part of the host-microbiota-exposome interplay. *J*
2426 *Proteomics*. 2016 Sep 16;147:3-20. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2016.04.033.

2427 306. Bomba A, Petrov VO, Drobnych VG, Bubnov RV, Boyko NV. Cells, animal, SHIME and in silico
2428 models for detection and verification of specific biomarkers of non-communicable chronic diseases.
2429 *EPMA J* 2016; 7(Suppl 1): A8.

2430 307. Petschow B, Doré J, Hibberd P, Dinan T, Reid G, Blaser M, Cani P, Degnan, F, Foster J, Gibson G,
2431 Hutton J, Klaenhammer TR, Ley R, Nieuwdorp M, Pot B, Relman. D, Serazin A, Sanders ME.
2432 Probiotics, prebiotics, and the host microbiome: the science of translation. *Annals of the New York*
2433 *Academy of Sciences* 2013;1306:1-17.

2434 308. Shane AL, Cabana M, Vidry S, Merenstein D, Hummelen R, Ellis CL, Heimbach JT, Hempel S, Lynch S,
2435 Sanders ME, Tancredi DJ. Guide to designing, conducting, publishing, and communicating results of
2436 clinical studies involving probiotic applications in human participants *Gut Microbes* 2010; 1:243-253.

2437 309. Reid G, Gaudier E, Guarner F, Huffnagle GB, Macklaim JM, Munoz AM, Martini M, Ringel-Kulka T,
2438 Sartor B, Unal R, Verbeke K, Walter J; International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics.
2439 Responders and non-responders to probiotic interventions: how can we improve the odds? *Gut*
2440 *Microbes*. 2010 May-Jun;1(3):200-4. doi: 10.4161/gmic.1.3.12013

2441 310. Zmora N, Zeevi D, Korem T, Segal E, Elinav E. Taking it Personally: Personalized Utilization of the
2442 Human Microbiome in Health and Disease *Cell Host Microbe*. 2016 Jan 13;19(1):12-20. doi:
2443 10.1016/j.chom.2015.12.016. Review.

2444 311. Gilbert JA, Quinn RA, Debelius J, Xu ZZ, Morton J, Garg N, Jansson JK, Dorrestein PC, Knight R.
2445 Microbiome-wide association studies link dynamic microbial consortia to disease. *Nature*. 2016 Jul
2446 7;535(7610):94-103. doi: 10.1038/nature18850. Review.

2447 312. Wu L, Ma D, Walton-Moss B, He Z. Effects of low-fat diet on serum lipids in premenopausal and
2448 postmenopausal women: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Menopause*. 2014 Jan;
2449 21(1):89-99.

2450 313. Jost L. Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. *Ecology*. 2007
2451 Oct;88(10):2427-39. Erratum in: *Ecology*. 2009 Dec;90(12):3593.

2452 314. Reid G. Quo vadis – EFSA? *Benef Microbes*. 2011 Sep;2(3):177-81. doi: 10.3920/BM2011.0026.

2453 315. World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki.
2454 <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/> Accessed 29 Aug 2016.

2455 316. Rhodes Ethical issues in microbiome research and medicine *BMC Medicine* 2016;14:156. DOI
2456 10.1186/s12916-016-0702-7

2457 317. Lima-Ojeda JM, Rupprecht R and Baghai TC (2017) "I Am I and My Bacterial Circumstances": Linking
2458 Gut Microbiome, Neurodevelopment, and Depression. *Front. Psychiatry* 8:153. doi:
2459 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00153

2460 318. Chuong KH, Hwang DM, Tullis DE, et al. Navigating social and ethical challenges of biobanking for
2461 human microbiome research. *BMC Med Ethics*. 2017; 18: 1. doi:10.1186/s12910-016-0160-y.

2462 319. Ma Y, Chen H, Lei R, et al. Biobanking for human microbiome research: promise, risks, and ethics *ABR*
2463 2017; 9: 311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41649-017-0033-9>

2464 320. Lewis ZT, Shani G, Masarweh CF, Popovic M, Frese SA, Sela DA, Underwood MA, Mills DA.
2465 Validating bifidobacterial species and subspecies identity in commercial probiotic products. *Pediatr*
2466 *Res*. 2016 Mar;79(3):445-52. doi: 10.1038/pr.2015.244.

2467 321. Akhmetov I, Bubnov R.V. Innovative payer engagement strategies: will the convergence lead to better
2468 value creation in personalized medicine? *EPMA J*. 2017, 8: 1. doi:10.1007/s13167-017-0078-6

2469

2470

Table 1
Implications for individualized treatments basic condition that constitute MetS using LAB and Bifidobacterium genera probiotic strains and prebiotics

Disease / host's condition, phenotype	Relevant strain properties, mechanism of action	Best probiotic strain /prebiotic	Relevant biomarkers
Obesity, overweight	Antiobesogenic properties [8]; lipase inhibitory activity; immunomodulatory properties; suppress proinflammatory cytokines	<p><i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280, <i>L. delbrueckii</i> subsp. <i>bulgaricus</i> IMV B-7281, <i>B. animalis</i> VKB, <i>B. animalis</i> VKL (separately) or <i>B. animalis</i> VKL / <i>B. animalis</i> VKB / <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 and <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 / <i>L. delbrueckii</i> subsp. <i>bulgaricus</i> IMV B-7281</p> <p><i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> prevents diet-induced obesity, but not atherosclerosis (Fa'k, 2012)</p> <p><i>L. plantarum</i> and <i>L. gasseri</i> reduce the body weight [179] and cholesterol level [180];</p> <p><i>L. acidophilus</i>, <i>L. fermentum</i> or <i>L. ingluviei</i> reduce the body weight [181]</p>	BMI, imaging of visceral and subcutaneous fat (US, MRI); waist circumference (WC); gut microbiota; metabolic profile; dietary, lifestyle habits, family history, etc.
Liver fibrosis, cancer	liver protective properties [8]; bacterial wall elasticity; anti-LPS, anti-TNF activity (LPS-induced TNF- α factor mediates pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrogenic pattern in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [Ceccarelli S.].)	<p><i>L. delbrueckii</i> subsp. <i>bulgaricus</i> IMV B-7281, <i>B. animalis</i> VKB</p> <p><i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280, <i>B. animalis</i> VKL or <i>B. animalis</i> VKL - <i>B. animalis</i> VKB - <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 composition recovered the liver structure of obese mice.</p>	imaging, sonoelastography, liver biopsy; Non-invasive tests - FIB-4, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) to alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ratio (AAR), AST to platelet count ratio (APRI), and platelet count to spleen diameter (PC/SD ratio); Gender-related panel of biomarkers
Atherosclerosis	bile salt hydrolase (BSH) activity; immunomodulatory properties; bacterial wall elasticity; anti-LPS, anti-TNF activity (lipopolysaccharides (LPS) of Gram-negative bacteria can promote the formation of	<p>Almost all bifidobacteria species show BSH activity, while this activity was detected only in a few species of LAB [62];</p> <p><i>L. reuteri</i> and <i>L. plantarum</i>;</p> <p><i>nanoceria</i> + <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280</p> <p><i>L. acidophilus</i> NCDC 13 decrease cholesterol level and has no impact on obesity [182].</p>	lab tests, imaging, Doppler, dietary habits, etc.

	atherosclerotic plaque [33].)		
Hypertension	hypchosterolemic activity; Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitory peptides	Daily ingestion of <i>L. plantarum</i> DSM 15313 or blueberries fermented by this strain [152]; of probiotics <i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i> CECT5716 (LC40), or <i>L. coryniformis</i> CECT5711 (K8) plus <i>L. gasseri</i> CECT5714 (LC9) (1:1) effective in spontaneously hypertensive rats; <i>Lactobacillus helveticus</i> bacteria on blood pressure in subjects with mild hypertension [154]; ACE-inhibitory peptides have also been found in yogurt, cheese and milk fermented with <i>L. casei</i> ssp. <i>rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. acidophilus</i> and bifidobacteria strains	general tests, Renal Doppler
Increased glucose level, DM	hypoglycemic activity; anti-LPS, anti-TNF activity	<i>L. casei</i> IMB B-7280 (окремо) та композицію <i>L. casei</i> IMB B-7280 / <i>B. animalis</i> VKB / <i>B. animalis</i> VKL. <i>L. casei</i> IMB B-7280 was more effective in decreasing glucose and serum TNF- α levels than composition of <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 / <i>B. animalis</i> VKB / <i>B. animalis</i> VKL strains	homeostatic model assessment (HOMA), and subclinical inflammation; general tests for kidney function, eyes, peripheral vessels, etc.
neuroimmunodecrine vs alimentary obesity	role in gut-brain axis; short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) producing activity	The more effective treatment for obesity induced by sodium glutamate was after treatment with the <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 / <i>B. animalis</i> VKB / <i>B. animalis</i> VKL composition [14], while in FED-induced obesity in mice – <i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 (separately) [160]	BMI, imaging of visceral and subcutaneous fat (US, MRI); gut microbiota []; high waist circumference (WC); Hormonal status
gout, hyperuricemia	hypouricemic properties	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> 51A [194]+ prebiotic phenugreek [35] compositions of <i>L. acidophilus</i> KB27 + <i>L. rhamnosus</i> KB79; <i>L. acidophilus</i> KB27+ <i>L. rhamnosus</i> KB79 [193]	US, uric acid, creatinine levels; Fructose glutamate consumption Renal ultrasound, CT
cholestasis, associated diseases	tolerance to bile; bile salt hydrolase activity; hypchosterolemic activity	The most susceptible were strains <i>L. plantarum</i> LM VK7 and <i>B. animalis</i> VKB. Complete inhibition of <i>L. plantarum</i> LM VK7 was observed at a concentration of 4% proteolytic enzymes. <i>B. animalis</i> VKB strain lost its vitality at 5% proteolytic enzymes.	lab tests, cholesterol bilirubin level, imaging – early prediction (US)

cardio-vascular diseases, Heart failure	hypchosterolemic activity	<i>L. casei</i> IMV B-7280 and <i>L. delbrueckii</i> subsp. <i>Bulgaricus</i> ; probiotic composition VSL#3 significantly reduce TNF-alpha levels, MMP-2 and MMP-9 activities, and expression of iNOS and COX-2 in rats, receiving FED diet [155]; Nanogold is effective for heart failure treatment [66,67] and demonstrate prebiotic properties	Imaging: EchoCG, congestion evaluation; MMP-2 and MMP-9
intestinal blood flow impairment	short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) producing; 'antihypoxic' properties	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp.; probiotic VSL#3 ingestion prevents endothelial dysfunction in the mesenteric artery [268]; prebiotic - fermentable fibres	Doppler of mesenteric flow; endoacopy; SCFAs levels in feces, systemic acetate levels; HIF-1
lean people; endothelial dysfunction	low adgesion properties and high butyrate producing probiotic bacteria (butyrate and HIF regulate the balance between regulatory T cell (Treg) and TH17 differentiation); adhesion of microbes to intestinal epithelial cells (ECs) is a critical clue for pro-inflammatory Th17 induction	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp., <i>L. plantarum</i> LM VK7. probiotic composition VSL#3 decrease TNF-alpha levels, MMP-2 and MMP-9 activities, and expression of iNOS and COX [155].	BMI; Flammer syndrome questionnaire MMP; TNF; IL-17; endothelin