

Article

# Changes induced by pressure processing on immunoreactive proteins of tree nuts

Carmen Cuadrado <sup>1\*</sup>, Africa Sanchiz <sup>1</sup>, Fatima Vicente <sup>1</sup>, Isabel Ballesteros <sup>2</sup> and Rosario Linacero <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Tecnología de Alimentos, SGIT-INIA, Ctra. La Coruña Km. 7.5, 28040 Madrid, Spain. cuadrado@inia.es

<sup>2</sup> Ingeniería en Biotecnología. Facultad de Ingenierías y Ciencias Aplicadas. Universidad de las Américas, Quito, Ecuador. isabelbare@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Departamento de Genética, Fisiología y Microbiología Facultad de Biología, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain. charolin@ucm.es

\* Correspondence: cuadrado@inia.es; Tel.: +34-91-347-6925

**Abstract:** Tree nuts confer many health benefits because of their high content of vitamins and antioxidants and they are increasingly consumed in the last the years. Food processing is an important industrial tool to modify allergenic properties of foods, in addition to ensure safety and to enhance organoleptic characteristics. The effect of high pressure, without and with heating, on SDS-PAGE and immunodetection profile of potential allergenic proteins (anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP) of pistachio, cashew, peanut, hazelnut, almond and chestnut was investigated. Processing based on heat and /or pressure and Ultra high pressure (HHP, 300-600 MPa) without heating was applied. After treating the six tree nuts with pressure combined with heat a progressive diminution of proteins with potential allergenic properties was observed. Moreover, some tree nuts proteins (pistachio, cashew and peanut) seemed to be more resistant to technological processing than others (hazelnut and chestnut). High pressure combined with heating processing markedly reduce tree nut allergenic potential as the pressure and treatment time increases. HHP do not alter hazelnut and almond immunoreactivity.

**Keywords:** pistachio, cashew, peanut, hazelnut, almond, chestnut, allergens, pressure processing, thermal processing.

## 1. Introduction

Food allergy affects to 1-3% in the general population and it reach up to 8% in children. Currently, food allergy is the main cause of anaphylactic reactions treated in hospital emergency departments in Western countries. It has been estimated that food allergy causes approximately 30,000 anaphylactic reactions and 2000 hospitalizations annually in the U.S. [1]. In the European Union, tree nuts are included in the list with the 14 most allergenic ingredients, according with this regulation, and its presence must be indicated in foods. Tree nuts are, after fruits, the most implied allergens (26%) in allergic reactions in Spain [2]. Despite these facts, tree nuts consumption is on the rise because of its recognized health benefits. Tree nuts are valuable foods rich in proteins, minerals, vitamins, antioxidants and a considerable high content of unsaturated fatty acids, and their global production is increasing in last years [3].

Most nut allergens are seed storage proteins such as vicilins (7S globulin subunits composed of approximately 50-60 kDa), legumins (11-13S globulin subunits composed of acidic peptides of 30-40 kDa and basic 15-20 kDa) and 2S albumin (15 kDa) [4]. Other allergens of nuts with known biological function, such as lipid transfer proteins (LTP), profilins and homologous to pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins are considered panallergens because they contribute to the allergenicity of a large group of pollen, nuts, seeds, fruits and other plants [5]. A common feature of the nut allergenic proteins is their resistance to proteolysis and denaturation [6].

Among nuts, cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*) allergy is a significant health problem and the second most allergic nut in the U.S.[7]. Clinical manifestations of allergy to cashew often are severe anaphylactic reactions, and even more severe than with peanuts [7]. Three allergenic proteins of cashew have been identified and characterized: Ana o 1 (7S vicilin, 50 kDa) [8], Ana o 2 (11S legumin, 55 kDa) [9] and Ana o 3 (2S albumin, 14 kDa) [10]. Pistachio (*Pistacia vera*) is another well characterized nut for its allergenic potential and cross-reactivity with cashew and mango [11]. Similar to cashew, the 5 pistachio major allergens correspond to seed storage proteins: two 11S legumins (Pis v 2 and 5, 32 and 36 kDa), a 2S albumin (Pis v 1, 7 kDa), one 7S vicilin (Pis v 3, 55 kDa) and a superoxide dismutase (Pis v 4, 25.7 kDa) [12-14]. Peanut allergy is one of the most common IgE-mediated reactivity to food because of its severity and lifelong persistence [15]. Considerable effort has been spent in characterizing peanut allergens and eleven allergenic proteins have been identified until now (Ara h 1-Ara h 11). The major peanut allergens, Ara h 1 (65 kDa, vicilin) and Ara h 2 (17 kDa, conglutin), are recognized by 70-90 % of sensitized subjects [16] and Ara h 3 (11 S legumin) has been considered to play a lesser allergenic role [17]. Food allergies to hazelnut and almond represent an important health problem in industrialized countries because of their high prevalence and severity [18]. Several hazelnut allergens are well characterized being Cor a 1 (18 kDa, Bet v 1 family) the major one. Other allergenic proteins are Cor a 2 (profilin), Cor a 8 (lipid transfer protein, LTP), Cor a 9 (11S globulin), Cor a 11 (vicilin-like protein) and Cor a 12, Cor a 13 and Cor a 14 belonging to the 2S albumins [19]. So far, eight allergenic proteins have been described in almond: Pru du 1 (PR-10 protein), Pru du 2 (thaumatin-like protein), Pru du 2S albumin, Pru du 3 (lipid transfer protein), Pru du 4 (profilin), Pru du 5 (60S ribosomal protein), Pru du 6 (11S-legumin), and Pru du  $\gamma$ -conglutin (7S vicilin) [20]. Of these eight allergens, Pru du 3, Pru du 4, Pru du 5, and Pru du 6 are recognized as such and included in the WHO-IUIS allergen list. Allergy to chestnuts has been widely reported in the latex-fruit syndrome [21, 22]. However, few studies address actual allergy to chestnuts in patients reacting primarily to this food. According to WHO-IUIS list three food allergens have been identified until the date in this tree nut, all of them from the plant defense system: Cas 5, a class I chitinase [23], Cas s 8, a non-specific type I lipid transport protein (LTP, lipid transfer protein) [24] and Cas s 9, a cytosolic class I small heat shock protein [25] Additionally, a thaumatin like protein, Cas s TLP, has been described as chestnut food allergen [26].

Food processing, that is used in food industry for several applications, can alter the structure, function and properties of proteins, and thereby also modify the IgE reactivity of allergens, in such a way that it has been proposed as a method to obtain food with altered allergenicity. Some daily processing methods have been shown to be effective in decreasing the content of specific allergens in certain foods, which may open a future path for hypoallergenic food development or pave the way the use of specifically processed foods for tolerance induction. Other treatments, however, have the capacity to increase the allergenicity of certain foods[27]. Food processing, particularly heat treatment, may reduce the allergenicity of some foods, so it could be useful for the control of their allergenic risk [28]. Thermal treatment changes the structure of proteins, their immunogenic potential, and therefore, the overall allergenicity of the food. These effects depend on the temperature, type and duration of the treatment, as well as of the intrinsic characteristics of the protein and of the physicochemical conditions of its microenvironment [29, 30]. There are no general rules about the effect of processing on the allergenicity and hence, it has the ability to generate new allergenic epitopes (neoallergens) as well as to abolish the existing reactive epitopes [31-33]. Several studies have evaluated the structural changes induced by treatments such as boiling, microwave heating, pressure-cooking and its effect on legumes and nuts allergenicity, showing that processing based on pressure and heat seems to have an important impact on reducing in vitro IgE-binding capacity [31, 32, 34-36]. High-hydrostatic pressure (HHP) is considered an emerging processing technology used to develop novel and high-quality foods. This novel-processing technique even renders harmless foods which would be of considerable benefit to consumers. HHP treatment of foods can be used to create new products (new texture or taste) or to obtain analogue products with minimal effect on flavor, color and nutritional value and without any thermal degradation. It is well established that higher pressure has a disruptive effect on the tertiary and quaternary structure of most globular proteins, with relatively little influence on the secondary structure. Therefore, higher

hydrostatic pressure can unfold proteins. The typical pressure needed for the unfolding is around 500 MPa but it varies from protein to protein, in the range from 100 MPa to 1 GPa or reaching even higher pressures in special cases. The effect of HHP on immunoreactive proteins is being currently investigated through changes in protein structure [37]. Such effects have been studied in: beef [38, 39], apple [40, 41], celery [40] and in nuts such as peanut [41]. However, there is scarce information on the effects of such food processing techniques on hazelnut and almond immunoreactive proteins.


In our study, the aim is to study the effect of high pressure, without and with heating, on SDS-PAGE and immunodetection profile of potential allergenic proteins (anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP) of pistachio, cashew, peanut, hazelnut, almond and chestnut.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Electrophoretic pattern and immunodetection assays of processed cashew

The characterization of the electrophoretic profile of the soluble protein extracts from untreated and thermal-treated cashew samples is shown in Figure 1. The results showed that the treatments of boiling during 60 min had no major effects on the IgG-binding proteins from cashew. However, cashew subjected to heat and pressure treatments (autoclave) showed less distinctive stained bands in SDS-PAGE with an increased protein fragmentation that went along with a reduction in IgG-reactive bands.

**Figure 1:** SDS-PAGE and IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP immunoblots analysis of control, boiled and autoclaved cashew samples.




We additionally studied the electrophoretic and IgE-binding patterns of total protein extracts from cashew which were obtained by direct solubilization of untreated and treated cashew flours in the SDS sample buffer as described in materials and methods. (Supplementary material. Figure S1). A band around 13 kDa probably corresponding to the 2S albumin Ana o 3 [10], was especially immunoreactive and it was. The IgE reactive bands were strongly reduced in the samples treated with heat and pressure, but possible to detect even in the sample AU 138 °C, 15 min.

In the Western blot with IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP, arrows indicate the protein bands detected (Ana o 2 and Ana o 3). 11S is not affected by boiling but is eliminated by autoclave. The albumin 2S is resistant after autoclave treatment at 121 °C but is not detected after autoclave at 138 °C. No immunoreactive bands were detected when IgG anti-LTP was applied. In the western blot, apart from other bands, we saw that a major band around 15 kDa was persistent and detectable until 121 °C 30 min. These results were confirmed by IgE western blot using a pool of sera from allergic patients, the most relevant effect in IgE reactivity was seen in autoclaves samples that provoked a reduction of more than 90%.

## 2.2. Electrophoretic pattern and immunodetection assays of pistachio samples


The protein profile, visualized by SDS-PAGE, of pistachio protein extract from untreated and boiling treated samples was very similar (Figure 2). A low number of bands number was reduced after the softest heat/pressure treatment (AU 121 °C, 15 minutes) and the rest of heat/pressure treatments (AU 121 °C, 30 min, AU 138 °C, 15 min and AU 138 °C, 30 min) provoked a smear due to the degradation, rich in low molecular weight proteins. The most influenced processing effect was obtained after harsh heat/pressure conditions (138 °C for 30 minutes) (Figure 2). Western blot with IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti LTP is also analyzed. Arrows indicate the protein band detected (Pis v 2/5 and Pis v 1). There is an important reduction of 11S and 2S detection after autoclave treatment at 138 C. However, LTP was even detected after autoclaving at 138°C, 30 min. IgE reactivity reduction was of 73% after boiling treatment in pistachio proteins and the lowest detection was at the hardest autoclave conditions (Supplementary material Figure S2).

**Figure 2:** SDS-PAGE and IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP immunoblots analysis of control, boiled and autoclaved pistachio samples.



## 2.3. Electrophoretic pattern and immunodetection assays of processed peanut

**Figure 3:** SDS-PAGE and IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP immunoblots analysis of control, boiled and autoclaved peanut samples.



The characterization of the electrophoretic profile of the soluble protein extracts from untreated and thermal-treated cashew samples is shown in Figure 3. The results showed that the treatments of boiling during 60 min had no major effects on the IgG-binding proteins from peanut. However, peanut subjected to heat and pressure treatments (autoclave) showed less distinctive


stained bands in SDS-PAGE with an increased protein fragmentation that went along with a reduction in IgG-reactive bands. In the Western blot with IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP, arrows indicate the protein bands detected (Ara h 3, Ara h 2 and Ara h 9). 11S is not affected by boiling or autoclave. The albumin 2S is resistant after autoclave treatment at 121 °C and is weakly detected after autoclave at 138°C. Some immunoreactive bands were detected when IgG anti-LTP was applied. The putative Ara h 9 band was not detected after autoclave at 138°, 30 min.

#### 2.4. Electrophoretic pattern and immunodetection assays of processed hazelnut


The protein profile by SDS-PAGE, of hazelnut protein extract from untreated and boiling treated samples was very similar (Figure 4a). A number of bands number was reduced after heat/pressure treatment at 121 °C, 30 minutes) and the highest diminution was obtained after harsh heat/pressure conditions (138 °C for 30 minutes) (Figure 4a). Western blot with IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti LTP is also analyzed and arrows indicate the protein band detected (Cor a 9, Cor a 14 and Cor a 8). There is an important reduction of 11S, 2S and LTP detection after autoclave treatment at 138°C. IgE reactivity was reduced autoclave conditions (Supplementary material Figure S3).

**Figure 4:** (a) SDS-PAGE and IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP immunoblots analysis of control, boiled and autoclaved hazelnut samples. (b) SDS-PAGE and IgG immunoblot analysis of control and HHP processed hazelnut samples

a)



b)




The results showed that electrophoretic migration patterns of high-pressure treated flour hazelnut proteins were similar to the control hazelnut. (Figure 4b). In the Western blot with IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP, the immunoreactive bands are not affected by HHP treatments from 300 to 600 MPa. However, the possibility that HHP resulted in variable aggregation depending on the applied pressure could explain that the band intensity of supernatants of HHP treated hazelnut

samples were diminishing according the pressure increase from 300 to 600 MPa [42]. This is in agreement with Somkuti and Smeller [37]. The IgE-immunoblot patterns are similar in control and pressure hazelnuts treated samples from 300 to 600 MPa, showing multiple immunoreactive proteins (Supplementary Figure S3). In these samples, most immunoreactive proteins fall in the central and lower area of the gel covering 15–60 kDa. The IgE immunoblotting showed that IgE of pool sera from sensitized to hazelnut recognized bands in all the samples at 50 kDa, 40 kDa, 20 kDa and 9 kDa which might correlate with Cor a 11, Cor a 9, Cor a 1 and Cor a 8 allergens [19].

## 2.5. Electrophoretic pattern and immunodetection assays of processed almond

The electrophoretic profile of the soluble protein extracts from untreated and processed almond samples is shown in Figure 6. Western blot with IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti LTP is also analyzed and arrows indicate the protein band detected (Pru du 6, Pru du 2S and Pru du 3). The results showed that the treatments of boiling during 60 min had no major effects on the IgG-binding proteins from almond. However, almond subjected to heat and pressure treatments (autoclave) showed less distinctive stained bands in SDS-PAGE with an increased protein fragmentation that went along with a reduction in IgG-reactive bands. The electrophoretic migration patterns of high-pressure treated flour almond proteins were similar to the control almond as well as the immunodetection IgG profile.


**Figure 6:** SDS-PAGE and IgG anti-11S, anti-2S and anti-LTP immunoblots analysis of control (ST), boiled (B), autoclaved (AU) and HHP treated (600 MPa) almond samples.



## 2.6. Electrophoretic pattern and immunodetection assays of processed chestnut

The immunodetection results in chestnut are summarized in Figure 7. SDS PAGE showed a similar profile between untreated and boiled samples, although the immunoblot is slightly variable. Resistant bands around 25 and 15 kDa were not detected after autoclave. IgE reactivity reduction was of 76% after boiling treatment of chestnut IgG WB. Thermal treatments (boiling and autoclave) diminished bands proteins number, especially after pressure at 138° for 30 min, and modified protein solubility. Detection was possible with all antibodies after boiling for 60 minutes. After autoclaving there is a strong reduction in the immunoreactive proteins detection. After Western blot with anti-11S the detection was possible even at autoclave harshets conditions.

**Figure 7:** SDS-PAGE and IgG anti-chitinase, anti-LTP, anti-TLP, anti-11S and anti-2S immunoblots analysis of control (ST), boiled (B) and autoclaved (AU) chestnut samples.



In this study the influence of moist thermal treatments on the immunoreactivity of cashew, pistachio, peanut, hazelnut, almond and chestnut. The results showed that heat and pressure treatment at the harshest conditions considered (138 °C, 2.56 atm, 30 min) produced a higher decrease of the IgG-binding capacity of the six tree nuts proteins than boiling without pressure or soft conditions of heat and pressure. Interestingly, although the treatments of heat and pressure seemed to affect to a greater extend to cashew than pistachio allergens both besides peanut proteins seemed to be more resistant to technological processing than others (hazelnut, almond and chestnut). In the specific case of cashew and pistachio, the effects of thermal processing on their allergenic properties have been addressed by a limited number of studies that used assays that evaluated IgE-binding in western blot or ELISA[42]. In our study, we have applied thermal treatments to cashew and pistachio that included not only boiling without pressure and heat/pressure treatments at soft conditions, but also harsher conditions of heat and pressure (138 °C, 2.56 atm, during 15 and 30 min), which turned to be the most efficient treatment to decrease the allergenic properties of both tree nuts considered in our study. The harshest conditions of heat and pressure applied in our study produced a degradation of all the tree nuts studied (cashew, pistachio, peaenut, hazelnut, almond and chestnut), with an increased protein fragmentation seen as an intense smear in the low molecular weight area in the SDS-PAGE. Such alteration in the electrophoretic and IgG-binding patterns after heat and pressure treatments cannot be explained by a potential loss of solubility of proteins due to the thermal treatments, since the experiments carried out with strong conditions of protein solubilization (flours directly solubilized in SDS-PAGE sample buffer), showed the same pattern of protein degradation for heat and pressure treated samples. The degradation of proteins after harsh heat/pressure treatments obtained in our study reminds to the degradation produced by some enzymatic treatments [33, 43, 44].



sample buffer. The same amount of protein (20 µg) calculated from LECO analysis from each sample was electrophoresed in 4-20 %. Proteins were visualized with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). For western blot, proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes ((Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA). Blocking was carried out for 1 h, at room temperature in PBS plus 0.5 % Tween-20 (PBST) containing 3 % milk (blocking solution). IgG mouse anti-11S (dilution 1:10000), anti-2S (1:25000), anti-chitinase (1:200), anti TLP (1:5000) and anti-LTP (1:500) were diluted in blocking solution and incubated with the PVDF membranes for 1 h (Membranes were washed and then treated with alkaline phosphatase (AP) conjugated goat anti mouse antibody (1:5000) (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA) diluted in blocking solution. Detection was achieved by means of BCIP/NBT substrate (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA). The signal was measured using ChemiDoc (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). For IgE western blot, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane After, membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with pool sera from 6 patients with tree nuts, washed and then treated with Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated mouse anti-human IgE (1:10000 dilution for 30 min at RT) (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA). Detection of IgE-binding proteins was achieved by means of enhanced chemiluminescence, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The signal was measured using CCD camera system of ChemiDoc((Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

## 5. Conclusions

Thermal and pressure treatment (autoclaving) was able to decrease IgE binding properties of pistachio, cashew, peanut, hazelnut, almond and chestnut. Autoclave 138°C (256Kpa) for 30 minutes showed the most relevant reduction in IgG reactivity in all the tree nuts. After autoclave 138°C 30 min IgG immunodetection of Pis v1, Pis v 2, Pis v 5, Ana o 2, Ana o 3, Ara h 9, Cor a 9, Cor a 14, Cor a 8, Pru du 6, Pru du 2S, Pru du 3, Cas s 5, Cas s 8, Cas s TLP is strongly diminished. More studies are necessary in order to obtain more conclusive results, as Basophil activation assay with human cells.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at [www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1](http://www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1), Figure S1: title, Table

**Author Contributions:** Formal analysis, C.C., A.S., F. V., I. B. and R.L.; Funding acquisition, C.C.; Investigation, C.C., A.S., I.B. and R.L.; Supervision, C.C.; Writing – original draft, C.C.; Writing – review & editing, C.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, grant number AGL2017-83082-R.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors want to acknowledge to Dr. Araceli Diaz-Perales (CBGP\_UPM/INIA) for sharing the IgG antibodies with us.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Jerschow, E.; Lin, R. Y.; Scaperotti, M. M.; McGinn, A. P., Fatal anaphylaxis in the United States, 1999-2010: temporal patterns and demographic associations. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2014**, *134*, (6), 1318-1328.
2. Fernandez Rivas, M., Food allergy in Alergologica-2005. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol* **2009**, *2*, 37-44.
3. Ros, E., Health benefits of nut consumption. *Nutrients* **2010**, *2*, 652-682.
4. Crespo, J. F.; James, J. M.; Fernandez-Rodriguez, C.; Rodriguez, J., Food allergy: nuts and tree nuts. *Br J Nutr* **2006**, *96*, (2), S95-102.
5. Radauer, C.; Breiteneder, H., Evolutionary biology of plant food allergens. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **2007**, *120*, (3), 518.

6. Roux, K. H.; Teuber, S. S.; Sathe, S. K., Tree nut allergens. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **2003**, 131, (4), 234-44.
7. Clark, A. T.; Anagnostou, K.; Ewan, P. W., Cashew nut causes more severe reactions than peanut: case matched comparison in 141 children. *Allergy* **2007**, 62, 913-6.
8. Wang, F.; Robotham, J. M.; Teuber, S. S.; Tawde, P.; Sathe, S. K.; Roux, K. H., Ana o 1, a cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) allergen of the vicilin seed storage protein family. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2002**, 110, 160-6.
9. Wang, F.; Robotham, J. M.; Teuber, S. S.; Sathe, S. K.; Roux, K. H., Ana o 2, a major cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) nut allergen of the legumin family. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **2003**, 132, (1), 27-39.
10. Robotham, J. M.; Wang, F.; Seamon, V.; Teuber, S. S.; Sathe, S. K.; Sampson, H. A.; Beyer, K.; Seavy, M.; Roux, K. H., Ana o 3, an important cashew nut (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) allergen of the 2S albumin family. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2005**, 115, 1284-1290.
11. Noorbakhsh, R.; Mortazavi, S. A.; Sankian, M.; Shahidi, F.; Tehrani, M.; Azad, F. J.; Behmanesh, F.; Varasteh, A., Pistachio allergy-prevalence and in vitro cross-reactivity with other nuts. *Allergol Int* **2011**, 60, (4), 425-32.
12. Ahn, K.; Bardina, L.; Grishina, G.; Beyer, K.; Sampson, H. A., Identification of two pistachio allergens, Pis v 1 and Pis v 2, belonging to the 2S albumin and 11S globulin family. *Clin Exp Allergy* **2009**, 39, (6), 926-34.
13. Ayuso, R.; Grishina, G.; Ahn, K., Identification of a MnSOD-like protein as a new major pistachio allergen. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2007**, 119, s115.
14. Willison, L. N.; Tawde, P.; Robotham, J. M.; Penney, R. M.; Teuber, S. S.; Sathe, S. K.; Roux, K. H., Pistachio vicilin, Pis v 3, is immunoglobulin E-reactive and cross-reacts with the homologous cashew allergen, Ana o 1. *Clin Exp Allergy* **2008**, 38, 1229-38.
15. Sicherer, S. H.; Sampson, H. A., Peanut allergy: emerging concepts and approaches for an apparent epidemic. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2007**, 120, (3), 491-503.
16. Burks, A.; Sampson, H.; Bannon, G., Peanut allergens. *Allergy* **1998**, 53, 725-730.
17. Rabjohn, P.; Helm, E.; Stanley, J.; West, C.; Sampson, H.; Burks, A.; Bannon, G., Molecular cloning and epitope analysis of the peanut allergen Ara h 3. *J Clin Invest* **1999**, 103, 535-542.
18. Píknová, L.; Pangallo, D.; Kuchta, T., A novel real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method for the detection of hazelnuts in food. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2008**, 226, (5), 1155-1158.
19. Garino, C.; Zuidmeer, L.; Marsh, J.; Lovegrove, A.; Morati, M.; Versteeg, S.; Schilte, P.; Shewry, P.; Arlorio, M.; van Ree, R., Isolation, cloning, and characterization of the 2S albumin: a new allergen from hazelnut. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **2010**, 54, (9), 1257-65.
20. Costa, J.; Mafra, I.; Carrapatoso, I.; Oliveira, M. B., Almond allergens: molecular characterization, detection, and clinical relevance. *J Agric Food Chem* **2012**, 60, (6), 1337-49.
21. Rico, P.; Crespo, J. F.; Feliu, A.; Rodriguez, J., Chestnut allergy: Beyond the latex-fruit syndrome. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **2004**, 113, S149.
22. Lee, S.-K.; Yoon, S.-H.; Kim, S.-H.; Choi, J.-H.; Park, H.-S., Chestnut as a Food Allergen: Identification of Major Allergens. *Journal of Korean Medical Science* **2005**, 20, (4), 573-578.
23. Diaz-Perales, A.; Collada, C.; Blanco, C.; Sanchez-Monge, R.; Carrillo, T.; Aragoncillo, C.; Salcedo, G., Cross-reactions in the latex-fruit syndrome: A relevant role of chitinases but not of complex asparagine-linked glycans. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, **1999**, 104, 681-687.
24. Diaz-Perales, A.; Lombardero, M.; Sanchez-Monge, R.; Garcia-Selles, F. J.; Pernas, M.; Fernandez-Rivas, M.; Barber, D.; Salcedo, G., Lipid-transfer proteins as potential plant panallergens: cross-reactivity

- among proteins of Artemisia pollen, Castanea nut and Rosaceae fruits, with different IgE-binding capacities. *Clin Exp Allergy* **2000**, *30*, (10), 1403-10.
25. Lopez-Matas, M. A.; Nunez, P.; Soto, A.; Allona, I.; Casado, R.; Collada, C.; Guevara, M. A.; Aragoncillo, C.; Gomez, L., Protein cryoprotective activity of a cytosolic small heat shock protein that accumulates constitutively in chestnut stems and is up-regulated by low and high temperatures. *Plant Physiol* **2004**, *134*, (4), 1708-17.
  26. Palacin, A.; Rivas, L. A.; Gomez-Casado, C.; Aguirre, J.; Tordesillas, L.; Bartra, J.; Blanco, C.; Carrillo, T.; Cuesta-Herranz, J.; Bonny, J. A.; Flores, E.; Garcia-Alvarez-Eire, M. G.; Garcia-Nunez, I.; Fernandez, F. J.; Gamboa, P.; Munoz, R.; Sanchez-Monge, R.; Torres, M.; Losada, S. V.; Villalba, M.; Vega, F.; Parro, V.; Blanca, M.; Salcedo, G.; Diaz-Perales, A., The involvement of thaumatin-like proteins in plant food cross-reactivity: a multicenter study using a specific protein microarray. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, (9), e44088.
  27. Cabanillas, B.; Novak, N., Effects of daily food processing on allergenicity. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **2017**, 1-12.
  28. Mondoulet, L.; Paty, E.; Drumare, M.-F.; Ah-Leung, S.; Scheimann, P.; Willemot, R. M.; Wal, J. M.; Bernard, H., Influence of thermal processing on the allergenicity of peanut proteins. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* **2005**, *53*, 4547-4553.
  29. Mills, E. N.; Sancho, A. I.; Rigby, N. M.; Jenkins, J. A.; Mackie, A. R., Impact of food processing on the structural and allergenic properties of food allergens. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **2009**, *53*, (8), 963-9.
  30. Wal, J. M., Thermal processing and allergenicity of foods. *Allergy* **2003**, *58*, 727-9.
  31. Álvarez-Álvarez, J.; Guillamón, E.; Crespo, J. F.; Cuadrado, C.; Burbano, C.; Rodríguez, J.; Fernández, C.; Muzquiz, M., Effects of extrusion, boiling, autoclaving, and microwave heating on lupine allergenicity. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **2005**, *53*, (4), 1294-8.
  32. Cuadrado, C.; Cabanillas, B.; Pedrosa, M. M.; Varela, A.; Guillamon, E.; Muzquiz, M.; Crespo, J. F.; Rodriguez, J.; Burbano, C., Influence of thermal processing on IgE reactivity to lentil and chickpea proteins. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **2009**, *53*, (11), 1462-8.
  33. Cuadrado, C.; Cheng, H.; Sanchiz, A.; Ballesteros, I.; Eason, M.; Grimm, C. C.; Dieguez, M. C.; Linacero, R.; Burbano, C.; Maleki, S. J., Influence of enzymatic hydrolysis on the allergenic reactivity of processed cashew and pistachio. *Food Chemistry* **2018**, *241*, 372-379.
  34. Cabanillas, B.; Maleki, S. J.; Rodriguez, J.; Burbano, C.; Muzquiz, M.; Aranzazu Jimenez, M.; Pedrosa, M. M.; Cuadrado, C.; Crespo, J. F., Heat and pressure treatments effects on peanut allergenicity. *Food Chemistry* **2012**, *132*, (1), 360-6.
  35. Cuadrado, C.; Cabanillas, B.; Pedrosa, M.; Muzquiz, M.; Haddad, J.; Allaf, K.; Rodriguez, J.; Crespo, J.; Burbano, C., Effect of Instant Controlled Pressure Drop on IgE Antibody Reactivity to Peanut, Lentil, Chickpea and Soybean Proteins. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **2011**, *156*, (4), 397-404.
  36. Maleki, S. J.; Chung, S. Y.; Champagne, E. T.; Raufman, J. P., The effects of roasting on the allergenic properties of peanut proteins. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2000**, *106*, (4), 763-8.
  37. Somkuti, J.; Smeller, L., High pressure effects on allergen food proteins. *Biophys Chem* **2013**, *4622*, (13), 98-7.
  38. Han, G. D.; Matsuno, M.; Ikeuchi, Y.; Suzuki, A., Effects of heat and high-pressure treatments on antigenicity of beef extract. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **2002**, *66*, (1), 202-5.
  39. Yamamoto, S.; Mikami, N.; Matsuno, M.; Hara, T.; Odani, S.; Suzuki, A.; Nishiumi, T., Effects of a high-pressure treatment on bovine gamma globulin and its reduction in allergenicity. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **2010**, *74*, (3), 525-30.

40. Husband, F. A.; Aldick, T.; Van der Plancken, I.; Grauwet, T.; Hendrickx, M.; Skypala, I.; Mackie, A. R., High-pressure treatment reduces the immunoreactivity of the major allergens in apple and celeriac. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **2011**, *55*, (7), 1087-95.
41. Johnson, P. E.; Van der Plancken, I.; Balasa, A.; Husband, F. A.; Grauwet, T.; Hendrickx, M.; Knorr, D.; Mills, E. N.; Mackie, A. R., High pressure, thermal and pulsed electric-field-induced structural changes in selected food allergens. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **2010**, *54*, (12), 1701-10.
42. Masthoff, L. J.; Hoff, R.; Verhoeckx, K. C.; van Os-Medendorp, H.; Michelsen-Huisman, A.; Baumert, J. L.; Pasmans, S. G.; Meijer, Y.; Knulst, A. C., A systematic review of the effect of thermal processing on the allergenicity of tree nuts. *Allergy* **2013**, 12185.
43. Cabanillas, B.; Pedrosa, M. M.; Cuadrado, C.; Burbano, C.; Muzquiz, M.; Rodriguez, J.; Crespo, J. F., Effects of Enzymatic Hydrolysis on Peanut Allergenicity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **2010**, *125*, (2), AB224-AB224.
44. Cabanillas, B.; Pedrosa, M. M.; Rodriguez, J.; Muzquiz, M.; Maleki, S. J.; Cuadrado, C.; Burbano, C.; Crespo, J. F., Influence of Enzymatic Hydrolysis on the Allergenicity of Roasted Peanut Protein Extract. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **2012**, *157*, (1), 41-50.
45. Omi, Y.; Kato, T.; Ishida, K.-I.; Kato, H.; Matsuda, T., Pressure-Induced Release of Basic 7S Globulin from Cotyledon Dermal Tissue of Soybean Seeds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **1996**, *44*, (12), 3763-3767.
46. Kato, T.; Katayama, E.; Matsubara, S.; Omi, Y.; Matsuda, T., Release of allergenic proteins from rice grains induced by high hydrostatic pressure. *J Agric Food Chem* **2000**, *48*, (8), 3124-9.
47. AOAC., Official methods of analysis of AOAC International, 17th edition. **2003**.