

A Proof of Riemann Hypothesis Based on MacLaurin Expansion of the Completed Zeta Function

Weicun Zhang

Abstract The basic idea is to expand the completed zeta function $\xi(s)$ in MacLaurin series. Thus, $\xi(s) = 0$ corresponds to an algebraic equation with real coefficients and infinite degree. In addition, by $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, another formally equivalent algebraic equation exists, i.e., $\xi(1-s) = 0$. Then these two simultaneous algebraic equations share the common solution, thus a proof of Riemann Hypothesis (RH) can be obtained.

Keywords Riemann Hypothesis (RH) · Proof · Completed zeta function $\xi(s)$

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 11M26

1 Introduction

It has been 162 years since Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is proposed in 1859 [1]. Many efforts and achievements have been made towards proving the hypothesis, but it is still an open problem [2-3].

The Riemann zeta function is the function of the complex variable s , defined in the half-plane $\Re(s) > 1$ by the absolutely convergent series [2]

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (1)$$

Weicun Zhang
School of Automation and Electrical Engineering
University of Science and Technology Beijing
Beijing 100083, China
Tel.: +86-10-62936073
Fax: +86-13601102534
ORCID: 0000-0003-0047-0558
E-mail: weicunzhang@ustb.edu.cn

Riemann showed how to extend zeta function to the whole complex plane \mathbf{C} by analytic continuation

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_1^\infty (x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} + x^{-\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \left(\frac{\theta(x)-1}{2} \right) dx \right\} \quad (2)$$

where $\theta(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-n^2\pi x}$, Γ being the Gamma function in the following equivalent form

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = s \cdot e^{\gamma s} \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left(1 + \frac{s}{n}\right) e^{-s/n} \quad (3)$$

where γ is Euler's constant.

The connection between the Riemann zeta function and prime numbers can be established through the well-known Euler product.

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}, \Re(s) > 1 \quad (4)$$

the product being over the prime numbers p .

As shown by Riemann, $\zeta(s)$ extends to \mathbf{C} as a meromorphic function with only a simple pole at $s = 1$, with residue 1, and satisfies the following functional equation

$$\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s) \quad (5)$$

It is not difficult to see that $\zeta(s)$ has zeros at the negative even integers $-2, -4, -6, -8, \dots$ and one refers to them as the **trivial zeros**. The other zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are the complex numbers, i.e., **non-trivial zeros** [2].

About the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, the following results are well established [4].

Lemma 1: Non-trivial zeroes of $\zeta(s)$, noted as $\rho = \alpha + j\beta$ have the following properties

- 1) The number of non-trivial zeroes is infinity;
- 2) $\beta \neq 0$;
- 3) $0 < \alpha < 1$;
- 4) $\rho, \bar{\rho}, 1 - \bar{\rho}, 1 - \rho$ are all non-trivial zeroes.

For further study, a completed zeta function $\xi(s)$ is defined as

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} s(1-s) \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) \quad (6)$$

It is well-known that $\xi(s)$ is an entire function of order 1.

Replacing s with $1-s$ in Eq.(6), and considering Eq.(5), we have the following functional equation

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s) \quad (7)$$

Considering the definition of $\xi(s)$, i.e., Eq. (6), and recalling Eq.(3), the trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are canceled by the poles of $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$. The zero of $s-1$ and the pole of $\zeta(s)$ cancel; the zero $s=0$ and the pole of $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$ cancel [5-6]. Thus, all roots of $\xi(s)$ must be the non-trivial roots of the Riemann zeta function, and vice versa. That means the following result, i.e., Lemma 2.

Lemma 2: Zeros of $\xi(s)$ coincide with non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$.

It is well-known that $\xi(s)$ can be expressed by the following infinite product, which was first proposed by Riemann. However, it was Hadamard who showed the validity of this infinite product expansion [7]

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) \quad (8)$$

where ρ are precisely the non-trivial roots of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, the factor ρ and $1-\rho$ are paired.

This paper will use another infinite expansion of $\xi(s)$, i.e., MacLaurin series to open the door to the proof of RH.

The following two statements for Riemann Hypothesis are equivalent according to Lemma 2.

Statement 1 of Riemann Hypothesis: The non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Statement 2 of Riemann Hypothesis: All the zeros of $\xi(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

2 A proof of RH

This section is planned to give a proof of the Statement 2 of RH. The details are delivered in four steps as follows.

Proof of RH:

Step 1: Since $\xi(s)$ is an entire function, it is analytic in the whole complex plane \mathbf{C} . Then $\xi(s)$ can be expanded in an infinite MacLaurin series at $s=0$, i.e.

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) + \xi'(0)s + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}s^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}s^n + \dots, |s| < \infty \quad (9)$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!} = \frac{\xi^{(n)}(s)}{n!} \Big|_{s=0}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

are all real numbers.

Step2: In Eq. (9), replace s with $1 - s$, we obtain

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(0) + \xi'(0)(1-s) + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}(1-s)^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}(1-s)^n + \dots, |s| < \infty \quad (10)$$

Step 3: From Eq.(7), Eq.(9), and Eq.(10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(0) + \xi'(0)s + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}s^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}s^n + \dots \\ &= \xi(0) + \xi'(0)(1-s) + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}(1-s)^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}(1-s)^n + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Step 4: To determine the zeros of $\xi(s)$, we only need to set $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(0) + \xi'(0)s + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}s^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}s^n + \dots \\ &= \xi(0) + \xi'(0)(1-s) + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}(1-s)^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}(1-s)^n + \dots \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Eq.(12) is actually equivalent to the following simultaneous algebraic equations with real number coefficients

$$\xi(0) + \xi'(0)s + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}s^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}s^n + \dots = 0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$\xi(0) + \xi'(0)(1-s) + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}(1-s)^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}(1-s)^n + \dots = 0 \quad (14)$$

Without loss of generality, by Lemma 2, let $s = \alpha \pm j\beta$ be the root of Eq.(13). Next, set $y = 1 - s$, in Eq.(14), we have

$$\xi(0) + \xi'(0)y + \frac{\xi''(0)}{2!}y^2 + \dots + \frac{\xi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}y^n + \dots = 0 \quad (15)$$

It is obvious that Eq.(15) formally coincides with Eq.(13), that means these two equations have the same roots, i.e., $y = \alpha \pm j\beta$ being the roots of Eq. (15). Further, recalling $y = 1 - s$, we have

$$1 - s = \alpha \pm j\beta \Rightarrow s = 1 - \alpha \pm j\beta \quad (16)$$

being the roots of Eq.(14)

Since Eq.(13) and Eq.(14) are simultaneous equations, these two equations share the common solution, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} s = \alpha \pm j\beta \\ s = 1 - \alpha \pm j\beta \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Thus, we have

$$\alpha \pm j\beta = 1 - \alpha \pm j\beta \Rightarrow \alpha = 1 - \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \quad (18)$$

That means all the zeros of $\xi(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

By Lemma 2, we know that the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

That completes the proof of RH.

Remark. All the zeros of $\xi(s)$ should be determined by $\xi(s) = 0$, which is an infinite algebraic equation with real coefficients. According to the theory of algebraic equation, complex roots always come in pairs (complex conjugates), thus, all the n -pair complex roots of $\xi(s) = 0$ can be denoted as $\alpha \pm j\beta$, or to be specific, $\alpha_i \pm j\beta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$. Certainly, $\xi(1-s) = 0$ also has n -pair complex roots $1 - \alpha \pm j\beta$, or to be specific, $1 - \alpha_i \pm j\beta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$. By $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, $1 - \alpha \pm j\beta$ or $1 - \alpha_i \pm j\beta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$ are also zeros of $\xi(s)$. That means the n -pair roots of $\xi(1-s) = 0$ were embezzled to $\xi(s) = 0$. How could it be? But, $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ is also a fact. The only remedy is that $1 - \alpha \pm j\beta = \alpha \pm j\beta$, i.e., $\alpha = 1/2$. Otherwise, the embezzlement of the roots from $\xi(1-s) = 0$ to $\xi(s) = 0$ is unavoidable.

3 Conclusion

This paper presents a proof of Riemann Hypothesis based on a new road map:

- 1) The completed zeta function $\xi(s)$ can be expressed as MacLaurin series, thus $\xi(s) = 0$ corresponds to an algebraic equation with real coefficients and infinite degree. The roots of $\xi(s) = 0$ can be denoted as $s = \alpha \pm j\beta$;
- 2) By $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, another formally equivalent algebraic equation exists, i.e., $\xi(1-s) = 0$ with roots $1 - \alpha \pm j\beta$;
- 3) Solving these two simultaneous algebraic equations, we have $1 - \alpha \pm j\beta = \alpha \pm j\beta \Rightarrow \alpha = 1/2$.

Then we conclude that the celebrated Riemann Hypothesis is true.

References

1. Riemann, B, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse. Monatsberichte der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 2, 671-680 (1859).

2. E. Bombieri, Problems of the millennium: The Riemann Hypothesis, CLAY, 1-11 (2000)
3. Peter Sarnak, Problems of the Millennium: The Riemann Hypothesis, CLAY, 1-9 (2004)
4. Tom M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer, New York (1998)
5. Chengdong Pan, Chengbiao Pan, Basic Analytic Number Theory (in Chinese), 2nd Edition, Harbin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, China (2016)
6. Reyes, Ernesto Oscar, The Riemann zeta function, Master Thesis of California State University, San Bernardino (2004)
7. Hadamard, J, Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann. Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées, 9, 171-216 (1893)