

Article

The combined effects of television viewing and physical activity on cardiometabolic risk factors: The Kardiovize study

*Geraldo A Maranhao Neto¹, Iuliia Pavlovka^{1,2}, Anna Polcrova^{1,3}, Jeffrey I. Mechanick⁴, Maria M. Infante-Garcia^{1,5}, Jose R. Medina-Inojosa⁶, Ramfis Nieto-Martinez^{5,7,8}, Francisco Lopez-Jimenez⁶, Juan P. Gonzalez-Rivas^{1,5,7}

¹ International Clinical Research Center (ICRC), St Anne's University Hospital (FNUSA) Brno, Czech Republic.; anna.polcrova@fnusa.cz (A.P.); maria.garcia@fnusa.cz (M.M.I.-G.); iuliia.pavlovska@fnusa.cz (I.P.); juan.gonzalez@fnusa.cz (J.P.G.-R.)

² Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic.

³ Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment (RECETOX), Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

⁴ The Marie-Josée and Henry R. Kravis Center for Cardiovascular Health at Mount Sinai Heart, and Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Bone, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; jeffrey-mechanick@gmail.com

⁵ Foundation for Clinic, Public Health, and Epidemiology Research of Venezuela (FISPEVEN INC), Caracas, Venezuela; nieto.ramfis@gmail.com

⁶ Division of Preventive Cardiology, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, MN, USA; MedinaInojosa.Jose@mayo.edu (J.R.M-I); lopez@mayo.edu (F.L-J)

⁷ Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

⁸ LifeDoc Health, Memphis, TN, USA

* Correspondence: geraldo.neto@fnusa.cz; Tel.: (+4-207-345-23179)

Abstract: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the association between television viewing/physical activity (TVV/PA) interactions and cardiometabolic risk in an adult European population. A total of 2,155 subjects (25-64 years) (45.2% males), a random population-based sample were evaluated in Brno, Czechia. TVV was classified as low (<2hours/day), moderate (2-4), and high (≥ 4). PA was classified as insufficient, moderate, and high. To assess the independent association of TVV/PA categories with cardiometabolic variables, multiple linear regression was used. After adjustments, significant associations were: high TVV/insufficient PA with body mass index (BMI) ($\beta=2.61, SE=0.63$), waist circumference (WC) ($\beta=7.52, SE=1.58$), body fat percent (%BF) ($\beta=6.24, SE=1.02$), glucose ($\beta=0.25, SE=0.12$), triglycerides ($\beta=0.18, SE=0.05$), and HDL-C ($\beta=-0.10, SE=0.04$); high TVV/moderate PA with BMI ($\beta=1.98, SE=0.45$), WC ($\beta=5.43, SE=1.12$), %BF ($\beta=5.15, SE=0.72$), triglycerides ($\beta=0.08, SE=0.04$), total cholesterol ($\beta=0.21, SE=0.10$), LDL-c ($\beta=0.19, SE=0.08$), and HDL-c ($\beta=-0.07, SE=0.03$); and moderate TVV/insufficient PA with WC ($\beta=2.68, SE=1.25$), %BF ($\beta=3.80, SE=0.81$), LDL-c ($\beta=0.18, SE=0.09$), and HDL-c ($\beta=-0.07, SE=0.03$). Independent of PA levels, higher TVV was associated with higher amounts of adipose tissue. Higher blood glucose and triglycerides were present in subjects with high TVV and insufficient PA, but not in those with high PA alone. These results affirm the independent cardiometabolic risk of sedentary routines even in subjects with high-levels of PA.

Keywords: Television viewing; sedentary behavior; Physical activity; cardiometabolic risk factors

1. Introduction

Sedentary Behavior (SB) is defined as any waking behavior characterized by an energy expenditure ≤ 1.5 metabolic equivalents (METs) [1], while in a sitting, reclining, or lying posture [1], and represents a risk factor for cardiometabolic disease [2]. Television viewing (TVV), video game playing, computer use, reading, and sitting while

commuting (by bus, train, car, etc.) are examples of SB [1, 3]. TVV has been one of the main proxy measures of SB in the domestic setting [3] and is correlated with older age, lower education, unhealthier lifestyle, type 2 diabetes, osteomuscular disease, cardiovascular disease, and mortality [4, 5]. One proposed mechanism is the association of TVV with snack food consumption, which ignore internal satiety signals and generate an excessive energy intake (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20470810/>), and associated with a loss of localised muscular contraction with sitting [6]. These events can lead to high elevations in glucose, free fatty acids, and triglycerides [6].

In the Czech Republic there is a high prevalence of physically active residents [6], but the time spent in SB is increasing [2] along with the prevalence of adiposity complications [7] and non-communicable diseases[8]. In comparison to physical activity (PA), SB has still received limited attention globally [2] and, therefore, more research is required to better understand the interactions between SB/PA and health outcomes [9]. The aim of this study is to evaluate the association between different levels of TVV and the concurrent PA levels with cardiometabolic risk factors in a population based-study of the Czech population.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Population.

The KardioVize is a cross-sectional population-based study with a random sample of 1% of the adult (25-64 years old) population of Brno, Czech Republic. Brno is the second-largest city in the Czech Republic, with 373,327 residents in 2013. Eligibility criteria included permanent residence in Brno and registration with any of the five state-run health insurance companies operating in the country covering 91.1% of the population.

2.2. Study Design and Population.

Survey sampling was done in January 2013 with technical assistance using registries from the largest (state-run) health insurance company. A random stratified sample by age and gender of 3,300 persons was adjusted for a response rate of 64.4% (as projected from the Czech post-MONICA study). Health insurance companies mailed invitation letters with a description of the study ensuring confidentiality. Because the sample size was not reached, a second random sample was done following the same methodology as the first sample. For the second invitation, 3,077 invitations were mailed. Based on the two samplings with a total of 6,377 randomly selected invitees, the overall response rate was 33.9% [10]. No information on non-respondents was available. A total of 2,160 individuals signed informed consent to participate and were enrolled. For this analysis, subjects with type 1 diabetes were excluded.

The study protocol complied with the Helsinki declaration and all participants signed the informed consent. The KardioVize study was approved by the ethics committee of St Anne's University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic.

2.3. Data Collection.

The questionnaire included demographics (e.g., age, education, and marital status), socioeconomic status, cardiovascular risk behaviors (e.g., smoking, nutrition, alcohol consumption, and physical activity), family and personal history, medications, and hospitalizations. Laboratory analyses were performed on 12-hour fasting whole blood samples using a Modular SWA P800 analyzer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Total

cholesterol, triglycerides, and glucose were analyzed by the enzymatic colorimetric method (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) was analyzed with the homogeneous method for direct measurement without precipitation (Sekisui Medical, Hachimantai, Japan). Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) level was calculated according to the Friedewald equation when triglyceride levels were below 4.5 mmol/L; if it was higher, LDL-c was analyzed using the homogeneous method for direct measurement (Sekisui Medical, Hachimantai, Japan). Blood pressure was measured with the patient alone using an automated office measurement device (BpTRU, model BPM 200; Bp TRU Medical Devices Ltd., Canada). Anthropometric assessment included height, weight, body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), and waist circumference (WC). Height was measured using a stadiometer (SECA 799; SECA, GmbH and Co. KG, Germany) and a manual tape was used to measure waist, hip, and neck circumference. Weight and body composition analyses were performed using a scale with bioelectrical impedance analysis capabilities (InBody 370; BIOSPACE Co., Ltd., Korea)[10].

2.4. Variables Definition.

Self-reported PA was assessed using the International Questionnaire of Physical Activity (IPAQ) long version. Subjects classified as “high PA” were those who participated in vigorous-intensity activity at least 3 days per week, achieving a minimum of 1500 MET-minutes/week, or 7 or more days per week of any combination of walking, moderate-intensity, or vigorous-intensity activities achieving a minimum of 3000 MET-minutes/week. Subjects classified as “moderate PA” were those who participated in at least 20 minutes of vigorous physical activity 3 or more days per week, or at least 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity or brisk walking 5 or more days per week, or 5 or more days per week of any combination of walking, moderate-intensity, or vigorous-intensity activities, achieving a minimum of 600 MET-min/week. Subjects classified as “insufficient PA” were those who did not reach the activity levels listed above. Information about daily TVV time was obtained through the question “How many hours do you spend watching television?” Weekly television watching was estimated by taking a weighted average of daily weekday and weekend activity (weekly television time = [average daily weekday television time × 5] + [average daily weekend television time × 2]). The continuous value was classified as “low” (<2 hours/day), “moderate” (between ≥2 to <4 hours), and “high” (≥4 hours/day)[11, 12]. Marital status was classified as “living alone” (including single, divorced, and widowed) or “living as a couple” (including married and other partnerships). Educational level was classified as primary, secondary, and higher (representing the highest level achieved). Household income was expressed in Euros per month and classified as “low” (<1200), “middle” (1200-1800), or “high” (>1800). Smoking status was classified as “Non-smokers” or “current smoker” (smoking in any amount during the past year). Alcohol consumption was assessed by the reported alcohol intake of the last week, expressed in number of standard drinks. One standard drink contained approximately 10 g ethanol (100-125 ml of wine, 250 ml of beer, or 30 ml of spirits). Participants were classified as “non- alcohol user” (including abstainers and those who did not drink in the previous 12 months) and “alcohol user”[10].

2.5. Data analysis.

All statistical analyses were performed using the STATA software (version 14.0, StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normal distribution of variables. Continuous variables with skewed distributions were reported as the median and interquartile range (IQR) and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variable frequency was reported as percentage and

confidence interval (CI) and compared using the Chi-Squared or Fisher test. To understand the impact of TVV on cardiometabolic risk factors across different levels of PA, the participants were classified according to nine exposure groups based on TVV and PA levels: low TVV/insufficient PA, low TVV/moderate PA, and low TVV/high PA; moderate TVV/insufficient PA, moderate TVV/moderate PA, and moderate TVV/high PA; and high TVV/insufficient PA, high TVV/moderate PA, and high TVV/high PA. Those with low time watching TVV and a high level of PA were considered as the reference group, representing ideal behavior.

Outcomes were cardiometabolic risk factors, which are expressed as continuous variables, such as BMI, WC, body fat percentage, blood pressure, glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL-c, and HDL-c. To assess the independent association of TVV/PA classes with cardiometabolic risk factors, multiple linear regression analyses were used to estimate β coefficients with accompanying standard estimates (SE). Two regression models were presented: Model 1 - adjusted for sex and age; and Model 2 for age, sex, educational level, income, alcohol consumption, smoking, and specific medication use. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Subject's characteristics.

In total, 2155 subjects were included, 45.2% men, with a median age of 48.0 (IQR 19.0) years. When the subjects were categorized by their PA level, the prevalence of insufficient, moderate, and high PA was 11.8%, 42.1%, and 46.1%, respectively (Table 1). Subjects with insufficient PA had higher BMI, WC, body fat percent, triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL-c, and low HDL-c than those with higher levels of PA. Insufficient PA was also associated with a higher prevalence of alcohol use, smoking, and use of diuretics. Subjects with lower education level had a lower prevalence of insufficient PA than those with higher education (Table 1).

Table 1: Population characteristics according to physical activity level

| | Insufficient | Moderate | High | p |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| n (%) | 254 (11.8%) | 907 (42.1%) | 994 (46.1%) | |
| Sex (% Men) | 48.4 | 42.8 | 46.7 | 0.128 |
| Age (years) | 50 (19) | 48 (19) | 48 (20) | 0.376 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 26.0 (5.0) | 25.0 (7.0) | 25.0 (6.2) | 0.023 |
| WC (cm) | 91.0 (22.0) | 88.0 (22.0) | 89.0 (20.0) | 0.006 |
| Body Fat Percentage (%) | 28.0 (13.0) | 26.0 (14.0) | 24.0 (14.5) | <0.001 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 120.3 (22.8) | 118.4 (20.6) | 118.4 (18.2) | 0.114 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 79.4 (13.0) | 80.0 (13.0) | 79.2 (12.0) | 0.217 |
| Glucose (mmol/L) | 4.9 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.7) | 0.764 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.1 (0.9) | 1.0 (0.7) | 1.0 (0.7) | 0.006 |
| Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) | 5.2 (1.4) | 5.1 (1.3) | 5.0 (1.2) | 0.009 |
| LDL-c (mmol/L) | 3.2 (1.2) | 3.0 (1.2) | 2.9 (1.2) | 0.002 |
| HDL-c (mmol/L) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.4) | <0.001 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Educational Level (%) | | | | |
| Primary | 18.1 (13.3-22.8) | 15.2 (12.8-17.5) | 24.5 (21.8-27.1) | <0.001 |
| Secondary | 35.8 (29.9-41.7) | 36.9 (33.7-40.0) | 40.9 (37.8-43.9) | |
| Higher | 46.1 (39.9-52.2) | 47.8 (44.5-51.0) | 34.6 (31.6-37.5) | |
| Household income (Euro) (%) | | | | |
| Low (<1200) | 42.9 (36.8-48.9) | 40.1 (36.9-43.2) | 45.5 (42.4-48.6) | 0.006 |
| Middle (1200-1800) | 29.4 (23.8-35.0) | 30.9 (27.8-33.9) | 33.0 (30.0-35.9) | |
| High (>1800) | 27.7 (22.2-33.2) | 30 (27.0-32.9) | 25.5 (22.7-28.2) | |
| Living as a Couple (%) | | | | |
| Living as a Couple (%) | 60.1 (54.0-66.1) | 64.8 (61.6-67.9) | 64.2 (61.2-67.1) | 0.085 |
| Current Smoker (%) | | | | |
| Current Smoker (%) | 29.9 (24.2-35.5) | 20.4 (17.7-23.0) | 24.4 (21.7-27.0) | 0.005 |
| Alcohol user (%) | | | | |
| Alcohol user (%) | 87.0 (82.8-91.1) | 85.7 (83.4-87.9) | 82.1 (79.7-84.4) | 0.042 |
| Medications (%) | | | | |
| Diuretic | 11.0 (7.1-14.8) | 6.1 (4.5-7.6) | 7.7 (6.0-9.3) | 0.025 |
| Vasodilator | 26.0 (20.6-31.3) | 21.2 (18.5-23.8) | 23.2 (20.5-25.8) | 0.23 |
| Hypoglycemic | 6.3 (3.3-9.2) | 3.9 (2.6-5.1) | 3.3 (2.1-4.4) | 0.088 |
| Hypolipidemic | 9.4 (5.8-12.9) | 9.0 (7.1-10.8) | 11.6 (9.6-13.5) | 0.161 |

Continuous variables are represented by median and IQR. Mann Whitney U test was used to determine different medians. Proportions are present as percent and 95% confidence intervals. Chi-square test was used to determine different proportions. Abbreviations: BMI- Body Mass Index; WC- Waist Circumference; LDL-c – Low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c – High density lipoprotein cholesterol.

The prevalences of low, moderate, and high-TVV were 42.6%, 39.7%, and 17.7%, respectively (Table 2). Subjects with high TVV had higher age, BMI, WC, body fat percent, blood pressure, blood glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL-c, and lower HDL-c than those with a lower TVV. High TVV was also associated with a higher prevalence of smoking, insufficient PA, and use of antihypertensive and hypolipidemic medications. Subjects with lower education and income showed a higher TVV than those with higher education and income (Table 2).

Table 2: Population characteristics according to television viewing habits

| | Low | Moderate | High | p |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|
| n (%) | 918 (42.6%) | 855 (39.7) | 382 (17.7) | |
| Sex (% Men) | 44.1 | 44.7 | 49.7 | 0.158 |
| Age (years) | 44.0 (19) | 49.0 (20) | 52.0 (17) | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 25.0 (6.0) | 26.0 (6.0) | 27.0 (7.0) | <0.001 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 86.0 (19.0) | 90.0 (20.0) | 95.0 (20.0) | <0.001 |
| Body Fat Percentage (%) | 24.0 (12.0) | 26.0 (14.0) | 29.0 (15.0) | <0.001 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 115.8 (18.4) | 119.4 (16.6) | 123.5 (20.2) | <0.001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 78.6 (12.4) | 80.0 (12.8) | 80.7 (12.0) | <0.001 |
| Glucose (mmol/L) | 4.8 (0.7) | 5.0 (0.7) | 5.0 (0.8) | <0.001 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 0.9 (0.7) | 1.0 (0.7) | 1.3 (0.9) | <0.001 |
| Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) | 5.0 (1.2) | 5.1 (1.3) | 5.2 (1.5) | <0.001 |
| LDL-c (mmol/L) | 2.9 (1.1) | 3.0 (1.2) | 3.2 (1.3) | <0.001 |
| HDL-c (mmol/L) | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.4) | <0.001 |
| <hr/> | | | | |
| Educational Level (%) | | | | |
| Primary | 13.5 (11.2-15.7) | 20.7 (17.9-23.4) | 33.0 (28.2-37.7) | <0.001 |
| Secondary | 37.4 (34.2-40.5) | 37.7 (34.4-40.9) | 43.5 (38.5-48.4) | |
| Higher | 49.0 (45.7-52.2) | 41.6 (38.3-44.9) | 23.6 (19.3-27.8) | |
| <hr/> | | | | |
| Household income (Euro) (%) | | | | |
| Low (<1200) | 38.1 (34.9-41.2) | 42.5 (39.1-45.8) | 55.4 (50.4-60.3) | <0.001 |
| Middle (1200-1800) | 32.4 (29.3-35.4) | 32.9 (29.7-36.0) | 27.3 (22.8-31.7) | |
| High (>1800) | 20.5 (17.8-23.1) | 24.6 (21.7-27.4) | 17.3 (13.5-21.0) | |
| <hr/> | | | | |
| Living in Couple (%) | 61.7 (58.5-64.8) | 64.9 (61.7-68.1) | 59.4 (54.4-64.3) | 0.139 |
| <hr/> | | | | |
| Physical Activity Level (%) | | | | |
| Insufficient | 8.9 (7.0-10.7) | 12.6 (10.3-14.8) | 16.7 (12.9-20.4) | <0.001 |
| Moderate | 42.4 (39.2-45.6) | 43.3 (39.9-46.6) | 38.7 (33.8-43.5) | |
| High | 48.7 (45.4-51.9) | 44.1 (40.7-47.4) | 44.5 (39.5-49.4) | |
| <hr/> | | | | |
| Smokers (%) | 19.5 (16.9-22.0) | 22.2 (19.4-24.9) | 35.6 (30.8-40.4) | <0.001 |
| Alcohol user (%) | 84.2 (81.8-86.5) | 84.7 (82.2-87.1) | 82.7 (78.9-86.4) | 0.683 |

| Medications (%) | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Diuretic | 4.8 (3.4-6.1) | 8.1 (6.2-9.9) | 11.3 (8.1-14.4) | <0.001 |
| Vasodilator | 16.0 (13.6-18.3) | 24.2 (21.3-27.0) | 34.5 (29.7-39.2) | <0.001 |
| Hypoglycemic | 2.8 (1.7-3.8) | 4.6 (3.2-6.0) | 5.0 (2.8-7.1) | 0.083 |
| Hypolipidemic | 7.7 (5.9-9.4) | 11.5 (9.3-13.6) | 12.8 (9.4-16.1) | 0.005 |

Continuous variables are represented by median and IQR. Mann Whitney U test was used to determine different medians. Proportions are present as percent and 95% confidence intervals. Chi-square test was used to determine different proportions. Abbreviations: BMI- Body Mass Index; WC- Waist Circumference; LDL-c – Low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c – High density lipoprotein cholesterol.

3.2. Association of Television Viewing/Physical Activity and Cardiometabolic Risk Factors

Compared with subjects with low TVV and high PA level, those with high TVV were consistently associated with higher amounts and inappropriate distribution of adipose tissue, independent of their PA level (Table 3). Independent of multiple covariates (Model 2), subjects with high TVV and low PA level had, on average, a 2.61 higher BMI, a 7.52 cm higher WC, and 6.24% higher body fat percentage than those with low TVV and high PA level. Even those with high TVV and high PA level had 1.03 higher BMI, 2.42 cm higher WC, and 2.40% higher body fat percentage than the reference group (Table 3).

Table 3: Association between TVV, Physical Activity Level and cardiometabolic factors (n= 2155)

| Cardiometabolic Factors | Classification | Model 1 β (SE) | Model 2 β (SE) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| BMI (kg/m²) | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.04 (0.57) | 0.30 (0.57) |
| | Low TVV/Moderate PA | -0.17 (0.33) | -0.24 (0.56) |
| | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.83 (0.51) | 0.93 (0.50) |
| | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 0.27 (0.33) | 0.40 (0.33) |
| | Moderate TVV/High PA | 0.73 (0.33)^a | 0.53 (0.33) |
| | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 2.93 (0.64)^b | 2.61 (0.63)^b |
| | High TVV/Moderate PA | 2.10 (0.45)^b | 1.98 (0.45)^b |
| | High TVV/High PA | 1.49 (0.43)^b | 1.03 (0.43)^a |
| | WC (cm) | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.84 (1.42) |
| Low TVV/Moderate PA | | -0.56 (0.82) | -0.10 (0.82) |
| Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | | 2.42 (1.27) | 2.68 (1.26)^a |

| | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 1.35 (0.84) | 1.67 (0.83)^a |
| | | Moderate TVV/High PA | 0.77(1.44) | -0.47 (1.44) |
| | | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 8.32 (1.60)^b | 7.52 (1.58)^b |
| | | High TVV/Moderate PA | 5.74 (1.12)^b | 5.43 (1.12)^b |
| | | High TVV/High PA | 3.58 (1.07)^b | 2.42 (1.07)^a |
| | | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | 2.04 (0.92)^a | 2.69 (0.91)^b |
| | | Low TVV/Moderate PA | 1.07 (0.53)^a | 1.44 (0.52)^b |
| | | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 3.64 (0.82)^b | 3.80 (0.81)^b |
| Body Fat Percentage (%) | | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 1.71 (0.54)^b | 1.95 (0.53)^b |
| | | Moderate TVV/High PA | 1.41 (0.54)^b | 1.08 (0.54)^a |
| | | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 6.82 (1.04)^b | 6.24 (1.02)^b |
| | | High TVV/Moderate PA | 5.37 (0.73)^b | 5.15 (0.72)^b |
| | | High TVV/High PA | 3.29 (0.69)^b | 2.40 (0.69)^b |
| | | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.98 (1.69) | -0.64 (1.62) |
| | | Low TVV/Moderate PA | -1.34 (0.98) | -0.93 (0.94) |
| | | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 1.19 (1.51) | 0.61 (1.41) |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | -0.05 (0.99) | -0.29 (0.95) |
| | | Moderate TVV/High PA | -0.01 (0.99) | -0.87 (0.95) |
| | | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 4.47 (1.88)^a | 2.26 (1.83) |
| | | High TVV/Moderate PA | 2.82 (1.34)^a | 0.85 (1.29) |
| | | High TVV/High PA | 0.27 (1.27) | -1.41 (1.23) |
| | | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.16 (1.06) | -0.20 (1.03) |
| | | Low TVV/Moderate PA | -0.13 (0.62) | -0.02 (0.16) |
| | | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 1.18 (0.95) | 0.72 (0.92) |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 0.85 (0.62) | 0.57 (0.61) |
| | | Moderate TVV/High PA | -0.24 (0.62) | -0.73 (0.61) |
| | | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 1.78 (1.19) | 0.72 (1.17) |
| | | High TVV/Moderate PA | 1.86 (0.84)^a | 0.82 (0.82) |
| | | High TVV/High PA | -0.25 (0.80) | -1.07 (0.79) |
| | | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.16 (0.11) | -0.13 (0.11) |
| Glucose (mmol/L) | | Low TVV/Moderate PA | -0.04 (0.06) | -0.01 (0.06) |
| | | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.06 (0.10) | 0.03 (0.09) |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 0.04 (0.06) | 0.04 (0.06) |
| | Moderate TVV/High PA | 0.09 (0.06) | 0.04 (0.06) |
| | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.37 (0.12)^b | 0.25 (0.12)^a |
| | High TVV/Moderate PA | 0.20 (0.09)^a | 0.12 (0.08) |
| | High TVV/High PA | 0.13 (0.08) | 0.04 (0.08) |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.005 (0.05) | 0.01 (0.05) |
| | Low TVV/Moderate PA | 0.02 (0.03) | 0.03 (0.03) |
| | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.05 (0.04) | 0.04 (0.04) |
| | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 0.04 (0.03) | 0.04 (0.03) |
| | Moderate TVV/High PA | 0.03 (0.03) | 0.009 (0.03) |
| | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.23 (0.05)^b | 0.18 (0.05)^b |
| | High TVV/Moderate PA | 0.11 (0.04)^b | 0.08 (0.04)^a |
| | High TVV/High PA | 0.08 (0.04)^a | 0.04 (0.03) |
| | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.07 (0.13) | 0.06 (0.12) |
| | Low TVV/Moderate PA | 0.11 (0.07) | 0.13 (0.07) |
| Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.19 (0.11) | 0.14 (0.11) |
| | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 0.21 (0.01)^b | 0.18 (0.07)^a |
| | Moderate TVV/High PA | 0.01 (0.07) | 0.02 (0.07) |
| | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.16 (0.14) | 0.17 (0.14) |
| | High TVV/Moderate PA | 0.25 (0.10)^a | 0.21 (0.10)^a |
| | High TVV/High PA | 0.04 (0.09) | 0.03 (0.09) |
| | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.16 (0.10) | 0.14 (0.10) |
| LDL-c (mmol/L) | Low TVV/Moderate PA | 0.10 (0.06) | 0.12 (0.06)^a |
| | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.22 (0.09)^a | 0.18 (0.09)^a |
| | Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | 0.13 (0.06)^a | 0.11 (0.06) |
| | Moderate TVV/High PA | 0.005 (0.06) | 0.02 (0.06) |
| | High TVV/Insufficient PA | 0.19 (0.12) | 0.19 (0.11) |
| | High TVV/Moderate PA | 0.22 (0.08)^b | 0.19 (0.08)^a |
| | High TVV/High PA | 0.05 (0.08) | 0.05 (0.07) |
| HDL-c (mmol/L) | Low TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.09 (0.04)^a | -0.09 (0.04)^a |
| | Low TVV/Moderate PA | -0.01 (0.02) | -0.01 (0.02) |
| | Moderate TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.07 (0.03)^a | -0.07 (0.03)^a |

| | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Moderate TVV/Moderate PA | -0.03 (0.02) | -0.03 (0.02) |
| Moderate TVV/High PA | -0.004 (0.02) | -0.006 (0.02) |
| High TVV/Insufficient PA | -0.10 (0.04)^a | -0.10 (0.04)^a |
| High TVV/Moderate PA | -0.04 (0.03) | -0.03 (0.03) |
| High TVV/High PA | -0.04 (0.03) | -0.04 (0.03) |

Higher triglycerides were present among subjects with high TVV in combination with moderate and insufficient PA, but not in those with high PA levels. Also, higher levels of blood glucose were present in subjects with high TVV in combination with insufficient PA, but not in those with moderate and high PA levels (Table 3). Other biomarkers, such as total cholesterol, LDL-c, and HDL-c were inconsistently associated with different categories of TVV and PA. Blood pressure did not show any association after the multiple adjustments.

4. Discussion

In this central European population of adults between 25 to 65 years old with a low prevalence of insufficient PA (11.7%), high TVV was observed in 17.7% of the subjects, was similar between genders, and increased with age (Table 2). High TVV was strongly and consistently associated with a higher amount and inappropriate distribution of adipose tissue, independent of the level of PA, and with higher values of blood glucose and triglycerides in subjects with insufficient PA.

The analysis combining TVV/PA levels was also implemented by Eisenmann et al. in high school students from 14 to 18 years, and high TVV levels were identified as a risk factor for adiposity drivers even in combination with moderate and high levels of physical activity¹³. In the present study, despite the achievement of sufficient PA, high TVV was associated with the presence of cardiometabolic risk factors, especially increasing adiposity levels and insulin-resistance related biomarkers (blood glucose and triglycerides). Consistent with previous studies, Dunstan et al. [12] showed in a large population-based study that TVV was positively associated with glucose, independent of PA level. Lemes et al. [13] showed that the combination of high TVV, characterized by the responses “often and very often”, and PA increased the odds of having metabolic syndrome (MetS) by 89% even after adjustments for confounders. The combination of insufficient PA (<150 min/week) and higher TVV (>2h/week) was also associated with increased prevalence of MetS [14]. Suminski et al. [15] found in a similar age sample of 454 adults an association between continuous TVV hours/week and body fat percentage, but only in the group with insufficient PA.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report evaluating the association of different levels of TVV, PA, and body fat percent by bioimpedance in an adult sample. This study shows that despite achieving a recommended level of PA, there was still a significant association of high TVV time with adiposity drivers, including a higher amount of adipose tissue estimated by body fat percentage and BMI, and inappropriate distribution by WC. Body fat percent was better correlated with diverse categories of TVV and PA than BMI, highlighting how using only BMI as a surrogate of the amount of fat can underperform as a predictor of health [7]. The results also showed an association between moderate and high levels of TVV with WC independent of PA level.

Inappropriate distribution of adipose tissue detected by high WC is well associated with insulin resistance states and inflammation [7]. Insulin resistance is at the intersection of abnormal adiposity and dysglycemia [16]. In this study, the combination of high TVV/insufficient PA was associated with higher values of blood glucose, triglycerides, and lower HDL-c, all characteristics of the insulin resistance states and MetS [17].

Leisure time SB related to TVV has a different relationship with cardiometabolic risk factors than observed with occupational SB (work context [1]). Unlike occupational SB, TVV typically occurs in the evenings and usually after dinner. It is important to note that prolonged postprandial sedentary time may be particularly detrimental for glucose and lipid metabolism [18]. In addition, habitual TVV is generally characterized by prolonged sitting without breaks in sitting time [19], snacking [20], increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, tobacco smoking (especially initiation) [8, 9], and cardiometabolic risk. Unlike leisure time SB, occupational SB is often associated with favorable socio-demographic and lifestyle factors that could mitigate the effect of prolonged sitting time [21].

The influence of SB results from complex interactions among diverse domains, including intrapersonal, interpersonal, and environmental [22]. Nowadays, social restrictions during the COVID 19 pandemic can promote indoor unhealthy behaviors. The U.K. Office of Communications' latest annual study of media use habits reported that the total video viewing minutes per person per day increased from 4 hours and 52 minutes in 2019, to 6 hours and 25 minutes in April 2020; this included total video including TVV, YouTube devices, Games consoles, and other modalities [23]. In this report, the TVV minutes increased from 154 to 178 for all ages. Specific recommendations should be provided to promote healthy lifestyle routines and to overcome the challenges imposed by the pandemic to improve PA. Examples include engaging in home aerobic and strength training, ordering home exercise equipment, participating in judicious outdoor walking/running, designing realistic daily exercise programs, and establishing new home routines for a healthy lifestyle [24].

Important strengths of the present study include the representativeness of the sample. The city of Brno, a single urban setting, would represent the urban population in the Czech Republic [25]. Except for Prague, the capital, with a population over one million, other ten major cities have populations of approximately 100 to 300 thousand [26]. Reliable and valid self-administered questionnaires were used to obtain information on PA and TVV and objective data was collected for all cardiometabolic risk factors. Limitations of this study are related to the cross-sectional design, which does not allow a causal relationship to be established. Differences in objective measurements and self-reported behavior might bias results. Future reports should implement especially objective measurements of TVV.

Most of the studies regarding the association of TVV, PA, and cardiometabolic outcomes in adults are from Australia, United States, Canada, Germany, United Kingdom, Japan and Brazil [13]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research from the Czech Republic. The current findings support the importance of TVV and SB in a sample with a majority of physically active subjects (82.3%), which is much higher than comparable studies (from 25% to 58.4%) [13-15], and in a nation already considered as an "active country" [6], a country of walkers and cyclists [27]. Unfortunately, the Czech Republic has also experienced a rising prevalence of SB and non-communicable diseases [6], creating an imperative to delineate ways to improve overall health of the population.

5. Conclusions

Higher TVV was associated with the presence of higher adiposity levels independent of the PA levels. Higher levels of blood glucose and triglycerides were also presented in subjects with high-time TVV and insufficient PA. Lower HDL-C levels were observed only in the low PA level group. These results highlight the risk of sedentary routines even among subjects with a high level of PA. Current studies and clinical evaluations should consider the TVV time, especially now that streaming options are easily available and social isolation due to the COVID-19 pandemic leads to more time spent in the domestic setting.

Author Contributions: “Conceptualization, G.A.M.N. and J.P.G.-R.; methodology, G.A.M.N. and J.P.G.-R.; formal analysis, G.A.M.N.; writing—original draft preparation, G.A.M.N., J.P.G.-R., I.P., A.P., M.M.I.-G., J.P.H., M.A.A.; ; writing—review and editing, J.P.G.-R., J.R.M.-I., F.L.-J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Funding: Please add: “This research was Supported by the European Regional Development Fund - Project ENOCH (No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000868).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the ethics committee of St Anne’s University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic (Ref. Number: 2G/2012).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to all participants of the study.

Conflicts of Interest: Dr. Mechanick has received honoraria for lectures and program development from Abbott Nutrition International. Dr Nieto-Martinez has received honoraria for lectures from Merck. The other authors have not conflict of interest

References

1. Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD, Saunders TJ, Carson V, Latimer-Cheung AE, et al. Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) - Terminology Consensus Project process and outcome. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14(1):75.
2. Lopez-Valenciano A, Mayo X, Liguori G, Copeland RJ, Lamb M, Jimenez A. Changes in sedentary behaviour in European Union adults between 2002 and 2017. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1206.
3. Jochem C, Schmid D, Leitzmann MF. Introduction to Sedentary Behaviour Epidemiology. 2018:3-29.
4. Andrade-Gomez E, Garcia-Esquinas E, Ortola R, Martinez-Gomez D, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. Watching TV has a distinct sociodemographic and lifestyle profile compared with other sedentary behaviors: A nationwide population-based study. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(12):e0188836.
5. Grontved A, Hu FB. Television viewing and risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2011;305(23):2448-55.
6. Hamrik Z, Sigmundova D, Kalman M, Pavelka J, Sigmund E. Physical activity and sedentary behaviour in Czech adults: results from the GPAQ study. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2014;14(2):193-8.
7. Mechanick JL, Hurley DL, Garvey WT. Adiposity-Based Chronic Disease as a New Diagnostic Term: The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology Position Statement. *Endocr Pract*. 2017;23(3):372-8.
8. Collaborators GDaI. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The lancet*. 2019;396(10258):1204-22.
9. Lavie CJ, Ozemek C, Carbone S, Katzmarzyk PT, Blair SN. Sedentary Behavior, Exercise, and Cardiovascular Health. *Circ Res*. 2019;124(5):799-815.
10. Movsisyan NK, Vinciguerra M, Lopez-Jimenez F, Kunzova S, Homolka M, Jaresova J, et al. KardioVize Brno 2030, a prospective cardiovascular health study in Central Europe: Methods, baseline findings and future directions. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2018;25(1):54-64.
11. Clark BK, Sugiyama T, Healy GN, Salmon J, Dunstan DW, Shaw JE, et al. Socio-demographic correlates of prolonged television viewing time in Australian men and women: the AusDiab study. *J Phys Act Health*. 2010;7(5):595-601.
12. Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Healy GN, Shaw JE, Jolley D, Zimmet PZ, et al. Association of television viewing with fasting and 2-h postchallenge plasma glucose levels in adults without diagnosed diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(3):516-22.
13. Lemes IR, Sui X, Fernandes RA, Blair SN, Turi-Lynch BC, Codogno JS, et al. Association of sedentary behavior and metabolic syndrome. *Public Health*. 2019;167:96-102.
14. Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Owen N, Armstrong T, Zimmet PZ, Welborn TA, et al. Associations of TV viewing and physical activity with the metabolic syndrome in Australian adults. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48(11):2254-61.

15. Suminski RR, Patterson F, Perkett M, Heinrich KM, Carlos Poston WS. The association between television viewing time and percent body fat in adults varies as a function of physical activity and sex. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):736.
16. Mechanick JL, Farkouh ME, Newman JD, Garvey WT. Cardiometabolic-Based Chronic Disease, Addressing Knowledge and Clinical Practice Gaps: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75(5):539-55.
17. Sperling LS, Mechanick JL, Neeland IJ, Herrick CJ, Despres JP, Ndumele CE, et al. The CardioMetabolic Health Alliance: Working Toward a New Care Model for the Metabolic Syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66(9):1050-67.
18. Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, Fagerland MW, Owen N, Powell KE, et al. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. *The Lancet*. 2016;388(10051):1302-10.
19. Benatti FB, Ried-Larsen M. The Effects of Breaking up Prolonged Sitting Time: A Review of Experimental Studies. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2015;47(10):2053-61.
20. Frydenlund G, Jorgensen T, Toft U, Pisinger C, Aadahl M. Sedentary leisure time behavior, snacking habits and cardiovascular biomarkers: the Inter99 Study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2012;19(5):1111-9.
21. Pinto Pereira SM, Ki M, Power C. Sedentary behaviour and biomarkers for cardiovascular disease and diabetes in mid-life: the role of television-viewing and sitting at work. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(2):e31132.
22. O'Donoghue G, Perchoux C, Mensah K, Lakerveld J, van der Ploeg H, Bernaards C, et al. A systematic review of correlates of sedentary behaviour in adults aged 18-65 years: a socio-ecological approach. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:163.
23. Ofcom. Media Nations 2020 2020 [17.5.2021]. Available from: <https://www.ofcom.org.uk/research-and-data/tv-radio-and-on-demand/media-nations-reports/media-nations-2020>.
24. Mechanick JL, Rosenson RS, Pinney SP, Mancini DM, Narula J, Fuster V. Coronavirus and Cardiometabolic Syndrome: JACC Focus Seminar. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76(17):2024-35.
25. Movsisyan NK, Sochor O, Kralikova E, Cifkova R, Ross H, Lopez-Jimenez F. Current and past smoking patterns in a Central European urban population: a cross-sectional study in a high-burden country. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:571.
26. City population: population statistics for countries 2021 [Available from: <http://www.citypopulation.de/CzechRep-Cities.html>].
27. Belohlavek R, Sigmund E, Zaccal J. Evaluation of IPAQ questionnaires supported by formal concept analysis☆. *Information Sciences*. 2011;181(10):1774-86.