Standard Gibbs energy of binding of the gp120 antigen of HIV-1 to the CD4 receptor

Marko Popovic*

School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, 85354 Freising, Germany

*Corresponding author: marko.popovic@tum.de or marko.popovic.td@gmail.com

Abstract: HIV-1, like other viruses, represents an open thermodynamic system. This is why it is important to know its thermodynamic properties. Virus-host interactions are performed at the membrane as antigen-receptor binding. Antigen-receptor binding represents a chemical reaction, similar to protein-ligand interactions. The driving force for antigen-receptor binding is Gibbs energy of binding. Knowing Gibbs energy of binding, it is possible to estimate the rate of virus binding and entry into host cells. In this paper, binding equilibrium constants and standard Gibbs energies of binding between the HIV-1 gp120 antigen and the CD4 receptor have been reported at 4°C, 22°C and 37°C.

Key words: Biothermodynamics of viruses; HIV-1; Binding constant; Gibbs energy of binding; Antigen-receptor binding

Introduction

Biothermodynamics is the youngest subdiscipline of thermodynamics, which has appeared during the second half of the 20th century, at the initiative of Schrödinger [1944]. However, the root of biothermodynamics can be traced to the late 18th century, when Lavoisier and Laplace used calorimetry to study metabolic heat released by a guinea pig [Lavoisier and marquis de Laplace, 1783; Lavoisier and DeLaplace, 1994]. Biothermodynamics of viruses, or virothermodynamics, is a part of biothermodynamics that has begun to develop intensely during the last several years [Popovic, 2022a, 2022b].

Organisms represent complex open systems that consist of simple atoms and complex macromolecules, which biologically, chemically and thermodynamically interact with other organisms and their surroundings [von Bertalanffy, 1950, 1971; Popovic, 2014a]. Viruses can be understood as complex macromolecular structures, with characteristic empirical formulas (elemental composition) [Degueldre, 2021; Wimmer et al., 2006; Molla et al., 1991] and thermodynamic properties [Şimşek et al., 2021; Gale, 2018, 2019; Popovic, 2022l], which interact with their host cells [Popovic and Minceva, 2020a; Popovic 2022i, 2022j, 2022k; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]. Viruses are obligate intracellular parasites, which hijack their host cell's metabolic machinery, using it for their own multiplication [Popovic and Minceva, 2020b]. Viruses interact with their host cells at the membrane, binding to specific receptors [Gale, 2022, 2021, 2020, 2019, 2018]. Viruses also interact into a different type of interaction with their host – in the cytoplasm, when they hijack the cell's metabolic machinery [Popovic and Minceva, 2020a]. Host cells for SARS-CoV-2 include the epithelial cells of the upper respiratory pathways [Bridges et al., 2022; Ryu et al. 2021]. Host cells for HIV are human immune cells, such as helper T cells, macrophages and dendritic cells [Cunningham et al., 2010].

HIV is of spherical shape with a diameter of 120nm. It is composed of two copies of positive-sense single-stranded RNA. Its nucleocapsid is enveloped by a lipid bilayer, which originates from the human host cell, like that of other enveloped viruses. The antigen of HIV is envelope glycoprotein gp120 [Xu et al., 2013]. It attaches to the host cell receptor CD4 and chemokine coreceptors [Arrildt et al., 2012; Chan et al., 1997]. Antigen-receptor interaction represents a chemical reaction similar to protein-ligand binding [Du et al., 2016; Popovic and Popovic, 2022]. The driving force for most chemical processes is Gibbs energy

[von Stockar, 2013a; Balmer, 2010; Demirel, 2014; Popovic, 2022c]. Thus, Gibbs energy is the driving force for antigen-receptor binding [Popovic, 2022d, 2022f].

RNA viruses exhibit the tendency to mutate [Duffy, 2018]. SARS-CoV-2 has mutated several dozen times since 2019 [Popovic and Popovic, 2022; Popovic, 2022d, 2022e, 2022f, 2022g, 2022h], causing multiple waives of pandemic. HIV also exhibits a great genetic variability [Robertson et al., 1995], resulting in change in biological, chemical and thermodynamic properties. But, it has never made pandemic waves as massive as COVID-19. It is important to understand thermodynamic background of viral infection [Mahmoudabadi et al., 2014; Head et al., 2022].

The aim of this paper is to calculate the binding equilibrium constant and standard Gibbs energy of binding for HIV-1. Standard Gibbs energy of binding of HIV-1 will then be compared to those of other viruses.

Methodology

Data sources

Dissociation equilibrium constant, K_d, data for HIV-1 were taken from the literature from [Xu et al., 2013; Thali et al., 1991; Schnittman et al., 1988]. Xu et al. [2013] report dissociation equilibrium constants of HIV-1 gp120 antigen to the CD4 receptor and monoclonal antibodies (MAB), at 4°C. The measurements were made using ELISA. Thali et al. [1991] report the relative dissociation equilibrium constant of HIV-1 gp120 to CD4 at 37°C. The measurements were made using immunoprecipitation [Thali et al., 1991]. Schnittman et al. [1988] report dissociation equilibrium constants of HIV-1 gp120 to CD4 at 22°C. The measurements were made using a custom-developed assay [Schnittman et al., 1998].

Thermodynamic properties of binding

Dissociation equilibrium constants were used to find binding equilibrium constants, using the equation

$$K_B = \frac{1}{K_d} \qquad (1)$$

where K_B is the binding equilibrium constant and K_d is the dissociation equilibrium constant [Du et al., 2016; Popovic and Popovic, 2020]. Then, K_B was used to find standard Gibbs energy of binding, through the equation

$$\Delta_B G^0 = -RT \ln K_B \qquad (2)$$

Where $\Delta_B G^o$ is standard Gibbs energy of binding, R is the universal gas constant and T is temperature [Popovic and Popovic, 2020].

Results

Table 1 shows standard thermodynamic properties of binding for HIV-1, including the binding equilibrium constant, K_B, and standard Gibbs energy of binding, $\Delta_B G^o$. At the temperature of 4°C, binding

equilibrium constant of gp120 to CD4 was found to be K_B = 9.5 · 10^8 M^{-1}, while its standard Gibbs energy is $\Delta_B G^o$ = -47.63 kJ/mol. At 22°C, the binding equilibrium constant of gp120 to CD4 is 2 · 10^8 M^{-1}, while standard Gibbs energy of binding is -46.90 kJ/mol. Also, at 37°C, binding equilibrium constant of gp120 to CD4 was found to be 1.331 · 10^8 M^{-1}, while the standard Gibbs energy of binding is -48.24 kJ/mol. On the other hand, at 4°C, gp120 binds to monoclonal antibodies (MAB) with a binding equilibrium constant of 12 · 10^8 M^{-1} and a standard Gibbs energy of -48.17 kJ/mol.

Table 1: Thermodynamic properties of binding between gp120 protein of HIV-1 with human CD4 receptors and monoclonal antibodies (MAB). The table gives data on temperature, T, dissociation equilibrium constant, K_d, binding equilibrium constant, K_B, and standard Gibbs energy of binding, $\Delta_B G^o$. The K_d data were taken from [Xu et al., 2013; Thali et al., 1991; Schnittman et al., 1988].

Interaction	T (°C)	K_d (nM)	K_B (·10^8 M^{-1})	$\Delta_B G^o$ (kJ/mol)
gp120 with CD4	4	1.053	9.500	-47.63
gp120 with CD4	22	5	2	-46.90
gp120 with CD4	37	7.516	1.331	-48.24
gp120 with MAB	4	0.8333	12.00	-48.17

Discussion

Biothermodynamic properties of HIV-1 were studied in detail and are available in the literature [Myszka et al., 2000; Gale, 2020]. Gibbs energy of antigen-receptor binding (gp120 to CD4) has been reported by Myszka et al. [2000] to be $\Delta_B G^o$ = -49.4 kJ/mol (-11.8 kcal/mol), at 37°C. Standard enthalpy of binding of gp120 to CD4 at 37°C was found to be $\Delta_B H^o$ = -264 kJ/mol (-63 kcal/mol) [Myszka et al., 2000]. The entropy term for gp120-CD4 binding was found to be $-T\Delta_B S^o$ = 214 kJ/mol (51.2 kcal/mol), at 37°C [Myszka et al., 2000], which corresponds to a standard entropy of binding of $\Delta_B S^o$ = -691 J/mol K.

Based on the results reported in [Myszka et al., 2000], Gale [2020] calculated $\Delta_B H^o$ and $\Delta_B S^o$ values for binding of HIV-1 gp120 to the CD4 receptor. At 4°C, standard enthalpy of binding of HIV-1 gp120 to the CD4 receptor was found to be $\Delta_B H^o$ = -97.9 kJ/mol, while standard entropy of binding was found to be $\Delta_B S^o$ = -126.01 J/mol K [Gale, 2020; Myszka et al., 2000]. At 25°C, standard enthalpy of binding of gp120 to CD4 was found to be $\Delta_B H^o$ = -198.2 kJ/mol, while standard entropy of binding was found to be $\Delta_B S^o$ = -472.9 J/mol K [Gale, 2020; Myszka et al., 2000].

Based on the reported K_d [Xu et al., 2013; Thali et al., 1991], in this paper, standard Gibbs energy of binding was calculated for HIV-1 at 4°C and 37°C. Standard Gibbs energy of binding at 4°C was found to be -47.63 kJ/mol for gp120 to the CD4 receptor, while the standard Gibbs energy of binding between gp120 and monoclonal antibodies (MAB) was found to be -48.17 kJ/mol, using K_d data from [Xu et al., 2013]. The binding equilibrium constant for gp120 to CD4 was found to be K_B = 9.5 · 10^8 M^{-1}, while that between gp120 and MAB is 12 · 10^8 M^{-1}, using K_d data from [Xu et al., 2013].

Standard Gibbs energy of binding of HIV-1 to the CD4 receptor at 22°C was found to be -46.90 kJ/mol, while the equilibrium binding constant is 2 · 10^8 M^{-1}, using K_d data from [Schnittman et al., 1988]. Standard Gibbs energy of binding for HIV-1 gp120 to the CD4 receptor at 37°C was found to be -48.24

kJ/mol, while the binding equilibrium constant is $1.331 \cdot 10^8$ M^{-1}, using K_d data from [Thali et al., 1991, Xu et al., 2013].

Inoculation with HIV-1 occurs within the organism at the constant temperature of 37°C. The first interaction between HIV-1 virus and its host cell occurs at the membrane, representing the binding of the gp120 antigen to the CD4 receptor, at 37°C. Since the antigen-receptor reaction is a chemical reaction similar to protein-ligand interactions, the driving force for this chemical reaction is Gibbs energy of binding. Gibbs energy of binding, $\Delta_B G$, is proportional to the binding rate, r_B, according to the binding phenomenological equation

$$r_B = -\frac{L_B}{T}\Delta_B G \tag{3}$$

where T is temperature and L_B is the binding phenomenological coefficient [Popovic, 2022c, 2022e]. The values of Gibbs energy of binding reported by Myszka et al. [2000], Gale [2020] and this paper are negative. This indicates that the antigen-receptor binding reaction is spontaneous and occurs at a finite rate r_B. The values obtained in the three studies are slightly different. It would be expected that the value of Gibbs energy of binding at 4°C is less negative than that at 22°C.

At 37°C, standard Gibbs energy of binding at 37°C was reported by Myszka et al. [2000] to be $\Delta_B G^o$ = -49.4 kJ/mol (-11.8 kcal/mol), while Gale [2000] reported -49.26 kJ/mol. In this paper, we report $\Delta_B G^o$ = -48.24 kJ/mol at 37°C. Table 2 gives results for Gibbs energies of binding available in the literature [Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]. Based on Table 2, we can conclude that viruses have standard Gibbs energies of binding of the same order of magnitude.

HIV-1 is surrounded by a phospholipid bilayer envelope. In order for the HIV-1 virus to enter the host cell, the viral envelope must fuse with the host cell membrane [Wilen et al. 2012]. In order for this to happen, the initial repulsive forces between the heads of the two phospholipid bilayers must be overcome. The energy for this partly comes from the Gibbs energy of binding, which is negative.

Conclusions

Gibbs energy of binding of the HIV-1 gp120 antigen to the CD4 receptor at 37°C is -48.24 kJ/mol, at 22°C it is -46.90 kJ/mol, while at 4°C it is -47.63 kJ/mol. The determined Gibbs energy of HIV-1 is significantly different from Gibbs energy of binding of other viruses, but is on the same order of magnitude. The negative Gibbs energy of binding of gp120 to CD4 also provides the driving force for merging of the virus envelope with the host cell membrane, during the entry of the virus into the host cell.

Table 2: Standard Gibbs energies of binding reported in the literature. For every virus, the table gives $\Delta_B G^o$ and the references from which the values were taken.

Name	$\Delta_B G^o$ (kJ/mol)	Reference
Adenovirus	−45.1 to −45.7	[Lortat-Jacob et al., 2001; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
HIV-1	−53.9 to −47.1	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
HIV-1 at 37°C	−49.26	[Gale, 2020; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
HIV-1 at 25°C	−57.2	[Gale, 2020; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
HIV-1 at 4 °C	−63.0	[Gale, 2020; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
HIV-2	−43.4	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Human cytomegalovirus	−53.2	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Influenza (3SLN)	−32.6	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Influenza (6SLN)	−33.7	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Parainfluenza virus 1	−24.0 to −27.8	[Tappert et al., 2013; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Parainfluenza virus 2	−21.7 to −30.4	[Tappert et al., 2013; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Parainfluenza virus 3	−23.2 to −26.6	[Tappert et al., 2013; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Poliovirus	−57.5	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Reovirus	−55.2	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Vesicular stomatitis virus	−58.3	[Balliet et al., 1999; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
Arboviruses	−18	[Gale, 2019; Popovic and Minceva, 2021a]
SARS-CoV-2	−42.2 to −51.4	[Wrapp et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020; Lan et al., 2020; Shang et al., 2020; Popovic and Minceva, 2020b, 2021a]
Rhinovirus	−28 to −39	[Casasnovas and Springer, 1995]

References:

Arrildt, K. T., Joseph, S. B., & Swanstrom, R. (2012). The HIV-1 env protein: a coat of many colors. *Current HIV/AIDS reports*, *9*(1), 52–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-011-0107-3

Balliet, J. W., Berson, J., D'Cruz, C. M., Huang, J., Crane, J., Gilbert, J. M., & Bates, P. (1999). Production and characterization of a soluble, active form of Tva, the subgroup A avian sarcoma and leukosis virus receptor. Journal of virology, 73(4), 3054–3061. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.4.3054-3061.1999

Balmer, R.T. (2010). *Modern Engineering Thermodynamics*, Cambridge, MA: Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-20199-1

Bridges JP, Vladar EK, Huang H, et al, Respiratory epithelial cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 Thorax 2022;77:203-209

Casasnovas, J. M., & Springer, T. A. (1995). Kinetics and thermodynamics of virus binding to receptor. Studies with rhinovirus, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), and surface plasmon resonance. The Journal of biological chemistry, 270(22), 13216–13224. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.22.13216

Chan, D. C., Fass, D., Berger, J. M., & Kim, P. S. (1997). Core structure of gp41 from the HIV envelope glycoprotein. *Cell*, *89*(2), 263–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80205-6

Cunningham, A. L., Donaghy, H., Harman, A. N., Kim, M., & Turville, S. G. (2010). Manipulation of dendritic cell function by viruses. *Current opinion in microbiology*, *13*(4), 524–529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2010.06.002

Degueldre, C. (2021). Single virus inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy analysis: A comprehensive study. *Talanta*, *228*, 122211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.122211

Demirel, Y. (2014). *Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics: Transport and Rate Processes in Physical, Chemical and Biological Systems, 3rd ed*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. ISBN: 9780444595812

Du, X., Li, Y., Xia, Y. L., Ai, S. M., Liang, J., Sang, P., ... & Liu, S. Q. (2016). Insights into protein–ligand interactions: mechanisms, models, and methods. *International journal of molecular sciences*, *17*(2), 144. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17020144

Duffy S. (2018). Why are RNA virus mutation rates so damn high?. *PLoS biology*, *16*(8), e3000003. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000003

Gale P. (2022). Using thermodynamic equilibrium models to predict the effect of antiviral agents on infectivity: Theoretical application to SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses. *Microbial risk analysis*, *21*, 100198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2021.100198

Gale P. (2021). Using thermodynamic equilibrium models to predict the effect of antiviral agents on infectivity: Theoretical application to SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses. Microbial risk analysis, 100198. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2021.100198

Gale P. (2020). How virus size and attachment parameters affect the temperature sensitivity of virus binding to host cells: Predictions of a thermodynamic model for arboviruses and HIV. *Microbial risk analysis*, *15*, 100104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2020.100104

Gale P. (2019). Towards a thermodynamic mechanistic model for the effect of temperature on arthropod vector competence for transmission of arboviruses. *Microbial risk analysis*, *12*, 27–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2019.03.001

Gale P. (2018). Using thermodynamic parameters to calibrate a mechanistic dose-response for infection of a host by a virus. *Microbial risk analysis*, *8*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2018.01.002

Head, R. J., Lumbers, E. R., Jarrott, B., Tretter, F., Smith, G., Pringle, K. G., Islam, S., & Martin, J. H. (2022). Systems analysis shows that thermodynamic physiological and pharmacological fundamentals drive COVID-19 and response to treatment. *Pharmacology research & perspectives*, *10*(1), e00922. https://doi.org/10.1002/prp2.922

Lan, J., Ge, J., Yu, J., Shan, S., Zhou, H., Fan, S., Zhang, Q., Shi, X., Wang, Q., Zhang, L., & Wang, X. (2020). Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. Nature, 581(7807), 215–220. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2180-5

Lavoisier, AL, & marquis de Laplace, PS (1783). *Mémoire sur la chaleur: Lû à'Académie royale des sciences, le 28 Juin 1783*. Paris, France: De l'Imprimerie royale. (English translation: "Memoir on Heat Read to the Royal Academy of Sciences, 28 June 1783")

Lavoisier, A. L., & DeLaplace, P. S. (1994). Memoir on heat read to the royal academy of sciences, 28 june 1783. *Obesity research*, *2*(2), 189-202. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1550-8528.1994.tb00646.x (Modern translation in English)

Lortat-Jacob, H., Chouin, E., Cusack, S., & van Raaij, M. J. (2001). Kinetic analysis of adenovirus fiber binding to its receptor reveals an avidity mechanism for trimeric receptor-ligand interactions. The Journal of biological chemistry, 276(12), 9009–9015. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M009304200

Mahmoudabadi, G., Milo, R. and Phillips, R. (2017). Energetic cost of building a virus. *PNAS,* 114(22), E4324-E4333. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701670114

Molla, A., Paul, A. V., & Wimmer, E. (1991). Cell-free, de novo synthesis of poliovirus. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *254*(5038), 1647–1651. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1661029

Myszka, D. G., Sweet, R. W., Hensley, P., Brigham-Burke, M., Kwong, P. D., Hendrickson, W. A., Wyatt, R., Sodroski, J., & Doyle, M. L. (2000). Energetics of the HIV gp120-CD4 binding reaction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 97(16), 9026–9031. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.16.9026

Popovic, M. and Popovic, M. (2022). Strain Wars: Competitive interactions between SARS-CoV-2 strains are explained by Gibbs energy of antigen-receptor binding. *Microbial Risk Analysis*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2022.100202

Popovic, M. (2022a). Biothermodynamics of Viruses from Absolute Zero (1950) To – Virothermodynamics (2022). *Preprints*, 2022110112. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202211.0112.v1

Popovic, M. (2022b). Biothermodynamic Key Opens the Door of Life Sciences: Bridging the Gap between Biology and Thermodynamics. *Preprints*, 2022100326. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202210.0326.v1

Popovic, M. (2022c). Omicron BA.2.75 Subvariant of SARS-CoV-2 Is Expected to Have the Greatest Infectivity Compared with the Competing BA.2 and BA.5, Due to Most Negative Gibbs Energy of Binding. *BioTech*, *11*(4), 45. MDPI AG. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/biotech11040045

Popovic, M. (2022d). Strain wars 2: Binding constants, enthalpies, entropies, Gibbs energies and rates of binding of SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Virology*, 570, 35-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2022.03.008

Popovic M. (2022e). Strain wars 3: Differences in infectivity and pathogenicity between Delta and Omicron strains of SARS-CoV-2 can be explained by thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of binding and growth. *Microbial risk analysis*, 100217. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2022.100217

Popovic, M. (2022f). Strain Wars 4 - Darwinian evolution through Gibbs' glasses: Gibbs energies of binding and growth explain evolution of SARS-CoV-2 from Hu-1 to BA.2. *Virology.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2022.08.009

Popovic, M. (2022g). Strain Wars 5: Gibbs energies of binding of BA.1 through BA.4 variants of SARS-CoV-2. *Microbial Risk Analysis*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2022.100231

Popovic, M. (2022h). Beyond COVID-19: Do biothermodynamic properties allow predicting the future evolution of SARS-CoV-2 variants?. *Microbial risk analysis*, *22*, 100232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2022.100232

Popovic, M. (2022i). Formulas for death and life: Chemical composition and biothermodynamic properties of Monkeypox (MPV, MPXV, HMPXV) and Vaccinia (VACV) viruses. *Thermal Science*, 26(6A). https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI220524142P

Popovic, M. (2022j). Why doesn't Ebola virus cause pandemics like SARS-CoV-2? *Microbial Risk Analysis*, 100236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2022.100236

Popovic, M. (2022k). Thermodynamics of Bacteria-Phage Interactions: T4 and Lambda Bacteriophages, and E. Coli Can Coexist in Natural Ecosystems due to the Ratio of their Gibbs Energies of Biosynthesis. *Preprints*, 2022110327. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202211.0327.v1

Popovic, M. (2022l). Omicron BA.2.75 Sublineage (Centaurus) Follows the Expectations of the Evolution Theory: Less Negative Gibbs Energy of Biosynthesis Indicates Decreased Pathogenicity. *Microbiology Research*, *13*(4), 937–952. MDPI AG. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/microbiolres13040066

Popovic, M., & Minceva, M. (2021a). Coinfection and Interference Phenomena Are the Results of Multiple Thermodynamic Competitive Interactions. *Microorganisms*, *9*(10), 2060. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9102060

Popovic, M., & Minceva, M. (2020a). A thermodynamic insight into viral infections: do viruses in a lytic cycle hijack cell metabolism due to their low Gibbs energy?. *Heliyon*, *6*(5), e03933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03933

Popovic, M., & Minceva, M. (2020b). Thermodynamic insight into viral infections 2: empirical formulas, molecular compositions and thermodynamic properties of SARS, MERS and SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) viruses. *Heliyon*, 6(9), e04943. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.heliyon.2020.e04943

Popovic, M. (2014a). Entropy change of open thermodynamic systems in self-organizing processes. *Thermal Science*, 18(4), 1425-1432. https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI140424065P

Robertson, D. L., Hahn, B. H., & Sharp, P. M. (1995). Recombination in AIDS viruses. *Journal of molecular evolution*, *40*(3), 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00163230

Ryu, G., & Shin, H. W. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Airway Epithelial Cells. *Immune network*, *21*(1), e3. https://doi.org/10.4110/in.2021.21.e3

Schrödinger, E. (1944). *What is life? The physical aspect of the living cell,* Cambridge: Cambridge university press. ISBN: 0-521-42708-8

Schnittman, S. M., Lane, H. C., Roth, J., Burrows, A., Folks, T. M., Kehrl, J. H., Koenig, S., Berman, P., & Fauci, A. S. (1988). Characterization of GP120 binding to CD4 and an assay that measures ability of sera to inhibit this binding. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950), 141(12), 4181–4186. PMID: 3264307

Shang, J., Ye, G., Shi, K., Wan, Y., Luo, C., Aihara, H., Geng, Q., Auerbach, A., & Li, F. (2020). Structural basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. Nature, 581(7807), 221–224. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y

Şimşek, B., Özilgen, M., & Utku, F. Ş. (2021). How much energy is stored in SARS-CoV-2 and its structural elements?. *Energy Storage*, e298. https://doi.org/10.1002/est2.298

Tappert, M. M., Porterfield, J. Z., Mehta-D'Souza, P., Gulati, S., & Air, G. M. (2013). Quantitative comparison of human parainfluenza virus hemagglutinin-neuraminidase receptor binding and receptor cleavage. Journal of virology, 87(16), 8962–8970. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00739-13

Thali, M., Olshevsky, U., Furman, C., Gabuzda, D., Li, J., & Sodroski, J. (1991). Effects of changes in gp120-CD4 binding affinity on human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope glycoprotein function and soluble CD4 sensitivity. Journal of virology, 65(9), 5007–5012. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.65.9.5007-5012.1991

Von Bertalanffy, L. (1971). *General System Theory: Foundations, Development, Applications*. New York, NY: George Braziller Inc. ISBN-13: 978-0807604533

Von Bertalanffy, L. (1950). The theory of open systems in physics and biology. *Science*, 111(2872), 23-29. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.111.2872.23

Von Stockar, U. (2013a). Live cells as open non-equilibrium systems. In Urs von Stockar, ed., *Biothermodynamics: The Role of Thermodynamics in Biochemical Engineering*, Lausanne: EPFL Press, 475-534. https://doi.org/10.1201/b15428

Von Stockar, U. (2013b). Biothermodynamics of live cells: energy dissipation and heat generation in cellular structures. In: *Biothermodynamics: the role of thermodynamics in Biochemical Engineering*, von Stockar, U., ed., Lausanne: EPFL Press, pp. 475-534. https://doi.org/10.1201/b15428

Walls, A. C., Park, Y. J., Tortorici, M. A., Wall, A., McGuire, A. T., & Veesler, D. (2020). Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell, 181(2), 281–292.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058

Wilen, C. B., Tilton, J. C., & Doms, R. W. (2012). HIV: cell binding and entry. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine*, *2*(8), a006866. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006866

Wimmer E. (2006). The test-tube synthesis of a chemical called poliovirus. The simple synthesis of a virus has far-reaching societal implications. *EMBO reports*, *7 Spec No*(Spec No), S3–S9. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400728

Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K. S., Goldsmith, J. A., Hsieh, C. L., Abiona, O., Graham, B. S., & McLellan, J. S. (2020). Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science (New York, N.Y.), 367(6483), 1260–1263. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb2507

Xu, X. H., Wen, Z., & Brownlow, W. J. (2013). Ultrasensitive Analysis of Binding Affinity of HIV Receptor and Neutralizing Antibody Using Solution-Phase Electrochemiluminescence Assay. Journal of electroanalytical chemistry (Lausanne, Switzerland), 688, 53–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2012.08.004