

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# General Quantum Gravity

---

[Shashwata Vadurie](#)\*

Posted Date: 5 October 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202306.1472.v2

Keywords: Quantum Gravity, M-theory, Dark Energy, Dark Matter



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Article

# General Quantum Gravity

Shashwata Vadurie

Language Studies, Educational Guide Centre, 75 Patharghata Main Road, Techno City, 700135 West Bengal, India; shashwata.vadurie@gmail.com

**Abstract:** General Quantum Gravity (GQG) is a formalization of quantized gravity that emerges from General Relativity through Quantum Mechanics. GQG is formalized in three different aspects, such as: Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG, Quantum Minkowski GQG, and Quantum Non-Minkowski GQG. Every observable  $(3 + 1)D$  spacetime in GQG must have internal hidden unobservable  $(n + 1)D$  spacetime inside it which yields extra hidden dimensions by a closed continuous mapping, so the overall system must acquire Supersymmetry. Strings are natural and universal but forever hidden inside every  $(3 + 1)D$  observable spacetime. Strings have eleven-dimensions by nature in GQG. If we replace Minkowski spacetime with an internal hidden spacetime, then M-theory acquires strings inside of this observable  $(3 + 1)D$  spacetime. Obtaining type IIA string theory from M-theory by dimensional reduction is now non-restricted for the 11<sup>th</sup> direction but universally for any  $n^{\text{th}}$  direction. Instead of introducing the cosmological constant in Einstein field equation, we yield Dark Energy as well as Dark Matter from GQG quite naturally. We have developed here Gravitational Electroweak Dark Energy interactions (GED), where gravity and Dark Energy are combined with electroweak symmetry. Likewise, in Gravitational Chromodynamic Dark Energy interactions (GCD), we have combined QCD with gravitational and Dark Energy symmetries. Further in a Dark Matter gauge symmetry model, we have combined Dark matter along with GED and GCD. Finally, from GED, GCD and Dark Matter gauge symmetries, we have developed a Universal Model,  $SU(3)_{GED} \otimes SU(4)_{GCD} \otimes SU(5)_{DM} \subset SU(7)_{UM}$ , where it is clear that Dark energy field is homogeneous, as well as non-decaying, in all kind of matter fields.

**Keywords:** quantum gravity; M-theory; dark energy; dark matter

## 1. Introduction

Only at Planck scales ( $\sim 10^{19}$  GeV), the quantum effects of gravity is believed to be showed up. The authors of Ref. [1] proposed that the quantum effects of gravity should be testable at laboratory scales without regarding Planck scales, and in this context, they also proposed in their paper that the possibility of looking for the effects of Dark Energy at atomic (i.e., laboratory) scales.

Cosmological observations are inconsistent with Einstein's equations of General Relativity in the absence of Dark Energy (or  $\Lambda$ ) and Dark Matter. If we extend the idea of Ref. [2] by manipulating the original text of J. Frieman and O. Lahav in the following way as: "It is also theoretically possible that the cosmological constant problem could be resolved by replacing General Relativity with an alternative theory of gravity, with no dark components being imposed separately but comprised within the explanation of this alternative theory of gravity", then we can able to develop a formalism of General Quantum Gravity (GQG), where, the above idea of Ref. [1] is automatically being included.

In GQG, we describe gravity through Quantum Mechanics without considering Planck scales in general. But, if we consider Planck scales in this quantum gravity formalism, our proposed scenarios immediately develop a sense of bosonic and fermionic fields for both Dark Energy and Dark Matter quite naturally without presuming any additional conditions, such as supersymmetry, superstrings, etc.

During the development of GQG, we have found that every  $(3 + 1)D$  observable system must contain forever hidden string and extra dimensions, whether an external observer considers any strings in these systems or not. This string has eleven-dimensions by nature in GQG, that is why eleven is the maximum spacetime dimension in which one can formulate a consistent supersymmetric theory.

Unification of gravity with Standard Model was tried previously by numerous authors, but no one ever thought to unify gravity, Dark Energy, Dark Matter and all fundamental interactions of particles or fields under the same Lagrangian. We are not only unified them all under a single formalism, but successfully presented here the solutions of two open problems, viz.

1. Why Dark Matter contents 26.8% of the critical density in the Universe against 4.9% of the critical density of baryonic matters?, or,
2. Why is the energy density of matter nearly equal to the Dark Energy density today?

We have developed here the Gravitational Electroweak Dark (GED) interaction, where gravity and Dark Energy are unified with Electroweak interaction. In non-abelian Dark Energy gauge symmetry, Casimir energy is considered to associate with an abelian gauge group to complete the Dark Energy scenario properly. Likewise, in the second scheme of unification, gravity and Dark Energy are unified with Quantum Chromodynamics and we have developed the Gravitational Chromodynamic Dark (GCD) interaction, where we get another set of Dark particles, which are quite different from the particles for non-abelian Dark gauge group of GED.

Finally, unifying both GED and GCD in a restricted manner, we have developed a Lagrangian to explain Dark Matter gauge symmetry.

## 2. General Quantum Gravity

General Quantum Gravity (GQG) is a formalization of quantized gravity that emerges from General Relativity through Quantum Mechanics. In the basic formalisms of GQG, we are going to develop three different aspects of GQG, such as:

1. Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG,
2. Quantum Minkowski GQG, and
3. Quantum Non-Minkowski GQG.

In the first one, GQG Einstein field equation is a classical-like Einstein field equation in a semi-quantum Minkowski spacetime, whereas, the second one gives us a purely Quantum Mechanical Einstein field equation in a quantum Minkowski spacetime. But in both cases, we always get the classical Schrödinger equation as a byproduct, though it is now in a  $(3 + 1)D$  quantum spacetime. The last one is the most important one, which yields the Einstein field equation in a quantum Non-Minkowski spacetime and helps us to explain Superstring/M-theory from a different angle.

No one ever ask whether Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations are the part of any large scenario. In GQG, we generalizes that the bosonic and fermionic fields argue that the Klein-Gordon equation is a subset of the second order equations of our quantum gravity, whereas, the Dirac equation is a subset of the first order equations of GQG. Interestingly, these bosonic and fermionic fields are emerged either from Einstein field equations or from the line elements of Minkowski spacetime, which is an impossible thing in conventional physics.

Basics of these three different aspects of GQG are discussed as follows:

### 2.1. Semi-Quantum Minkowski GQG

Let the line element of Minkowski spacetime,

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - \sum dx^i dx^j = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \equiv \frac{dt^2}{m} g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu v^\nu, \quad (1)$$

where  $P^\mu = m v^\mu$  is the 'Four-momentum', hence  $P^\mu v^\nu \equiv p^0 v^0 + p^i v^j$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , whereas  $v^\mu$  is the 'four-velocity'. Be careful that it is  $P^\mu v^\nu \neq p^0 v^0 - p^i v^j$ , because  $g_{\mu\nu}$  takes

the ‘−’ sign. Also note that  $m \neq m_0$  in Equation (1) for the rest mass  $m_0$ . Thus, Equation (1) gives us an energy-momentum invariant line element as,

$$\begin{aligned} m \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 &= mc^2 - m \sum \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} = E - \sum p^i v^j = p^0 v^0 - \sum p^i v^j \\ &= g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu v^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

when  $T = \frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2$  is the kinetic energy [3], that is, we have a new line element as,

$$dS_v^2 = m \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 = p^0 v^0 - \sum p^i v^j = g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu v^\nu, \quad (3)$$

then, the rearrangement of Equation (2) gives the following equation by using Equation (1) as,

$$E = p^i v^j + m \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 = p^i v^j + P^\mu v^\nu \frac{ds}{dx^\mu} \frac{ds}{dx^\nu} = p^i v^j + P^\mu v^\nu g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

Let us consider the representation of a wave field  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  by superposition of a free particle (de Broglie wave) for Equation (4) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} + g_{\mu\nu} \vec{P} \cdot \vec{R}) - Et \right\} \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ \left( \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} + m t \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 \right) - Et \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\vec{P} \rightarrow \vec{P}^\mu$  and  $\vec{R} \rightarrow \vec{r}^\mu$ , as  $\vec{r}^\mu = (v^\mu t)$ , in addition, note it that we have taken here  $E$  as total energy for Equation (4). Thus, from Equation (5), we can get the (total) energy operator  $\hat{E} \rightarrow i\hbar \partial_t$  (it is analogous with, but not exactly the same as, the Classical Quantum Mechanics, as it is now the total energy and related to  $(3+1)D$  instead of  $3D$  due to the presence of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  in Equation (5) in either ways), the three momentum operator  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i$ , the ‘Four-momentum’ operator,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu &\rightarrow i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu = \left\{ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial(ct)}, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right\} = \left( \frac{1}{c} \hat{E}, \hat{\mathbf{p}} \right), \\ \text{thus, } \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\mu &\rightarrow -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu = \left\{ -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial(i ct)}, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right\} = \left( \frac{i}{c} \hat{E}, \hat{\mathbf{p}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and the mass operator,

$$\hat{m} \rightarrow -i\hbar \left( \frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \equiv -i\hbar \left( \frac{dt}{ds} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = -i\hbar \frac{1}{(g_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{1/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad (7)$$

where,  $\left( \frac{dt}{ds} \right)$  is evidently relativistic, but  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  is no doubt Quantum Mechanical, so as,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \int_a^u (g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)^{1/2} du, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(ct)^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \{g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu\}^{1/2} = \square^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

For constant velocity, we can develop an uncertainty principle describing the intrinsic indeterminacy with which  $m$  and  $s$  can be determined as,

$$\Delta m \Delta s \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$

The mass-energy relation, i.e.,  $E = mc^2$ , of Equation (2) yields for the mass operator  $\hat{m}$  from Equation (7) as,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -i\hbar \frac{v^{02}}{(g_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{1/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \psi(\vec{r}, t), \quad (9)$$

for  $c = v^0$ . Thus, Equation (9) tells us that the total energy of a system directly relates to its geometry, more precisely, to  $\partial/\partial s$ , but not  $v^{02} / ((g_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu)^{1/2})$ , since its dimensionality vanishes for  $v^0$  and if we consider then  $c = v^0 = 1$ . For the second term of  $\hat{m}$  in Equation (7), we can rewrite Equation (9) by squaring its both sides after considering  $c = v^0 = 1$  as,

$$\left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 \cdot \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \psi(\vec{r}, t), \quad (10)$$

which clarifies more precisely that  $\left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2$  is evidently relativistic, but  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}$  is definitely Quantum Mechanical. Additionally, Equation (10) yields the following if the total energy (so as the time) is fixed,

$$ds \propto -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial s}. \quad (11)$$

Thus, Equation (10) is a very peculiar equation where the LHS spacetime is classical relativistic but the RHS spacetime is Quantum Mechanical, and the total energy is directly related to the RHS spacetime. If the LHS spacetime of Equation (10) changes (not more than  $(3+1)D$  and not less than  $(1+1)D$ , unless it is a vacuum state) and the total energy remains fixed (so as time), then the spacetime of RHS should not remain as same as before, but changes inversely against the LHS spacetime. Though, the increment of RHS spacetime should not be observable, i.e., all extra dimensions would have to remain hidden inside the overall system, in other words, inside the LHS observable spacetime of Equation (10). We will discuss it below in more details very soon.

For Equation (8), we can rewrite the mass-energy relation Equation (10) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 \cdot \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \\ \therefore \hbar^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + (v^\mu)^2 \cdot \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Equation (1) in the last line. Thus, it is somehow a kind of Klein-Gordon like, but not an exactly similar, equation of the relativistic waves due to the above quantum scenario derived from Equation (5). (We will see at the very end of this Subsection that Klein-Gordon equation is a subset of the Second Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski QG). This equation may yield,

$$\left[ i\hbar \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_\mu - v^\mu \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$

where,  $\gamma^\mu$  are Dirac's gamma matrices.

**Remark 1.** Definitely, the above quantum scenario derived from Equation (5) is analogous to, but not exactly similar to, the Classical Quantum Mechanics since  $E$  is taken as total energy (and  $m$  is not rest mass) in Equation (4). So, an expectation of the exactness between Classical Quantum Mechanics and the present quantum scenario must lead a confusion and may yield wrong or faulty conclusions in a large scale. Readers are requested to be careful about it.

The wave field  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  in Equation (5) must satisfy the eigenfunctions for a discrete Lorentz transformation as,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^3\psi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^3\psi_i^\dagger = -\Psi^\dagger, \\ \Psi^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^3\psi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^3\psi_i^\dagger = -\Psi,\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

when  $\psi^\dagger$  is the complex conjugate of  $\psi$ . Then, using summation convention and Equation (8), we can write the joint state of both spacetimes as,

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Psi\right|_{s_0} &= \left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right\} \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_i\right\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}[\psi_0 - \psi_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}[\psi_0 - \psi_i]\right\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\Psi - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\Psi\right) \Big|_{x_0^\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}(s) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu}.\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

But, Equation (13) also intends to,

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Psi\right|_{s_0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}[\psi_0 - \psi_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}[\psi_0 - \psi_i]\right\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}[\psi_0] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}[-\psi_i]\right\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}[-\psi_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}[\psi_0]\right\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu [\psi_0 - \psi_i]\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu [\psi_0 - \psi_i]\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} \\ &= g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} = \rho \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \Gamma \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu},\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

for  $\partial_\mu^2 = \hat{\partial}_\mu^2 = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right]$  and  $g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}[1, -1, -1, -1]$ , where  $\hat{\partial}$  implies  $\partial_t$ 's dependency on  $\psi_i$  and  $\partial_x$ 's dependency on  $\psi_0$ , respectively. Here,  $\rho$  and  $\Gamma$  have been chosen arbitrarily. Hence, the complex conjugate of Equation (14) is,

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Psi^\dagger\right|_{s_0} &= \left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}\right\} \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_i\right\} \Big|_{x_0^\mu} \\ &= g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi^\dagger \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu \Psi^\dagger \Big|_{x_0^\mu} = \rho \Psi^\dagger \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \Gamma \Psi^\dagger \Big|_{x_0^\mu}.\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

But, if we take,  $ds^{-2}\varphi = (dt^2 - \sum dx^i dx^j)^{-1}\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is an operator, we can say that,  $(dt^2 - \sum dx^i dx^j)^{-1} \neq dt^{-2} - \sum(dx^i dx^j)^{-1}$ , so, we may assume without any objection that,  $(dt^2 - \sum dx^i dx^j)^{-1} \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \sum \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \Pi$ , for some value of  $\Pi$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}ds^{-2}\varphi &= (dt^2 - dx^i dx^j)^{-1}\varphi = \left\{\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial z^2}\right)\right\} - \Pi\varphi \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\varphi - \Pi\varphi = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \varphi - \Pi\varphi.\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$



Mathematically, Equation (16) contradicts Equation (8), unless otherwise  $\Pi$  is an unobservable property. Let us check it.

**Proposition 1.** Equation (16) implies Equation (8), if  $\Gamma$  (so as  $\Pi$ ) is an unobservable property.

**Proof.** No more combinations are possible from Equation (14) apart from  $\rho$ ,  $\Gamma$  and Equation (13) itself. The arrangement of  $\rho$  and  $\Gamma$  implies that  $g_{\mu\nu}(s) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu}(\rho) + \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma))$ . Thus, Equation (14) should be rewritten by using Equation (13), Equation (14) and additionally replacing  $g_{\mu\nu}(s)$  with  $g_{\mu\nu}(s) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu}(\rho) + \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma))$  as follows,

$$\frac{1}{2}(g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu}. \quad (17)$$

Note that,  $\Pi$  exclusively has to depend upon spacetime. Comparing Equation (16) with Equation (14), let us say that,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \Psi \Big|_{s_0} = \rho \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} - \Pi \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\Gamma \rightarrow -\Pi$ , suppose. Since  $g_{\mu\nu}(s) \neq \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu}(\rho) - \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(\Pi)) \equiv 0$  as long as  $g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}[1, -1, -1, -1]$ , then,

$$\frac{1}{2}(g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi)) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi \Big|_{x_0^\mu} + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu [-\Psi] \Big|_{x_0^\mu}. \quad (19)$$

It is impossible to decompose both the LHS of Equation (17) and Equation (19) as they are only depended upon  $\partial$ , thus,

1. The spacetimes of  $\rho$  and  $\Gamma$  (so as  $\Pi$ ) are not easily dissociative even upto a very high energy scale.
2. The spacetime of  $\Gamma$  (so as  $\Pi$ ) must be an internal hidden property of the overall system (in other words, inside the observable spacetime of  $\rho$ ) since  $g_{\mu\nu}(s)$  is independent of  $\hat{\partial}$ . The observable spacetime is always  $\partial$ -dependent.

Thus, Equation (16) implies Equation (8), since  $\Gamma$  (so as  $\Pi$ ) is an unobservable property.  $\square$

But, the RHS of Equation (19) gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} \{|\Psi\rangle \in V \otimes V : F|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle\} &= \text{Sym}^2 V, \\ \{|\Psi\rangle \in V \otimes V : F|\Psi\rangle = -|\Psi\rangle\} &= \text{Anti}^2 V, \end{aligned}$$

where, the swap operator  $F|\Psi\rangle = \exp[i\theta]$  for some phase  $\exp[i\theta]$ , whereas  $V$  is a vector space. Then the corresponding eigenspaces are called the symmetric and antisymmetric subspaces and are denoted by the state spaces  $\text{Sym}^2 V$  and  $\text{Anti}^2 V$ , respectively. Note that, we are not intended here  $\rho$  and  $\Pi$  are the two indistinguishable particles for the state spaces  $\text{Sym}^2 V$  and  $\text{Anti}^2 V$ ; the above equations are just the generalization forms of their kinds, because  $\rho$  and  $\Pi$  do not have distinguished (opposite) spins until otherwise they are dissociated as free particles; so, the observable spin is always the spin of  $\rho$ , since  $\Pi$  is an internal hidden property of the overall system. Thus, Equation (19) tells us that, if we allow  $\Pi$  to be dissociated as a free particle at very high energy, the internal hidden spacetime of  $\Pi$  must be transformed into a fermionic particle, whereas, the overall system remains bosonic, since, the observable spacetime is always  $\partial$ -dependent.

Similarly, Equation (15) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \Psi^\dagger \right|_{s_0} &= \rho \Psi^\dagger|_{x_0^\mu} - \Pi \Psi^\dagger|_{x_0^\mu}, \\ \therefore \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi)) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu [-\Psi]|_{x_0^\mu} &= g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu [-\Psi]|_{x_0^\mu} + \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu \Psi|_{x_0^\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

this tells us that the internal hidden spacetime of  $\Pi$  must be now bosonic, whereas, the overall system is fermionic, since, the observable spacetime is always  $\partial$ -dependent. So, whatever Equation (19) and Equation (20) want to tell us is that the overall system has Supersymmetry and since the spacetimes of  $\rho$  and its supersymmetric partner  $\Pi$  are not easily dissociative even upto a very high energy scale; thus,  $\Pi$  must require extremely high energy to dissociate itself from the overall system as a free particle. Instead of being a free supersymmetric partner,  $\Pi$  actually works quite differently inside of the observable spacetime  $\rho$ , though, at the same time,  $\Pi$  is still satisfying all the properties of Supersymmetry, and we will show you  $\Pi$ 's actual purpose very soon in the below. But Supersymmetry needs extra dimensions and we will discuss it below.

By the way, we can also develop a  $\hat{\partial}$ -dependent scenario as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\hat{\partial}^2}{\hat{\partial} s^2} \Psi \right|_{s_0} &= \Pi \Psi|_{x_0^\mu} - \rho \Psi|_{x_0^\mu}, \\ \therefore \frac{1}{2} (\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) + g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu \Psi|_{x_0^\mu} &= \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu \Psi|_{x_0^\mu} + g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu [-\Psi]|_{x_0^\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and the complex conjugate of  $\Psi$  is,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\hat{\partial}^2}{\hat{\partial} s^2} \Psi^\dagger \right|_{s_0} &= \Pi \Psi^\dagger|_{x_0^\mu} - \rho \Psi^\dagger|_{x_0^\mu}, \\ \therefore \frac{1}{2} (\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) + g^{\mu\nu}(\rho)) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu [-\Psi]|_{x_0^\mu} &= \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu [-\Psi]|_{x_0^\mu} + g^{\mu\nu}(\rho) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Psi|_{x_0^\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It is not important which state spaces satisfy such bosonic or fermionic representations of Equation (21) and Equation (22), here, the most important thing is that the overall system as a free observable particle at very high energy is must not be baryonic because now only the internal hidden spacetime of  $\rho$  has 'proper' spacetime arrangement for its  $\partial$ -dependency, whereas, the overall (observable) system's spacetime arrangement is quite 'improper' as it is  $\hat{\partial}$ -dependent. Despite of  $\rho$ 's  $\partial$ -dependency, here, being a supersymmetric partner, if it is allowed to be free at very high energy, it must not be baryonic either. We should not be confused with it. We will discuss about its property in Section 4 below.

The internal hidden spacetime of  $\Pi$  in Equations (19) and (20) also provides us some additional geometry for its  $\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Pi) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu [\pm\Psi]$  structures. Suppose, for  $\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) \hat{\partial}_\mu \hat{\partial}_\nu [\Psi]$ , we have,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} [\psi_0] \right\}$ , where, neither spacetime arrangements are matched with one another in either combinations within the curly brackets. These 'wrong' arrangements must have a noticeable effect on the acceptable spacetime, i.e., its temporal part must influence over the spatially depended  $\psi_i$ , or its spatial part must influence over the temporally depended  $\psi_0$ , or vice versa. In other words, the acceptable spacetime should not have to be four-dimensional in this case. Let us check it. Suppose, for  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} [\psi_0] \right\}$ , we can consider a dimension function (see Ref. [4]; we also strongly follow Nagata's work throughout this paragraph and omit all the proofs hereabout as they are well explained in his book),

$$\dim \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (23)$$



and the space  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  satisfies a normal  $T_1$ -space. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection in a  $(3+1)D$  topological spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ , i.e.,  $\dim(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) = (3+1)$  (which is actually hidden inside the  $(3+1)D$  observable spacetime  $(\partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]})$ , i.e.,  $\dim(\partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]}) = (3+1)$ , too) and  $p$  a point of  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ , then the order of  $\mathcal{U}$  at  $p$  should be denoted by,  $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} = \sup \{ \text{ord}_p \mathcal{U} \mid p \in (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \}$ , where,  $\text{ord}_p \mathcal{U}$  is the number of members of  $\mathcal{U}$  which contain  $p$ . If for any finite open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of the topological spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  there exists an open covering  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} < \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\text{ord } \mathfrak{B} \leq n+1$ , then  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  has covering dimension  $\leq n$ , i.e.,  $\dim(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq n$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  can be decomposed as  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_i$  for locally finite (star-finite, discrete, etc.) collections  $\mathcal{U}_i$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -locally finite ( $\sigma$ -star-finite,  $\sigma$ -discrete, etc.) collection. The topological spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  has strong inductive dimension  $-1$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) = -1$ , if  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) = \emptyset$ . If for any disjoint closed sets  $F$  and  $G$  of the topological spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $F \subset U \subset (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) - G$ , and  $\text{Ind } B(U) \leq n-1$ , where  $B(U)$  denotes the boundary of  $U$ , then  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  has strong inductive dimension  $\leq n$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq n$ . Let  $V$  is an open set and  $\bar{V}$  is a closed set of  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ . If  $\text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq n$ , then there exists a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  such that,  $\text{Ind } B(V) \leq n-1$  for every  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ . If a spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that,  $B(V) = \emptyset$  for every  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq 0$ . Again,  $\text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq n$  if and only if there exists a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\text{Ind } B(V) \leq n-1$  for every  $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ . For every subset  $A = \bigcup \{B(V) \mid V \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ , for any integer  $n \geq 0$ , of a spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ , we have,  $\text{Ind } A \leq \text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ . Hence, if and only if  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$  for some  $n+1$  subsets  $A_i$  with  $\text{Ind } A_i \leq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ . For the spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ , we have then  $\dim(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) = \text{Ind}(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of a spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  and  $I$  the unit segment. If  $U$  is an open set of the topological product  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \times I$  such that  $U \supset A \times I$ , then there exists an open set  $V$  of  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  such that  $A \subset V$ , and  $V \times I \subset U$ . Let  $F$  be a closed set of  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  with  $\dim F \leq n$ . Let  $F_\alpha$  and  $U_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \tau$ , be closed and open sets, respectively such that  $F_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ , and  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  is locally finite. Then there exist open sets  $V_\alpha$  satisfying  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset \bar{V}_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ , and  $\dim B_k \leq n-k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ , where,  $B_k = \{p \mid p \in F, \text{ord}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) \geq k\}$ , and  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$ . Let  $F, F_\alpha$  and  $U_\alpha$  satisfy the same condition as above, then there exist open sets  $V_\alpha, W_\alpha, \alpha < \tau$  satisfying,  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset \bar{V}_\alpha \subset W_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ , and  $\text{ord}_p \{W_\alpha - \bar{V}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\} \leq n$  for every  $p \in F$ . Let  $G_k, k = 0, \dots, n$ , be closed sets with  $\dim G_k \leq n-k$  of the spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$ . Let  $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  be a closed collection and  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$  a locally finite open collection such that  $F_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ . Then there exists an open collection,  $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha < \tau\}$ , such that,  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset \bar{V}_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ , and  $\text{ord}_p B(\mathfrak{B}) \leq n-k$  for every  $p \in G_k$ . A mapping  $f$  of the spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  into a spacetime  $S$  is a closed (open) mapping if the image of every closed (open) set of  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  is closed (open) in  $S$ . Then the continuous mappings which lower dimensions of the spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  should be defined as follows (see Theorem III.6 in Ref. [4]),

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  be a closed continuous mapping of the  $(3+1)D$  spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  onto the spacetime  $S$  such that  $\dim f^{-1}(q) \leq k$  for every  $q \in S$ . Then,

$$\dim (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq \dim S + \dim K, \quad (24)$$

where  $\dim K \leq k$  for the space  $K$ , when  $0 < \dim S \leq 2$ , since  $i$  should not be zero in Equation (23).

Since, the temporal axis is unaltered in Lorentz transformation, as we have already seen it in Equation (12), we can express the maximal continuous mapping of the  $(3+1)D$  spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]})$  onto the spacetime  $S$  of Equation (24) as,

$$X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) \leq \left\{ (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \mapsto S \mid S \leq (\hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]}) \right\},$$

thus,  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu \leq S \cup K$  inside the  $(3+1)D$  observable spacetime  $(\partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]})$ ,

since  $i$  should not be zero in Equation (23), if the considered state is not vacuum; then the spacetime  $S$  definitely intends the basic structure of a 2-dimensional worldsheet  $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$  with the joint states,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} [-\psi_\sigma] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} [\psi_\tau] \right\}$  for the spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$ , where  $S$  is a  $(1+1)D$  spacetime, but for the space  $K$ , we will like to discuss it below in more details in the Theorem 2. Obviously, a string can sweep out the 2-dimensional worldsheet  $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$  for the spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$ .

If the internal hidden spacetime of  $\Pi$  is considered as the LHS spacetime of Equation (10) and let it to be changed from  $(3+1)D$  to  $(1+1)D$  when its total energy remains fixed (so as its time), then the spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  of RHS of Equation (10) changes inversely against the spacetime of  $\Pi$ . Since the spacetime of  $\Pi$  is hidden inside the overall system of Equation (19), i.e., in other words, inside the observable spacetime of  $\rho$ , then the increment of RHS spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  of Equation (10) should not be observable by any means, i.e., the extra dimensions of  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  remain hidden forever inside the observable spacetime of  $\rho$ . As these internal hidden extra dimensions inside the observable spacetime of  $\rho$  are considered as the representation of the spacetime  $S$  and the space  $K$ , thus, we can conclude,

1. Strings (for the hidden spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$ ) are natural and universal but forever hidden inside every  $(3+1)D$  observable system (i.e., the spacetime  $(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]})$ ) in Quantum Mechanics.
2. Every  $(3+1)D$  observable system in Quantum Mechanics must contain forever hidden extra dimensions (i.e., the space  $K$ ) whether an external observer considers any strings in these systems or not (for more details, see Equation (25) below and its following text therein).

But the space  $K$  should raise more extra hidden dimensions by a closed continuous mapping beyond  $\dim K \leq k$  by adopting the following theorem (see Theorem III.7 in Ref. [4]),

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f$  be a closed continuous mapping of a space  $R$  onto a space  $K$  such that for each point  $q$  of  $K$ ,  $B(f^{-1}(q))$  contains at most  $m+1$  points ( $m \geq 0$ ); then  $\dim K \leq \dim R + \dim M$ , when  $\dim R \leq [\dim (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) - \dim S]$  and  $\dim M \leq m$ , where  $\dim (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) \leq (3+1)$ .

Then, we can say for the overall spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{OVERALL}}^\mu$  that,

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{OVERALL}}^\mu \leq \left\{ (\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]}) \cup S \cup K \mid S \leq (\hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]}) \text{ and } K \leq [(\hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]}) - S] \cup M \forall \dim M \leq m \exists m \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (25)$$

for which,

$$\mathfrak{X}^\mu \leq S \cup K \leq \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) \cup M \quad \forall \quad \dim M \leq m \quad \exists \quad m \geq 0 \text{ inside the } (3+1)D \text{ observable spacetime } \left( \partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]} \right). \quad (26)$$

Note here that stringy spacetime  $S$  vanishes in the overall spacetime  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{OVERALL}}^\mu$  of Equation (25) for the space  $K$  leaving behind the forever hidden extra dimensions  $m$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{OVERALL}}^\mu$ . Thus, in other words, strings are experimentally unobservable forever, whereas, their actions are mandatory in the purpose of particle interactions. Also notice that Supersymmetry (now having extra dimensions  $m$  for  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  due to Equation (26)) remains unaffected in  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{OVERALL}}^\mu$  of Equation (25). Thus, with these extra dimensions, the above scenario is now perfect for Supersymmetry and String Theory without any further objections.

Along with Theorem 1, what Equation (26) actually wants to say us is,

$$\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) \leq \mathfrak{X}^\mu \leq \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) \cup M,$$

when  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu \leq S \cup K$ , which yields,

$$\left[ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) - S \right] \leq K \leq \left[ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) - S \right] \cup M. \quad (27)$$

Since  $S \leq \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]} \right)$  in Equation (25), let the LHS of Equation (27) gives,

$$\left[ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) - \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]} \right) \right] \leq \left\{ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \cup \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right\}. \quad (28)$$

The most disturbing thing here is that the temporal axis is a part of  $S$  spacetime but not the part of  $K$  space, but both  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  spaces are influenced by the (mutual) temporal axis, despite neither of them have contained any temporal axis within themselves. On the other hand, it is evidence that only an influence should not sufficient to emerge a temporal axis within  $M$  (or  $K$ ) space. Moreover, Theorem 2 yields no temporal axis for  $M$  (or  $K$ ) space either. But the influenced of the temporal axis should not ease to be avoided in Equation (28).

From Theorem 2, if we think that the dimension of  $M$  space depends only on  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right) = \left\{ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]} \right), \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right), \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right\}$ , then we should be mistaken,  $M$  is not independent from either elements of the set  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right)$ . Thinking otherwise, let  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right)$  are related to new quantities  $Q_i$  and  $T_i$ , differently, which are the curvilinear coordinates of  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[i,0]} \right)$ . Let the corresponding members  $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\} \subset T$  are determining  $\gamma$ , then if each pair of members from the either sides of these curvilinear coordinates joining the pairs of points  $Q_i$  and  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) meet in points  $m_i \in M$  separately, then the three points of intersection  $U_i$  of the pairs of coordinates  $q_i$  and  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) lie on a line. Let each of the pairs of coordinates  $Q_i, T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) consists of two distinct coordinates and in which  $q_i \neq t_i \quad \forall \quad i$ . Let the coordinate vectors of  $m_i$  be denoted by  $\mathfrak{z}_i$ , that of  $Q_i$  by  $\mathfrak{r}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and that of  $T_i$  by  $\mathfrak{t}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{z}_i$  can be represented by a linear combination of the  $\mathfrak{r}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{t}_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , say,

$$\mathfrak{z}_i = \mathfrak{r}_1 + \mathfrak{t}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_2 + \mathfrak{t}_2 = \mathfrak{r}_3 + \mathfrak{t}_3.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{r}_1 - \mathfrak{r}_2 &= \mathfrak{t}_2 - \mathfrak{t}_1, \\ \mathfrak{r}_2 - \mathfrak{r}_3 &= \mathfrak{t}_3 - \mathfrak{t}_2, \\ \mathfrak{r}_3 - \mathfrak{r}_1 &= \mathfrak{t}_1 - \mathfrak{t}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose two set of coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= (a_1, a_2, a_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\varphi_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} [\varphi_0] \right\} = \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{[i,0]} \right) \in \Lambda, \\ b_i &= (b_1, b_2, b_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\varrho_i] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} [\varrho_0] \right\} = \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{[i,0]} \right) \in \Lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ , such that  $\{a_i\} \in \mathfrak{r}_i$ ,  $\{b_i\} \in \mathfrak{r}_i$  and  $\{a, b\}$  is a basis of  $\Lambda$ , whereas  $\Lambda \cap Q_i = \{O\}$ , where  $Q_i$  is the interior of  $Q$  and  $O$  is the origin, i.e.,  $\Lambda$  is admissible for  $Q$ . Let the quadratic form,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Q} \left( \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right), \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \frac{1}{2} \left[ (a_i - a_j) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) + (b_i - b_j) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ A \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)^2 + 2B \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) + C \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

say, is reduced. The last fact means that  $2|B| \leq A \leq C$ , so that  $3A^2 \leq 4(A^2 - B^2) \leq 4(AC - B^2)$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is admissible for  $Q$ , the coordinates  $a + mc$  ( $m$  an integer) do not belong to  $\text{int } Q$ . Thus,

$$|(m + a_1)(m + a_2)(m + a_3)| \geq 1 \quad \forall \text{ integers } m,$$

this implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (a_1 - a_2)^2 + (a_2 - a_3)^2 + (a_3 - a_1)^2 \\ &= 2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2) - 2(a_1 a_2 + a_2 a_3 + a_3 a_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note it here that  $a_i a_j \neq 0$  if  $i = j$  and  $a_i a_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  do not hold due to Equation (29). So as,

$$\begin{aligned} C &= (b_1 - b_2)^2 + (b_2 - b_3)^2 + (b_3 - b_1)^2 \\ &= 2(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2) - 2(b_1 b_2 + b_2 b_3 + b_3 b_1). \end{aligned}$$

and we can easily find that  $B = 0$ . Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)^2 &= \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \right\} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \right\} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \right)^2 \right\} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [\psi_0] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [-\psi_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\partial}_0^4 \Psi_2^2 + \hat{\partial}_2^4 \Psi_0^2 \right) - \partial_0^2 \Psi_0 \partial_2^2 \Psi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)^2 &= \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] \right\} \right]^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] \right\} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\partial}_0^4 \Psi_3^2 + \hat{\partial}_3^4 \Psi_0^2 \right) - \partial_0^2 \Psi_0 \partial_3^2 \Psi_3. \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \right\} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_2] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [-\psi_3] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [\psi_0] \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [\psi_0] \right\} - \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [\psi_0] \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2 \partial x^2} [-\psi_3] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3 \partial x^3} [-\psi_2] \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\partial}_0^4 \Psi_{\{2,3\}}^2 + \hat{\partial}_{\{2,3\}}^4 \Psi_0^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_0^2 \Psi_0 \left( \hat{\partial}_{\{2,3\}}^2 \otimes \Psi_{\{3,2\}} \right). \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last line we have used subscripts  $\{ \}$ , which are quite different from the subscripts  $[ ]$  we have used earlier and their purposes are quite obvious here. Since, the temporal axis is a part of  $S$  spacetime but not the part of  $K$  space, so both  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  spaces, as well as  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  spaces of Equation (29), are influenced by the (mutual) temporal axis and neither of them have contained any temporal axis within themselves, then we can say that all axes of  $a_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and  $b_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  (for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) in  $K$  space are interrelated with the (mutual) temporal axis of string spacetime  $S$ , since the temporal axis is a part of  $S = \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]} \right)$  but not the part of  $K$  space, thus,  $a_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and  $b_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  (for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) in  $K$  space have individual existences as independent axes  $x^{(1+i)}$  and  $x^{(1+(i+\ell))}$  (for  $\ell = \max i$ ) influenced by the (mutual) temporal axis  $x^0$ . Let us assume that  $a_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and  $b_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  (for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) in  $K$  space have maximal weight as 1 of each dimension as an independent axis for  $x^{(1+i)}$  and  $x^{(1+(i+\ell))}$ , which yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim \left[ (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \right] &\leq 3, \\
 \dim \left[ (b_1 + b_2 + b_3) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right] &\leq 3. \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, they have the “proper” dimensions. Comparing the last line of Equation (32) with Equation (30) and Equation (31), we can determine that if Equation (30) and Equation (31) give us some “proper” dimensions, then Equation (32) definitely gives us an “improper” dimension, as both  $\left( \hat{\partial}_0^4 \Psi_{\{2,3\}}^2 + \hat{\partial}_{\{2,3\}}^4 \Psi_0^2 \right)$  and  $\left( \hat{\partial}_{\{2,3\}}^2 \otimes \Psi_{\{3,2\}} \right)$  are depended on  $x^2$  and  $x^3$  axes, simultaneously. Since  $a$  and  $b$  are satisfying Equation (29), then  $a_i a_j$  and  $b_i b_j$  (for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$ ) must give us “improper” dimensions, too. If we consider these “improper” dimensions  $(a_i a_j)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and

$(b_i b_j)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  (for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$ ) in  $K$  space have individual existences as independent axes  $x_a^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)}$  and  $x_b^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)}$  (since they are depended on  $x^2$  and  $x^3$  axes, simultaneously) influenced by the (mutual) temporal axis  $x^0$ , then, on the contrary of Equation (33), let us assume that they have maximal weight as 0.5 of each dimension for  $x_a^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)}$  and  $x_b^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)}$ , so as they can give  $x^{(1+(i+\ell)+j)} = x_a^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)} + x_b^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)}$ , thus, we can say that,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left[ \left\{ (a_1 a_2)^{1/2} + (a_2 a_3)^{1/2} + (a_3 a_1)^{1/2} \right\} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \right] &\leq 1.5, \\ \dim \left[ \left\{ (b_1 b_2)^{1/2} + (b_2 b_3)^{1/2} + (b_3 b_1)^{1/2} \right\} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right] &\leq 1.5. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, altogether they have,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \left[ \left\{ (a_1 a_2)^{1/2} + (a_2 a_3)^{1/2} + (a_3 a_1)^{1/2} \right\} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \right. \\ \left. \cup (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \right] &\leq 4.5, \\ \dim \left[ \left\{ (b_1 b_2)^{1/2} + (b_2 b_3)^{1/2} + (b_3 b_1)^{1/2} \right\} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right. \\ \left. \cup (b_1 + b_2 + b_3) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right] &\leq 4.5. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B = 0$ , the  $K$  space yields,

$$\begin{aligned} K = & \left[ (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \right. \\ & \cup \left\{ (a_1 a_2)^{1/2} + (a_2 a_3)^{1/2} + (a_3 a_1)^{1/2} \right\} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) \\ & \cup (b_1 + b_2 + b_3) \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \\ & \left. \cup \left\{ (b_1 b_2)^{1/2} + (b_2 b_3)^{1/2} + (b_3 b_1)^{1/2} \right\} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\dim K \leq (4.5 + 4.5) = 9.$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu \leq S \cup K$  has the spacetime axes as (using summation convention),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]} \right), a_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right), b_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ (a_i a_j)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) + (b_i b_j)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right\} \right] \\ & \mapsto \left( x^0, x^1, x^{(1+i)}, x^{(1+(i+\ell))}, x^{(1+(i+\ell)+j)} \right) \in \mathfrak{X}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$  and  $\ell = \max i$ . So, Equation (34) achieves,

$$\dim \mathfrak{X}^\mu \leq \dim (S \cup K) \leq (2 + 9) = 11,$$

i.e., string has eleven-dimensions by nature, that is why eleven is the maximum spacetime dimension in which one can formulate a consistent supersymmetric theory.



Now, returning to our main purpose and using the first two terms of Equation (5), we can generate the following wave equation for Equation (4) as,

$$i\hbar v^0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar v^j \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t) - i\hbar g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad (35)$$

where  $\vec{\nabla}_0 = (\partial/\partial(v^0 t))$  for  $x^0 = (v^0 t)$ , while the 'Four-momentum' operator is  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \rightarrow i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$ , and the three momentum operator is  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i$ .

**Remark 2.** The signature of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , i.e.,  $(+, -, -, -)$ , has been absorbed and retained unaltered by the last term of Equation (35), as long as it satisfies Equations (3) and (4). Thus, readers are requested to be careful not to presume space and time separately in Equation (35), what we usually assume in the conventional Quantum Mechanics.

Again rearranging Equation (35) by using Equation (6), we may get,

$$i\hbar v^0 (1 - g_{00}) \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar v^j (1 + g_{ij}) \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$

or, simply discarding  $(1 - g_{00}) = (1 + g_{ij}) = 0$ , we can have the First Variance of the First Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG as,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar v^0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar v^j \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \\ \therefore i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar v^j \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Evidently, Equation (36) may take the form  $\hat{E} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -i\hbar v^j \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t)$  for the energy operator  $\hat{E} \rightarrow i\hbar \partial_t$ . Setting the Hamiltonian operator as  $\hat{H} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -i\hbar v^j \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t) \equiv \hat{\mathbf{p}} v^j \psi(\vec{r}, t)$ , where the three momentum operator  $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i$ , we can therefore have,  $\hat{E} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \hat{H} \psi(\vec{r}, t)$ . Interested readers can easily check it that Equation (36) is nothing but the gravitational form of the Classical Schrödinger equation, where  $E$  is total energy, and now the equation has been rewritten with  $v^j$  along with the signature of the metric  $(+, -, -, -)$ .

It is also possible to develop a Second Variance of the First Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG from Equation (35) as follows,

$$i\hbar v^\nu \vec{\Delta}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - i\hbar g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$

where  $i\hbar \vec{\Delta}_\mu \rightarrow [\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0, -\hat{\mathbf{p}}]^T \rightarrow [i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_0, i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i]^T$ .

Now, let us multiply both sides of Equation (35) by  $(dt^2/m)$ , so as,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{dt^2}{m} v^0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar \frac{dt^2}{m} v^j \vec{\nabla}_i \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= i\hbar \frac{dt^2}{m} g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= \frac{dt^2}{m} g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

which has the form of a general inhomogeneous Lorentz transformation (or Poincaré transformation).

Note it that Equation (37) is exactly equivalent to  $ds^2 \equiv (dt^2/m) g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu v^\nu$  of Equation (1), i.e.,  $ds^2 \equiv (dt^2/m) g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu v^\nu \mapsto ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) \equiv (dt^2/m) g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t)$ , for the ‘Four-momentum’ operator  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \rightarrow i \hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$ . In other words, we can say that the quantum line element is,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &\equiv i \hbar \frac{dt^2}{m} g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= \frac{v^\mu}{v^\mu} \left( i \hbar \frac{dt^2}{m} g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{v^\mu} \left( i \hbar \frac{dx^\mu}{m} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{(v^\mu m)} \left( i \hbar g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t), \end{aligned}$$

hence, by considering  $\mathcal{E} = (v^\mu m)^{-1}$ , we have,

$$ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = i \hbar \mathcal{E} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (38)$$

**Proposition 2.** In Equation (11), relativistic spacetime is showing a relation with the quantum spacetime, if the energy of the system remains fixed (so as its time). The wave field  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  itself in Equation (5) is relativistic due to  $g_{\mu\nu}$  in its either terms. Equally, Equation (35) also assures us that Quantum Mechanics and Relativity are must be correlated for the presence of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  in the last term of wave equation Equation (35). Lastly, the exact equivalency of Equations (37) and (1), i.e.,

$$ds^2 \equiv (dt^2/m) g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu P^\mu \mapsto ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) \equiv (dt^2/m) g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t),$$

for the ‘Four-momentum’ operator  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \rightarrow i \hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$ , can say us that Quantum Mechanics and Relativity are correlated in the wave field  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$ . So, we have a sufficient reason to accept the transformation of relativistic to quantum relations, and vice versa, as,

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu &\Longleftrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \\ \text{i.e., } m v^\mu &\Longleftrightarrow i \hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We will use Proposition 2 throughout our work. This Proposition is quite straightforward than some commonly used textbook procedures, for example, Ref. [5].

**Remark 3.** Technically,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $i \hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$  should cancel each other in Equation (38) for the Proposition 2, leaving behind a classical-like line element. More explicitly we can say that the quantum line element,  $ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = i \hbar \mathcal{E} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t)$ , can transform into the classical line element,  $ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t)$ , in a quantum spacetime, as  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $i \hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$  are canceling each other.

Let us consider  $\vec{\nabla}'_\mu = (\delta/\delta x^\mu)$ , etc., and let us also consider that  $g_{\mu\nu}$  would transform as,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \mapsto g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \left( \frac{i \hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha}{i \hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu} \right) = g_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \mapsto f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)}, \quad (39)$$

where  $f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)}$  is a ‘semi-quantum Lorentzian tensor’ in a semi-quantum Minkowski spacetime, i.e.,

$$f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} = f_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{i \hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha}{i \hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu} \right),$$

so as the ‘semi-quantum Lorentzian tensor’  $f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)}$  and the pure Lorentzian metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  should establish a relation as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu \otimes f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} &= i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu \otimes f_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu} \right) \\ &= i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu \otimes g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu} \right) \\ &= i\hbar g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \vec{\nabla}_\alpha = i\hbar g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

thus, for Equation (39),

$$f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) = g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (41)$$

Without any loss of generality, we may assume that the ‘quantum metric tensor’ is symmetric:  $f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} = f_{\nu\mu}^{(\mu)}$ , and  $\det(f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)}) \neq 0$ . It has an inverse matrix  $f^{-1}$  whose components are themselves the components of matrix  $f$ , as their product gives:  $f^{-1}f = \text{identity matrix}$ , i.e., in terms of components,  $f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} f_{(\mu)}^{\nu\gamma} = f_{(\mu)}^{\gamma\mu} f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} = \delta_\nu^\gamma$ , where,  $\delta_\nu^\gamma$  is the Kronecker delta.

Hence, Equation (38) should be rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= \mathcal{E} f_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu \right) \left( \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} dx^\nu \right) \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu} i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right) \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= i\hbar \mathcal{E} f_{\alpha\beta}^{(\alpha)} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu} \right) \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) dx^\mu dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= i\hbar \mathcal{E} f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) dx^\mu dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &\equiv i\hbar \mathcal{E} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Let us vary the length of a curve [6–9] as,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L|\gamma| \psi(\vec{r}, t) &\equiv \int \delta \left\{ i\hbar \mathcal{E} f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right\}^{1/2} d\tau \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ i\hbar \mathcal{E} \partial_\epsilon f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( i\hbar \mathcal{E} f_{\epsilon\nu}^{(\mu)} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\mu}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) \dot{x}^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right) \right\} \delta x^\epsilon d\tau \psi(\vec{r}, t). \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ i\hbar \mathcal{E} \partial_\epsilon f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu - i\hbar \mathcal{E} \partial_\mu f_{\epsilon\nu}^{(\mu)} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu - i\hbar \mathcal{E} \partial_\nu f_{\epsilon\mu}^{(\mu)} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \right\} \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ = 2i\hbar \mathcal{E} f_{\epsilon\delta}^{(\mu)} \ddot{x}^\delta \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t), \end{aligned}$$

then the Christoffel symbol  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\delta$  should be defined by,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\delta \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} f_{(\mu)}^{\delta\epsilon} \left( \partial_\mu f_{\epsilon\nu}^{(\mu)} + \partial_\nu f_{\epsilon\mu}^{(\mu)} - \partial_\epsilon f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t),$$

such that the Christoffel symbols are symmetric in the lower indices:  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\delta = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\delta$ .

After a little exercise, we can yield the curvature tensor,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\nu\gamma\delta}^\sigma \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \left( \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\sigma}{\partial x^\gamma} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}^\sigma}{\partial x^\delta} + \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\sigma \Gamma_{\nu\delta}^\epsilon - \Gamma_{\delta\epsilon}^\sigma \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}^\epsilon \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t),$$

thus we find,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda\nu\gamma\delta} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{\lambda\delta, \nu\gamma}^{(\lambda)} + f_{\nu\gamma, \lambda\delta}^{(\lambda)} - f_{\delta\nu, \lambda\gamma}^{(\lambda)} - f_{\lambda\gamma, \nu\delta}^{(\lambda)} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t),$$

which satisfies the properties like symmetry, antisymmetry and cyclicity as usual. Without much ado, we can easily obtain the Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG Einstein field equations as,

$$\left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} f_{\zeta\eta}^{(\zeta)} \mathcal{R} \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 8\pi G \mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta} \psi(\vec{r}, t), \quad (42)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta}$  is the quantum energy momentum tensor, it is what the graviton field couples to, and  $G$  is the gravitational coupling. Let us develop an unusual gravitational coupling  $G$  in Planck scale using Equation (41) as follows by using Ref. [10],

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{(\mathrm{d}\ell_P)^2}{m_P} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\ell_P}{(\mathrm{d}t_P)^2} = \frac{m_P}{m_P^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\ell_P}{(\mathrm{d}t_P)^2} (\mathrm{d}\ell_P)^2 = \frac{F_P}{m_P^2} (\mathrm{d}\ell_P)^2 = \frac{F_P}{m_P^2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathrm{d}\ell_P^\zeta \mathrm{d}\ell_P^\eta \\ &= \frac{F_P}{m_P^2} f_{\zeta\eta}^{(\zeta)} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\zeta}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}'_\alpha} \right) \mathrm{d}\ell_P^\zeta \mathrm{d}\ell_P^\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where  $F_P = m_P \left\{ \mathrm{d}^2\ell_P^\zeta / (\mathrm{d}t_P)^2 \right\}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} = f_{(\lambda)}^{\lambda\nu} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda\zeta\eta\nu}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = f_{(\zeta)}^{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta}$  and  $f_{\lambda\nu}^{(\lambda)} f_{(\lambda)}^{\lambda\nu} = g_{\lambda\nu} g^{\lambda\nu}$ , the LHS of Equation (42) may give us the purely Einsteinian form, i.e.,  $\left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R} \right]$ , if we use Equation (40) as follows,

$$\left( i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\zeta \right) \otimes \left( i\hbar \vec{\nabla}^\zeta \right) \otimes \left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} f_{\zeta\eta}^{(\zeta)} \mathcal{R} \right] \iff -\hbar^2 \left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R} \right] \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}^\mu.$$

Similarly, we can get the Einsteinian energy momentum tensor  $\mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta}$  from the quantum energy momentum tensor  $\mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta}$  of Equation (42), if we assume  $\mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta}$  depends on (quantum) metric tensor (for example,  $T_{\mu\nu} = -\kappa^{-2} (\partial^\lambda \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} - \partial^\lambda \partial_\nu g_{\mu\lambda} - \partial^\lambda \partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu g_\sigma^\sigma - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \partial^\lambda g_\sigma^\sigma + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\lambda \partial^\sigma g_{\lambda\sigma})$ , or the electrodynamic  $T_{\zeta\eta} = -F_{\zeta\mu} F_\eta^\mu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\zeta\eta} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\zeta\eta} F_{\zeta\mu} F^{\zeta\mu} + \frac{1}{4} g_{\zeta\eta} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ , etc.). By using Equation (43) in Equation (42), we can get the modified GQG field equation as,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\zeta \right) \otimes \left( i\hbar \vec{\nabla}^\zeta \right) \otimes \left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} f_{\zeta\eta}^{(\zeta)} \mathcal{R} \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ = \left( i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\zeta \right) \otimes \left( i\hbar \vec{\nabla}^\zeta \right) \otimes \left( 8\pi G \mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore -\hbar^2 \left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R} \right] \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}^\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\hbar^2 8\pi G \mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}^\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (44)$$

Note it that Equations (42) and (44) are exactly the same thing despite their different appearances.

**Remark 4.** It is necessary to remember that,

1. We should not introduce the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  in Equation (44), because we can get Dark Energy from Einstein field equation quite naturally (see the last equation of Equation (68) in Section 2.2 below for more details). Introduction of the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  in Equation (44) should intend to double entry of Dark Energy in the same gravitational field of GQG, which should obviously be wrong. Though, in Equation (70) below, we will develop a field equation containing  $\Lambda$ , which is slightly different from the Classical Einstein field equation.
2. Equation (44) tells us that gravitation is an interaction in orthogonal curvilinear coordinates  $x^\zeta$  (i.e., outer surface) of point  $P(x^\mu)$  rather than at that very spacetime  $x^\mu$  (i.e., core). Since  $i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu \rightarrow 0$  for a particle field, then the Einstein tensor must be unity, i.e.,  $[R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R] \rightarrow 1$ , in Equation (44) at the core  $x^\mu$ , and there, spacetime  $x^\mu$  behaves strongly Quantum Mechanical so as the other particle interactions (i.e., Quantum Chromodynamics and Electroweak) are prioritized there locally. On the other hand, gravitational effects only start effective beyond the core  $x^\mu$ , i.e., in orthogonal curvilinear coordinates  $x^\zeta$  outside the core  $x^\mu$ , in other words, the outer surface of  $x^\mu$ .
3. If we consider a cutoff energy  $E_\star$ , then we can say that gravitons only appear in an energy zone  $E_{\text{IR}} \leq E_\star$  as  $E_\star \ll E_{\text{PLANCK}}$ , and beyond that state, i.e.,  $E_{\text{UV}} > E_\star$ , other particle interactions (i.e., Quantum Chromodynamics and Electroweak) are prioritized, where  $E_{\text{IR}}$  is the infra red energy zone, whereas  $E_{\text{UV}} \lesssim E_{\text{PLANCK}}$  is the ultra violet energy zone. Thus, for gravity in Equation (44), ultra violet zone is automatically ignored, i.e., the sum over  $E_{\text{IR}}$  intends the Feynman graphs to be finite. In the energy zone  $E_{\text{IR}} \leq E_\star$ , all gravitons behave as real particles. Let us assume additionally that  $E_{\text{PLANCK}}$  is not an external energy state, but the kernel of all energy states of a particle, then we can assume the energy states of a particle from the kernel energy of the core  $x^\mu$  to its outer surface energy for  $x^\zeta$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{\text{PLANCK}})_{\text{KERNEL OF THE CORE } x^\mu} &\rightarrow (E_{\text{UV}})_{\text{CORE } x^\mu} \rightarrow (E_\star)_{\text{OUTER SURFACE } x^\zeta} \\ &\downarrow \\ &(E_{\text{IR}})_{\text{BEYOND THE PARTICLE}} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Between core and outer surface energies, i.e., at  $E_{\text{UV}} > E_\star$ , Electroweak and Quantum Chromodynamic interactions take place, whereas, outside these states (i.e., at  $E_{\text{IR}} \leq E_\star$ ) gravity starts being prioritized.

4. For the RHS factor  $\hbar^2 G$  of Equation (44), the gravitational coupling  $G$ , which has the dimension of a negative power of mass, now has lost its mass dimension due to  $\hbar^2$ . Consequently, if divergences are to be present, they could now be disposed of by the technique of renormalization (though, this will not play a role in our present discussion, but we can develop a renormalizable scenario by using a purely quantum form of gravity, which will be discussed in Section 2.3 below).

**Remark 5.** Equation (43) is true for the outer surface  $x^\zeta$ , but it is seemingly true for the core  $x^\mu$ , too, due to the universality of gravitational coupling  $G$ . So, we can choose the indexes either ways.

Now, considering d'Alembertian operator  $\square = \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}^\mu$ , as well as  $\mathcal{U} = (8\pi G T_{\zeta\eta})$ , and inputting the 'Four-momentum' operator  $\hat{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$  into Equation (44), we can get the Second Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG as,

$$\hbar^2 \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right] \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0. \quad (46)$$

The wavefunction  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  in Equation (46) is emphatically defining a bosonic field. Thus, we can immediately develop a fermionic field (or the Third Variance of the First Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG) out of Equation (46) as,

$$i\hbar \gamma^\mu \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - \mathcal{U}^{1/2} \mathcal{P} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad (47)$$

where,  $\gamma^\mu$  are Dirac's gamma matrices.

Dividing Equation (47) either by  $\left[R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R\right]^{1/2}$  or by  $\mathcal{U}^{1/2}$  gives us  $(i \hbar \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_\mu - \mathcal{P}) \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ , from which the classical Dirac's equation should be derivable, but here, instead of  $\partial/(\partial t)$ , we have considered  $\partial/(\partial x^0)$  by absorbing  $v^0$  and similarly,  $\mathcal{P}$  is not intended here to have a factor of rest mass, since  $m \neq m_0$  in Equation (1). Thus, we can say that Dirac's equation is a subset of the Third Variance of the First Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG, i.e., Equation (47). Similarly, we can also say that the Klein-Gordon equation is a subset of the Second Order Equation of Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG, i.e., Equation (46), and it should be derivable from  $(\hbar^2 \square + \mathcal{P}^2) \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ . An analogous formalism is equally applicable for the following Subsection 2.2.

## 2.2. Quantum Minkowski GQG

Let the line element of Minkowski spacetime,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \equiv \left(\frac{dt}{m}\right)^2 g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu, \\ \therefore m^2 \left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 &= m^2 c^2 - m^2 \sum \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} = m E - \sum p^i p^j = p^0 p^0 - \sum p^i p^j \\ &= g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu, \\ \text{and, } m^2 \left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 &= m^2 \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) c^2 = m_0^2 c^2, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

for the rest mass  $m_0$ , when,

$$dS_P^2 = m^2 \left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 = p^0 p^0 - \sum p^i p^j = g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu P^\nu, \quad (49)$$

then, rearrangement of Equation (48) gives,

$$m E = p^i p^j + m^2 \left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 = p^i p^j + P^\mu P^\nu \frac{ds}{dx^\mu} \frac{ds}{dx^\nu} = p^i p^j + P^\mu P^\nu g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (50)$$

Then, considering the representation of a wave field  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  by superposition of a free particle (de Broglie wave) for Equation (50) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar m} \left\{ m \left( \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} + g_{\mu\nu} \vec{P} \cdot \vec{R} \right) - mEt \right\} \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar m} \left\{ m \left( \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} + m t \left( \frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 \right) - mEt \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

we can generate the following wave equation using Equation (50) combining with Equation (48) as,

$$-\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad (52)$$

which may give,

$$-\hbar^2 (1 - g_{00}) \vec{\nabla}_0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 (1 + g_{ij}) \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$



or, simply discarding  $(1 - g_{00}) = (1 + g_{ij}) = 0$ , we can get the First Variance of the Second Order Equation of Quantum Minkowski GQG as,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_0 \vec{\nabla}_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \\ \therefore & -\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_0 \vec{\nabla}^0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}^i \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Here,  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  is definitely a bosonic field. But the uppermost equation of Equation (53) may give us the gravitational form of the Classical Schrödinger equation by using the Proposition 2 (the exercise is left for the readers) as follows,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0. \quad (54)$$

Putting differently,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \frac{\hbar^2}{m} g_{ij} \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}^j \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0.$$

Hence, we get the gravitational form of the Classical Schrödinger equation for the total energy  $E$ , and now it is in a  $(3 + 1)D$  quantum spacetime.

Applying the representation of wave field  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$  either of Equation (51), or Equation (5), into Equation (50), we can get,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{m} \left( \hat{\mathbf{p}}^i \hat{\mathbf{p}}^j + g_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathbf{P}}^\mu \hat{\mathbf{P}}^\nu \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t), \\ \text{i.e., } i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \left( \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j + g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is actually satisfying the last two terms of Equation (58), see below. Note that, Equation (55) should be used as an alternate of the gravitational form of the Classical Schrödinger equation, i.e., Equation (54).

After using the first term of mass operator  $\hat{m}$  from Equation (7), the Equation (55) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= \hbar^2 \left( \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}_j + g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t), \\ \therefore \hbar^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Compare the first equation of Equation (56) with Equation (10). We will use the second value of  $\hat{m} \hat{E}$  in Equation (56) to construct Quantum Non-Minkowski GQG in Section 2.3 below.

Now, the Second Variance of the Second Order Equation of Quantum Minkowski GQG from Equation (52) should be,

$$-\hbar^2 \vec{\Delta}_\mu \vec{\Delta}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$

where  $i\hbar \vec{\Delta}_\mu \rightarrow [\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0, -\hat{\mathbf{p}}]^T \rightarrow [i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_0, i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i]^T$ .

Multiplying both sides of Equation (52) by  $(dt/m)^2$  and comparing it with Equation (48), we have the quantum line element for the 'Four-momentum' operator  $\hat{\mathbf{P}}^\mu \rightarrow i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu$  as follows,

$$ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{dt}{m} \right)^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (57)$$

Let us now prescribe  $g_{\mu\nu}$  as follows by using Proposition 2 as,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \right) = g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{m}{\partial t} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial t}{m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \right) = g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{P^\alpha}{P^\mu} \frac{P^\beta}{P^\nu} \right) \\ &\rightarrow g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{\hat{P}^\alpha}{\hat{P}^\mu} \frac{\hat{P}^\beta}{\hat{P}^\nu} \right) \rightarrow g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\alpha}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu} \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\beta}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\nu} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

To avoid any confusion between the pure Lorentzian metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the quantum Lorentzian tensor of Equation (58), let us assume that,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\alpha\beta} \left( \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\alpha}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\mu} \frac{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\beta}{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\nu} \right) = g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (59)$$

This approach is quantizing gravity. The ‘quantum metric tensor’  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$ , and  $\det(g_{\mu\nu}) \neq 0$ . Components of its inverse matrix  $g^{-1}$  are themselves the components of matrix  $g$ , i.e.,  $g_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\gamma} = g^{\gamma\mu} g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu^\gamma$ , where,  $\delta_\nu^\gamma$  is the Kronecker delta.

Then, Equation (57) becomes as,

$$ds^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{dt}{m} \right)^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (60)$$

Let us vary the length of a curve [6–9] as,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L|\gamma| \psi(\vec{r}, t) &\equiv \int \delta \left\{ -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{dt}{m} \right)^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \right\}^{1/2} d\tau \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{dt}{m} \right)^2 \partial_\epsilon g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{dt}{m} \right)^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\nu \right) \right\} \delta \vec{\nabla}_\epsilon d\tau \psi(\vec{r}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the Subsection 2.1, after a little exercise, we can develop,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda\nu\gamma\delta} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} (g_{\lambda\delta, \nu\gamma} + g_{\nu\gamma, \lambda\delta} - g_{\delta\nu, \lambda\gamma} - g_{\lambda\gamma, \nu\delta}) \psi(\vec{r}, t),$$

and then, we can obtain the Quantum Minkowski GQG Einstein field equations as,

$$\left[ \mathcal{R}_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R} \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 8\pi G \mathcal{T}_{\zeta\eta} \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (61)$$

Let us develop another unusual gravitational coupling  $G$  in Planck scale using Proposition 2 and adopting Remark 5 as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{(d\ell_P)^2}{m_P} \frac{d^2 \ell_P}{(dt_P)^2} = \frac{m_P}{m_P^2} \frac{d^2 \ell_P}{(dt_P)^2} (d\ell_P)^2 = \frac{F_P}{m_P^2} g_{\mu\nu} d\ell_P^\mu d\ell_P^\nu = \frac{F_P}{m_P^2} d\ell_P^\mu d\ell_{P\mu} \\ &\rightarrow -\hbar^2 F_P \left( \frac{dt_P}{m_P^2} \right)^2 \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu = -\hbar^2 \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

for  $F_P = m_P \left\{ d^2 \ell_P^\mu / (dt_P)^2 \right\}$ .

Interested readers can easily check that Equations (42) and (61) are exactly the same thing but comprised with different components: the earlier one with ‘Four-velocity’ components and the later one with ‘Four-momentum’ components. Another noticeable difference between them is that Equation (42) has a mixed expression of classical and quantum geometric expressions for  $f_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)}$ , whereas, Equation (61) has a purely quantum geometric expression for  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . In other words, we can say that Equation (42) is in a quantum Minkowski spacetime, whereas, Equation (61) is in a semi-quantum Minkowski spacetime.

If we transform our spacetime into Planck scale, i.e.,  $x^\mu \rightarrow \ell_P^\mu$  and  $t \rightarrow t_P$ , then we can rewrite Equation (61) using Equation (62) as,

$$\hbar^2 \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) + \frac{1}{8\pi \mathcal{T}_{P\zeta\eta}} \left[ \mathcal{R}_{P\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R}_P \right] \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) = 0.$$

Let,

$$\mathcal{C}^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi \mathcal{T}_{P\zeta\eta}} \left[ \mathcal{R}_{P\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} \mathcal{R}_P \right] = G, \quad (63)$$

then we have,

$$\hbar^2 \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) + \mathcal{C}^2 \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) = 0.$$

Considering d’Alembertian operator  $\square_P = \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu$ , we can get the Third Variance of the Second Order Equation of Quantum Minkowski GQG as,

$$\hbar^2 \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \square_P \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) + \mathcal{C}^2 \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) = 0. \quad (64)$$

Here,  $\psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P)$  is definitely a bosonic field. Thus, we can immediately develop a fermionic field (or the First Order Equation of Quantum Minkowski GQG) out of Equation (64) as,

$$i \hbar \left( \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \right)^{1/2} \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) - \mathcal{C} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) = 0, \quad (65)$$

where,  $\gamma^\mu$  are Dirac’s gamma matrices. Considering  $(\ell_P^\mu)^{-1} = m_P$  [11], let Equation (65) be for Equation (63) as,

$$\begin{aligned} i \hbar \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) - m_P^2 \mathcal{C} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) &= 0 \\ \therefore i \hbar \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) - m_P^2 G^{1/2} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (8\pi)^{1/2} i \hbar \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) - m_P \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

since  $8\pi G = m_P^{-2}$ . Now, Equation (66) is quite handy to use. But Equation (66) also suggests us that whatever matter satisfies such a fermionic relation is definitely originated (clustered) as matter from fundamentally different physics at the Planck scale, maybe at very different cosmological epochs. Moreover, the first term of the last equation of Equation (66) is almost five-times larger than any Dirac-like term for baryonic matters, which is quite unusual. At this characteristic Planck scale, the matter that satisfies Equation (66) must not provide a natural mechanism of the electroweak symmetry breaking, thus the matter must be non-baryonic. The only possible candidate having such characteristics is Dark Matter, which accounts for 26.8% of the critical density in the Universe against 4.9% of the critical density of baryonic matters, in other words, the critical density of Dark Matter is

almost  $(8\pi)^{1/2}$  times higher than the critical density of baryonic matters – it exactly matches with Equation (66).

Again, returning to Equation (60) and using  $m^2 (ds^2/dt^2)$  of Equation (48) so as  $m^2 (ds^2/dt^2) = m^2 (1 - v^2) \equiv m_0^2$  for the rest mass  $m_0$ , we can get,

$$\begin{aligned} m_0^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= -\hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ \therefore \quad \hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) + m_0^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, considering  $\vec{\nabla}_\nu = g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}^\mu$  and d'Alembertian operator  $\square = \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}^\mu$ , we have,

$$\hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu}^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + m_0^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0.$$

Thus, we can immediately develop a fermionic field equation as,

$$i \hbar \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - m_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0. \quad (67)$$

Here, the real fermions exist only in temporal dimension. Thus, Equation (67) gives us the Dirac equations for fermions, but with an extension that antifermions, those are exist in spatial dimensions, are thrice in number than real fermions in nature. As the motion in temporal dimension is the basic consideration of relativity, the '+'-ve signature of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  in Equation (67) explains us the reason of the forward expansion of the Universe in temporal dimension.

Now, let us replace  $m^2$  of Equation (48) with the Planck mass  $m_P^2$ , when  $m_P^2$  satisfies as [12]:  $m_P^2 \Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \langle T \rangle$ , where the cosmological constant  $\Lambda = 8\pi G \rho_\Lambda$ , so as we have  $m_P^2 (ds^2/dt^2) = m_P^2 (1 - v^2) \equiv m_{P0}^2$  for the Planck rest mass  $m_{P0}$  and  $c = 1$ , thus, for,

$$m_P^2 \Lambda (1 - v^2) = \frac{1}{2} \langle T \rangle (1 - v^2) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad m_{P0}^2 \Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \langle T_0 \rangle,$$

where,  $\langle T \rangle (1 - v^2) \equiv \langle T_0 \rangle$ , and following the argument cited above,

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) + m_{P0}^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \\ \therefore \quad 2 \hbar^2 \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \langle T_0 \rangle \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \\ \text{and, } \hbar^2 \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \rho_{\Lambda 0} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

by replacing  $\frac{1}{2} \langle T \rangle$  with  $\rho_\Lambda$  for the cosmological constant  $\Lambda = 8\pi G \rho_\Lambda = m_P^{-2} \rho_\Lambda$ . So, by using  $8\pi G = m_P^{-2}$  and by switching  $(1 - v^2)^{1/2}$  right to left in the term:  $m_P \langle T_0 \rangle^{1/2} = m_P \langle T \rangle^{1/2} (1 - v^2)^{1/2} \equiv m_{P0} \langle T \rangle^{1/2}$ , we can develop a fermionic field equation as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} i \hbar \sqrt{2\Lambda} \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - \langle T_0 \rangle^{1/2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \\ \therefore \quad i \hbar (2\rho_\Lambda)^{1/2} \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - m_{P0} \langle T \rangle^{1/2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

The interesting thing in Equation (69) is that Dark Energy has a direct relationship with gravity. In other words, Dark Energy would be obtainable from the breaking of particle symmetry where gravity counts (see, Subsection 3.1 below).

The last equation of Equation (68) is definitely applicable simultaneously whether the matter is baryonic or non-baryonic.

Adding the last equation of Equation (68) with Equation (46) (since Equations (61) and (42) are exactly the same), we can get a field equation for Equation (59) as.

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^2 \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}^2 \right] \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + [\mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}^2 + \rho_{\Lambda 0}] \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0, \\ \text{i.e., } \hbar^2 \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}^2 \right] \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + [\mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}^2 + \rho_{\Lambda 0}] \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

This field equation, which is actually a Klein-Gordon-type equation, is slightly different from the Classical Einstein field equation. Note that gravity and cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  are originated from different spacetimes in Equation (70). From a particle's point of view,  $\Lambda$  is generated from the kernel of the core of a particle, whereas, gravity is emerged from the outer surface of the same core.

Again, either from the first equation of Equation (68), or by placing  $\frac{1}{2} \langle T \rangle = \rho_{\Lambda}$  in Equation (69), we can find,

$$i \hbar \gamma^{\mu} g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_{\mu} \psi(\vec{r}, t) - m_{P0} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad (71)$$

which is the Planck scale counterpart of Equation (67), in other words, Equations (67) and (71) counterbalance each other's actions of the forward expansion of the Universe in temporal dimension due to their  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

Since, the cosmological constant  $\Lambda = 8\pi G \rho_{\Lambda} = m_P^{-2} \rho_{\Lambda}$ , then again replacing  $m^2$  of Equation (48) with  $m_P^2 = (\rho_{\Lambda}/\Lambda)$  gives us,  $(\rho_{\Lambda}/\Lambda) (ds^2/dt^2) = (\rho_{\Lambda}/\Lambda) (1 - v^2) \equiv (\rho_{\Lambda 0}/\Lambda)$ , for  $c = 1$ . But, we can say,  $E_{\Lambda}^2 = (\rho_{\Lambda 0}/\Lambda) c^4$ , i.e.,  $E_{\Lambda} = (\rho_{\Lambda 0}/\Lambda)^{1/2} c^2$ , as the *rightful and lawful* 'Dark Energy' for relativistic  $\rho_{\Lambda}$ .

The grate difference between Equations (66) and (71) is that the nature of the former one is non-baryonic, whereas, the later one is independent of matter's constructive property, i.e., its effects can be observable simultaneously both in the cases of baryonic and non-baryonic matters. Another difference is that Equation (66) is effective at  $m_P$  scale, whereas, Equation (71) is effective at  $m_{P0}$  scale, i.e., Dark Energy had originated at much earlier cosmological epochs than Dark Matter. Similarly, Dark Matter had originated at much earlier cosmological epochs than baryonic matters of Equation (67) at  $m_0$  scale. Thus, we have a quite fair chronology of the formation of cosmological matters in the Universe. Note it here that gravity was not observable at the cosmological epochs at  $m_{P0}$  scale where Dark Energy had originated. At this scale, gravitons just behaved as energy states rather than real particles due to Remark 4. Gravity was also not observable at the next cosmological epochs started out at  $m_P$  scale where Dark Matter had originated. Gravity became observable first time only in the energy zone  $E_{IR} \leq E_{*}$  as we had claimed in Remark 4.

The bosonic and fermionic fields for baryonic matters, Dark Energy and Dark Matter, which are obtainable from QQG, are listed in the Table 1.

**Table 1.** In General Quantum Gravity, we have twelve staple bosonic and fermionic field equations in two different orders.

<b>Semi-quantum Minkowski GQG:</b>	<b>First Order Equations</b>
	$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar v^j \vec{\nabla}_j \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ $i\hbar v^\nu \vec{\Delta}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) + i\hbar g_{\mu\nu} v^\nu \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ $i\hbar \gamma^\mu \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - \mathcal{U}^{1/2} \mathcal{P} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$
	<b>Second Order Equation</b>
	$\hbar^2 \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right] \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \mathcal{U} \mathcal{P}^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$
<b>Quantum Minkowski GQG:</b>	<b>First Order Equation</b>
	$i\hbar \left( \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \right)^{1/2} \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) - \mathcal{C} \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) = 0$ $i\hbar \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - m_0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ $i\hbar \sqrt{2\Lambda} \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \psi(\vec{r}, t) - \langle T_0 \rangle^{1/2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$
	<b>Second Order Equations</b>
	$-\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_0 \vec{\nabla}^0 \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_i \vec{\nabla}^i \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ $-\hbar^2 \vec{\Delta}_\mu \vec{\Delta}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu} \vec{\nabla}_\mu \vec{\nabla}_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ $\hbar^2 \frac{\ell_P^\mu}{m_P^3} \square_P \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) + \mathcal{C}^2 \psi(\vec{r}_P, t_P) = 0$ $\hbar^2 g_{\mu\nu}^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + m_0^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$ $2\hbar^2 \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}^2 \square \psi(\vec{r}, t) + \langle T_0 \rangle \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$

### 2.3. Quantum Non-Minkowski GQG

Now, let us try to develop an Einstein field equation, which is “completely” Quantum Mechanical (i.e., it has neither a Minkowski spacetime and not its metric is Lorentzian) in comparison to Equations (42) and (61).

Equation (55) immediately tells us that Equation (49) is possible to be written as follows,

$$dS_P^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = g_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \left( \hat{m} \hat{E} - \hat{p}^i \hat{p}^j \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (72)$$

After using the mass operator  $\hat{m}$  from Equation (7) and then inputting the value of  $\hat{m} \hat{E}$  from Equation (56) into Equation (72) and then using Equation (8), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dS_P^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = g_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\mu \hat{\mathcal{P}}^\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t) &= -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) \\
 &= -\hbar^2 g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi(\vec{r}, t).
 \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

This line element has neither a Minkowski spacetime and not its metric is Lorentzian, since  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is satisfying the last two terms of Equation (58). Note here that, the LHS of Equation (73), i.e.,  $dS_P^2$ , is relativistic, whereas, the RHS of Equation (73), i.e.,  $-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}$ , is Quantum Mechanical. We can compare this line element with Equation (10), but this time, the relativity-quantum relation in Equation (73) is explained more uniquely and explicitly than our previous findings which have defined in the above two subsections.

Now [13], let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a manifold, where  $M^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold and  $g$  is a metric satisfying the last two terms of Equation (58), which is either as a positive-definite section of the bundle of symmetric (covariant) 2-tensors  $T^*M \otimes_S T^*M$  or as positive-definite bilinear maps,  $g((i\hbar)^{-1}x) : T_{((i\hbar)^{-1}x)}M \times T_{((i\hbar)^{-1}x)}M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  for all  $(i\hbar)^{-1}x \in M$ .



Here,  $T^*M \otimes_S T^*M$  is the subspace of  $T^*M \otimes T^*M$  generated by elements of the form  $X \otimes Y + Y \otimes X$ . Let  $\{(i\hbar)^{-1}x^i\}_{i=1}^n$  be local coordinates in a neighborhood  $U$  of some point of  $M$ . In  $U$  the vector fields  $\left\{ \left( (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i)^{-1} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^n$  form a local basis for  $TM$  and the 1-forms  $\{i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i\}_{i=1}^n$  form a dual basis for  $T^*M$ , that is,  $i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_j \left( (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i)^{-1} \right) = \delta_j^i$ . Let  $\nabla^g$  denote the Levi-Civita connection of the metric  $g$ . The Christoffel symbols are the components of the Levi-Civita connection and are defined in  $U$  by  $\nabla_{(i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i)} (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_j) \doteq \Gamma_{ij}^k (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_k)$ , and for  $\left[ (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i), (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_j) \right] = 0$ , we see that they are given by,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} g^{k\ell} \left[ (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i) g_{j\ell} + (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_j) g_{i\ell} - (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_\ell) g_{ij} \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (74)$$

Let the curvature  $(3, 1)$ -tensor  $Rm$  is defined by,  $Rm(X, Y)Z \doteq \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$ . Thus, the curvature tensor,  $R_{ijk}^\ell = \partial_i \Gamma_{jk}^\ell - \partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^\ell + \Gamma_{jk}^p \Gamma_{ip}^\ell - \Gamma_{ik}^p \Gamma_{jp}^\ell$ , is purely Quantum Mechanical due to Equation (74). Let the tensor  $Rc$  is the trace of  $Rm$  curvature tensor:  $Rc(Y, Z) \doteq \text{trace}(X \mapsto Rm(X, Y)Z)$ , defined by  $R_{ij} \doteq Rc((i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_i), (i\hbar \vec{\nabla}_j))$ , and the scalar curvature  $R$  is the trace of  $Rc$  tensor:  $R \doteq \sum_{a=1}^n Rc(e_a, e_a)$  where  $e_a \in T_{((i\hbar)^{-1}x)} M^n$  is a unit vector spanning  $L \subset T_{((i\hbar)^{-1}x)} M^n$ . Then, the Einstein-like purely quantum tensor  $Rc - \frac{1}{2} Rg$  directly acts on a quantum space. Thus, Einstein-like purely quantum field equation  $\left[ Rc - \frac{1}{2} Rg \right] \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \mathcal{U} \psi(\vec{r}, t)$ , where  $\mathcal{U} = 8\pi G T_{ij}$ , is “completely” Quantum Mechanical for Equation (74) in comparison to Equations (42) and (61).

Instead of considering  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  of Equation (34), let us develop  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  with purely quantum spacetime axes as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,1]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[1,0]} \right), a_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right), b_i \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ (a_i a_j)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) + (b_i b_j)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right\} \right] \\ & \mapsto \left( (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^0, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^1, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+i)}, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+(i+\ell))}, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+(i+\ell)+j)} \right) \in \mathfrak{X}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, i \neq j$  and  $\ell = \max i$ , where,

$$(i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+(i+\ell)+j)} = \left( (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}_a^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)} + (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}_b^{(1+(i+\ell)+\frac{1}{2}j)} \right).$$

For the selection of the axis from Equation (75), we use fully democratic way, e.g., if  $\left\{ (a_3 a_1)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right) + (b_3 b_1)^{1/2} \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right) \right\}$  gives the 11<sup>th</sup> dimension, then  $a_3 \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,2]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[2,0]} \right)$  and  $b_3 \left( \hat{\partial}_{[0,3]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[3,0]} \right)$  should give us the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> dimensions, and so on.

### 2.3.1. Superstring/M-Theory

For this sub-subsection, we will switch to the Minkowski signature  $(-, +, \dots, +)$  to express a point particle and a string propagating in a  $D$ -dimensional curved spacetime.

The bosonic part of the action of the  $N = 1$  supergravity theory in 11 dimensions should be (we follow Ref. [14] hereafter),

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{11} (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x} \sqrt{-g} \left( Rc - \frac{1}{48} F_4^2 \right) - \frac{1}{12\kappa^2} \int F_4 \wedge F_4 \wedge A_3, \quad (76)$$

where  $F_4 = dA_3$ . Definitely, this  $D = 11$  supergravity is now in  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  spacetime of Equation (75) with purely quantum gravity  $g$ , which is satisfying the last two terms of Equation (58) but now with

the signature  $(-, +, \dots, +)$ . And the overall scenario is condensed inside the observable  $(3+1)D$  spacetime, i.e.,  $\left(\partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]}\right)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Similarly, the bosonic part of the action of type IIA theory ( $N = 2, d = 10$ ) should be (in the string frame),

$$S_{\text{IIA}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}(i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{r} \sqrt{-g} \left( \exp(-2\phi) \left[ \text{Rc} + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H_3^2 \right] - \frac{1}{4} F_2^2 - \frac{1}{48} F_4^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \int F_4 \wedge F_4 \wedge B_2, \quad (77)$$

where  $F_4 = dC_3 + H_3 \wedge C_1$ ,  $F_2 = dC_1$ ,  $H_3 = dB_2$  and  $\phi$  is the dilaton. And the bosonic part of the action of type IIB theory ( $N = 2, d = 10$ ) should be (in the string frame),

$$S_{\text{IIB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}(i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{r} \sqrt{-g} \left( \exp(-2\phi) \left[ \text{Rc} + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H_3^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} [F_3 + aH_3]^2 - \frac{1}{480} F_5^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \int \left( C_4 + \frac{1}{2} B_2 \wedge C_2 \right) \wedge F_3 \wedge H_3,$$

where  $F_5 = dC_4 + H_3 \wedge C_2$ ,  $F_3 = dC_2$ ,  $H_3 = dB_2$ , while  $a$  is the RR axion and  $\phi$  is the dilaton. Whereas, the bosonic part of the type I action ( $N = 1, d = 10$ ) should be,

$$S_{\text{I}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}(i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{r} \sqrt{-g} \left( \exp(-2\phi) \left[ \text{Rc} + 4(\partial\phi)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{12} \tilde{F}_3^2 - \frac{1}{4} \exp(-\phi) \text{Tr} F^2 \right),$$

where  $\tilde{F}_3$  is the modified field strength for the two form,  $\phi$  is the dilaton and  $F_2 = dA + A \wedge A$ , where  $A$  is the gauge potential in the adjoint representation of  $\text{SO}(32)$ . As the two heterotic supergravity theories are obtained as the low energy limit of heterotic string theory with gauge group  $\text{SO}(32)$  and  $E_8 \times E_8$ , respectively, the bosonic part of the actions should be,

$$S_{\text{Heterotic}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}(i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{r} \sqrt{-g} \exp(-2\phi) \left( \text{Rc} + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} \tilde{H}_3^2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} F^2 \right),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_3$  is the modified field strength for the two form,  $\phi$  is the dilaton and  $F_2 = dA + A \wedge A$ , where  $A$  is the gauge potential in the adjoint representation of  $\text{SO}(32)$  or  $E_8 \times E_8$ , respectively. In the similar way, a solitonic supergravity solution for  $p$ -branes in 11 dimensions which interpolates between a vacuum with  $\text{SO}(1,2) \times \text{SO}(8)$  symmetry yields,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= H^{-2/3} \eta_{\mu\nu} (i\hbar)^{-2} d\mathfrak{x}^\mu d\mathfrak{x}^\nu + H^{1/3} \delta_{mn} (i\hbar)^{-2} d\mathfrak{x}^m d\mathfrak{x}^n, \\ A_3 &= H^{-1} \eta_{\mu\nu} (i\hbar)^{-3} d\mathfrak{x}^0 \wedge d\mathfrak{x}^1 \wedge d\mathfrak{x}^2, \\ H &= 1 + \frac{R^6}{r^6}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, m, n = 3, \dots, 10$ , and  $H$  is a harmonic function on the transverse space, whereas  $r$  is the radius for the eight-dimensional space transverse to the membrane. Hence, the complete scenario of the Superstring/M-theory is condensed again inside the observable  $(3+1)D$  spacetime, i.e.,  $\left(\partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]}\right)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . But M-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{11}$  does not contain any strings, however, if we replace Minkowski spacetime with  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  spacetime of Equation (75), then we should find that M-theory on  $\mathfrak{X}^\mu$  is now contain strings which is inside the observable  $(3+1)D$  spacetime, i.e.,  $\left(\partial_{[0,i]}^2 \otimes \Psi_{[0,i]}\right)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since M-theory is the strong coupling limit of the type IIA string theory, it must be an inherently non-perturbative theory, with no arbitrary coupling constant, but only a length scale  $\ell_p$ , then the relation between this length scale and the IIA length scale and coupling can be obtained by comparing

the 11 and 10-dimensional gravitational constants  $\kappa_{11}$  and  $\kappa_{10}$ . But we know  $G = -\hbar^2 \frac{\ell_p^\mu}{m_p^3} \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu$  from Equation (62). Then,  $\kappa_{11}^2 = 2\pi R \kappa_{10}^2$ , where, by accepting  $\ell_p \mapsto \ell_p^\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa_{11}^2 &= (2\pi)^8 \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_p^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^9, \\ \therefore \quad 2\hbar^{18} \kappa_{11}^2 \square^9 + (2\pi)^8 G^9 m_p^{27} &= 0, \\ \text{which yields,} \quad i\sqrt{2} \hbar^9 \kappa_{11} \gamma^\mu \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu}^{9/2} - (2\pi)^4 G^{9/2} m_p^{27/2} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

when  $\square = \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu$  and  $\gamma^\mu$  are Dirac's gamma matrices, whereas  $2\kappa_{10}^2 = (2\pi)^7 g^2 \alpha'^4$ . So, we obtain,

$$\left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_p^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^2 = g^{2/3} \alpha',$$

that,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \frac{1}{R} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_p^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3, \\ g &= R^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_p^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Hence, when the 11-dimensional radius is much smaller than the 11-dimensional length scale we effectively have a 10-dimensional theory, which is type IIA string theory. But this idea should be applicable for any dimensional radius  $R_\nu$  and any dimensional length scale since we have taken  $\ell_p \mapsto \ell_p^\mu$ ; thus, we can rewrite Equation (78) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_p^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3, \\ g &= \left( \Theta_\mu^{-1} R_\nu \right)^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_p^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $\mu = \nu$ , and if  $\mu \neq \nu$  then  $\alpha' = 0, g = 0$ , where  $\Theta_\mu$  is a coefficient for the various energy levels of  $\ell_p^\mu$ , for example,  $\Theta_{11} = 1$ . This suggests that the low energy limit of the strong coupling limit of IIA string theory (which is M-theory) must be the 11-dimensional supergravity for any dimensional radius  $R_\nu$  and any dimensional length scale. Let no fields depend on the 10<sup>th</sup> space direction  $(i\hbar)^{-1} d\mathfrak{x}^{10}$ , then splitting the metric and having the three form as,

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{11}^2 &= \exp(-2\phi/3) ds_{\text{IIA}}^2 + \exp(4\phi/3) (i\hbar)^{-1} \left( d\mathfrak{x}^{10} + C_\mu d\mathfrak{x}^\mu \right)^2, \\ A_{\mu\nu,10} &= B_{\mu\nu}, \\ A_{\mu\nu\rho} &= C_{\mu\nu\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

after that inserting these relations into the 11-dimensional supergravity action Equation (76), a straightforward calculation gives the type IIA supergravity action Equation (77), in the string frame. If no fields depend on the  $n^{\text{th}}$  space direction  $(i\hbar)^{-1} d\mathfrak{x}^n$ , then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{11}^2 &= \exp(-2\phi/3) ds_{\text{IIA}}^2 + \Theta_n \exp(4\phi/3) (i\hbar)^{-1} \left( d\mathfrak{x}^n + C_\mu d\mathfrak{x}^\mu \right)^2, \\ A_{\mu\nu,n} &= B_{\mu\nu}, \\ A_{\mu\nu\rho} &= C_{\mu\nu\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, type IIA supergravity can be obtainable from a dimensional reduction of 11-dimensional supergravity (M-theory), where the reduced dimension should be depended on the  $n^{\text{th}}$  space direction  $(i\hbar)^{-1}d\mathfrak{x}^n$ . So, obtaining type IIA string theory from M-theory by dimensional reduction is now non-restricted for the 11<sup>th</sup> direction but universally for any  $n^{\text{th}}$  direction. This will be more clear if we consider the relations between branes in type IIA string theory and M-theory as describe in the following tensions,

**M-brane:**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MW} &: \frac{1}{R_\nu}, \\ \text{M2} &: \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 [\vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-3}, \\ \text{M5} &: \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 [\vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-6}, \\ \text{KK6} &: \frac{(2\pi R_\nu)^2}{(2\pi)^8} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 [\vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-9},\end{aligned}$$

**Type IIA-brane:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{D0: } \quad \frac{1}{R_\nu} &= \left[ \left( \Theta_\mu^{-1} R_\nu \right)^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2} \right]^{-1} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3 \right]^{-1/2}, \\
 \text{F1: } \quad \frac{2\pi R_\nu}{(2\pi)^2} \Theta_\mu^{-3} &\left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3} \\
 &= \left[ (2\pi) \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3 \right]^{-1}, \\
 \text{D2: } \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left[ \left( \Theta_\mu^{-1} R_\nu \right)^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2} \right]^{-1} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3 \right]^{-3/2}, \\
 \text{D4: } \quad \frac{2\pi R_\nu}{(2\pi)^5} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-6} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \left( \Theta_\mu^{-1} R_\nu \right)^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2} \right]^{-1} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3 \right]^{-5/2}, \\
 \text{NS5: } \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-6} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \left[ \left( \Theta_\mu^{-1} R_\nu \right)^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2} \right]^{-2} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3 \right]^{-3}, \\
 \text{D6: } \quad \frac{(2\pi R_\nu)^2}{(2\pi)^8} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right) \right]^{-9} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \left[ \left( \Theta_\mu^{-1} R_\nu \right)^{3/2} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^{-3/2} \right]^{-1} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\Theta_\mu^3}{R_\nu} \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right)^3 \right]^{-7/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, type IIA and IIB string theory are T-dual when compactified on a small and large circle, respectively, then it must be possible to relate M-theory and type IIB string theory by the same

consideration as above. Thus, we obtain the following relations between M-theory and type IIB string theory parameters as,

$$\begin{aligned} g_B &= \frac{R_\nu}{R_{(\nu-1)}}, \\ R_B &= \frac{1}{R_{(\nu-1)} R_\nu} \left[ \Theta_\mu \left( -\hbar^{-2} G m_P^3 \left[ \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} \vec{\nabla}_P^\mu \right]^{-1} \right) \right]^3. \end{aligned}$$

We have skipped a very interesting thing in our above discussion that would be occurred if we construct flux compactifications of M-theory to three-dimensional  $\mathfrak{X}$  spacetime preserving  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry. Here, a scalar function depending on the coordinates of the internal dimensions  $\Delta(y)$  (called the warp factor) is included as the explicit form for the metric ansatz as,

$$ds^2 = \Delta(y)^{-1} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \Delta(y)^{1/2} g_{mn}(y) dy^m dy^n,$$

where,

$$x^\mu = \left( (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^0, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^1, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+i')} \right), \quad \text{for } i' = 1,$$

are the coordinates of the three-dimensional spacetime  $M_3$  and,

$$y^m = \left( (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+i'')}, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+(i+\ell))}, (i\hbar)^{-1} \mathfrak{x}^{(1+(i+\ell)+j)} \right), \quad \text{for } \begin{cases} i'' = 2, 3, \\ i = 1, 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

are the coordinates of the internal eight-manifold  $M$ .

Relating M-theory on a line interval and  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic string theory is quite obvious now and has been omitted here.

### 3. Dark Energy Scenarios

If we try to develop a Lagrangian for gravity, we can either choose Equation (47) or Equation (67). Though, gravity is feeblest in Electroweak or Quantum Chromodynamic interactions, but gravity is always related to their interacting particles, thus, we should like to go with Equation (47) for Electroweak or Quantum Chromodynamic interactions as  $[R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R] \rightarrow 1$  for Remark 4, whereas, we should choose Equation (67) for gravity. Since the last equation of Equation (68) is applicable simultaneously whether the matter is baryonic or non-baryonic, then we should like to choose Equation (71) to include Dark Energy in the Lagrangian along with gravitational and Electroweak or Quantum Chromodynamic sectors.

Here, we only touch upon the bare minima of Electroweak or Quantum Chromodynamic interactions in presence of gravity and Dark Energy. We have left a number of features for the interested readers to check them out with their own interests.

Following fundamental interactions are considered to take place between the kernel energy of the core, i.e.,  $E_{\text{PLANCK}}$ , and the outer surface energy of the core, i.e.,  $E_*$ , of the particles. Note it that, at this energy state  $E_{\text{PLANCK}} > E_*$ , gravitons are not true particles but mere energy states.

#### 3.1. Gravitational Electroweak Dark Energy Interactions

Let  $\hbar = c = 1$ . For baryonic matter, let us include gravity and Dark Energy in the Yang-Mills Lagrangian of the electroweak symmetry  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . We will consider  $U(1)_G$  as the symmetry associated with gravity group and it is unbroken, since it does not directly interact with the Higgs.

Let us consider Casimir energy is associated with the right-handed fermions, so by choosing the isospin quantum numbers of different Standard Model fermions and by considering the  $U(1)_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the symmetry associated with the Casimir hypercharge,  $Y_{\mathcal{C}} = -1$ , we can consider the Casimir



hypercharge field  $\chi$ . So, for the overall invariance  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_G \otimes U(1)_{\mathcal{C}}$ , we can assume the unbroken Gravitational Electroweak Dark Energy (GED) gauge group should be at least  $SU(3)_{GED}$ . Thus, mathematically, Casimir energy must be unified with the Dark Energy interactions minimally as a Yang-Mills field with an  $SU(2)_D \otimes U(1)_{\mathcal{C}}$  gauge group, where  $SU(2)_D$  is gauged Dark Energy isospin and the  $U(1)_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the symmetry associated with the Casimir hypercharge,  $Y_{\mathcal{C}}$ . So, the Gravitational Electroweak Dark Energy interactions (GED) can trigger the symmetry breaking,

$$\begin{aligned} SU(3)_{GED} &\rightarrow SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_G \otimes SU(2)_D \otimes U(1)_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &\rightarrow U(1)_{em} \otimes U(1)_G \otimes SU(2)_D \otimes U(1)_{\mathcal{C}}, \end{aligned}$$

which describes the formal operations that can be applied to the Electroweak, gravitational and Dark Energy gauge fields without changing the dynamics of the system. Let  $SU(2)_D \otimes U(1)_{\mathcal{C}}$  fields are the Dark Energy isospin fields  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$ , and  $Y_3$ , and the Dark Energy hypercharge field  $\chi$ . We need to remember here that fermionic isospin states in reactions/decays governed by the Dark Energy interaction are conserved, i.e., the transition from  $|00\rangle$  to  $|10\rangle$  is not allowed in Dark Energy interaction, which is quite familiar with Electromagnetic sector of Electroweak interaction, whereas, no conservation of isospin is occurred in GED. Note here, the structures of these fermionic isospin states of the model are considered to satisfy Equation (45) of Remark 4, i.e., Dark Energy interaction must take place at the kernel of the fermionic isospin states of the model. We should remember that bosons do not give Dark Energy by nature. On the other hand, all bosons gravitate (e.g., opposite moving photons gravitate).

Let the Yang-Mills Lagrangian of the Gravitational Electroweak Dark Energy (GED) interaction is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{GED} = & \bar{\ell} i \gamma^\mu D_\mu \ell + \bar{e}_R i \gamma^\mu D_\mu e_R + g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{f} i \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_{\mu(G)} f + \\ & + \bar{\ell} i \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)}^L \ell + \bar{e}_R i \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)}^R e_R] - \\ & - \frac{1}{4} [W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} + Y_{\mu\nu} Y^{\mu\nu} + \chi_{\mu\nu} \chi^{\mu\nu}], \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where,  $f$  indicates all kind of fermions. By using Equation (47) for Electroweak interaction,

Equation (67) for gravity and Equation (71) for Dark Energy interaction, here, covariant derivatives and field tensors are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\mu \ell &= \left\{ \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_W \mathbf{W}_\mu \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} + i g_B \left( \frac{Y_B}{2} \right) B_\mu \right\} \ell \\
 &= \left\{ \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_W \mathbf{W}_\mu \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} + i g_B \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) B_\mu \right\} \ell \\
 D_\mu e_R &= \left\{ \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_B \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) B_\mu \right\} e_R, \\
 \mathcal{D}_{\mu(G)} f &= \left\{ \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_G \left( \frac{Y_G}{2} \right) G_\mu \right\} f = \left\{ \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_G \left( +\frac{2}{2} \right) G_\mu \right\} f, \\
 \mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)}^L \ell &= \left\{ \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_D \mathbf{Y}_\mu \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2} + i g_{\mathcal{C}} \left( \frac{Y_{\mathcal{C}}}{2} \right) \chi_\mu \right\} \ell \\
 &= \left\{ \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_D \mathbf{Y}_\mu \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}{2} + i g_{\mathcal{C}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \chi_\mu \right\} \ell, \\
 \mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)}^R e_R &= \left\{ \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_{\mathcal{C}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \chi_\mu \right\} e_R, \\
 W_{\mu\nu}^i &= \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu W_\nu^i - \vec{\nabla}_\nu W_\mu^i \right) + g_W \epsilon^{jik} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k, \\
 B_{\mu\nu} &= \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu B_\nu - \vec{\nabla}_\nu B_\mu \right), \\
 \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} &= \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu G_\nu - \vec{\nabla}_\nu G_\mu \right), \\
 Y_{\mu\nu}^i &= \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu Y_\nu^i - \vec{\nabla}_\nu Y_\mu^i \right) + g_D \xi^{jik} Y_\mu^j Y_\nu^k, \\
 \chi_{\mu\nu} &= \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu \chi_\nu - \vec{\nabla}_\nu \chi_\mu \right), \tag{80}
 \end{aligned}$$

here, the components  $Y_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $g_{\mathcal{C}}$  are belonged to Casimir fields, where  $Y_B = -1$  and  $Y_{\mathcal{C}} = -1$  are the diagonal matrices with the hypercharges for electromagnetic and Casimir fields, respectively, while  $Y_G = 2$  is an operator for gravity field, in their diagonal entries, and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . In Equation (79), fermions and vector fields all are massless.

It is necessary to be mentioned that  $[R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R] \rightarrow 1$  for Remark 4 in the Gravitational Electroweak Dark Energy interactions (and it is also true for the Gravitational Chromodynamic Dark Energy interactions, see Subsection 3.2 below). Remember that gravitons are only observable as real particles in the energy zone  $E_{\text{IR}} \leq E_\star$  and in  $E_{\text{UV}} > E_\star$  level, all gravitons appear as non-particle energy states. Only in the energy zone  $E_{\text{IR}} \leq E_\star$ , gravitons behave as real particles.

Now, to introduce spontaneous symmetry-breaking and to generate masses for the gauge bosons by the choice of Higgs vacuum, let us consider a complex scalar field with a quartic interaction, where the Lagrangian has the form,  $\mathcal{L} = \vec{\nabla}_\mu \phi^\dagger \vec{\nabla}^\mu \phi - \vartheta^2 \phi^\dagger \phi - \lambda (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2$ , from which we find the equation of motion as,  $\{\square + \vartheta^2\} \phi + 2\lambda \phi (\phi \phi^\dagger) = 0$ . So, we have the non-vanishing one,  $\Xi = \{(-\vartheta^2)/(2\lambda)\}^{1/2} = \{v^2/2\}^{1/2}$ .

Let us introduce the matrices in such a way,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} M \\ N \\ O \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \\ x \end{bmatrix} = v \begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \\ g \end{bmatrix} + w \begin{bmatrix} b \\ e \\ h \end{bmatrix} + x \begin{bmatrix} c \\ f \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} av + bw + cx \\ dv + ew + fx \\ gv + hw + ix \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} P \\ Q \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} c & f & i \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \\ x \end{bmatrix} = y \begin{bmatrix} c \\ g \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} f \\ h \end{bmatrix} + x \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cy + fz + ix \\ gy + hz + ix \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Let the Lagrangian with gauge-boson masses is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_m &= \frac{v^2 g_W^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{v^2 g_D^2}{4} Y_\mu^a Y_\mu^b + \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} W_\mu^3 & B_\mu & G_\mu & Y_\mu^3 & \chi^\mu \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} W_\mu^3 \\ B_\mu \\ G_\mu \\ Y_\mu^3 \\ \chi^\mu \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have written the masses for the charged  $W_\mu^\pm$  and  $Y_\mu^{a,b}$  fields defined as  $W_\mu^\pm = (W_\mu^1 \mp i W_\mu^2) / \sqrt{2}$  and  $Y_\mu^{a,b} = (Y_\mu^1 \mp i Y_\mu^2) / \sqrt{2}$ , respectively, and where,

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{v^2}{8} \begin{pmatrix} g_W^2 & -g_W g_B & g_W g_D \\ -g_W g_B & g_B^2 & -g_B g_{\mathcal{C}} \\ g_W g_D & -g_B g_{\mathcal{C}} & 4g_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (82)$$

having  $\det(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ , hence allowing a massless photon and a massless graviton. Now, omitting  $W_\mu^\pm$  as they are quite obvious, we choose only  $Y_\mu^{a,b}$ , whose masses can be seen from  $\mathcal{L}_m$  are given as,

$$M_{Y^{a,b}} = \frac{v}{2} (g_W g_D)^{1/2}.$$

The neutral gauge bosons mix after symmetry-breaking and the mass eigenstates are the neutral weak boson  $Z_\mu$ , photon  $A_\mu$ , Dark Energy boson  $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$ , the Casimir energy  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  and graviton  $\mathcal{G}_\mu$  – first four of which are given in terms of  $W_\mu^3$ ,  $B_\mu$ ,  $Y_\mu^3$  and  $\chi_\mu$  as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_\mu \\ A_\mu \\ \mathcal{G}_\mu \\ \mathfrak{D}_\mu \\ \mathcal{C}_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_W & -\sin \theta_W & \sin \theta_D \\ \sin \theta_W & \cos \theta_W & -\sin \theta_D \\ \sin \theta_D & \sin \theta_D & 4 \cos \theta_{W,D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_\mu^3 \\ B_\mu \\ G_\mu \\ Y_\mu^3 \\ \chi^\mu \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., alike Equation (81),

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\mu &= \cos \theta_W W_\mu^3 - \sin \theta_W B_\mu + \sin \theta_D G_\mu, \\ A_\mu &= \sin \theta_W W_\mu^3 + \cos \theta_W B_\mu - \sin \theta_D G_\mu, \\ \mathcal{G}_\mu &= \sin \theta_D W_\mu^3 + \sin \theta_D B_\mu + 4 \cos \theta_W G_\mu, \\ \mathfrak{D}_\mu &= \sin \theta_D Y_\mu^3 - \sin \theta_D \chi^\mu + 4 \cos \theta_D G_\mu, \\ \mathcal{C}_\mu &= \sin \theta_D Y_\mu^3 + \sin \theta_D \chi^\mu + 4 \cos \theta_D G_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

with the Electroweak mixing angle  $\theta_W$  and the Dark Energy mixing angle  $\theta_D$ . Let us check their values. The condition that the fields  $A_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  should be the eigenvector of Equation (82) with zero eigenvalue is written as,

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_W^2 & -g_W g_B & g_W g_D \\ -g_W g_B & g_B^2 & -g_B g_\mathcal{C} \\ g_W g_D & -g_B g_\mathcal{C} & 4g_G^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_W^2 \sin \theta - g_W g_B \cos \theta + g_W g_D \\ -g_W g_B \sin \theta + g_B^2 \cos \theta - g_B g_\mathcal{C} \\ g_W g_D \sin \theta - g_B g_\mathcal{C} \cos \theta + 4g_G^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the vanishing of its RHS requires,

$$\tan \theta = \frac{g_B}{g_W} - \frac{2g_G}{g_W \cos \theta}, \quad (84)$$

where,  $\tan \theta_W = \frac{g_B}{g_W}$ , then,  $\theta_W = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{g_B}{g_W} \right)$ . Let us consider  $\tan \theta$  of Equation (84) as,  $\tan \theta \equiv \tan \theta_D$ , then  $\theta_D = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{g_B}{g_W} - \frac{2g_G}{g_W \cos \theta_D} \right)$  and the Electroweak masses are found to be  $M_Z = (v/2) (g_W^2 + g_B^2)^{1/2}$ , while  $M_\gamma = 0$ , similarly, the Dark Energy masses are found to be  $M_\mathcal{D} = (v/2) (g_W^2 + g_B^2 + 4g_G^2)^{1/2}$ , while  $M_\mathcal{C} = 0$ . This gives

$$\frac{M_Y}{M_\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(g_W g_D)^{1/2}}{(g_W^2 + g_B^2 + 4g_G^2)^{1/2}} = \cos \theta_D.$$

Now, if we write down the charged-current and neutral-current interactions in GED theory, we can see the condition for which the field  $A_\mu$  couples to the electron via the electromagnetic current is  $g_W \sin \theta_W = g_B \cos \theta_W = e$  in Electroweak symmetry breaking, whereas, the condition for which the field  $\mathcal{C}_\mu$  couples to the fermion via the Casimir current is  $(g_W g_D)^{1/2} \sin \theta_D = g_\mathcal{C} \cos \theta_D = \chi$  in Dark Energy symmetry breaking.

By the way, the last two terms of Equation (83) make it clear that Dark energy field is non-decaying.

### 3.2. Gravitational Chromodynamic Dark Energy Interactions

In the gauge theory of Gravitational Chromodynamic Dark Energy interactions (GCD), let the gauge symmetry is,  $SU(4)_{GCD} \rightarrow SU(3)_C \otimes U(1)_G \otimes SU(2)_{D'} \otimes SU(2)_{D''} \otimes U(1)_\mathcal{C}$ , i.e., the  $SU(3)_C$  symmetry of the colour degree of freedom is now with gravitational and Dark Energy symmetry parts, much like what we have already discussed in GED. But apart from Casimir gauge group  $U(1)_\mathcal{C}$ , the non-abelian gauge groups of Dark Energy symmetry, i.e.,  $SU(2)_{D'} \otimes SU(2)_{D''}$ , are quite different than the previously discussed non-abelian Dark Energy gauge group  $SU(2)_D$  of GED (we will discuss below for details).

Using Equations (47), (67) and (71), let the Gravitational Chromodynamic Dark Energy (GCD) Lagrangian, which describes quarks and gluons in interactions in the presence of gravity and the Dark Energy fields for baryonic matter, is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{GCD} = & \sum_q \bar{\psi}_j^{(q)} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu (D_\mu)_{jk} - (\mathcal{U}^{1/2} \mathcal{P})^{(q)} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q)} + \\
 & + \sum_q \bar{\psi}_j^{(q)} i \gamma^\mu \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_\mu(G))_{jk} \psi_k^{(q)} + \\
 & + \sum_q \bar{\psi}_j^{(q)} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_\mu(D))_{jk} - m_{P0}^{(q)} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q)} + \\
 & + \sum_{q_R} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q_R)} i \gamma^\mu \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_\mu^R(D))_{jk} \psi_k^{(q_R)} - \\
 & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_a G_{\mu\nu}^a (G^a)^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} - \\
 & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_q Y_{\mu\nu}^q (Y^q)^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \chi_{\mu\nu} \chi^{\mu\nu}, \tag{85}
 \end{aligned}$$

where,  $q = u, d, s, \dots$  is the flavor label,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  is the quark color index,  $a = 1, 2, \dots, 8$  is the gluon color index, where,  $q_R$  indicates right-handed quarks and

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\mu &= \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_s A_\mu \cdot \frac{\lambda}{2} \\
 \mathcal{D}_\mu(G) &= \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_G \left( \frac{Y_G}{2} \right) G_\mu = \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_G \left( + \frac{2}{2} \right) G_\mu, \\
 \mathcal{D}_\mu(D) &= \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_D \mathbf{Y}_\mu \cdot \frac{\kappa_D}{2} + i g_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \frac{Y_{\mathcal{E}}}{2} \right) \chi_\mu \\
 &= \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_D \mathbf{Y}_\mu \cdot \frac{\kappa_D}{2} + i g_{\mathcal{E}} \left( - \frac{1}{2} \right) \chi_\mu, \\
 \mathcal{D}_\mu^R(D) &= \vec{\nabla}_\mu + i g_{\mathcal{E}} \left( - \frac{1}{2} \right) \chi_\mu, \\
 G_{\mu\nu}^a &= \left[ R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R \right]^{1/2} \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu A_\nu^a - \vec{\nabla}_\nu A_\mu^a \right) + g_s f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c, \\
 \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} &= \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu G_\nu - \vec{\nabla}_\nu G_\mu \right), \\
 Y_{\mu\nu}^q &= \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu Y_\nu^q - \vec{\nabla}_\nu Y_\mu^q \right) + g_D \mathcal{E}^{qrs} Y_\mu^r Y_\nu^s, \\
 \chi_{\mu\nu} &= \left( \vec{\nabla}_\mu \chi_\nu - \vec{\nabla}_\nu \chi_\mu \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa_D \neq \kappa$ ,  $A_\mu^a$  is the field of gluon and,  $g_s$  is the coupling constant,  $\lambda$  the Gell-Mann matrices in the space of colour whereas  $f^{abc}$  is the  $SU(3)_C$  structure constant, here,  $Y_\mu^q$  is the Dark Energy fields and  $q = 1, 2, \dots, 6$  for six quark flavours. These Dark Energy fields are completely different from GED Dark Energy fields unlike  $\chi_\mu$  field, and they give two different kind of symmetry groups for two different families of quarks, namely, for light quarks u, d and s, we have Dark Energy gauge group  $SU(2)_{D'}$ , whereas, for heavy quarks c, t and b, we have Dark Energy gauge group  $SU(2)_{D''}$ . With abelian gauge group  $U(1)_{\mathcal{E}}$ , they give the overall Dark Energy symmetry as  $SU(2)_{D'} \otimes SU(2)_{D''} \otimes U(1)_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Remark 4 confirms here that  $[R_{\zeta\eta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\zeta\eta} R] \rightarrow 1$ . Note that  $m_{P0}$  scale for Dark Energy is intending here the kernel energy state of the core (i.e., the spacetime  $x^\mu$ ) what we have already cited above in Remark 4. In Equation (85), Dark Energy fields are massless and they require Higgs mechanism to gain masses.

#### 4. Dark Matter Scenario

If a matter satisfies Equations (21) and (22) so as it yields the internal hidden spacetime of  $\rho$  which has ‘proper’ spacetime arrangement for its  $\partial$ -dependency, whereas, the overall (observable) system’s spacetime arrangement is quite ‘improper’ as it is  $\hat{\partial}$ -dependent, then this kind of matter must be Dark Matter.

Let us consider a renormalizable Lagrangian,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{(\text{GED}+\text{GCD})} + \mathcal{L}_{q_i} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_{(\text{GED}+\text{GCD})}$  is for baryonic GED and GCD interactions,  $\mathcal{L}_{q_i}$  is the Lagrangian for Dark Matter particles  $q_i$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}}$  contains possible non-gravitational interactions coupling between baryonic matters and  $q_i$  particles. Let these  $q_i$  particles are stable and behave as almost collisionless matter of Dark light quarks and/or antiquarks because of Equations (21) and (22). This additional set of  $q_i$  particles must be associated with a Dark Matter gauge group,  $\text{SU}(3)'_C$ , denoted with a prime ( ' ). Candidates for  $\text{SU}(3)'_C$  gauge group are almost non-luminous and non-absorbing matter, otherwise if they interact/decay with any of the baryonic particles, these non-baryonic particles would ensue the Universe very unstable with unbelievably high acceleration rate. Thus,

1. The non-baryonic Dark Matter sector, which corresponds to the gauge group  $\text{SU}(3)'_C$ , is *not* an exact copy of the Standard Model baryonic sector  $\text{SU}(3)_C$ . Though, in both cases, the symmetry interchanges the common type of gauge boson(s) and can be a full invariance of the two (almost) phenomenologically equivalent theories, although they are originated from fundamentally different physics maybe at very different cosmological epochs.
2. The particles for  $\text{SU}(3)'_C$  gauge group would have only felt the gravitational attraction of other baryonic/non-baryonic objects.

So, the Dark sector particles are solely graviton, neutral Dark weak boson, darkgluons and darkquarks only, viz.  $(\mathcal{G}, Z'^0, g', u', d', \dots)$ , but not leptonic  $(e', \gamma', W'^{\pm}, \dots)$ , even no Casimir  $\mathcal{C}'$  particle, and neither any charged Higgs fields. Colored and/or electrically charged particles are prevented from mixing with their Dark analogues by color and electric charge conservation laws, though, the physical gluons may couple to antidarkquarks with extremely weak gluonic strength (to be discussed shortly, vide Equation (87) and its following discussion).

Let the above Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  respects an exact parity symmetry, which we also refer to as mirror symmetry [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{x}, t) &\rightarrow (-\vec{x}, t), & g^\mu &\leftrightarrow g'_\mu, & \Phi_H &\leftrightarrow \Phi'_H, \\ q_{\mathbb{K}L} &\leftrightarrow \gamma_0 q'_{\mathbb{K}R}, & u_{\mathbb{K}R} &\leftrightarrow \gamma_0 u'_{\mathbb{K}L}, & d_{\mathbb{K}R} &\leftrightarrow \gamma_0 d'_{\mathbb{K}L}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_\mu$  are the  $\text{SU}(3)_C$  gluons,  $\Phi_H$  is the Standard Model neutral Higgs doublet with its Dark partner  $\Phi'_H$ , the fermion fields are quarks  $q_{\mathbb{K}L} \equiv (u_{\mathbb{K}}, d_{\mathbb{K}})_L$ ,  $u_{\mathbb{K}R}$ , and  $d_{\mathbb{K}R}$  whereas darkquarks  $q'_{\mathbb{K}R} \equiv (u'_{\mathbb{K}}, d'_{\mathbb{K}})_R$ ,  $u'_{\mathbb{K}L}$ , and  $d'_{\mathbb{K}L}$  represent the (anti-) darkquark families, while  $\mathbb{K} = 1, 2, 3$  is the generation index, and  $\gamma_0$  is a Dirac gamma matrix.

Suppose,  $\mathcal{L}_{q_i}$  is the combination of Dark Matter GED and GCD interactions, and suppose, these fundamental interactions are considered to take place between kernel of the core energy and outer

surface energy of a Dark particle, i.e., at  $E_{\text{PLANCK}} > E_*$ , where gravitons are not particles but mere energy states. Thus, applying Equation (66) into Equations (79) and (85), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}_i} = & \sum_{q'_L} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q'_L)} i \gamma^\mu (D'_\mu)^W_{jk} \psi_k^{(q'_L)} + \sum_{q'_R} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q'_R)} i \gamma^\mu (D'_\mu)^W_{jk} \psi_k^{(q'_R)} + \\ & + \sum_{q'} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q')} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu (D'_\mu)_{jk} + m_P^{(q')} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q')} + \\ & + \sum_{q'} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q')} i \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_{\mu(G)})_{jk} \psi_k^{(q')} + \\ & + \sum_{q'_L} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q'_L)} i \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)}^L)_{jk} \psi_k^{(q'_L)} + \sum_{q'_R} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q'_R)} i \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)}^R)_{jk} \psi_k^{(q'_R)} + \\ & + \sum_{q'} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q')} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu g_{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{D}_{\mu(D)})_{jk} - m_{P0}^{(q')} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q')} - \\ & - \frac{1}{4} W'_{\mu\nu} (W')^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_a G'^a_{\mu\nu} (G'^a)^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} - \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i Y'^i_{\mu\nu} (Y'^i)^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{q'} Y^{q'}_{\mu\nu} (Y^{q'})^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where Dark Matter weak and Dark Matter chromodynamic covariant derivatives are,

$$\begin{aligned} (D'_\mu)^W &= (8\pi)^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} + i g'_W \mathbf{W}'_\mu \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}'}{2}, \\ D'_\mu &= (8\pi)^{1/2} \vec{\nabla}_{P\mu} + i g'_S \mathcal{A}'_\mu \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

when other covariant derivatives are as analogous as before, but they do not contain either photon or Casimir energy field. In Equation (86), both Dark Matter weak fields and Dark Energy fields are massless and they require (neutral) Higgs mechanism to gain masses. Here we also presume that Dark Energy gauge groups  $SU(2)_D$  and  $SU(2)_{D'} \otimes SU(2)_{D''}$  are analogous to the GED and GCD Dark Energy gauge groups.

So, due to Equation (86), the Dark Matter gauge symmetry is,

$$SU(5)_{DM} \longrightarrow SU(3)_{C'} \otimes SU(2^*)_L \otimes U(1)_G \otimes SU(2)_D \otimes SU(2)_{D'} \otimes SU(2)_{D''},$$

here  $SU(2^*)_L$  gives only  $Z'^0$  boson but no other (charged) weak vector bosons. As a policy of desperation, we can consider that one of the Dark Matter particles is definitely *axion*.

Considering that both of the ordinary (i.e., baryonic) and Dark particles are too close to interact in spacetime no matter what their cosmological epochs are, then for the physical effects of gluon-darkgluon kinetic mixing, let us take account of  $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_C'$  quantum chromodynamics for the quark  $\psi^{(q)}$  and gluon field  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ , antidarkquark  $\psi^{(q')}$  and antigluon field  $\mathcal{A}'_\mu$  as follows by



using Equations (85) and (86), when gravitational and Dark Energy interactions are quite obvious and unaltered, so as omitted here, then we have the Lagrangian as,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \sum_q \bar{\psi}_j^{(q)} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu (D_\mu)_{jk} - (\mathcal{U}^{1/2} \mathcal{P})^{(q)} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q)} + \\ & + \sum_{q'} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q')} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu (D'_\mu)_{jk} + m_P^{(q')} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q')} - \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_a G_{\mu\nu}^a (G^a)^{\mu\nu} - 2\pi \sum_a G_{\mu\nu}'^a (G'^a)^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2(8\pi)^{1/2}} \sum_a G_{\mu\nu}^a (G'^a)^{\mu\nu} - \\ & - \frac{g_s^2 \Theta_s}{64 \pi^2} f^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \sum_a (G^a)^{\mu\nu} (G^a)^{\lambda\rho} - \frac{g_s'^2 \Theta_s}{8 \pi} f^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \sum_a (G'^a)^{\mu\nu} (G'^a)^{\lambda\rho} - \\ & - \frac{\epsilon (g_s^2 g_s'^2)^{1/2} \Theta_s}{32 \pi^2 (8\pi)^{1/2}} f^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \sum_a (G^a)^{\mu\nu} (G'^a)^{\lambda\rho},\end{aligned}$$

involving a sufficiently small dimensionless parameter  $\epsilon$ , and there is no symmetry reason for suppressing this term, with the minimal particle content [16]. Here  $\mathcal{A}_\mu^C \equiv A_\mu^C$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\mu'^C \equiv \frac{1}{2} A_\mu'^C$ . Note here, that the  $SU(3)_C$ - $SU(3)'_C$  kinetic mixing term is gauge invariant. The kinetic mixing can be removed with a non-orthogonal transformation [17],

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\mu \equiv \mathcal{A}_\mu + \epsilon \mathcal{A}_\mu', \quad \mathcal{A}_\mu' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\mu' \equiv \mathcal{A}_\mu' \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}.$$

We can transform to a basis where only one of these states couples to gluons. By the orthogonal state we call the *sterile gluon*  $\mathcal{A}_2^\mu$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_1^\mu = \mathcal{A}^\mu \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2^\mu = \mathcal{A}'^\mu + \epsilon \mathcal{A}^\mu,$$

the Lagrangian for an ordinary matter environment shows (to leading order in  $\epsilon$ ) that the physical gluon couples to antidarkquarks with gluonic strength  $g_s \epsilon$ , which is extremely weak for the sufficiently small dimensionless parameter  $\epsilon$ , while  $g_s'$  doesn't couple to ordinary matter at all. Similarly, considering the *sterile darkgluon*  $\mathcal{A}_1'^\mu$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_1'^\mu = \mathcal{A}^\mu + \epsilon \mathcal{A}'^\mu, \quad \mathcal{A}_2'^\mu = \mathcal{A}'^\mu \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2},$$

the Lagrangian for a dark matter environment becomes (to leading order in  $\epsilon$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \sum_q \bar{\psi}_j^{(q)} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu (D_\mu)_{jk} - (\mathcal{U}^{1/2} \mathcal{P})^{(q)} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q)} + \\ & + \sum_{q'} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q')} \left\{ i \gamma^\mu (D'_\mu)_{jk} + m_P^{(q')} \delta_{jk} \right\} \psi_k^{(q')} + \\ & + \sum_q \bar{\psi}_j^{(q)} g_s \gamma^\mu \lambda_{jk}^C \left( \mathcal{A}_1'^{C\mu} - \epsilon \mathcal{A}_2'^{C\mu} \right) \psi_k^{(q)} + \\ & + \sum_{q'} \bar{\psi}_j^{(q')} g_s' \gamma^\mu \lambda_{jk}'^C \mathcal{A}_2'^{C\mu} \psi_k^{(q')},\end{aligned}\tag{87}$$

in terms of the ordinary matter physical states,

$$\mathcal{A}_2'^\mu = \left( \mathcal{A}_2^\mu - \epsilon \mathcal{A}_1^\mu \right),$$

(to leading order in  $\epsilon$ ) and suppressing the  $G_{\mu\nu}^a (G^a)^{\mu\nu}$  and  $G_{\mu\nu}'^a (G'^a)^{\mu\nu}$  related components in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Evidently, an antidarkquark would emit the state  $\mathcal{A}_2'^\mu$ , thus, the flux of  $g_s'$  detectable in an ordinary

matter detector is reduced by a factor  $\epsilon^2$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, this makes such emission should not be detected in the present state collider experiments.

**Remark 6.** *So, the above inspection implies that, antiquark-quark  $\rightarrow$  antidarkquark-darkquark or quark-quark (or quark-antiquark)  $\rightarrow$  darkquark-antidarkquark annihilation channels may occur within the nucleons and gives us the effects something like Refs. [18–20], but antidarkquark-darkquark  $\rightarrow$  quark-antiquark or darkquark-antidarkquark  $\rightarrow$  quark-antiquark annihilation channels should never take place on the inside of a nucleon (baryonic or dark), and neither any decay  $q'_1 \rightarrow q'_2 + (f \bar{f})$  through intermediated spin-1 gauge bosons, where  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  stand for light Standard Model particles (assuming the conservation of a dark-parity) unless  $(f \bar{f})$  are leptons.*

Remark 6 is sufficient to explain the effectively more collisionless bow shock phenomena of the mass component of the merging galaxy clusters like as the 1E 0657-56 cluster [21], commonly known as the Bullet Cluster, and the similar collisionless behavior that has been observed in other merging galaxy clusters, for example, two high-redshift clusters, CL 0152-1357 [22] and MS 1054-0321 [23], and several other merging clusters, viz. A754, A1750, A1914, A2034, A2142 [24], A2744 [25], A2163 [26], MACS J0025.4-1222 [27], and A1758 [24,28], etc.

## 5. Conclusions and Discussion

In this work, we have quantized the classical theory of General Relativity and contributing a very natural geometric way, we have wrote a fundamental theory of quantum gravity coupled to matter.

Present physics is unable to provide us a more acceptable scenario of Einstein field equation which is developed in a quantum spacetime. Moreover, it is commonly believed in contemporary physics that gravity is the bending of spacetime, but in GQG, Einstein field equations Equation (44) and Equation (61), and then GED and GCD interactions, assure us that, gravity is the bending of spacetime intermediated by gravitons in its quantum gravity field, whose geometric part bends spacetime, whereas its quantum part interacts with the spacetime by exchanging gravitons.

Three different aspects of quantum gravity in Subsections 2.1–2.3, respectively, are developed in different spacetimes, viz. one Einstein field equation Equation (44) is developed in a semi-quantum Minkowski spacetime, while, another Einstein field equation Equation (61) is developed in a purely quantum Minkowski spacetime, whereas the last one is developed in a quantum Non-Minkowski spacetime. This is a remarkable achievement of GQG.

Contrary to Einsteinian's General Relativity, we can say that LHS of Equation (44) or Equation (61) is strongly depended upon different matter fields that exchange different types of vector bosons causing either positive pressure or negative pressure by bending spacetime.

Except this work, there has no evidence of simple bosonic and fermionic fields (i.e., neither supersymmetric nor stringy) that provides us Dark Energy and Dark Matter. GQG fields give us a gauge picture of Dark Matter through Equation (66), which assists us in Section 4, where Dark Matter appears quite naturally in the same GQG fields for Dark Energy.

From GED, GCD and Dark Matter scenarios, we have a Universal Model as  $SU(3)_{GED} \otimes SU(4)_{GCD} \otimes SU(5)_{DM} \subset SU(7)_{UM}$ , where it is clear that Dark energy field is homogeneous, as well as non-decaying, in all kind of matter fields. So, it is also clear that whether the matter is baryonic or Dark, or their mixture, the effective universal relativistic cosmological constant  $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}$  at the surrounding is positive – that is why the Universe is expanding and accelerating, even at the present epoch. Dark Energy particles, that the fundamental interactions possessed in abundance due to the Universal Model  $SU(3)_{GED} \otimes SU(4)_{GCD} \otimes SU(5)_{DM} \subset SU(7)_{UM}$ , give an excellent fit to observations with the present day  $\sim 68.3\%$  content of the Dark Energy (see the text immediate after Equation (66) for baryonic and Dark Matter abundance), i.e., it is providing us the solution of the 'coincidence problem': *Why is the energy density of matter nearly equal to the Dark Energy density today?* So, from GQG, we have a suitable solution that why Dark Energy has become dominant after galaxy formation.

The overall scenarios of unification of gravity, Dark Energy, Dark Matter with fundamental interactions of particles or fields in GQG have left behind sufficient amount of calculations in Section 3 and Section 4 that give us a prospective opportunity for their future uses and they ensure us that graviton, Dark Energy and Dark Matter now become possible to be testable (directly or indirectly) at laboratory scales (i.e., in a standard particle collider) without regarding Planck scales.

In our present work, we have not chosen Superstring/M-theory, but it has come up quite naturally in GQG, whereas we have intentionally omitted the formalism of Loop Quantum Gravity since its construction looks quite artificial in comparison to Superstring/M-theory in GQG, though we can easily develop an effective formalism of Loop Quantum Gravity with the help of Subsection 2.3.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Arun, K.; Sivaram, C.; Prasad, A. *Phys. Sci. Forum* **7**, 5 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ECU2023-14018>
2. Frieman, J.; Lahav, O. in *The Dark Energy Survey : The story of a cosmological experiment*, ed. by O. Lahav et al. (World Scientific, Singapore, 2021), p. 5.
3. Greiner, W. *Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2001, p. 217.
4. Nagata, J. *Modern Dimension Theory* (P. Noordhoff N.V., Groningen, 1965), Chapter II and Chapter III.
5. Lambiase, G.; Papini, G. *The Interaction of Spin with Gravity in Particle Physics: Low Energy Quantum Gravity* (Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2021), p.7.
6. Choquet-Bruhat, Y., *General Relativity and Einstein's Equations* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009), p. 12.
7. Hartle, J.B. *Gravity: An Introduction to Einstein's General Relativity* (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2003), p. 171.
8. Reddy, D.R.; Aditya, Y. *Introduction to General Relativity, Cosmology and Alternative Theories of Gravitation* (Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Newcastle, 2022), p. 41.
9. Carlip, S. *General Relativity: A Concise Introduction* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2019), p. 5.
10. Burgess, C.P. in *Foundations of Space and Time: Reflections on Quantum Gravity*, ed. by J. Murugan, A. Weltman and G. F. R. Ellis (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012), p. 52 and p. 59.
11. Raychaudhuri, S.; Sridhar, K. *Particle Physics of Brane Worlds and Extra Dimensions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016), p. 101.
12. Lombriser, L. *Phys. Lett. B* **797**, 134804 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134804>.
13. Chow, B.; Lu, P.; Ni, L. *Hamilton's Ricci Flow* (American Mathematical Society and Science Press, Rhode Island, 2010), pp. 2-6.
14. Larsson, H. *Aspects of M-theory: Supergravity, duality and noncommutativity*, Thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Department of Theoretical Physics, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University (Göteborg 2004).
15. Foot, R. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13**, 2161 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271804006449>.
16. Berezhiani, Z. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19** 3775 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X04020075>.
17. Foot, R. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29**, 1430013 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14300130>.
18. Aubert, B.; et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 091802 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.091802>.
19. Ablikim, M.; et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 202002 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.202002>.
20. Tajima, O.; et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 132001 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.132001>.
21. Clowe, D.; et al. *Astrophys. J.* **648** L109 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1086/508162>.
22. Jee, M.J.; et al. *Astrophys. J.* **618** 46 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1086/425912>.
23. Jee, M.J.; et al. *Astrophys. J.* **634** 813 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1086/497001>.
24. Okabe, N.; Umetsu, K. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **60** 345 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/60.2.345>.
25. Merten, J.; et al. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **417** 333 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19266.x>.
26. Soucail, G. *Astron. Astrophys.* **540** A61 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118283>.
27. M. Bradač; et al., *Astrophys. J.* **687** 959 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1086/591246>.
28. Ragozzine, B.; et al. *Astrophys. J.* **744** 94 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/94>.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.