

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# *In vitro* Embryogenesis and Gastrulation using Stem Cells

---

Seung Yeon Oh <sup>†</sup>, Seung Bin Na <sup>†</sup>, Yoo Kyung Kang <sup>†</sup>, [Jeong Tae Do](#) <sup>\*</sup>

Posted Date: 3 August 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202308.0294.v1

Keywords: Synthetic embryo; embryogenesis; gastrulation; Stem cells



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

# *In Vitro* Embryogenesis and Gastrulation Using Stem Cells

Seung yeon Oh <sup>†</sup>, Seung bin Na <sup>†</sup>, Yoo kyung Kang <sup>†</sup> and Jeong Tae Do <sup>\*</sup>

Department of Stem cell regenerative biotechnology, Konkuk institute of technology, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Republic of Korea

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: dojt@konkuk.ac.kr; Tel: 82-2-450-3673; Fax: 82-2-455-1044

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** During early embryonic development, fertilized one-cell embryos develop into preimplantation blastocysts and subsequently establish three germ layers through gastrulation during post-implantation development. In recent years, stem cells have emerged as a powerful tool to study embryogenesis and gastrulation without the need for eggs, allowing the generation of embryo-like structures known as synthetic embryos or embryoids. These *in vitro* models closely resemble early embryos in terms of morphology and gene expression and provide a faithful recapitulation of early pre- and post-implantation embryonic development. Synthetic embryos can be generated through a combinatorial culture of three blastocyst-derived stem cell types, such as embryonic stem cells, trophoblast stem cells, and extraembryonic endoderm cells, or totipotent-like stem cells alone. This review provides an overview of the progress and various approaches in studying *in vitro* embryogenesis and gastrulation using stem cells. Furthermore, recent findings and breakthroughs in synthetic embryos and gastruloids are outlined. Despite ethical considerations, synthetic embryo models hold promise for understanding mammalian (including human) embryonic development and have potential implications for regenerative medicine and developmental research.

**Keywords:** synthetic embryo; embryogenesis; gastrulation; stem cells

## 1. Introduction

Early embryonic development is the initial step toward the formation of the entire organism. Fertilized embryos develop to the preimplantation blastocyst stage, composed of epiblast (EPI), primitive endoderm (PrE), and trophoblast (TE)[1]. Following implantation, blastocysts undergo gastrulation, which marks a series of orchestrated cellular events and the onset of the three germ layers—ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm—giving rise to numerous tissues and organs. Although preimplantation development can be recapitulated through *in vitro* fertilization and cultivation, there are limitations in recapitulating the entire process of embryonic development *in vitro*, including the complex structures, implantation, and gastrulation[2–6].

In recent years, stem cells have emerged as a powerful tool to study embryogenesis and gastrulation. Pre- and post-implantation embryo-like structures (synthetic embryos or embryoids), including blastocyst-like structures (blastoids), can be generated *in vitro* by co-culturing cells derived from each lineage of the blastocysts, including embryonic stem cells (ESCs), trophoblast stem cells (TSCs), which are *in vivo* counterparts of EPI and trophoblast, respectively[7]. The addition of extraembryonic endoderm cells (XENCs), counterparts of primitive endoderm, enables synthetic embryos to expand and mimic embryogenesis with greater accuracy[8]. These *in vitro* embryo-like structures exhibit higher similarity to peri-implantation embryos in terms of morphological development and gene expression, thus offering a faithful recapitulation of the early embryonic developmental process. Blastocysts and peri-implantation stage-like embryos could be generated by *in vitro* three-dimensional (3D) culture by culturing aggregates of embryonic and extraembryonic

lineage stem cells, such as ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs[7,8]. ESCs and TSCs contribute to EPIs and trophoblast lineages and the layer of XENCs supports embryo maturation by providing regionalization cues for cell polarization and maturation of embryonic/extraembryonic compartments[9,10].

Single-cell types can be utilized to generate synthetic embryos. For instance, ESCs that contain dox-inducible Gata4/6 or Cdx2 could serve as substitutes for the TSCs and XENCs during embryoid formation[11–15]. These models are specifically aimed to replicate the later stages of embryonic development that go beyond mid-gastrulation[6,12,15,16]. Totipotent-like stem cells, which are capable of giving rise to both embryonic and extraembryonic lineages upon differentiation, can be used for the generation of synthetic embryos. Blastoids formed from totipotent-like stem cells exhibit similarities to early-stage preimplantation embryos and could be implanted into the uterus of surrogate mothers. Furthermore, they could develop into embryo-like structures forming amniotic cavities through *in vitro* culture (IVC)[17–23].

Understanding the complex mechanisms underlying embryogenesis and gastrulation has been a longstanding goal in developmental biology, as it holds great potential for advancing regenerative medicine and understanding developmental and birth defects in humans. The utilization of human stem cells to generate human embryonic models offers an alternative avenue to study human embryonic development. Human ESCs and iPSCs have been used to study *in vitro* early embryonic development by forming human blastoids [24–28]. These blastoids effectively mimic key aspects of pre-implantation development at E5-7, and their further cultivation has demonstrated the potential for developing post-implantation tissues. However, the study of human blastoids beyond E14 is restricted due to stringent regulations on human embryo research[29]. Consequently, it is anticipated that further research focusing on gastruloids, capable of partially replicating developmental stages after E14, will pave the way for exploring late embryonic developmental stages.

In this review, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the progress made in studying *in vitro* embryogenesis and gastrulation using stem cells. We explore the various approaches employed to derive *in vitro* embryo models and manipulate stem cells to investigate these intricate processes. Furthermore, this review outlines recent findings and breakthroughs in synthetic embryos and summarizes more practical and specific applications of these techniques.

## 2. Early embryonic development in mice

### 2.1. Preimplantation embryonic development

In mammals, embryogenesis begins with fertilization, or fusion of a sperm and egg, resulting in the formation of a zygote[1]. Terminally differentiated germ cells, sperm, and oocytes, reestablish totipotency through fertilization[30]. Totipotency is maintained until the 2-cell stage, and only a small proportion of blastomeres in the 4-cell embryos retain totipotency[30–32]. The zygote undergoes cell cleavage, resulting in the compacted morula comprised of 8–16 cells at E2.5[1]. During compaction, the contact area between blastomeres increases and flattens, which promotes the formation of tight junctions between adjacent outward-facing cells [33–35]. Simultaneously, these cell shape changes are regulated by the extension of long filopodia, dependent on E-cadherin, which connects neighboring cells. As the embryo progresses to the 16 to 32-cell stage, the outer cells, trophectoderm epithelium, transport fluid into the intercellular spaces along an ion gradient established by the Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ion pump. This process forms a fluid-filled cavity called the blastocoel [35]. At the end of the morula stage, asymmetrical cell lineage differentiation occurs, resulting in the division of cells into two distinct lineages that make up the blastocyst: the inner cell mass (ICM) and the outer trophectoderm (TE)[8]. Several lineage selector genes, such as *Oct4*, *Nanog*, *Cdx2*, and *Gata6*, are associated with the fate decision.

The outer cells of the morula begin to exhibit decreased expression of *Oct4* and *Nanog* while initiating the expression of *Cdx2*, which gives rise to TE. In contrast, inner cells expressing *Oct4* and *Nanog* give rise to ICM [36–38]. Expression of *Cdx2* is regulated by Hippo-Yes-associated protein (YAP) pathway [39,40]. In the outer cells, the hippo signaling pathway is attenuated, leading to the

translocation of YAP proteins into the nucleus, where they bind to Tead4[1,40]. Tead4, along with its cofactor YAP, induces the expression of *Cdx2*. Conversely, in the inner cells, the Hippo pathway is activated, leading to the activation of Lats kinase, which allowed the phosphorylation of YAP. Phosphorylated YAP is subsequently degraded through ubiquitination, resulting in the suppression of the expression of *Cdx2*[1,40].

During the subsequent development into the late stage of the blastocyst, the ICM further differentiates into two distinct types, EPI and primitive endoderm (PrE). EPI cells localized in the inner part of the ICM and PrE localized adjacent to the blastocoel cavity. Unlike the ICM and TE specifications, the lineage specification of the EPI and PrE is not determined by the position of the cells within the ICM. Among the cells in the ICM, cells expressing *Gata6* migrate to the vicinity of the blastocoel cavity and form PrE. Meanwhile, cells that continuously express *Oct4* and *Nanog* migrate inward and become EPI cells[37]. Finally, E4.5 preimplantation blastocysts consist of three cell lineages: EPI, TE, and PrE[1].


## 2.2. Peri- and post-implantation embryonic development

At E4.5, EPI cells of the peri-implantation blastocyst undergo a transformation that adopts a wedge-shaped morphology and forms a rosette-like structure with clusters of thinner parts[41]. In pre-implantation embryos, the cell membrane exhibits a ubiquitous expression of E-cadherin, but in post-implantation embryos, E-cadherin becomes localized to the apical region of the rosette-like EPI [41]. This apical region gives rise to a pro-amniotic cavity and is accompanied by the expression of anti-adhesive molecules such as Podocalyxin. The epithelialization of the EPI occurs simultaneously with the formation of the pro-amniotic cavity[41]. At E5.0–6.0, the mouse embryo undergoes rapid enlargement and the elongated cavity, known as the egg cylinder, provides a platform for the proliferation of the EPI.

## 2.3. Gastrulation

At E6.25–6.5, a primitive streak is formed at the posterior portion of the EPI originating from a node, and a structure guides the development of the primitive streak and produces molecular signals, such as Nodal and fibroblast growth factor (FGF). Nodal, a member of the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily, starts to be expressed in the ICM of the E3.5 blastocyst[42,43]. The Nodal signaling plays a crucial role in the nascent EPI by orchestrating the precise patterning of the visceral endoderm (VE), formation of the anterior-posterior (A-P) axis, and development of the extraembryonic ectoderm (ExE)[44]. Nodal is essential for the proper development of the anterior head structure in the hindbrain, as demonstrated by chimeric embryos with knocked-out primitive endoderm[42]. In the posterior region, Wnt and Nodal signals are induced by Bmp4 signals originating from the ExE[7]. Around E6.25, as the primitive streak begins to develop at the proximal posterior EPI, cells undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). EMT is regulated by the Wnt, Bmp, FGF, and Nodal signaling pathways[45–50].

Starting from primitive streak formation, gastrulation continues until the formation of three primary germ layers: ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm. EPI cells move down to the underlying layers and eventually form the mesoderm and definitive endoderm at around E7.0. The EPI cells, as they migrate to the anterior tip of the primitive streak, give rise to the axial mesendoderm, which includes the definitive endoderm, notochord, and node[36]. The node, which is a transient structure appearing at E7.5 and disappearing at E9.0, contributes to the formation of the notochord[51,52]. Once the notochord is placed along the embryonic axis, it serves as a structural center as well as a regulator in patterning the neural tube and establishing the dorsoventral (DV) axis within the central nervous system (CNS)[53,54]. The EPI that does not migrate through the primitive streak undergoes differentiation into the neuroectoderm (NE), representing the default state of EPI differentiation[55–57]. After gastrulation, the newly allocated cells differentiate into precursors of various organs and tissues based on their respective germ layers.




**Figure 1.** Summary of the early developmental process in natural mouse and human embryos and corresponding developmental potency of various synthetic embryos and gastruloids reported. The upper illustration represents the developmental stages of mouse embryos at a specific day of development (E0.5–8.5), while the lower figure illustrates the developmental stages of human embryos at a specific day of development (E0.5–21). The range of developmental potential of each embryoid and gastruloid is indicated by the start and end points of the box. TE: Trophectoderm; EPI: Epiblast; PrE: Primitive endoderm; A-P: Anterior-Posterior; DVE: Distal visceral endoderm; EMT: Epithelial-mesenchymal transition.

Besides the somatic cells, germ cells are formed from EPI. Primordial germ cell (PGC) precursors are found in the proximal EPI around E6.0, before the onset of gastrulation[58]. PGC precursors are formed by reacting with Bmp4 and Bmp8b signals secreted from the ExE. Therefore, cells expressing BMP receptors and Smad, which is a downstream signaling molecule of BMP, become PGC precursors. The Wnt signaling pathway also regulates PGC signaling[59–64]. These PGC precursors migrate to the posterior EPI region and form clusters at E7.25. By forming these clusters, the precursors become PGCs. Previous studies of gene knockout have shown that bone morphogenetic protein (Bmp) signals are essential for PGC generation in EPI.

### 3. Synthetic embryos constructed with mouse ESCs and TSCs (ETS-embryoids)

There have been many attempts to mimic early embryonic development to understand the mechanism of embryogenesis and implantation in the *in vitro* systems. *In vitro* study of preimplantation development can be conducted using fertilized embryos. However, these studies also can be conducted without oocytes and sperm although there are limitations in recapitulating the entire process of embryonic development *in vitro*[2–6]. Recently, stem cell technology has achieved a successful generation of blastocyst- and embryo-like structures in an egg-free system. These embryo-like structures, generated by the combination of stem cells without eggs, are referred to as synthetic embryos.



**Figure 2.** Synthetic embryos constructed with mouse ESCs and TSCs. ETS blastoids/embryos and EpiTS embryos were generated from the aggregates of ESCs and TSCs. ETS blastoids can be implanted into the surrogate mother and form decidua. Both ETS- and EpiTS-embryos can progress to the gastrula stage embryos through an *in vitro* culture system. ESCs: Embryonic stem cells; TSCs: Trophoblast stem cell; A-P: Anterior-Posterior; D-V: Dorsal-Ventral; M-L: Medio-Lateral.

Preimplantation blastocysts consist of three cell layers, namely EPI, TE, and PrE. Each of these cell types can be established as stem cell types *in vitro*. EPI cells give rise to pluripotent embryonic stem cells (ESCs) *in vitro* under LIF-Stat3 signaling activation. The other two types of extraembryonic cells, TE and PrE, also can be established as trophoblast stem cells (TSCs) and extraembryonic endoderm cells (XENCs), respectively[65–67]. Researchers have attempted to recapitulate pre- and post-implantation embryos using three different types of blastocyst-derived stem cells, specifically ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs. In 2017, an initial trial was conducted by Zernicka-Goetz et al. using only two types of stem cells, ESCs and TSCs. These two stem cell types were combined to generate synthetic embryos which they referred to as “ETS embryos” [7]. The researchers placed single ESCs and small clumps of TSCs in Matrigel (as a substitute for the PrE), and cultured them in a medium that allowed for the development of ESCs and TSCs. After 96 h of culture, the ETS embryos reached a size of  $100\ \mu\text{m} \times 200\ \mu\text{m}$ , and the number of cells and their morphology were similar to those of natural E5.5 embryos. In the development of ETS embryos, the formation of the proamniotic cavity faithfully recapitulated that of natural embryos. The ESC and TSC cavities formed separately and at 96 h of ETS embryo development, these cavities merged into a single cavity. Using this *in vitro* embryogenesis system, Zernicka-Goetz et al. found that Activin/TGF- $\beta$  signal originating from the ESC compartment played a crucial role in the formation of TSC cavitation in both ETS and natural embryos. These ETS embryos further developed into E6.5 embryo-like structures showing mesoderm formation and primordial germ cell (PGC) specification. The ETS-embryos, despite not having enough PrE cells to develop into the distal visceral endoderm (DVE) and anterior VE (AVE), still underwent symmetry breaking for gastrulation[7]. After 100 h of culture, neighboring TSC compartments promoted the induction of *Brachyury*, a mesodermal marker[68], in the ESC compartment as E6.5 natural embryo[69]. When cultured for 120 h, cells expressing *Tfap2c*, *Oct4*, and *stella* were detected in the area overlapping T/*Brachyury* expressed location, indicating PGC specification[70,71].

In 2018, Rivron et al. generated a blastocyst-like structure, termed ETS-blastoid, by using ESCs and TSCs. To facilitate the development of blastoids, the researchers employed agarose hydrogel

microwells to facilitate the formation of non-adherent aggregates [72,73]. Through the aggregation of five ESCs and then adding twelve TSCs after 24h of aggregation, these aggregates efficiently developed into blastocyst-like structures measuring 90  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter following a culture period of 65 h[72]. The ETS-blastoids allowed PrE-like cell formation and were morphologically and transcriptionally similar to E3.5 blastocysts, even in the absence of XENCs or extracellular matrix scaffolds. Notably, the implantation of a mouse embryo can be reproduced using ETS-blastoids after transferring them into the pseudo-pregnant female uterus. Although these ETS-blastoids failed to develop embryonic lineage, they showed few signs of extraembryonic development (giant cell formation and expression of post-implantation trophoblast markers) and decidualization (vascular anastomosis and *ALDH3A1* expression in the decidua). Using this *in vitro* implantation system, they found interacting signaling pathways that are important for implantation. FGF4 (from embryos)[65] and IL-11 (from both embryonic and trophoctoderm compartments)[74] regulate trophoblast proliferation/self-renewal and *Cdx2* expression. BMP4 and Nodal originating from the embryonic compartment[43,45] and WNT6 and WNT7B originating from trophoctoderm[75] contribute to trophoctoderm maturation and morphogenesis, supporting *in utero* implantation.


In 2021, an improved version of the ETS model, called EpiTS, was introduced to enable the realization of gastrulation initiation and axial morphogenesis using a high-throughput approach [10]. In this model, ESC aggregates forming EPI-like aggregates and TSC aggregates were generated separately and merged together. EPI-like and TSC aggregate culture for 72–75 h was merged in a U-bottomed 96-well plate (low-attachment). After 96–120 h of culture, merged EPI-like/TSC aggregates formed gastrulating embryo-like structures called EpiTS embryoids and underwent mesodermal differentiation and PGC progenitor specification. Notably, they found that EPI epithelialization together with co-culture with TSC aggregates is essential for the spatiotemporal recapitulation of mesodermal differentiation (measured by Brachyury expression) of EPI, indicating the importance of physical interactions between EPI and TSC aggregates. When cultured for 168 h, EpiTS embryoids spontaneously underwent axial morphogenesis, such as anterior-posterior (localization of neurons away from the WNT-positive tip), dorsal-ventral (polarized expression of ectoderm and mesoderm tissues), and medio-lateral (neural tube flanked by paraxial mesoderm) axis.

Collectively, these ETS models can serve as a valuable tool for studying embryo cavitation, axis morphogenesis, and implantation through the co-culture of ESCs and TSCs. However, this ETS model has limitations when it comes to further gastrulation, and the absence of PrE lineage cells restricts the replication of very early embryogenesis. Consequently, there is a growing demand for a new model that can address these challenges and provide a more comprehensive understanding of embryonic development.

#### 4. Synthetic embryos constructed with ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs

Since ETS methods were relatively inefficient, and the resulting embryos yielded an insufficient amount of PrE lineages necessary for VE formation, Sozen et al. introduced an advanced approach where they incorporated XENCs into the ETS model, named ETX embryos[8]. XENCs are derived from the PrE of blastocysts, expressing unique markers for extra-embryonic endoderm derivatives, and can contribute exclusively to extra-embryonic endoderm lineages[76]. By E5.0, the PrE undergoes segregation into two subpopulations, VE and parietal endoderm (PE), which play crucial roles in embryonic development, patterning, and maturation[77]. The inclusion of XENCs not only results in a closer resemblance to the structure of a natural embryo but also enables the formation of an embryo-like structure without the need for an external extracellular matrix (ECM) supply, such as Matrigel. Moreover, this approach allows for the investigation of further developmental stages that were not able to be studied using the ETS model. To enhance efficiency and promote post-implantation development, several approaches have been suggested based on the co-culturing model using ETX embryos[6,9–13,15]. These ETX-embryoids recapitulated the developmental events observed in E4.5–E7.0 natural embryo, including lumenogenesis for the formation of pro-amniotic cavity (PCX, E-CAD, and aPKC expression)[6], asymmetry breaking (T/Brachyury expression), and PGC specification, as

shown in ETS-embryos. However, the ETX-embryoids demonstrate more similar cell proportions to natural embryos and undergo further post-implantation development[8].



**Figure 3.** Synthetic embryos constructed with wild-type ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs, called ETX embryos or ETX embryoids. The self-organization and sorting of ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs are controlled by cadherin code, facilitating the formation of ETX embryoids. These ETX embryoids can develop to the stage comparable to natural embryos at E5.5-7.0. ESCs: Embryonic stem cells; TSCs: Trophoblast stem cells; XENCs: Extraembryonic endoderm cells.

#### 4.1. ETX embryos using wild-type ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs

The primary distinction between the ETS-model and the ETX model lies in the presence of a layer of XENC-like cells that generate a VE-like structure that induces signals for lumenogenesis, A-P axis, and EMT [78,79]. On day 5 of culture with XENCs, the ESC compartment overlaying XENCs became squamous and the TSC compartment overlaying XENCs became cuboidal[8], resembling the E6.75 natural embryos[80]. Supplementing the XENC layer is essential for the maturation of both the ESC and TSS compartments by providing a basal membrane and plays a crucial role in embryonic development[81]. However, it should be noted that XENCs are unable to contribute to PE, resulting in the inevitable absence of parietal yolk sac formation[77].

ETS-embryos need ECM as a substitute for PrE lineages. However, ECM and adherent environments are not required for the generation of ETX-embryos[6,8,12,13,15,16]. Therefore, shaking, rolling, or rotating culture systems can be adapted for ETX-embryo formation with higher efficiency or enhanced development[6,12,15,16]. After 4 days, aggregates of ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs within inverted-pyramidal microwells (AggreWell) plates generate a structure resembling E5-6 mouse embryos, wherein the ESC and the TSC compartments merge with each other and are enveloped by a cell layer derived from XENC[8]. Zhang et al. compared several different methods for ETX embryo formation and established the suspension-shaking culture method, which was most suitable for stimulating intercellular communication, recognition, and organization into specific compartments in order[6].

Sozen et al. used AggreWell plates to form aggregates of the three types of blastocyst-derived stem cells, namely ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs, thereby generating ETX-embryos [8]. The ETX system enabled the researchers to recapitulate *in vitro* processes of AVE formation, EMT, mesoderm, PGCs, and definitive endoderm formation as observed during early post-implantation development. After 96 h of culture, the ETX-embryos developed into a structure resembling E5.5 natural embryos. When comparing the developmental potential of ETX- and ETS-embryos, ETX-embryos yielded more T/Brachyury-expressing cells than ETS-embryos. These T/Brachyury-expressing cells were found to be expressing EMT markers, *Snail*, *Vimentin*, *Mmp9*, and *N-cadherins*[82]. Intriguingly, PGC-like cells emerged on day 6 of culture at the boundary between the ESC and TSC compartments, analogous to

the location where mesoderm and PGC are formed in E6.5–7.0 natural embryos. AVE specification in ETX-embryos was confirmed by asymmetric expression of *Lefty1* on day 4–5 of culture and the expression of *Otx2* (a regulator of AVE), which was confined to XENCs overlying the EPI region but not in the extraembryonic compartment. Definitive endoderm cells derived from ESCs, characterized by *Foxa2* and *Sox17* expression, emerged on day 5 and eventually replaced the VE layers derived from XENCs by the end of day 6. Collectively, the ETX-embryos generated by Sozen et al. faithfully recapitulated the spatio-temporal events observed during gastrulation in natural embryos.

Zhang et al. utilized a nonadherent-suspension-shaking method to culture a combination of three types of stem cells, ESCs, TSCs, and XENCs, and generated embryo-like structures resembling E5.75 embryos[6]. These ETX-embryoids exhibited spatial distribution of extraembryonic ectoderm, EPI, and VE, and formed a pro-amniotic cavity. Cavities formed earlier in the ESC compartment (by 60 h) than in the TSC compartment (by 72 h) and later coalesced to form a large cavity (by 84 h). To trace the XENC contribution in the ETX-embryoids, they used *Lefty1*-mCherry transgenic XENCs and found that DVE/AVE markers were detected in mCherry<sup>+</sup> XENC-derived cells. By using *Blimp1*-mVenus and *Stella*-ECFP double transgenic ESCs [83,84], PGC specification as well as mesodermal differentiation could be monitored because PGC marker *Blimp1* is also co-expressed in many mesoderm-involved genes[85,86]. At 84 h, *Blimp1*-mVenus<sup>+</sup>/*Stella*-ECFP<sup>-</sup> cells were detected in the ESC compartment near the ESC and TSC boundary. These *Blimp1*-mVenus<sup>+</sup>/*Stella*-ECFP<sup>-</sup> cells were found to express other PGC markers (*Prdm14*, *Stella*, and *Tfap2c*) and mesoderm markers (*Flk1*, *Hhex*, and *Hand1*), indicating that mesoderm formation and PGC specification were induced in confined areas of ETX-embryoids. Moreover, the ETX-embryoids showed implantation potential after transfer into E2.5–3.5 pseudopregnant mice. At 48 h post-transfer, E5.5 embryo-like structures were observed within the decidual tissue of the uterus. However, the subsequent examination failed to detect further embryonic morphologies, indicating a limited developmental potential restricted to the earlier stages of implantation.

Bao et al. discovered that the efficiency of ETX-embryoid formation was closely associated with cadherin codes[87]. Three major kinds of cadherins regulate cell-cell adhesion force during embryogenesis and gastrulation[88]. E-cadherin is expressed across all lineages during peri-implantation development, whereas P-cadherin is specifically upregulated in the TE following implantation, and K-cadherin shows elevated levels exclusively in the PrE prior to implantation. Bao et al. observed that only 15.4% of the ETX-embryoids formed correctly sorted structures resembling postimplantation embryos, while a notable proportion exhibited missorted ETX structures such as mislocalization of XENCs and more than one EPI or trophoblast compartments. They found that the optimal balance between E-cadherin and K-cadherin played a crucial role in ensuring appropriate XENC sorting, and knock-down of P-cadherin in TSCs or E-cadherin in ESCs disrupted the process of ETX embryogenesis. Overall, the cadherin code exerted a significant influence on sorting efficiency and contributed to enhanced lumenogenesis and basement membrane formation in ETX embryos.


#### 4.2. ETiX embryos using ESCs facilitating PrE-lineage differentiation

Since the ETX model system still showed limited gastrulation, several researchers attempted to use cell types other than wild-type stem cells. VE is the PrE-derived cell type that directly interact with EPI and extraembryonic ectoderm. However, XENCs were more similar to PE than to VE [89,90]. Therefore, XENCs need to be replaced with more VE-like cell types. Overexpression of *Gata4* or *Gata6* in ESCs efficiently induces endodermal lineage differentiation[91,92] that replaces XENCs[11–13]. Amandei et al. used dox-inducible *Gata4*-containing ESCs (*Gata4*-ESCs) as a substitute for XENCs[11,12]. Compacted aggregates were formed 48 h after combining ESCs, TSCs, and dox-treated *Gata4*-ESCs in AggreWell. Lumenogenesis was observed in ESC and TSC compartments at 72 h, and the lumens were fused at 96 h. These ETX-embryos with induced XENCs were initially termed iETX but are referred to here as ETiX. Four-day-old ETiX embryos resembled E5.5 natural embryos showing AVE specification and migration to the distal/lateral position, which was rarely observed in ETX embryos. On day 5, ETiX embryos by Amandei et al. showed A-P axis formation, EMT, mesoderm, and definitive endoderm formation that typically occurs in E6.5 natural embryos[93–95]. In

approximately 20% of the ETiX embryos, *Runx1*, an extra-embryonic mesoderm marker, was expressed in T/Brachyury<sup>+</sup> cells positioned between the VE-like layer and TSC compartment[11,96,97]. However, beyond day 6, further development of ETiXs was not possible due to the limitations of the culture environment[11].

Amadei et al. also showed that the ETiX embryos further developed to form neural tubes flanked by somites, beating heart, gut tubes, yolk sacs, and blood islands, comparable to E8.5 natural embryos[12]. At day 7, T/Brachyury<sup>+</sup> notochord-like structures were detected in ETiX embryos[12,98]. Notochord induces neurulation by secreting signal molecules such as sonic hedgehog to pattern the dorsoventral axis and stimulates neural differentiation[99–101]. An SOX1<sup>+</sup> neural tube-like structure was also found above the notochord, simulating the A-P axis of E8.0 embryos with SOX1<sup>+</sup> and SOX2<sup>+</sup> neuroepithelial cells[12,102]. The ETiX embryoids also showed regional expression of PAX6, OLIG2, NKX2-2, FOXA2, PAX3, and SOX10, confirming the presence of the neural tube, floor plate, somatic mesoderm, and neural crest cells[12,103–106]. Further developmental potential of ETiX embryos was suggested by the detection of subclusters that showed transcriptomic similarity to E9.5 natural embryos[12]. Somite formation, which is crucial for segmental organization, was also observed in ETiX embryoids with paired somite blocks expressing the HOXB4 protein, similar to natural E8.0 embryos[12,107]. Additionally, a beating structure expressing cardiac markers, myosin heavy chain 2 (MYH2), and GATA4, emerged below the encephalon region, reminiscent of early cardiac development seen in E8.0 natural embryos[108,109]. Amadei et al. attempted to extend the developmental potential of ETiX embryos up to the E8.5 natural embryos by employing the *ex utero* culture technique on a roller culture platform, as detailed in the study by Aguilera-Castrejon et al.[14]. Although the timing of these developmental events in the ETiX embryoids closely matched the corresponding stages observed in natural embryos, heart looping, which is typically observed in E8.5 natural embryo, was not observed[110]. Day 8 ETiX embryoids reproduced the formation of foregut and hindgut pockets similar to E8.5 natural embryos via expressing SOX2 and SOX17, respectively. However, single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) data revealed that organ-specific identities in the gut appearing after E8.5 were not observed in ETiX, indicating a limited development of the VE[12,95].

ETiX embryoids are also capable of recapitulating the development of extraembryonic structures, including the amnion, yolk sac, and chorion-allantois complex, and RUNX1-positive blood islands, mirroring the developmental timeline of natural embryos[12,95,111]. These structures emerged at specific stages; the amnion and amniotic mesoderm appeared on day 6, followed by the yolk sac and allantois on days 7 and 8. However, the chorion lineage in ETiX embryoids exhibited incomplete maturation, as evidenced by altered or absent expression of genes associated with the ectoplacental cone, trophoblast giant cells, and spongiotrophoblast cells. Therefore, the extraembryonic lineages derived from the EPC were mostly absent in ETiX embryoids, indicating an incomplete replication of the extraembryonic development[12]. It is worth noting that the lack of interaction with the maternal environment in the ETiX model may lead to defective development of the extraembryonic compartment.



**Figure 4.** Embryoid formation by the combination of wild-type ESCs with induced XENCs (iXENCs) and induced TSCs (iTSCs). ETiX embryoids are generated using iXENCs and EiTiX embryoids are generated using iXENCs and iTSCs. Key events and unique culture methods were illustrated for each ETiX and EiTiX embryoids. WT: wild-type; ESCs: embryonic stem cells; iXENCs: induced extraembryonic endoderm cells; TSCs: trophoblast stem cells; iTSCs: induced trophoblast stem cells.

To induce the PrE lineage for ETiX embryo formation, Dupont et al. used Gata6-overexpressing ESCs (PrE-ESCs) that contained a dox-inducible *Fgfr2* and *Gata6* transgene[13]. Upon induction of Gata6 with stimulation of the FGF-ERK pathway, PrE-ESCs subsequently express *Gata4* and *Sox17*, similar to what is observed during normal embryogenesis[13,112]. Dupont et al. utilized a static culture system, U-bottomed 384-well plate, and introduced a time-delay method by adding TSCs on the following day after aggregation of ESCs and PrE-ESCs[13]. This approach led to ETX-embryos having enhanced development potential, extending it until the late gastrulation stage. Until day 5, the developmental events of Dupont's ETiX-embryos were comparable to previous ETX or ETiX models, including VE formation, PGC-specification, and mesoderm and A-P axis formation, as observed in E6.0–6.5 natural embryos[13,113]. By day 6, the ETiX-embryos exhibited a structure similar to that of the late gastrulation stage of E7.5 natural embryos, characterized by the formation of exocoelom surrounded by chorion and amnion derived from extraembryonic mesoderm. Staining with Eomes confirmed the presence of a bilayer amnion-like membrane[13,114]. By whole-mount staining analysis, Tal1<sup>+</sup> hematoendothelial/blood progenitors-like cells were identified, and this finding was further validated using scRNA-seq, revealing the similarity between day 6 ETiX and *in vivo* E7.5 embryos[13,115,116]. Further formation of headfold, heart, and foregut entrance were sporadically examined in ETiX embryos on day 7 or 8 of culture.

#### 4.3. EiTiX embryos constructed with ESCs and induced TSCs (iTSCs) and induced XENCs (iXENCs)

Langkabel et al. proposed the EiTiX model using ESC and inducible ESC lines[9,10]. They employed 5F-ESCs (carrying dox-inducible Cdx2, Tfap2c, Eomes, Gata3, and Ets2) as substitutes for TSCs, and iGATA6-ESCs (carrying dox-inducible Gata6) as substitutes for XENCs. To achieve a non-adherent 3D culture, these three ESC lines were co-cultured in an agarose micro-tissue well, and after 24 h of culture, doxycycline was added to the medium for 3 days to induce transgene expression of 5F-ESCs and iGATA6-ESCs. By allowing an additional day without doxycycline, the aggregates successfully underwent compartmentation into ExE-, VE-, and EPI- like structures in a corresponding manner, mimicking E5.25 embryos[3,117]. Formation of rosette and lumen was observed in both the EPI and ExE compartments; however, the fusion of the lumens (leading to the formation of the proamniotic cavity) and further developmental progression were rarely observed. These embryoids were named as Rosette-to-Lumen stage embryoids (RtL-embryoids) to highlight their specific transcriptional process, which involves epithelialization to lumenogenesis.

The EiTiX strategy was also employed by the Zernicka-Goetz group in 2022 using iCdx2-ESCs (carrying dox-inducible Cdx2) and iGata4-ESCs (carrying dox-inducible Gata4)[15]. Aggregates of ESCs, iCdx2-, and iGata4-ESCs were able to form embryo-like structures called EiTiX-embryoids resembling post-implantation embryos[15,118,119]. On day 6, EiTiX-embryoids underwent EMT, PGC specification, and intercalation of definitive endoderm (Foxa2<sup>+</sup> and Sox17<sup>+</sup>) into the VE-like layer, which was corresponding to E6.5-7.5 natural embryos. By day 7 of culturing, EiTiX-embryoids exhibited the A-P axis through the expression of SOX1 and SOX2, indicating the onset of neurulation[102,120]. In addition, the expression of Myh2 and Gata4, accompanied by a beating heart, indicated cardiac development[108,109]. Subsequently, on day 8, the EiTiX-embryoids displayed well-formed headfolds, a developed heart, tail, and chorion, resembling the developmental stage of E8.0–8.5 natural embryos[121,122]. Furthermore, the expression of the pharyngeal mesoderm marker *Islet1*, which had not been confirmed in previous models, was observed between the heart region and the forebrain region[123]. By tracking the GFP<sup>+</sup> iCdx2-ESCs, they found that iCdx2-ESCs developed into the chorion but not into the ectoplacental cone and trophoblast giant cell lineages.

Tarazi et al. tried to combine former EiTiX-embryoid formation methods (static culture using AggreWells and nonadherent-suspension-shaking method) and *ex utero* culture method using a roller culture system to enhance post-implantation development of EiTiX-embryoids[14,16]. Three naïve pluripotent state ESCs (wild-type ESCs, iCdx2-ESCs, and iGata4-ESCs) were used to generate EiTiX-embryoids, which subsequently formed egg-cylinder like structures referred to as “eEmbryos” after day 5. Upon transfer into a roller *ex utero* culture system, 8-day-old eEmbryos displayed remarkable similarities to E8.5 natural embryos, including the presence of four pairs of somites, a neural tube, an invaginating foregut, a beating heart, and the establishment of the head-to-tail and dorsoventral axes. Additionally, advanced eEmbryos exhibited blood circulation in the yolk sac. However, like previous embryoid models, eEmbryos lacked key markers associated with chorion and ectoplacental cone progenitor cell lineages, such as trophoblast giant cells and spongiotrophoblast, despite the emergence of similar structures[14,16].

## 5. Blastoid formation using totipotent-like stem cells

ESCs are pluripotent stem cells that can differentiate into all body tissues except for extraembryonic tissues under normal conditions. Therefore, ESCs can only form blastoids or embryoids when co-cultured with TSCs and XENCs (ETX-embryoids) or their derivatives (ETiX- and EiTiX-embryoids)[6–8,12,13,15]. In contrast, totipotent cells have the ability to differentiate not only into the embryonic but also into extraembryonic tissues. However, true totipotent stem cells do not exist as the totipotency is observed only in the one-cell embryos and blastomeres at the 2-cell stage[124]. Recently, totipotent-like stem cells resembling 2-cell embryos have been derived[18–20]. Given that a single totipotent cell can self-renew and give rise to EPI, TE, and PrE, totipotent-like stem cells can independently generate blastocyst-like structures (blastoids) without the need for TSCs and XENCs[125–127].


### 5.1. Blastoid formation from expanded potential stem cells (EPSCs), EPS- and EPST-blastoids

Extended or EPSCs are totipotent-like stem cells derived from a single blastomere of 8-cell stage embryos and possess the developmental potential to form not only embryonic but also extraembryonic lineages[128]. EPSCs can form dome-like colonies resembling ESCs and exhibit enhanced differentiation potential compared to pluripotent stem cells, as they can differentiate into all somatic cell lineages as well as the trophoblast lineage. EPSCs also can be converted from ESCs or iPSCs by culturing them in EPSC culture medium. Furthermore, EPSCs can be converted into TSCs or XENCs by modifying the culture conditions. Therefore, EPSCs have the ability to generate all types of stem cells originating from blastocysts, enabling them to generate blastoids without the requirement of TSCs and XENCs[129]. Since EPSCs are in a pluripotent state at the transcriptome level and express high levels of pluripotency markers[130], EPSCs are described here separately from other totipotent-like stem cells.

Li et al. seeded EPSCs in AggreWell plates and cultured in KSOM:ETS medium (a combination of KSOM, N2B27, and TSC medium), supplemented with FGF4, Heparin, Bmp4 (a TGF- $\beta$  activator), CHIR99021 (a Wnt activator), and A83-01 (an ALK5 inhibitor), to form blastoids, referred to as EPS-blastoids[129]. After 5–6 days of cell seeding, the EPS-blastoids exhibited growth and proliferation, resulting in a size and cell number comparable to E3.5 blastocysts (approximately 15% efficiency). EPS-blastoids displayed compaction and polarization events seen in 8- to 16-cell stage embryos; E-cadherin and ZO1 (a tight junction protein) accumulated between cells and PAR6 accumulated on the apical surface of the aggregates on 18–72 h of culture[131]. EPS-blastoids developed into egg cylinder-like structures after further culture under IVC condition and were able to initiate implantation and form decidua after being transferred into pseudopregnant mice[129]. Although retarded and malformed, embryonic (Oct4<sup>+</sup>) and extraembryonic (Eomes<sup>+</sup> and GATA4<sup>+</sup>) tissues were detected in the EPS-blastoid-derived deciduae.

Recently, Liu et al. found that inducing EPSCs into PrE prior to generating EPS-blastoids increased the efficiency of cavity and blastoid formation [132]. This finding was consistent with a previous report indicating that PrE induction from ESCs prior to blastoid formation supports the survival of EPI and PrE-like cells and improved the efficiency of ETS-blastoid formation[133]. They cultured EPSCs for 2 days in PrE induction medium containing FGF4, retinoic acid, CHIR99021, 8Br-cAMP, before aggregation, resulting in a three-fold increase in the formation efficiency of EPS-blastoids[132]. Liu et al. also suggested that EPS-blastoids showed defective development in TE lineages[132], which contradicts previous studies indicating that EPSCs have an enhanced ability to generate TSC-like cells compared to ESCs[128]. Supporting this discrepancy, Posfai et al. proposed that the *in vitro* conversion of EPSCs to TSCs was less efficient compared to ESCs [130]. When these EPS-blastoids were further cultured *in vitro*, they developed into E5.5-like postimplantation embryos containing EPI, VE, ectoplacental cone, and ExE. The EPS-blastoids could be implanted into the pseudopregnant mice and form decidua without normal embryonic tissue[132].

Sozen et al. (2019) generated blastoids by co-culturing EPSCs and TSCs in AggreWell plates under hypoxic conditions (5% O<sub>2</sub>) These blastoids are hereafter referred to as EPST-blastoids [134]. After 4 days of culture, EPST-blastoids formed structures comparable to mid- to late blastocyst stages (E4.5–4.75). After 3 days of IVC beyond implantation, the EPST-blastoids further developed into egg cylinder-like structures surrounded by a PrE-lineage cell layer. Upon implantation into a foster mother, the EPST-blastoids formed deciduae that generated primary and secondary decidual zones, equivalent to E7.5 natural decidua. However, the EPST-blastoids failed to form Reichert's membrane, which protects the fetus in the uterus[135].



**Figure 5.** Blastoid formation from expanded potential stem cells (EPSCs) and totipotent-like stem cells. The resulting blastoids displayed developmental potential to form egg-cylinder-like structures through an *in vitro* culture system and the capacity to induce implantation and decidualization *in vivo*. EPSCs: expanded potential stem cells; ESCs: embryonic stem cells; TSCs: Trophoblast stem cells; TPS cells: totipotent potential stem cells; TLSCs: totipotent-like stem cells; TBLCs: totipotent blastomere-like cells.

## 5.2. Blastoid formation from totipotent-like stem cells other than EPSCs

Totipotent-like stem cells can be derived from 2- to 8-cell stage embryos or from pluripotent stem cells through a “pluripotency-to-totipotency transition”[136]. Several research groups have reported different kinds of totipotent-like stem cells, which were derived using a different culture medium and conditions[18–20]. These cells are characterized by the expression of 2-cell specific genes, including *Zscan4* and *MERVL*, contribution to both embryonic and extraembryonic tissues, and the ability to form blastoids *in vitro*[127].

Although EPSCs can mimic the process of early pre-implantation development, they are still transcriptionally distinct from 2-cell embryos and have less potential for differentiation into the extraembryonic lineages compared to totipotent embryos[130]. To overcome these limitations, Xu et al. explored chemical cocktails to derive totipotent-like stem cells from 2-cell stage embryos or convert EPSCs into cells with totipotent potential, referred to as TPS cells[18]. They found that inhibition of HDAC1/2 and DOT1L and activation of RAR $\gamma$  signaling were important for the induction and maintenance of totipotency, and established culture medium (CPEC medium), supplemented with CD1530 (RAR $\gamma$  receptor agonist), VPA (HDAC inhibitor), EPZ004777 (DOT1L inhibitor), and CHIR99021 (Wnt signaling agonist). Established TPS cells displayed transcriptomic features similar to those observed in 2- to 4-cell embryos. Notably, there was an upregulation of totipotency markers, such as *Zscan4*, *MERVL*, *Zfp352*, *Tcstv1*, and *Tcstv3*, while major pluripotency markers *Oct4*, *Sox2*, and *Nanog* were downregulated. Notably, the injection of single TPS cells into 8-cell embryos resulted in the formation of chimeric blastocysts comprising TPS cell-derived EPI and trophectoderm. These chimeric blastocysts further developed *in vivo* into chimeric embryos (E18.5) exhibiting a TPS cell-derived placenta. Based on the notion that FGF, Bmp, and Yap signaling pathways are crucial for preimplantation embryo development, particularly in the formation of extraembryonic lineage[40,137–139], Xu et al. seeded TPS cells into AggreWell and treated them with bFGF, FGF4, Bmp4, and LPA for 4–6 days. The resulting blastoids displayed a high efficiency in cavity formation, exhibited transcriptomic similarity to E4.5 blastocysts *in vitro*, and demonstrated the capacity to induce implantation and decidualization *in vivo*, similar to E6.5 natural embryos, as evidenced by the PTGS2 in TPS-derived decidua[18].


In 2022, Yang et al. endeavored to remodel the chromatin to surmount the barriers of pluripotency-to-totipotency transition, as nuclear remodeling at pericentromeric heterochromatin

and broad H3K4me3 domains, is one of the key events that occur during the loss of totipotency[124],[19]. Yang et al. targeted DOT1L (a histone lysine methyltransferase) and KDM5B (a lysine demethylase). They found that the inhibition of DOT1L upregulates the expression of MERVL and other totipotent genes, and induces 2C-like chromatin remodeling by disrupting the chromocenter, while the inhibition of KDM5B promotes the remodeling the 2 cell-specific broad H3K4me3 domains[140]. Consequently, they derived totipotent-like stem cells termed TLSCs from ESCs and early preimplantation embryos (2-, 4-, and 8-cell stages) using the TLSC medium containing IL6, sIL-6R, SGC0946 (DOT1L inhibitor), and AS8351 (KDM5B inhibitor). IL6 and sIL-6R were included to the TLSC medium because *these genes* highly expressed in both zygotes and 2-cell embryos[19]. The established TLSCs expressed high levels of totipotency-specific genes and downregulated expression of pluripotency markers as seen in TPS cells. When TLSCs were cultured in blastoid culture conditions[72,129,134,141], they formed aggregates recapitulating compaction and polarization that follows ICM and TE specification. The resulting TLSC-blastoids could be implanted into the uterus of pseudopregnant mice with more than 35% efficiency to form decidua, where a degraded embryo structure was observed, indicating the limited capacity of TLSCs to develop as a complete organism due to its restricted developmental potential[19].

Totipotent-like stem cells were also derived through the repression of spliceosome, which are ribonucleoprotein complexes that exert splicing and maturation of messenger RNA [142,143]. It was observed that suppressing the expression of splicing factors in mouse ESCs led to the activation of totipotent marker genes[144]. Shen et al. attempted to convert pluripotent mouse ESCs to totipotent blastomere-like cells (TBLCs) through spliceosomal repression by pladienolide B (PlaB) [20], a naturally occurring antitumor analog that specifically binds to splicing factor SF3B[145]. The researchers found that reprogramming ESCs through spliceosomal repression resulted in the downregulation of pluripotency genes while activating totipotent genes. The derived TBLCs exhibited transcriptional characteristics similar to those of 2- and 4-cell blastomeres. Shen et al. confirmed the differentiation potential of TBSCs into both embryonic and extraembryonic lineages through chimera formation analysis. Later on, Zhang et al. demonstrated that TBLCs could efficiently form blastoids in a 3D differentiation culture system (AggreWell plates) with 80% efficiency[21]. Among the TBLC-blastoids, 71.3% expressed all three lineage markers (EPI, TE, and PrE). Although the efficiency was lower, blastoids could also be generated from a single TBLC. After being cultured *in vitro* for 6 days, around 10% of the TBLC-blastoids developed into egg-cylinder structures. Additionally, when implanted into the uteruses of pseudopregnant mice, TBLC-blastoids formed deciduae, but approximately 95% of them remained empty without developing embryos. Furthermore, TBLC-blastoids displayed comparable proportions of TE- and EPI-like cells as seen in natural blastocysts, but they exhibited fewer PrE-like cells. Therefore, achieving appropriate ratios of PrE and TE cells in TBLC-blastoids may be crucial for generating fully functional embryoids[21].

## 6. Human blastoid formation and *in vitro* implantation development

Since human ESCs (hESCs) and hiPSCs have the ability to differentiate into all cell types of the body, they have been used as *in vitro* models for studying early human development[146]. However, these models have limitations in fully replicating the blastocyst stage and providing comprehensive insights into human postimplantation development. Although notable advancements have been made in studying human embryonic development *ex utero* through *in vitro* fertilization and culture of donated blastocysts for research purposes[147–149], the availability of donated human embryos is restricted, and ethical challenges, as well as legal restrictions, impose significant constraints on their utilization in research. Hence, it is necessary to develop an *in vitro* model that can faithfully recapitulate the pre- and post-implantation human embryonic development. In recent studies, human blastoids have been generated not only from human pluripotent stem cells (ESCs and iPSCs) but also from somatic cells through direct reprogramming, presenting a promising tool to understand early human development[24–28].



**Figure 6.** Human blastoid formation using hEPSCs, hPSCs, and via somatic cell reprogramming. The resulting human blastoids are similar to natural blastocysts and exhibit developmental potential to form structures resembling amniotic cavities and yolk sacs. hEPSCs: human expanded potential stem cells; hPSCs: human pluripotent stem cells; TSCs: trophoblast stem cells; EPI: epiblast; TE: trophoctoderm; PrE: primitive endoderm; OKSM: Oct4, Klf4, Sox2, and c-Myc; iBlastoid: induced blastoid.

### 6.1. Blastoid formation using human EPSCs (hEPSCs)

Sozen et al. attempted to generate human blastoids using hEPSCs that were converted from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs)[25,128,129]. Converted hEPSCs formed dome-shaped colonies after more than five passages using a previously established LCDM medium[128]. After seeding hEPSCs (4–5 cells) in AggreWell 400, hEPSCs readily formed aggregates. To facilitate aggregate formation, a medium containing Bmp4, CHIR99021 (Wnt agonist), FGF2, and Y-27632 (ROCK

inhibitor) was employed. Additionally, A83-01 (ALK5 kinase inhibitor) was used to promote TE differentiation[150]. Within the initial 48 h of 3D culture, the enrichment of F-ACTIN and PARD6 (a polarity marker gene) at the apical surface of the structures was observed, confirming the occurrence of polarization. On day 6 of culture, blastocyst-like structures comprising three lineages—EPI, PrE, and TE—were formed. Moreover, upon subjecting these blastoids to extended culture under IVC medium [147], they were able to form small lumens. However, the expression of certain TE markers became impaired as development progressed *in vitro*, and defects in aggregate formation were observed during the late stage of the cavity formation process. To overcome defective TE formation, Sozen et al. combined hEPSCs with human TSCs (hTSCs) to generate blastoids, which was proposed in mouse blastoid formation[134]. Unlike in mice, hTSCs were unable to compensate for TE lineage differentiation during hEPSC-derived blastoid formation.

Fan et al. generated human blastoids by combining hEPSCs with hEPSC-derived TE-like cells, a two-step induction protocol[28]. The EPSCs, which were converted from hiPSCs, were treated with Bmp4 for 3 days to generate TE-like cells. These TE-like cells were then mixed with hEPSCs in AggreWell plates. By day 5–6, approximately 1.9% of the mixture had developed into blastoids, exhibiting a diameter similar to E6 natural human blastocysts. hEPSC-derived blastoids, cultured through an IVC system[17,149], further developed beyond the implantation and formed structures resembling 7–14 dpf (day post-fertilization) IVC natural embryos.

Zhong et al. used parthenogenetic hEPSCs (Pg-hEPSCs), which were converted from parthenogenetic hESCs, for the generation of human blastoids[26]. They also used the two-step induction protocol previously conducted by Fan et al. [28]. Pg-hEPSC-derived TE-like cells were mixed with Pg-hEPSC in AggreWell plates, resulting in the formation of blastoids that resembled biparental hEPSC-derived blastoids comprising three-lineage cell types. Given that Pg-hESCs exclusively possess the maternal genome, Pg-hEPSCs blastoids can serve as an ideal model for investigating human diseases with parent-of-origin effects and exploring the function of imprinted genes during embryonic development[26].

## 6.2. Human blastoid induction via reprogramming of fibroblasts

In addition to previous approaches using a combination of blastocyst-derived three stem cell types and using totipotent stem cells, Liu et al. developed a novel approach to generate induced blastoids (iBlastoids) from somatic cells through a reprogramming strategy[24]. They exploited the concept that EPI, TE, and PrE cells emerged by day 21 during the reprogramming of the fibroblasts under the fibroblast medium condition [151]. During the reprogramming process (by day 21), intermediate cells were transferred to AggreWell plates to induce aggregate formation, which were then cultured for 7 days in a medium that supported early development features. On day 6, these aggregates formed 3D blastocyst-like structures (iBlastoids). The generated iBlastoids were transcriptionally similar to natural blastocysts, and the area and diameter closely resembled those of E5-7 natural blastocysts. *In vitro* attachment assays[147,149] also confirmed that iBlastoid could recapitulate the early developmental process. Over 90% of the iBlastoids attached within 24 h, exhibiting increased size, flattening, and the formation of outgrowths that resemble those observed in natural blastocysts. On day 3 of attachment, iBlastoids displayed the polarization of Epi-like cells and the formation of proamniotic-like cavity. This aligns with a previous report indicating that EPI cells in human blastocysts undergo polarization and form a proamniotic cavity upon *in vitro* attachment[147]. In addition, trophoblast lineages, including syncytiotrophoblasts and extravillous cytotrophoblasts, were observed in the *in vitro* implantation iBlastoids, accompanied by 10-fold increase in the concentration of hCG (human chorionic gonadotropin) in the culture medium after 4.5 days of attachment. iBlastoids, being derived from somatic cells rather than stem cells, can offer the potential for broader utilization in studying the *in vitro* pre-implantation and peri-implantation stages of human embryogenesis.

### 6.3. Human blastoid formation from primed and naïve hPSCs

While primed pluripotent hESCs exhibit restricted differentiation potential into the embryonic lineage, naïve pluripotent hESCs demonstrate a more versatile differentiation potential, encompassing both embryonic and extraembryonic (TE and PrE) lineages [152–154]. Thus, Yu et al. attempted to generate human blastoids using naïve hPSCs (both hESCs and hiPSCs)[27], which were cultured in 5i/L/A medium[155]. The naïve hiPSCs were seeded into AggreWell plates and subjected to sequential induction, first towards the hypoblast lineage (by treatment of FGF2, activin A, and CHIR9902) and subsequently towards the trophoblast lineage (by activation of TGF $\beta$ -SMAD and WNT- $\beta$ -catenin signaling in 50% 5i/L/A medium). This sequential method yielded human blastoids that closely resembled E6 natural blastocysts. Furthermore, after being cultured under IVC culture condition for 4 days, the blastoids formed amniotic cavity-like structure and yolk sac-like cavity, which are features of peri-implantation human embryos.

Kagawa et al. also used naïve hPSCs to generate human blastoids[156]. Primed ESCs were converted to naïve ESCs using PXGL medium, as described in a previously published study[157,158] containing PD0325901 (ERK inhibitor), XAV-939 (Wnt signaling inhibitor), Gö 6983 (PKC inhibitor), and hLIF (human leukemia inhibitory factor). Naïve PSCs were aggregated in non-adherent hydrogel microwells and cultured in PALLY medium supplemented with PD0325901, A83-01 (TGF $\beta$  family receptors inhibitor), LPA (Hippo pathway inhibitor), hLIF (STAT activator), and Y-27632, resulting in blastoids with an efficiency of over 70% at 4 days of culture. The cell number and size of blastoids are within the ranges observed in E5–7 blastocysts. Yanagida et al. also reported human blastoids derived from naïve hESCs cultured in PXGL medium. These human blastoids could simulate early event of implantation *in vitro* when cultured on the endometrial cell layer[156] or Geltrex-coated dishes[24,25,27,28,159]. *In vitro* implanted human blastoids formed syncytiotrophoblast, yolk sac and amniotic cavities[24,25,27,28,159].

A recent study suggested that EPI, TE, and PrE cells appeared during the conversion of primed hPSCs into naïve hPSCs[160]. Tu et al. attempted to convert primed hPSCs to a naïve state using 5i/L/A culture condition[155] and capture three lineage cell types for the generation of blastoids[199]. They found that a high proportion of EPI-like (35.5%), 17.0% TE-like, and 28.8% PrE-like subpopulation were present in intermediate cells at day 8 of naïve conversion. Thus, Tu et al. seeded the intermediate cells (at day 8) into AggreWell plate and induced for blastoid formation. The resulting human blastoids exhibited transcriptional similarity to E6 natural blastocysts. Moreover, when the blastoids were subjected to *in vitro* attachment (to achieve post-implantation development)[147,149], they formed flattened structures that underwent expansion and further developed to form the trophoblast-like lineage, including syncytiotrophoblast-, extravillous cytotrophoblast-, proamniotic cavity-, and yolk sac-like structures.

Imamura et al. noted that human blastocysts are surrounded by a glycoprotein layer, zona pellucida (ZP), and that primed hPSCs can be converted to a naïve pluripotent state through 3D culture in AggreWell plates[161]. The aggregates of primed hPSCs were transferred to ultralow attachment culture dishes in hydrogel (HG) medium, which provides an environment for the ZP layer and converts to a naïve hPSC state with the aim of inducing blastoid formation[162]. Approximately 14.1% of the structures formed exhibited features similar to E5–7 blastocysts. These HG-blastoids were able to mimic the cell distribution of the three lineages found in natural blastocysts. Moreover, when cultured *in vitro*, the HG-blastoids formed a pro-amniotic-like cavity and cytotrophoblast-like cells that showed partial functionality. However, the number of TE- and PrE-like cells in these blastoids was relatively lower compared to natural blastocysts. Additionally, the blastoid formation efficiency of this study remained low compared to other methods using naïve hPSCs[162].

In summary, human blastoids could be generated through various methods, including a 3D culture of naïve hPSCs, conversion of primed and naïve hPSCs, and somatic cell reprogramming[24–28]. Human blastoids exhibited many features of the natural blastocysts, including morphological and architectural similarity, the ability to undergo *in vitro* peri-implantation development, and the capability to derive three stem cell types (ESCs, XENCs, and TSCs) [27,156,162,163]. This suggesting

that human blastoids can serve as a faithful *in vitro* model for studying pre- and peri-implantation development in humans. However, it is important to note that compared to natural blastocysts, many of these blastoids may display structural abnormalities due to incorrect composition of TE and EPI, and, over time, the efficiency of *in vitro* 3D culture tends to decline[163].

Although human blastoids have shown promise in studying human embryo development, infertility, teratogenicity, and disease without using natural embryos, the use of human blastoids for research and clinical application may raise important ethical issues. Some people argue that the 14-day rule, which restricts research on human embryos to a maximum of 14 days or until the appearance of the primitive streak[29,164], is also applicable for regulating human synthetic embryos. However, in 2021, the International Society for Stem Cell Research (ISSCR) decided to remove the prohibition on “culture of human embryos beyond 14 days or primitive streak formation”. Instead, ISSCR has classified human embryo models into two groups: “non-integrated” models that partially mimic early embryonic development but lack the potential for substantial development due to the lack of extraembryonic tissues, and “integrated” models that include all embryonic structures, along with extraembryonic tissues, necessitating more rigorous monitoring[165]. There are conflicting views on the regulation of the human blastoids, as they do not fully replicate the developmental stage of blastocysts and are not functionally equivalent to human embryos. Some argue that blastoids may not require the same level of regulation[166,167], while others believe that as they advance in morphology and functionality, their closer resemblance to human embryos will necessitate similar regulatory measures[168]. The first viewpoint avoids using natural blastocysts but raises ethical concerns if blastoids become functionally equivalent to blastocysts in the future. The second viewpoint is safer from a moral perspective but limits research. Although, currently, there is insufficient evidence to determine blastoids’ functional equivalence to natural blastocysts, it may be premature to exclude them from ethical scrutiny and regulation. Another viable option to address ethical concerns is to focus on gastruloid research, as these 3D models can partially mimic late-stage development beyond 14-day restriction without forming a complete organism.

## 7. Gastruloids

The blastoid or embryoid models aim to resemble *in vivo* embryogenesis through the co-culture of stem cells originating from early-stage embryos. Other approaches have been pursued to simulate early embryos and gastrula *in vitro*. In 2014, Martinez Arias et al. generated a 3D structure called “gastruloid” by aggregating a small number of ESCs and inhibiting several signaling pathways[4]. Gastruloids mimic the embryonic gastrulation process, including axis organization and germ layer specification, without the presence of extraembryonic lineages. Aggregates of approximately 300 mouse ESCs were treated with Activin A, CHIR99021 (agonists of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling), and BMP (a mesoderm inducer) to initiate primitive streak (PS) formation. Following a treatment period of 48–72 h and an analysis spanning up to 5 days, the resulting gastruloids exhibited significant features resembling early mouse embryos, including symmetry breaking, axial organization, specification of germ layers, initiation of gastrulation, and elongation of the axis[4]. In a follow-up study, the AP polarization [169], dorsal-ventral (D-V), medio-lateral (M-L) axes, and left-right (L-R) asymmetry, was confirmed in gastruloids through the expression analysis of respective markers [170]. Although gastruloids demonstrated a superior capacity to specify most embryonic axes compared to single lineage organoids, they did not fully replicate the morphological features or transcriptional signatures observed during late embryonic development.

Van den Brink et al. developed the gastruloid formation protocol to induce organogenesis and the formation of anterior neural outgrowths[171]. By employing single-cell RNA sequencing and spatial transcriptomics techniques, they found that gastruloids exhibited gene expression patterns characteristic of axis formation and somitogenesis, closely resembling those observed in mouse embryos[171]. Furthermore, when embedded in a matrigel, these gastruloids formed somites along the A-P axis [171]. A “trunk-like structure” consisting of the neural tube, gut, and somites was also generated through the embedding of four-day-old gastruloids within a matrigel matrix[172]. Treatment of early-stage EPI-like aggregates with the Wnt signaling inhibitor XAV could promote

the formation of anterior neural tissue in gastruloids[172]. Rossi et al. generated beating gastruloids through the treatment of cardiogenic factors (bFGF, ascorbic acid, and VEGF) [173]. Notably, *in vitro* cardiogenesis faithfully reproduces critical features of heart development, including the formation of a crescent-like structure and the vascular networks, as well as the interaction with surrounding tissues[173]. Gastruloid formation mainly relies on the self-organization properties of PSC and their response to signaling pathways that induce the differentiation into different germ layers. To enhance the structural complexity and functional diversity of gastruloids, Xu et al. introduced an experimentally controlled method that does not solely rely on the self-organization of the aggregates[174]. They integrated ESC aggregates with BMP4-treated aggregates that express WNT3 and NODAL at a later stage. The activation of WNT3 and NODAL signaling in the aggregates promoted the formation of mesoderm, followed by notochord-like structure, neural plate, neural tube, axial mesoderm, and endodermal and mesodermal derivatives. Based on the knowledge that reducing cell SUMOylation promotes reprogramming to a pluripotent state and trans- and terminal differentiation [175–177], Cossec et al. conducted an experiment to convert mouse ESCs to a 2C-like state using ML-792, a SUMOylation inhibitor. As a result, they obtained three cell types capable of self-assembly into adherent spheroids, leading to the formation of self-organized embryo-like structures (ELs) with head- and trunk-like structures, achieving an efficiency of 74%. The ELs also underwent gastrulation similar to those observed during the post-implantation stage of embryo development. However, ELs displayed defective development in PGCs and placental lineages, highlighting the need to address these issues and improve the efficiency and refinement for gastruloid formation[22].

In studies exploring human gastruloids, it has been observed that the treatment of human ESCs with the Wnt agonist Chiron, both before and after aggregation, leads to the formation of gastruloids exhibiting spatial polarization and the derivatives of three germ layers[178]. Notably, these gastruloids lack the development of the anterior regions where the brain forms and lack extra-embryonic tissue, thereby circumventing ethical concerns associated with human embryo research[178]. Olmsted et al. employed a sequential culture method to generate gastruloids by first culturing hiPSC treated with CHIR99021 and FGF2 in 2D, and then transferred them into suspension culture with a medium containing FGF2, IGF-1, HGF, and Y-27632[179]. The resulting human gastruloids exhibited a remarkable resemblance to the early stages of neurodevelopment and displayed extensive connections with other organ systems, such as cardiac lineages, forming neuro-cardiac gastruloids[179]. This study provided a deeper understanding of the multi-lineage development during early embryonic organogenesis[180].

## 8. Conclusions

In summary, the combination of blastocyst-derived three stem cell types (ESCs, XENCs, and TSCs) or totipotent-like stem cells alone, along with diverse manipulation of developmental signal result in the formation of synthetic embryos, including blastoids and embryoids. The blastoid model demonstrates the ability to mimic key aspects of pre-implantation development, such as polarization and cavitation. Through extended culture *in vitro*, synthetic embryos recapitulate post-implantation development both in embryonic and extraembryonic tissues. However, limitations in accurately mimicking implantation and the development of extraembryonic lineages need to be overcome to create a more comprehensive organism model. By refining culture systems, incorporating modeling mechanical environments, and developing living cell-based maternal implantation models, we can overcome these limitations and unlock deeper insights into the complexities of early development and open a new field for research with a living, comprehensive organism model.

These synthetic embryo models offer a research tool for studying early developmental events, providing insights into lineage specification, tissue patterning, and organogenesis. Furthermore, they hold potential for clinical applications, including disease modeling, drug screening, and regenerative medicine. However, ethical considerations must be balanced with the promise these models hold for advancing scientific knowledge and improving human health. Gastruloids can serve as an alternative

model for recapitulating the embryonic gastrulation process without forming extraembryonic lineage tissues, thereby offering a viable option to address ethical concerns when applied in humans.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, JTD; validation, JTD; investigation, SYO, SBN, YKK, and JTD; writing—original draft preparation and editing, SYO, SBN, YKK, and JTD; supervision, JTD; funding acquisition, JTD. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This paper was supported by Konkuk University in 2022.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kojima, Y., O.H. Tam, and P.P. Tam, *Timing of developmental events in the early mouse embryo*. Semin Cell Dev Biol, 2014. **34**: p. 65-75.
2. ten Berge, D., et al., *Wnt signaling mediates self-organization and axis formation in embryoid bodies*. Cell Stem Cell, 2008. **3**(5): p. 508-18.
3. Fuchs, C., et al., *Self-organization phenomena in embryonic stem cell-derived embryoid bodies: axis formation and breaking of symmetry during cardiomyogenesis*. Cells Tissues Organs, 2012. **195**(5): p. 377-91.
4. Van den Brink, S.C., et al., *Symmetry breaking, germ layer specification and axial organisation in aggregates of mouse embryonic stem cells*. development, 2014. **141**(22): p. 4231-4242.
5. Warmflash, A., et al., *A method to recapitulate early embryonic spatial patterning in human embryonic stem cells*. Nat Methods, 2014. **11**(8): p. 847-54.
6. Zhang, S., et al., *Implantation initiation of self-assembled embryo-like structures generated using three types of mouse blastocyst-derived stem cells*. Nat Commun, 2019. **10**(1): p. 496.
7. Harrison, S.E., et al., *Assembly of embryonic and extraembryonic stem cells to mimic embryogenesis in vitro*. Science, 2017. **356**(6334): p. eaal1810.
8. Sozen, B., et al., *Self-assembly of embryonic and two extra-embryonic stem cell types into gastrulating embryo-like structures*. Nat Cell Biol, 2018. **20**(8): p. 979-989.
9. Langkabel, J., et al., *Induction of Rosette-to-Lumen stage embryoids using reprogramming paradigms in ESCs*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 7322.
10. Girgin, M.U., et al., *Bioengineered embryoids mimic post-implantation development in vitro*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 5140.
11. Amadei, G., et al., *Inducible stem-cell-derived embryos capture mouse morphogenetic events in vitro*. 2021. **56**(3): p. 366-382. e9.
12. Amadei, G., et al., *Embryo model completes gastrulation to neurulation and organogenesis*. Nature, 2022. **610**(7930): p. 143-153.
13. Dupont, C., et al., *Efficient generation of ETX embryoids that recapitulate the entire window of murine egg cylinder development*. 2023. **9**(3): p. eadd2913.
14. Aguilera-Castrejon, A., et al., *Ex utero mouse embryogenesis from pre-gastrulation to late organogenesis*. Nature, 2021. **593**(7857): p. 119-124.
15. Lau, K.Y.C., et al., *Mouse embryo model derived exclusively from embryonic stem cells undergoes neurulation and heart development*. Cell Stem Cell, 2022. **29**(10): p. 1445-1458 e8.
16. Tarazi, S., et al., *Post-gastrulation synthetic embryos generated ex utero from mouse naive ESCs*. Cell, 2022. **185**(18): p. 3290-3306 e25.
17. Bedzhov, I., et al., *In vitro culture of mouse blastocysts beyond the implantation stages*. Nat Protoc, 2014. **9**(12): p. 2732-9.
18. Xu, Y., et al., *Derivation of totipotent-like stem cells with blastocyst-like structure forming potential*. Cell Res, 2022. **32**(6): p. 513-529.
19. Yang, M., et al., *Chemical-induced chromatin remodeling reprograms mouse ESCs to totipotent-like stem cells*. Cell Stem Cell, 2022. **29**(3): p. 400-418 e13.
20. Shen, H., et al., *Mouse totipotent stem cells captured and maintained through spliceosomal repression*. Cell, 2021. **184**(11): p. 2843-2859. e20.

21. Zhang, P., et al., *Highly efficient generation of blastocyst-like structures from spliceosomes-repressed mouse totipotent blastomere-like cells*. Science China Life Sciences, 2023: p. 1-13.
22. Cossec, J.-C., et al., *Transient suppression of SUMOylation in embryonic stem cells generates embryo-like structures*. Cell Reports, 2023. **42**(4).
23. Jenkinson, E. and I.J.N. Wilson, *In vitro support system for the study of blastocyst differentiation in the mouse*. 1970. **228**(5273): p. 776-778.
24. Liu, X., et al., *Modelling human blastocysts by reprogramming fibroblasts into iBlastoids*. Nature, 2021. **591**(7851): p. 627-632.
25. Sozen, B., et al., *Reconstructing aspects of human embryogenesis with pluripotent stem cells*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 5550.
26. Zhong, K., et al., *Generation of blastoids from human parthenogenetic stem cells*. Life Medicine, 2023. **2**(1): p. lnad006.
27. Yu, L., et al., *Blastocyst-like structures generated from human pluripotent stem cells*. Nature, 2021. **591**(7851): p. 620-626.
28. Fan, Y., et al., *Generation of human blastocyst-like structures from pluripotent stem cells*. Cell Discovery, 2021. **7**(1): p. 81.
29. Appleby, J.B. and A.L. Bredenoord, *Should the 14-day rule for embryo research become the 28-day rule?* EMBO molecular medicine, 2018. **10**(9): p. e9437.
30. Condic, M.L., *Totipotency: what it is and what it is not*. Stem Cells Dev, 2014. **23**(8): p. 796-812.
31. Maemura, M., et al., *Totipotency of mouse zygotes extends to single blastomeres of embryos at the four-cell stage*. Sci Rep, 2021. **11**(1): p. 11167.
32. Johnson, W.H., et al., *Production of four identical calves by the separation of blastomeres from an in vitro derived four-cell embryo*. Vet Rec, 1995. **137**(1): p. 15-6.
33. Calarco, P.G. and E.H. Brown, *An ultrastructural and cytological study of preimplantation development of the mouse*. J Exp Zool, 1969. **171**(3): p. 253-83.
34. Ducibella, T., et al., *Changes in cell surface and cortical cytoplasmic organization during early embryogenesis in the preimplantation mouse embryo*. J Cell Biol, 1977. **74**(1): p. 153-67.
35. White, M.D., et al., *Mouse Embryo Compaction*. Curr Top Dev Biol, 2016. **120**: p. 235-58.
36. Arnold, S.J. and E.J. Robertson, *Making a commitment: cell lineage allocation and axis patterning in the early mouse embryo*. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2009. **10**(2): p. 91-103.
37. Chazaud, C., et al., *Early lineage segregation between epiblast and primitive endoderm in mouse blastocysts through the Grb2-MAPK pathway*. Dev Cell, 2006. **10**(5): p. 615-24.
38. Niwa, H., et al., *Interaction between Oct3/4 and Cdx2 determines trophectoderm differentiation*. Cell, 2005. **123**(5): p. 917-29.
39. Varelas, X., *The Hippo pathway effectors TAZ and YAP in development, homeostasis and disease*. Development, 2014. **141**(8): p. 1614-26.
40. Nishioka, N., et al., *The Hippo signaling pathway components Lats and Yap pattern Tead4 activity to distinguish mouse trophectoderm from inner cell mass*. Dev Cell, 2009. **16**(3): p. 398-410.
41. Bedzhov, I. and M. Zernicka-Goetz, *Self-organizing properties of mouse pluripotent cells initiate morphogenesis upon implantation*. Cell, 2014. **156**(5): p. 1032-44.
42. Varlet, I., J. Collignon, and E.J. Robertson, *nodal expression in the primitive endoderm is required for specification of the anterior axis during mouse gastrulation*. Development, 1997. **124**(5): p. 1033-1044.
43. Papanayotou, C., et al., *A novel nodal enhancer dependent on pluripotency factors and smad2/3 signaling conditions a regulatory switch during epiblast maturation*. PLoS Biol, 2014. **12**(6): p. e1001890.
44. Senft, A.D., et al., *Genetic dissection of Nodal and Bmp signalling requirements during primordial germ cell development in mouse*. Nat Commun, 2019. **10**(1): p. 1089.
45. Brennan, J., et al., *Nodal signalling in the epiblast patterns the early mouse embryo*. Nature, 2001. **411**(6840): p. 965-969.
46. Ciruna, B. and J. Rossant, *FGF signaling regulates mesoderm cell fate specification and morphogenetic movement at the primitive streak*. Dev Cell, 2001. **1**(1): p. 37-49.
47. Ciruna, B.G., et al., *Chimeric analysis of fibroblast growth factor receptor-1 (Fgfr1) function: a role for FGFR1 in morphogenetic movement through the primitive streak*. Development, 1997. **124**(14): p. 2829-41.
48. Huelsken, J., et al., *Requirement for beta-catenin in anterior-posterior axis formation in mice*. J Cell Biol, 2000. **148**(3): p. 567-78.

49. Mishina, Y., et al., *Bmpr encodes a type I bone morphogenetic protein receptor that is essential for gastrulation during mouse embryogenesis*. *Genes Dev*, 1995. **9**(24): p. 3027-37.
50. Liu, P., et al., *Requirement for Wnt3 in vertebrate axis formation*. *Nat Genet*, 1999. **22**(4): p. 361-5.
51. Kinder, S.J., et al., *The organizer of the mouse gastrula is composed of a dynamic population of progenitor cells for the axial mesoderm*. *Development*, 2001. **128**(18): p. 3623-34.
52. Sulik, K., et al., *Morphogenesis of the murine node and notochordal plate*. *Dev Dyn*, 1994. **201**(3): p. 260-78.
53. Yamanaka, Y., et al., *Live imaging and genetic analysis of mouse notochord formation reveals regional morphogenetic mechanisms*. *Dev Cell*, 2007. **13**(6): p. 884-96.
54. Pituello, F., *Neuronal specification: generating diversity in the spinal cord*. *Curr Biol*, 1997. **7**(11): p. R701-4.
55. Lawson, K.A., *Fate mapping the mouse embryo*. *Int J Dev Biol*, 1999. **43**(7): p. 773-5.
56. Di-Gregorio, A., et al., *BMP signalling inhibits premature neural differentiation in the mouse embryo*. *Development*, 2007. **134**(18): p. 3359-69.
57. Camus, A., et al., *Absence of Nodal signaling promotes precocious neural differentiation in the mouse embryo*. *Dev Biol*, 2006. **295**(2): p. 743-55.
58. Saitou, M. and M. Yamaji, *Primordial germ cells in mice*. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 2012. **4**(11).
59. Lawson, K.A., et al., *Bmp4 is required for the generation of primordial germ cells in the mouse embryo*. *Genes Dev*, 1999. **13**(4): p. 424-36.
60. Ying, Y., et al., *Requirement of Bmp8b for the generation of primordial germ cells in the mouse*. *Mol Endocrinol*, 2000. **14**(7): p. 1053-63.
61. Tremblay, K.D., N.R. Dunn, and E.J. Robertson, *Mouse embryos lacking Smad1 signals display defects in extra-embryonic tissues and germ cell formation*. *Development*, 2001. **128**(18): p. 3609-21.
62. Chang, H. and M.M. Matzuk, *Smad5 is required for mouse primordial germ cell development*. *Mech Dev*, 2001. **104**(1-2): p. 61-7.
63. Hayashi, K., et al., *SMAD1 signaling is critical for initial commitment of germ cell lineage from mouse epiblast*. *Mech Dev*, 2002. **118**(1-2): p. 99-109.
64. Saitou, M.J.F.i.B.-L., *Specification of the germ cell lineage in mice*. 2009. **14**(3): p. 1068-1087.
65. Tanaka, S., et al., *Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4*. *Science*, 1998. **282**(5396): p. 2072-5.
66. Nichols, J., et al., *Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4*. *Cell*, 1998. **95**(3): p. 379-91.
67. Ying, Q.-L., et al., *The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal*. *Nature*, 2008. **453**(7194): p. 519-523.
68. Wilkinson, D.G., S. Bhatt, and B.G. Herrmann, *Expression pattern of the mouse T gene and its role in mesoderm formation*. *Nature*, 1990. **343**(6259): p. 657-9.
69. Rivera-Pérez, J.A. and T. Magnuson, *Primitive streak formation in mice is preceded by localized activation of Brachyury and Wnt3*. *Dev Biol*, 2005. **288**(2): p. 363-71.
70. Yamaji, M., et al., *Critical function of Prdm14 for the establishment of the germ cell lineage in mice*. *Nature Genetics*, 2008. **40**(8): p. 1016-1022.
71. Auman, H.J., et al., *Transcription factor AP-2gamma is essential in the extra-embryonic lineages for early postimplantation development*. *Development*, 2002. **129**(11): p. 2733-47.
72. Rivron, N.C., et al., *Blastocyst-like structures generated solely from stem cells*. *Nature*, 2018. **557**(7703): p. 106-111.
73. Rivron, N. and N. Rivron, *Formation of blastoids from mouse embryonic and trophoblast stem cells*. *Protocol Exchange*, 2018.
74. Frias-Aldeguer, J., et al., *Embryonic signals perpetuate polar-like trophoblast stem cells and pattern the blastocyst axis*. 2020: p. 510362.
75. Kemp, C., et al., *Expression of all Wnt genes and their secreted antagonists during mouse blastocyst and postimplantation development*. *Dev Dyn*, 2005. **233**(3): p. 1064-75.
76. Kunath, T., et al., *Imprinted X-inactivation in extra-embryonic endoderm cell lines from mouse blastocysts*. *Development*, 2005. **132**(7): p. 1649-61.
77. Enders, A.C., R.L. Given, and S. Schlawke, *Differentiation and migration of endoderm in the rat and mouse at implantation*. *Anat Rec*, 1978. **190**(1): p. 65-77.
78. Tam, P.P. and R.S. Beddington, *Establishment and organization of germ layers in the gastrulating mouse embryo*. *Ciba Found Symp*, 1992. **165**: p. 27-41; discussion 42-9.
79. Viotti, M., S. Nowotschin, and A.K.J.g. Hadjantonakis, *Afp:: mCherry, a red fluorescent transgenic reporter of the mouse visceral endoderm*. 2011. **49**(3): p. 124-133.

80. Perea-Gomez, A., et al., *Regionalisation of the mouse visceral endoderm as the blastocyst transforms into the egg cylinder*. BMC Developmental Biology, 2007. **7**(1): p. 96.
81. Li, S., et al., *The role of laminin in embryonic cell polarization and tissue organization*. 2003. **4**(5): p. 613-624.
82. Williams, M., et al., *Mouse primitive streak forms in situ by initiation of epithelial to mesenchymal transition without migration of a cell population*. Developmental Dynamics, 2012. **241**(2): p. 270-283.
83. Zhou, Q., et al., *Complete meiosis from embryonic stem cell-derived germ cells in vitro*. 2016. **18**(3): p. 330-340.
84. Ohinata, Y., et al., *A comprehensive, non-invasive visualization of primordial germ cell development in mice by the Prdm1-mVenus and Dppa3-ECFP double transgenic reporter*. 2008. **136**: p. 503-514.
85. Zernicka-Goetz, M., *Patterning of the embryo: the first spatial decisions in the life of a mouse*. 2002.
86. Saitou, M.J.C.o.i.g. and development, *Germ cell specification in mice*. 2009. **19**(4): p. 386-395.
87. Bao, M., et al., *Stem cell-derived synthetic embryos self-assemble by exploiting cadherin codes and cortical tension*. Nature Cell Biology, 2022. **24**(9): p. 1341-1349.
88. Toda, S., et al., *Programming self-organizing multicellular structures with synthetic cell-cell signaling*. Science, 2018. **361**(6398): p. 156-162.
89. Paca, A., et al., *BMP signaling induces visceral endoderm differentiation of XEN cells and parietal endoderm*. 2012. **361**(1): p. 90-102.
90. Moerkamp, A.T., et al., *Extraembryonic endoderm cells as a model of endoderm development*. 2013. **55**(3): p. 301-308.
91. Shimosato, D., M. Shiki, and H.J.B.d.b. Niwa, *Extra-embryonic endoderm cells derived from ES cells induced by GATA factors acquire the character of XEN cells*. 2007. **7**(1): p. 1-12.
92. Schröter, C., et al., *FGF/MAPK signaling sets the switching threshold of a bistable circuit controlling cell fate decisions in embryonic stem cells*. 2015. **142**(24): p. 4205-4216.
93. Tomic, J., et al., *Eomes and Brachyury control pluripotency exit and germ-layer segregation by changing the chromatin state*. 2019. **21**(12): p. 1518-1531.
94. Ramkumar, N., et al., *Crumbs2 promotes cell ingression during the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition at gastrulation*. Nature Cell Biology, 2016. **18**(12): p. 1281-1291.
95. Nowotschin, S., et al., *The emergent landscape of the mouse gut endoderm at single-cell resolution*. 2019. **569**(7756): p. 361-367.
96. Tanaka, Y., et al., *Early ontogenic origin of the hematopoietic stem cell lineage*. 2012. **109**(12): p. 4515-4520.
97. Tanaka, Y., et al., *Circulation-independent differentiation pathway from extraembryonic mesoderm toward hematopoietic stem cells via hemogenic angioblasts*. 2014. **8**(1): p. 31-39.
98. José-Edwards, D.S., et al., *Brachyury, Foxa2 and the cis-Regulatory Origins of the Notochord*. 2015. **11**(12): p. e1005730.
99. Ybot-Gonzalez, P., et al., *Neural plate morphogenesis during mouse neurulation is regulated by antagonism of Bmp signalling*. Development, 2007. **134**(17): p. 3203-11.
100. Balmer, S., S. Nowotschin, and A.K.J.D.D. Hadjantonakis, *Notochord morphogenesis in mice: Current understanding & open questions*. 2016. **245**(5): p. 547-557.
101. Kahane, N. and C.J.D. Kalcheim, *Neural tube development depends on notochord-derived sonic hedgehog released into the sclerotome*. 2020. **147**(10): p. dev183996.
102. Pevny, L.H., et al., *A role for SOX1 in neural determination*. 1998. **125**(10): p. 1967-1978.
103. Ericson, J., et al., *Pax6 controls progenitor cell identity and neuronal fate in response to graded Shh signaling*. 1997. **90**(1): p. 169-180.
104. Novitsch, B.G., A.I. Chen, and T.M.J.N. Jessell, *Coordinate regulation of motor neuron subtype identity and pan-neuronal properties by the bHLH repressor Olig2*. 2001. **31**(5): p. 773-789.
105. Sasaki, H. and B.L.J.C. Hogan, *HNF-3 $\beta$  as a regulator of floor plate development*. 1994. **76**(1): p. 103-115.
106. Kelsh, R.N., *Sorting out Sox10 functions in neural crest development*. Bioessays, 2006. **28**(8): p. 788-98.
107. Summerbell, D. and P.W.J. Rigby, *8 Transcriptional Regulation during Somatogenesis*, in *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*, C.P. Ordahl, Editor. 1999, Academic Press. p. 301-318.
108. Heikinheimo, M., J.M. Scandrett, and D.B. Wilson, *Localization of transcription factor GATA-4 to regions of the mouse embryo involved in cardiac development*. Dev Biol, 1994. **164**(2): p. 361-73.
109. England, J. and S. Loughna, *Heavy and light roles: myosin in the morphogenesis of the heart*. Cell Mol Life Sci, 2013. **70**(7): p. 1221-39.
110. de Boer, B.A., et al., *Growth of the developing mouse heart: An interactive qualitative and quantitative 3D atlas*. Developmental Biology, 2012. **368**(2): p. 203-213.

111. Ross, C. and T.E. Boroviak, *Origin and function of the yolk sac in primate embryogenesis*. Nat Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 3760.
112. Frankenberg, S., et al., *Primitive endoderm differentiates via a three-step mechanism involving Nanog and RTK signaling*. 2011. **21**(6): p. 1005-1013.
113. Saitou, M. and M.J.R. Yamaji, *Germ cell specification in mice: signaling, transcription regulation, and epigenetic consequences*. 2010. **139**(6): p. 931.
114. Pereira, P.N., et al., *Amnion formation in the mouse embryo: the single amniochorionic fold model*. 2011. **11**: p. 1-13.
115. Huber, T.L., et al., *Haemangioblast commitment is initiated in the primitive streak of the mouse embryo*. Nature, 2004. **432**(7017): p. 625-30.
116. Bergiers, I., et al., *Single-cell transcriptomics reveals a new dynamical function of transcription factors during embryonic hematopoiesis*. 2018. **7**: p. e29312.
117. Home, P., et al., *GATA3 is selectively expressed in the trophectoderm of peri-implantation embryo and directly regulates Cdx2 gene expression*. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2009. **284**(42): p. 28729-28737.
118. Kualess, G., et al., *A Resource for the Transcriptional Signature of Bona Fide Trophoblast Stem Cells and Analysis of Their Embryonic Persistence*. Stem Cells Int, 2015. **2015**: p. 218518.
119. Kuckenberger, P., et al., *The transcription factor TCFAP2C/AP-2γ cooperates with CDX2 to maintain trophectoderm formation*. 2010. **30**(13): p. 3310-3320.
120. Shimozaki, K., *Sox2 transcription network acts as a molecular switch to regulate properties of neural stem cells*. World J Stem Cells, 2014. **6**(4): p. 485-90.
121. Scott, I.C., et al., *The HAND1 basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor regulates trophoblast differentiation via multiple mechanisms*. Mol Cell Biol, 2000. **20**(2): p. 530-41.
122. Kröger, C., et al., *Placental vasculogenesis is regulated by keratin-mediated hyperoxia in murine decidual tissues*. Am J Pathol, 2011. **178**(4): p. 1578-90.
123. Kang, J., et al., *Isl1 is a direct transcriptional target of Forkhead transcription factors in second-heart-field-derived mesoderm*. Dev Biol, 2009. **334**(2): p. 513-22.
124. Kresoja-Rakic, J. and R. Santoro, *Nucleolus and rRNA gene chromatin in early embryo development*. Trends in Genetics, 2019. **35**(11): p. 868-879.
125. Baker, C.L. and M.F. Pera, *Capturing totipotent stem cells*. Cell stem cell, 2018. **22**(1): p. 25-34.
126. Lu, F. and Y. Zhang, *Cell totipotency: molecular features, induction, and maintenance*. National science review, 2015. **2**(2): p. 217-225.
127. Genet, M. and M.-E. Torres-Padilla, *The molecular and cellular features of 2-cell-like cells: a reference guide*. Development, 2020. **147**(16): p. dev189688.
128. Yang, J., et al., *Establishment of mouse expanded potential stem cells*. Nature, 2017. **550**(7676): p. 393-397.
129. Li, R., et al., *Generation of Blastocyst-like Structures from Mouse Embryonic and Adult Cell Cultures*. Cell, 2019. **179**(3): p. 687-702 e18.
130. Posfai, E., et al., *Evaluating totipotency using criteria of increasing stringency*. Nature cell biology, 2021. **23**(1): p. 49-60.
131. Wang, H., et al., *Zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) is involved in morula to blastocyst transformation in the mouse*. Developmental biology, 2008. **318**(1): p. 112-125.
132. Liu, K., et al., *Bilineage embryo-like structure from EPS cells can produce live mice with tetraploid trophectoderm*. Protein & Cell, 2022.
133. Vrij, E.J., et al., *Chemically-defined induction of a primitive endoderm and epiblast-like niche supports post-implantation progression from blastoids*. 2019: p. 510396.
134. Sozen, B., et al., *Self-Organization of Mouse Stem Cells into an Extended Potential Blastoid*. Dev Cell, 2019. **51**(6): p. 698-712 e8.
135. Williamson, R.A., et al., *Dystroglycan is essential for early embryonic development: disruption of Reichert's membrane in Dag1-null mice*. Human molecular genetics, 1997. **6**(6): p. 831-841.
136. Suwińska, A., *Preimplantation mouse embryo: developmental fate and potency of blastomeres*. Mouse Development: From Oocyte to Stem Cells, 2012: p. 141-163.
137. Chai, N., et al., *FGF is an essential regulator of the fifth cell division in preimplantation mouse embryos*. Developmental biology, 1998. **198**(1): p. 105-115.
138. Goldin, S.N. and V.E. Papaioannou, *Paracrine action of FGF4 during periimplantation development maintains trophectoderm and primitive endoderm*. genesis, 2003. **36**(1): p. 40-47.

139. Graham, S.J., et al., *BMP signalling regulates the pre-implantation development of extra-embryonic cell lineages in the mouse embryo*. Nature communications, 2014. **5**(1): p. 5667.
140. Sankar, A., et al., *KDM4A regulates the maternal-to-zygotic transition by protecting broad H3K4me3 domains from H3K9me3 invasion in oocytes*. Nature Cell Biology, 2020. **22**(4): p. 380-388.
141. Kime, C., et al., *Induced 2C Expression and Implantation-Competent Blastocyst-like Cysts from Primed Pluripotent Stem Cells*. Stem Cell Reports, 2019. **13**(3): p. 485-498.
142. Wahl, M.C., C.L. Will, and R. Lührmann, *The spliceosome: design principles of a dynamic RNP machine*. cell, 2009. **136**(4): p. 701-718.
143. Will, C.L. and R. Lührmann, *Spliceosome structure and function*. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology, 2011. **3**(7): p. a003707.
144. Rodriguez-Terrones, D., et al., *A molecular roadmap for the emergence of early-embryonic-like cells in culture*. Nature genetics, 2018. **50**(1): p. 106-119.
145. Kotake, Y., et al., *Splicing factor SF3b as a target of the antitumor natural product pladienolide*. Nature chemical biology, 2007. **3**(9): p. 570-575.
146. Fu, J., A. Warmflash, and M.P. Lutolf, *Stem-cell-based embryo models for fundamental research and translation*. Nature materials, 2021. **20**(2): p. 132-144.
147. Shahbazi, M.N., et al., *Self-organization of the human embryo in the absence of maternal tissues*. Nat Cell Biol, 2016. **18**(6): p. 700-708.
148. Xiang, L., et al., *A developmental landscape of 3D-cultured human pre-gastrulation embryos*. Nature, 2020. **577**(7791): p. 537-542.
149. Deglincerti, A., et al., *Self-organization of the in vitro attached human embryo*. Nature, 2016. **533**(7602): p. 251-4.
150. Okae, H., et al., *Derivation of human trophoblast stem cells*. Cell stem cell, 2018. **22**(1): p. 50-63. e6.
151. Liu, X., et al., *Reprogramming roadmap reveals route to human induced trophoblast stem cells*. Nature, 2020. **586**(7827): p. 101-107.
152. Dong, C., et al., *Derivation of trophoblast stem cells from naïve human pluripotent stem cells*. elife, 2020. **9**: p. e52504.
153. Linneberg-Agerholm, M., et al., *Naïve human pluripotent stem cells respond to Wnt, Nodal and LIF signalling to produce expandable naïve extra-embryonic endoderm*. Development, 2019. **146**(24): p. dev180620.
154. Guo, G., et al., *Human naïve epiblast cells possess unrestricted lineage potential*. Cell stem cell, 2021. **28**(6): p. 1040-1056. e6.
155. Theunissen, T.W., et al., *Systematic identification of culture conditions for induction and maintenance of naïve human pluripotency*. Cell stem cell, 2014. **15**(4): p. 471-487.
156. Kagawa, H., et al., *Human blastoids model blastocyst development and implantation*. Nature, 2022. **601**(7894): p. 600-605.
157. Guo, G., et al., *Naïve pluripotent stem cells derived directly from isolated cells of the human inner cell mass*. Stem cell reports, 2016. **6**(4): p. 437-446.
158. Guo, G., et al., *Epigenetic resetting of human pluripotency*. Development, 2017. **144**(15): p. 2748-2763.
159. Yanagida, A., et al., *Naïve stem cell blastocyst model captures human embryo lineage segregation*. Cell Stem Cell, 2021. **28**(6): p. 1016-1022. e4.
160. Bi, Y., et al., *Cell fate roadmap of human primed-to-naïve transition reveals preimplantation cell lineage signatures*. Nature Communications, 2022. **13**(1): p. 3147.
161. Chang, P.-H., et al., *Chitosan 3D cell culture system promotes naïve-like features of human induced pluripotent stem cells: A novel tool to sustain pluripotency and facilitate differentiation*. Biomaterials, 2021. **268**: p. 120575.
162. Imamura, S., et al., *Human blastoid from primed human embryonic stem cells*. bioRxiv, 2022: p. 2022.06.23.497328.
163. Tu, Z., et al., *Modeling human pregastrulation development by 3D culture of blastoids generated from primed-to-naïve transitioning intermediates*. Protein & Cell, 2023. **14**(5): p. 337-349.
164. Williams, K. and M.H. Johnson, *Adapting the 14-day rule for embryo research to encompass evolving technologies*. Reproductive Biomedicine & Society Online, 2020. **10**: p. 1-9.
165. Lovell-Badge, R., et al., *ISSCR guidelines for stem cell research and clinical translation: the 2021 update*. Stem Cell Reports, 2021. **16**(6): p. 1398-1408.
166. Yui, H., et al., *Comparison of the 2021 International Society for Stem Cell Research (ISSCR) guidelines for "laboratory-based human stem cell research, embryo research, and related research activities" and the corresponding Japanese regulations*. Regenerative Therapy, 2022. **21**: p. 46-51.

167. Matthews, K.R. and D. Morali, *National human embryo and embryoid research policies: a survey of 22 top research-intensive countries*. Regenerative Medicine, 2020. **15**(7): p. 1905-1917.
168. Sawai, T., et al., *The regulation of human blastoid research: A bioethical discussion of the limits of regulation*. EMBO reports, 2022. **23**(10): p. e56045.
169. Turner, D.A., et al., *Anteroposterior polarity and elongation in the absence of extra-embryonic tissues and of spatially localised signalling in gastruloids: mammalian embryonic organoids*. Development, 2017. **144**(21): p. 3894-3906.
170. Beccari, L., et al., *Multi-axial self-organization properties of mouse embryonic stem cells into gastruloids*. Nature, 2018. **562**(7726): p. 272-276.
171. van den Brink, S.C., et al., *Single-cell and spatial transcriptomics reveal somitogenesis in gastruloids*. Nature, 2020. **582**(7812): p. 405-409.
172. Veenveliet, J.V., et al., *Mouse embryonic stem cells self-organize into trunk-like structures with neural tube and somites*. 2020. **370**(6522): p. eaba4937.
173. Rossi, G., et al., *Capturing Cardiogenesis in Gastruloids*. Cell Stem Cell, 2021. **28**(2): p. 230-240.e6.
174. Xu, P.-F., et al., *Construction of a mammalian embryo model from stem cells organized by a morphogen signalling centre*. 2021. **12**(1): p. 3277.
175. Cossec, J.-C., et al., *SUMO safeguards somatic and pluripotent cell identities by enforcing distinct chromatin states*. Cell stem cell, 2018. **23**(5): p. 742-757. e8.
176. Borkent, M., et al., *A serial shRNA screen for roadblocks to reprogramming identifies the protein modifier SUMO2*. Stem cell reports, 2016. **6**(5): p. 704-716.
177. Baik, H., et al., *Targeting the SUMO Pathway Primes All-trans Retinoic Acid-Induced Differentiation of Nonpromyelocytic Acute Myeloid Leukemias* SUMO Represses ATRA-Induced AML Differentiation. Cancer research, 2018. **78**(10): p. 2601-2613.
178. Moris, N., et al., *An in vitro model of early anteroposterior organization during human development*. Nature, 2020. **582**(7812): p. 410-415.
179. Olmsted, Z.T. and J.L. Paluh, *Co-development of central and peripheral neurons with trunk mesendoderm in human elongating multi-lineage organized gastruloids*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 3020.
180. Olmsted, Z.T. and J.L. Paluh, *A combined human gastruloid model of cardiogenesis and neurogenesis*. Iscience, 2022. **25**(6): p. 104486.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.