

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Alena Tensor and Its Possible Applications in Unification Theories

[Piotr Ogonowski](#)^{*} and [Piotr Skindzier](#)

Posted Date: 19 January 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202310.1872.v3

Keywords: unification of interactions; general relativity; quantum field theory; quantum mechanics



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Alena Tensor and Its Possible Applications in Unification Theories

Piotr Ogonowski ^{1,*} and Piotr Skindzier ^{2,†}

¹ Kozminski University, Jagiellonska 57/59, Warsaw, 03-301, Poland

² Jagiellonian University, Alumni, Reymonta 4, Kraków, 30-059, Poland

* Correspondence: piotrogonowski@kozminski.edu.pl

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The Alena Tensor is a recently discovered class of energy-momentum tensors that provides a mathematical framework in which the description of a physical system in curved spacetime and its description in a flat spacetime with fields are equivalent. As demonstrated in previous publications, in curved spacetime this tensor reproduces Einstein Field Equations and in flat Minkowski spacetime, it describes a physical system with fields that can be widely configured. The use of the discussed tensor may significantly simplify Quantum Field Theory equations and it also provides canonical, generalized four-momentum with vanishing four-divergence that satisfies Klein-Gordon equation. This article discusses the perspectives of application of Alena Tensor in unification theories against the background of existing research directions.

Keywords: quantum field theory, quantum mechanics, general relativity, unification of interactions

1. Introduction

The history of physics is also the history of unification. The past teaches us that after the stage of research on individual phenomena and obtaining a satisfactory description of them, comes the phase of unification, in which the scattered puzzles of descriptions are put together into one whole picture, which soon turns out to also be just a part of bigger picture.

Today, modern physicists are faced with many puzzles, most of which are huge pictures, entire sections of physics, composed of hundreds of smaller parts, the existence of which we owe to thousands of outstanding scientists. The largest and most famous descriptions of physical phenomena requiring unification are, of course, General Relativity (GR) and Quantum Field Theory (QFT), however, the unification cannot be simplified to finding a theory of quantum gravity. We cannot forget about other knowledge components (so fundamental that they are easy to miss), such as Continuum Mechanics or Thermodynamics, which are also being researched in the field of unification [1–3].

"In all the attempts at unification we encounter two distinct methodological approaches: a deductive-hypothetical and an empirical-inductive method." [4] where a good example of the first approach is Supersymmetry [5] and the second one, Grand Unification [6] and, in a sense, the Standard Model itself. Part of the entire unification effort are dualistic theories [7], mainly adopting mentioned deductive-hypothetical approach. They are usually looking for a theoretical model in which existing descriptions can be reconciled and assume, that contradictions between existing descriptions may be apparent and in fact they are only different, equally valid ways of describing the same phenomena [8].

Considering the context of unification broadly, a dualistic solution to the puzzle may appear from a completely unexpected direction, as in the work of D. Grimmer describing topological redescription [9] and giving the possibility of changing the topology of space in a way similar to changing coordinate systems. When considering the unification of GR and Electrodynamics, unifying dualistic theory may come from a rather obvious direction [10], because it can be expected that there is a mathematical transformation between accelerated motion in flat spacetime and geodesic motion in curved spacetime for all accelerations due to known fields.

Dualistic descriptions are so widely used that we sometimes forget how controversial they once were. The main benefit of using such theories, apart from the cognitive value, seems to be the possibility of further, independent development and use of existing descriptions of reality, as well as, in many cases, the possibility of transforming the results between different descriptions.

For the reasons mentioned above, it is worth taking a look at a fairly new example of dualistic approach, called Alena Tensor, and discussing what new research perspectives it opens. Previous publications [11,12] have shown that Alena Tensor allows to obtain a coherent solution combining relativistic electrodynamics, QED and GR equations, so it is not just a purely theoretical, mathematical construction and seems worth further development. This method also indicates that the description of the physical system in curved spacetime and its description in flat spacetime with fields are equivalent, thanks to an appropriately constructed definition of the energy-momentum tensor which greatly facilitates further research.

Another and perhaps the most important reason to write this article is that the Alena Tensor is not an intuitive theory, requires some systematization and yet requires further research. A description of a physical theory usually begins with a description of the action and by varying it, one finds the equations of the theory, energy-momentum tensor and Lagrangians. In this case, however, such a line of reasoning would make it difficult to understand the unifying potential of this theory, which is why the action and the Lagrangian (derived in previously published papers) appear only later in this article.

When considering a curved spacetime, metrics are typically obtained from the solutions of the GR equations based on the symmetries used. In this article, the conclusions regarding the Einstein tensor will be presented in flat spacetime to reveal the meaning of the dualistic approach, which also breaks a certain accepted pattern and is not intuitive. However, such an analysis will reveal the meaning of the presented dualistic description without the need to significantly expand this article, especially since the methods of analyzing GR equations are quite well known.

Last part of the article will discuss the possibilities of further development and applications of Alena Tensor to analyze problems related to the broadly understood research on the unification of physical theories.

2. Alena Tensor and Its Properties

This chapter summarizes the state of knowledge about Alena Tensor based on recent publications. It does not contain new equations or research, but systematizes existing conclusions in the context of further applications. The authors use the Einstein summation convention, metric signature $(+, -, -, -)$ and commonly used notations.

Alena Tensor is the central object of the method described in [11] and [12]. It is a stress-energy tensor, which can be interpreted in flat and curved spacetime. The Alena Tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ has the following form

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \varrho U^\alpha U^\beta - (c^2 \varrho + \Lambda_\rho) (g^{\alpha\beta} - \zeta h^{\alpha\beta}) \quad (1)$$

Designations used:

- $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor of spacetime in which the physical system is considered,
- $1/\zeta \equiv \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu}$,
- $\varrho \equiv \varrho_0 \gamma$ where ϱ_0 is rest mass density and γ is Lorentz gamma factor,
- ϱU^α is four-momentum density in the system, in accordance with the postulate raised in the description to eq. (11) from publication [11],
- $h^{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor of curved spacetime in which all motion takes place along geodesics and it is related to the field tensor, which will be explained next,
- Λ_ρ is related to the invariant of the field tensor, which will be explained next.

The field present in the system is described by some field tensor, e.g. $\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, which may be widely configured. To simplify the reasoning, it will be assumed that field is described by $\mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma}$ representing

electromagnetic field, but the properties described here are general and apply to the field in a broader sense.

For $\mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma}$ understood as electromagnetic field tensor one gets the following relationships

$$h^{\alpha\beta} \equiv 2 \frac{\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\delta} g_{\delta\gamma} \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\delta} g_{\delta\gamma} \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} g_{\mu\beta} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\eta} g^{\eta\zeta} \mathbb{F}^{\mu}_{\zeta}}} \quad (2)$$

which provides the property $h^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\beta} h^{\mu}_{\alpha} = 4$, and

$$\Lambda_{\rho} \equiv \frac{1}{4\mu_0} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\mu} g_{\mu\gamma} \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} g_{\alpha\beta} \quad (3)$$

where μ_0 is vacuum magnetic permeability. The stress–energy tensor for electromagnetic field, denoted as $Y^{\alpha\beta}$ may be thus presented in a way that relates the field to the metric tensor of curved spacetime

$$Y^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \Lambda_{\rho} (g^{\alpha\beta} - \zeta h^{\alpha\beta}) = \Lambda_{\rho} g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\delta} g_{\delta\gamma} \mathbb{F}^{\beta\gamma} \quad (4)$$

This connection of the field with the $h^{\alpha\beta}$ tensor opens up wide possibilities of unification, discussed later in the article.

The pressure p in the system is equal to

$$p \equiv c^2 \varrho + \Lambda_{\rho} \quad (5)$$

which allows (1) to be written as

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \varrho U^{\alpha} U^{\beta} - \frac{p}{\Lambda_{\rho}} Y^{\alpha\beta} \quad (6)$$

The remaining tensors that describe the system are defined as follows

$$R^{\alpha\beta} \equiv 2 \varrho U^{\alpha} U^{\beta} - p g^{\alpha\beta} \quad (7)$$

its trace R

$$R \equiv R^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = -2p - 2\Lambda_{\rho} \quad (8)$$

and tensor $G^{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$G^{\alpha\beta} \equiv R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R \zeta h^{\alpha\beta} \quad (9)$$

which allows to rewrite (1) as

$$G^{\alpha\beta} - \Lambda_{\rho} g^{\alpha\beta} = 2 T^{\alpha\beta} + \varrho c^2 (g^{\alpha\beta} - \zeta h^{\alpha\beta}) \quad (10)$$

The above definitions allow to consider flat spacetime, curved spacetime, and all intermediate states, in which spacetime is partially curved and part of the motion results from the existence of residual fields. One may analyze boundary solutions: flat spacetime with fields and curved spacetime without fields.

2.1. Behavior of the System in Curved Spacetime

Considering $g^{\alpha\beta}$ as equal to $h^{\alpha\beta}$ one obtains that it yields $\zeta = 1$, therefore the whole part of Alena Tensor related to fields vanishes. It yields

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \varrho U_{\alpha} U_{\beta} \quad (11)$$

The value of tensor $G_{\alpha\beta}$ becomes

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R h_{\alpha\beta} \quad (12)$$

and (10) reduces to

$$G_{\alpha\beta} - \Lambda_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} = 2 T_{\alpha\beta} \quad (13)$$

Therefore, in curved spacetime, $R_{\alpha\beta}$ acts as Ricci tensor and $G_{\alpha\beta}$ acts as Einstein curvature tensor, both with an accuracy of $\frac{4\pi G}{c^4}$ constant, where cosmological constant Λ is related to the invariant of the field tensor

$$\Lambda = -\frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\Lambda_\rho \quad (14)$$

where Λ_ρ has a negative value due to the adopted metric signature.

The above result gives a chance to solve the puzzle of the "smile of the Cheshire cat" [13] explaining the reason for the appearance of the cosmological constant in Einstein Field Equations. Since the first publication of General Relativity, this constant has appeared and disappeared in EFE like Cheshire cat from the book "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland". The above equation indicates that its existence is necessary and proposes an explanation of its origin.

Since covariant four-divergences of $T_{\alpha\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha\beta}$ vanish, therefore they represent curvature tensors, related to corresponding four-force densities present in flat Minkowski spacetime. It is therefore worth taking a look at the four-force densities associated with these tensors in flat spacetime.

2.2. Behavior of the System in Flat Minkowski Spacetime

Considering $g^{\alpha\beta}$ as equal to $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ Minkowski metric tensor, thanks to the amendment to the continuum mechanics explained in equations (13) - (21) of publication [11]

$$\partial_\alpha U^\alpha = -\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \rightarrow \partial_\alpha \varrho U^\alpha = 0 \quad (15)$$

total four-force density f^α acting in the system is equal to

$$f^\alpha \equiv \partial_\beta \varrho U^\alpha U^\beta \quad (16)$$

and for considered system, it is the sum of electromagnetic (f_{EM}^α), gravitational (f_{gr}^α) and the sum of remaining (f_{oth}^α) four-force densities, where

$$f^\alpha = \begin{cases} f_{EM}^\alpha \equiv \partial_\beta Y^{\alpha\beta} & (\text{electromagnetic}) \\ + \\ f_{gr}^\alpha \equiv (\eta^{\alpha\beta} - \xi h^{\alpha\beta}) \partial_\beta p & (\text{gravitational}) \\ + \\ f_{oth}^\alpha \equiv \frac{\varrho c^2}{\Lambda_\rho} f_{EM}^\alpha & (\text{sum of remaining forces}) \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

what yields

$$\partial_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (18)$$

and

$$\partial_\beta G^{\alpha\beta} = f_{gr}^\alpha + f_{oth}^\alpha \quad (19)$$

The above result shows, that when using the Alena Tensor, it should be assumed that the Einstein tensor does not describe the curvature associated with gravity alone.

Neglecting other forces (as we currently do in known solutions for GR), one actually approximately obtains metric tensors responsible for gravity alone. However, the inclusion of other interactions in the Alena Tensor causes the Einstein tensor to correspond to the curvature associated with the four-force

density from equation (19). This means that the above approach can be used to search for the causes of disturbances between observations and the expected motion resulting from gravitational equations, which is currently attributed entirely to Dark Matter [14].

One may also introduce an additional tensor $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ which turns out to play a role of deviatoric stress tensor [15]

$$\Pi^{\alpha\beta} \equiv -c^2 \rho \zeta h^{\alpha\beta} \quad (20)$$

To demonstrate this, Alena Tensor can be represented in flat Minkowski spacetime as

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \rho U^\alpha U^\beta - p \eta^{\alpha\beta} - \Pi^{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda_\rho \zeta h^{\alpha\beta} \quad (21)$$

Now, vanishing four-divergence of the above

$$f^\alpha = \partial^\alpha p + \partial_\beta \Pi^{\alpha\beta} + f_{EM}^\alpha \quad (22)$$

express relativistic equivalence of Cauchy momentum equation (convective form) [16]. The above representation therefore allows for the analysis of the system using the tools of continuum mechanics. From this perspective, f_{EM} appears as a body force, while the remaining forces are the effect of fluid dynamics [17] and could be modeled e.g. with help of Navier-Stokes Equations [18,19].

By imposing following condition on normalized Alena Tensor as described in [12]

$$0 = \partial_\beta \left(\frac{T^{\alpha\beta}}{\eta_{\mu\gamma} T^{\mu\gamma}} \right) + \partial^\alpha \ln (\eta_{\mu\gamma} T^{\mu\gamma}) \quad (23)$$

one obtains further simplification. Some gauge of electromagnetic four-potential denoted as \mathbb{A}^μ may be expressed as

$$\mathbb{A}^\mu \equiv -\frac{\Lambda_\rho \rho_0}{p \rho_0} U^\mu \quad (24)$$

where ρ_0 denotes rest charge density in the system. It also simplifies Alena Tensor in flat Minkowski spacetime to

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\gamma} \partial^\beta \mathbb{A}_\gamma - \Lambda_\rho \eta^{\alpha\beta} \quad (25)$$

and leads to the explicit form of gravitational four-force density

$$f_{gr}^\alpha = \rho \left(\frac{d \ln(p)}{d\tau} U^\alpha - c^2 \partial^\alpha \ln(p) \right) \quad (26)$$

Both Lagrangian and Hamiltonian density for the system appear to be related to invariant of the field tensor

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} = \Lambda_\rho \quad (27)$$

where it was shown in [12] that

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda_\rho}{\partial \mathbb{A}_\alpha} = \partial_\nu \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_\rho}{\partial (\partial_\nu \mathbb{A}_\alpha)} \right) = -J^\alpha \quad (28)$$

where J^α if electric four-current.

Eq. (27) indicates, that in this solution there is no potential in the classical sense and dynamics of the system depends on itself. This is a clear analogy to main GR equation and something that should be expected from a GR-equivalent description of the system in flat spacetime.

2.3. Dynamics of Point-Like Particles

It was also shown in [12], that

$$H^\beta \equiv -\frac{1}{c} \int T^{0\beta} d^3x \quad (29)$$

in flat spacetime acts as canonical four-momentum for the point-like particle, and for the system with electromagnetic field, four-divergence of H^β vanishes due to the Poynting theorem. The action S (Hamilton's principal function) for the point-like particle was derived in [12] as

$$-S = H^\beta X_\beta = mc^2\tau + \int p d^4x = P^\beta X_\beta - mc^2\tau \quad (30)$$

where P^β is four-momentum and τ is particle's proper-time. One may denote in the above equation Pressure-Volume work (pressure potential energy) as W_{PV}

$$W_{PV} \equiv - \int p d^3x \quad (31)$$

where it was shown that p is negative. Denoting F^β as total four-force acting on the particle one may notice that Lagrangian L for the particle may be understood as the Lagrangian for a particle of some perfect fluid [20]

$$-L = \frac{1}{\gamma} F^\beta X_\beta = \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} - W_{PV} \quad (32)$$

and may be also analyzed from the perspective of the laws of thermodynamics.

The action (30) vanishes for the inertial system. It clearly shows that inertial systems in this approach do not exist and should be considered as some abstract idealization. Considered system without fields and forces vanishes, what indicates that spacetime in this approach should be actually understood as some method to perceive the field.

Mentioned canonical four-momentum is equal to

$$H^\mu = P^\mu + V^\mu = -\frac{\gamma L}{c^2} U^\mu + \mathbb{S}^\mu \quad (33)$$

where L is Lagrangian for point-like particle, \mathbb{S}^μ due to its properties, seems to be some description of the spin, and where V^μ describes the transport of energy due to the field. It can be expressed in a generalized way as

$$V^\mu = X_\beta \partial^\mu P^\beta - \partial^\mu mc^2\tau \quad (34)$$

For considered system with electromagnetic field it was calculated as

$$V^\mu = q\mathbb{A}^\mu + \frac{\rho c^2 \gamma^2}{p} P^\beta + \frac{\rho c^2}{p} \mathbb{S}^\mu + Y^\mu \quad (35)$$

where Y^μ is the volume integral of the Poynting four-vector, and

$$\mathbb{S}^\beta = \int \frac{\epsilon_0 \Lambda_\rho}{\gamma c \rho_0} \mathbb{F}^{0\mu} \partial_\mu U^\beta d^3x \quad (36)$$

where ϵ_0 is electric vacuum permittivity.

Since in (33) V^μ is just "other gauge" of $-P^\mu$ thus in such a defined system occurs

$$F^\alpha = U_\beta \left(\partial^\beta P^\alpha - \partial^\alpha P^\beta \right) = U_\beta \left(\partial^\alpha V^\beta - \partial^\beta V^\alpha \right) \quad (37)$$

where $U_\beta \partial^\alpha P^\beta = 0$ vanishes, due to the property of Minkowski metric $\partial^\alpha U_\beta U^\beta = 0$.

2.4. Quantum Picture in Flat Minkowski Spacetime and Generalizations

To ensure compatibility with the equations of QM one may consider properties of $\mathbb{S}^\mu \mathbb{S}_\mu$ and e.g. by setting in (33)

$$\mathbb{S}^\mu \mathbb{S}_\mu = m^2 c^2 - \left(\frac{\gamma L}{c} \right)^2 \quad (38)$$

and introducing quantum wave function Ψ in form of

$$\Psi \equiv e^{\pm i K^\mu X_\mu} \quad (39)$$

where K^μ is wave four-vector related to canonical four-momentum

$$\hbar K^\mu \equiv H^\mu \quad (40)$$

one obtains Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left(\square + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \Psi = 0 \quad (41)$$

However, the second quantization seems much more interesting. If one considers solely the electromagnetic field within the system and substitutes (27) for the current Lagrangian density employed in QED

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = \frac{1}{4\mu_0} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta} \mathbb{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \mathbb{F}^{0\gamma} \partial^0 \mathbb{A}_\gamma \quad (42)$$

one simplifies currently used \mathcal{L}_{QED} and may derive equations that characterize the entire system involving the electromagnetic field. Remarkably, these equations would inherently encompass the system's gravitational behavior. This is because, in the discussed approach, gravity naturally emerges within the system as an outcome of the presence of energy-momentum tensors associated with the fields in Alena Tensor, and the resultant Lagrangian density duly incorporates this aspect.

It is possible, that this might clarify the challenging quest for identifying quantum gravity as a distinct interaction within Quantum Field Theory. Additionally, it could potentially account for the remarkable precision of QED's predictions, provided it indeed characterizes the complete system involving an electromagnetic field.

One may also consider generalizing the Alena Tensor to other fields. At this point, however, it seems necessary to introduce a certain classification of fields that will explain the differences in the approach to their analysis in flat, curved spacetime and in quantum perspective.

For example, remaining with the previous notation, one may describe the field (e.g. electroweak field) in the system by some generalized field tensor $\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ providing more degrees of freedom, and express Alena Tensor in flat spacetime as follows

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \varrho U^\alpha U^\beta - \left(\frac{c^2 \varrho}{\Lambda_\rho} + 1 \right) \left(\Lambda_\rho \eta^{\alpha\beta} - \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\delta\gamma} \mathbb{F}^{\beta}_{\delta\gamma} \right) \quad (43)$$

where

$$\Lambda_\rho \equiv \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathbb{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad (44)$$

$$\zeta h^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{\mathbb{F}^{\alpha\delta\gamma} \mathbb{F}^{\beta}_{\delta\gamma}}{\Lambda_\rho} \quad (45)$$

$$\zeta \equiv \frac{4}{\eta_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}} \quad (46)$$

The Alena Tensor defined in this way retains most of properties described in the previous chapters, however, it now describes other four-force densities in the system. Total four-force density f^α can be now presented as

$$f^\alpha = \begin{cases} f_{fun}^\alpha \equiv -\partial_\beta \mathbb{F}^{\alpha\delta\gamma} \mathbb{F}_{\delta\gamma}^\beta & (\text{fundamental forces}) \\ + \\ f_{gr}^\alpha \equiv (\eta^{\alpha\beta} - \xi h^{\alpha\beta}) \partial_\beta \varrho c^2 & (\text{related to gravity}) \\ + \\ f_{sec}^\alpha \equiv \frac{\varrho c^2}{\Lambda_p} f_{fun}^\alpha & (\text{secondary forces}) \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

Therefore, interactions can be classified based on their properties as:

- fundamental interactions related to body forces f_{fun}^α
- gravitational (or gravity with an additional field), related to f_{gr}^α
- secondary interactions related to four-force density f_{sec}^α

where each of above f_i^α four-force density should satisfy the condition

$$0 = U_\alpha f_i^\alpha \quad (48)$$

Interactions defined in this way can be analyzed both from the classical perspective and in the regime of QFT description.

3. Potential Applications against the Background of Existing Research

The properties of Alena Tensor presented in the previous chapter seem promising in terms of their further development. For this reason, it is worth analyzing the possibilities of using this tool in selected research areas related to unification.

3.1. Dark Sector and Perspectives for Unification of Interactions

The first topic discussed will be the issue of the dark sector, for which Alena Tensor brings new interpretation possibilities. Although Dark Energy and Dark Matter are concepts closely related to the General Relativity, their analysis is also carried out e.g. from the perspective of quantum theories and quantum cosmology [21–23].

The use of Alena Tensor indicates that the invariant of the field tensor is responsible for the vacuum energy and the associated cosmological constant [24]. This allows to replace "the worst theoretical prediction in the history of physics" [25] with an attempt to estimate the value of this field tensor invariant. This also means, that it becomes possible to search for the expected form of the field tensor based on the experimentally measured value of its invariant, and allows to look for an answer to the question of what fields, apart from the electromagnetic field, should constitute Alena Tensor.

An example of such an approach seems to be an attempt to estimate the values of magnetic and electric fields based on available background radiation data [26] and an attempt to determine the value of the invariant of the electromagnetic field tensor. Importantly, it also seems that field invariant in general does not have to be the constant [27,28], which would be particularly important for solving the Hubble tension problem [29].

Alena Tensor also introduces the possibility of a new interpretation of the forces attributed to Dark Matter. Therefore, it may also prove helpful for analysis of Maxwell's equations with axion modifications [30] and attempts to explain Dark Matter based on these particles [31], especially in the context of the recent results regarding Sigma-8 tension [32].

Analyzing the possible directions of unification of interactions, it can also be noted that the Alena Tensor allows for testing hypotheses regarding the interconnections of fields and the connections of fields with gravity. Fields defined in the way presented in chapter (2.4) allow for quite a lot of

freedom in adapting them to the existing division of interactions that emerged in quantum mechanics: electroweak, strong and gravitational interactions.

Due to the fundamental importance of electroweak interaction (fermions are the building blocks of matter), it seems that the field strength tensor present in the system should be somehow related to this interaction, where the rest (related to gravity and secondary interactions) could be linked to gravity and to strong interactions and potentially to other fields [33]. It would be also supported by conclusions from research on Double Copy Theory [34–36], since it can be assumed that solutions should include perturbative duality between gauge theory and gravity. Thus it may be expected, that strong interactions play some role in the f_{sec} four-force density. Perhaps this will shed new light on current work on the unification of these interactions [37–39].

Finally, when discussing the unification of interactions, it is impossible to ignore the importance of the Higgs field [40]. The adoption of an analysis model based on the Alena Tensor creates new possibilities for relating the geometry of spacetime with a field in general [41] and even based on the simple model presented in chapter 2.1, it is possible to analyze relationships between the Higgs field and the electromagnetic field [42,43]. Additionally, due to the possibility of analyzing the system based on the proposed Lagrangian and generalized canonical four-momentum, it becomes possible to study individual classes of fields in terms of their impact on the phenomenon of symmetry breaking [44,45].

When building theoretical models, however, one should remember about the limitations related to the adopted analysis method. In curved spacetime, the curvature described by the Einstein tensor will always be related to the four-force densities $f_{gr}^\alpha + f_{sec}^\alpha$. In flat spacetime, conditions (23), (27) and (29) still seem reasonable.

3.2. Quantum Gravity

There is no universal agreement on the approach to developing quantum gravity [46] and so far research is being carried out using different methods in different directions. One of the research directions is canonical quantum gravity [47] with its attempt to quantify the canonical formulation of general relativity, the most promising example of which is Loop Quantum Gravity [48].

Work is also ongoing in the field of string theory, where M-theory [49] seems to be the leading area of research. There are also many other e.g. [50–52] less frequently cited studies that explore different, sometimes unusual [53] research areas.

Against the background of the above research directions, the dualistic approach represented by Alena Tensor seems very promising because it changes the research paradigm in two ways.

The first paradigm shift is that, according to the conclusions presented earlier, in the description provided by Alena Tensor, the Einstein tensor is not exclusively related to gravity. The introduction of additional interactions into the system causes an additional spacetime curvature term related to secondary interactions to appear in the curved spacetime in the Einstein tensor. This means a change in assumptions and a completely new way of perceiving the prospect of unifying the remaining interactions with gravity.

The second paradigm shift results from the very nature of the dualistic approach and concerns the lack of need to search for quantization methods in curved spacetime. According to the reasoning presented earlier, if one describes the field in flat spacetime by some field tensor and enters it into the Alena Tensor in the appropriate way, the equations in curved spacetime will naturally turn into the Einstein Field Equations.

The second paradigm shift in particular seems to be extremely important from the point of view of research on quantum gravity phenomena. It also opens new possibilities for studying quantum phenomena in a strong gravitational fields.

Current research approaches to quantum problems in a strong gravitational field each time require the construction of an appropriate model in which the obtained results can be interpreted, either through careful selection of the observer [54], or making direct use of the principle of equivalence [55], or own, specific approach [56]. It also needed consideration of the specific quantum phenomena

occurring in the vicinity of very massive objects, such as the Unruh effect [57] or Hawking radiation [58]. Thanks to the dualistic approach, such research can now be conducted in flat spacetime with fields and then the results can be easily analyzed in curved spacetime.

One of the natural directions of research seems to be the development of a field tensor that, in curved spacetime, provides the known metrics [59] used to describe gravity, extended by the term related to secondary interactions. The development of such a field tensor seems to be the first step towards building quantum gravity, this time - contrary to the direction described in the previous chapter - from the side of the General Relativity.

Interestingly, because the use of the Alena Tensor indicates the possibility of shaping the metric tensor of spacetime using a field, it also sheds new light on research on new drives [60], including the quantum effects [61] needed to analyze them. Although many QM and QFT problems seem unsolvable [62,63] using current paradigms, such as the Planck scale problems [64], previously mentioned paradigm shift can change this situation.

It also seems interesting to search for solutions to the problem of quantization of interactions related to the tensor (9) in various spacetimes, thus the problem of quantization should be addressed.

3.3. Quantization

To get a full picture of the applicability of the approach based on Alena Tensor, one may consider an example of its application to gravity quantization.

One may start with a choice of proper representation of a metric $g^{\alpha\beta}$ so that the interpretation of time in first quantization will be "natural". By "natural interpretation" of time, it is understood the approach in which, after the first quantization of Hamiltonian, one gets a proper definition of the time evolution operator in the "Schrödinger representation", in such a way that

$$U(t, t_0) = e^{-iH \cdot (t-t_0)/\hbar} \quad (49)$$

fulfill classical conditions [65]

$$\begin{aligned} U^\dagger(t, t_0)U(t, t_0) &= I \\ |\psi(t_0)\rangle &= U(t_0, t_0)|\psi(t_0)\rangle \\ U(t, t_0) &= U(t, t_1)U(t_1, t_0) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

This means that, in general, it should be possible to incorporate the Lagrangian formalism for the Gauge fields. Therefore, for the field strength tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + gf^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \quad (51)$$

one needs to define proper commutator

$$[t_a, t_b] = if^{abc}t_c \quad (52)$$

As it was show in [66] this can be done by rewriting $g^{\alpha\beta}$ in the (3 + 1)-split in Geroch decomposition manner. This approach solves the proper initial value problem, since now spacetime can be interpreted as the evolution of space in time, with interpretation of time that is consistent with Quantum Mechanics: time as a distinguished, absolute, external, global parameter. A summary of full formalism has been presented many times, last and the modern one can be found in [67], where computation rules look as follows

$$\{\gamma_{ij}, \pi^{kl}\} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_i^k \delta_j^l + \delta_j^k \delta_i^l) \delta^{(3)}(x-y) \quad (53)$$

The above approach makes it possible to introduce gravity into Quantum Mechanics in form of canonical quantization and couple this field with other interactions in regular manner. In such

picture gravity acts as just another quantum field that could be incorporated into the Standard Model Lagrangian and interact with other fields on the same principles. The only difference is that we are bound to only one representation of the metric $g^{\alpha\beta}$ with $(3 + 1)$ -split Geroch decomposition. However, it may be transformed to other, more convenient coordinate systems when quantum phenomena can be negligible.

Presented approach opens a natural way to implement that representation of tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$ into the Alena Tensor (1) for better understanding overall interpretation of GR in the big scale. From the other point of view, it opens the possibility to look for a quantum gravity phenomena in the small scale, where perturbation approach as quantum and gravity interaction are in the same level of magnitude. The most promising application of this approach could be implementing this calculations to Hawking radiation phenomena on the Planck scales, as the original calculations are questioned by other authors [68,69].

New observation methods allow to look for a quantum gravity phenomenon in the present or near future data that could test the boundaries of GR in the classical approach. One of the most promising directions in the present observation is the rise of gravitational wave (GW) astronomy. It might be worth investigating the post-merge echoes that occur because of the stimulated emission of Hawking radiation after compact binary merger events involving stellar black holes. This could be a promising way to search for deviations from General Relativity and could serve as evidence for the quantum structure of black hole horizons. Present methods used to model this phenomenon in modified theories of gravity are extremely challenging in Numerical Relativity and could provide inconclusive observation interpretations [70]. The approach presented in this paper may also help obtain results without using effective model echoes within the framework of linear perturbation theory.

4. Results and Discussion

As presented above, the possibility of using a new tool, Alena Tensor, seems to open up new research possibilities both in terms of searching for the relationship between QFT and GR [71], as well as in terms of connections between many phenomena previously analyzed separately: in quantum or classical description, curvilinear or in flat spacetime, or, for example, the possibility of combining the interpretation of fluid dynamics with field theory. Such an analysis may prove particularly interesting in the context of cosmology and the study of quantum phenomena in the early universe [72].

By appropriately selecting field tensors and testing hypotheses regarding their relationship with the Einstein tensor in curved spacetime, it is possible to search for new interpretations for Dark Matter, as well as to analyze the relationships of the invariants of these field tensors with the cosmological constant. By adopting a new interpretation of the cosmological constant as an invariant of the field tensor, possibilities also open up to explain contradictory experimental data for cosmological phenomena, because the field tensor invariant does not have to be constant in time.

Due to the high flexibility of the Alena Tensor in the selection of fields, it also seems to be a very good tool for testing hypotheses regarding the unification of interactions. Such research can be conducted in the regime of the QFT mathematical apparatus and, importantly, thanks to a clear interpretation of the four-divergence of the field stress-energy tensor (four-force density), obtained results would also lead to obtaining an interpretation of quantum interactions in the classical description. It could be a major milestone in combining known QFT results with the classical description of interactions.

Finally, one can also seek a quantum description of gravity in new ways, taking advantage of the paradigm shift that Alena Tensor brings with it. This does not mean that the problems associated with quantizing fields in curved spacetime disappear and the behavior of quantum fields when changing the metric tensor will still require careful analysis. However, it seems that thanks to the dualistic description provided by Alena Tensor, these analysis may be much easier.

Further research on Alena Tensor may also lead to its further transformations and generalizations, as well as to the design of experiments in terms of the sought properties that match the experimental

data. And all this has a chance to bring us one step closer to the next image that will connect the previously scattered puzzles of knowledge.

5. Statements

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

During the preparation of this work the authors did not use generative AI or AI-assisted technologies.

Authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Both authors contributed equally.

References

1. Böhmer, C.G.; Downes, R.J. From continuum mechanics to general relativity. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **2014**, *23*, 1442015.
2. Afonso, V.I.; Olmo, G.J.; Orazi, E.; Rubiera-García, D. Mapping nonlinear gravity into General Relativity with nonlinear electrodynamics. *The European Physical Journal. C, Particles and Fields* **2018**, *78*.
3. Shalyt-Margolin, A. The Quantum Field Theory Boundaries Applicability and Black Holes Thermodynamics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **2021**, *60*, 1858 – 1869.
4. Goenner, H. On the History of Unified Field Theories. *Living Rev. Relativ.* **2004**, *7*.
5. Canepa, A. Searches for supersymmetry at the Large Hadron Collider. *Reviews in Physics* **2019**, *4*, 100033.
6. Rowlands, P. An approach to Grand Unification. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. IOP Publishing, 2021, Vol. 2081, p. 012010.
7. Mahanta, M. A dualistic approach to gravitation. *Annalen der Physik* **1984**, *496*, 357–371.
8. Sanchez, N.G. The classical-quantum duality of nature including gravity. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **2019**, *28*, 1950055.
9. Grimmer, D. Introducing the ISE Methodology: A Powerful New Tool for Topological Redescription, 2023, [[arXiv:physics.hist-ph/2303.04130](https://arxiv.org/abs/physics.hist-ph/2303.04130)].
10. Torromé, R.G. Maximal acceleration geometries and spacetime curvature bounds. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **2020**, *17*, 2050060.
11. Ogonowski, P. Proposed method of combining continuum mechanics with Einstein Field Equations. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **2023**, *2350010*, 15.
12. Ogonowski, P. Developed Method: interactions and their quantum picture. *Frontiers in Physics* **2023**, *11*:1264925. doi:doi: 10.3389/fphy.2023.1264925.
13. Das Gupta, P. General relativity and the accelerated expansion of the universe. *Resonance* **2012**, *17*, 254–273.
14. Bertone, G.; Hooper, D. History of dark matter. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2018**, *90*, 045002.
15. Surana, K.S.; Joy, A.D.; Kedari, S.R.; Nuñez, D.E.; Reddy, J.; Wongwises, S. A Nonlinear Constitutive Theory for Deviatoric Cauchy Stress Tensor for Incompressible Viscous Fluids. 2017.
16. Goraj, R. Transformation of the Navier-Stokes Equation to the Cauchy Momentum Equation Using a Novel Mathematical Notation. *Applied Mathematics-a Journal of Chinese Universities Series B* **2016**, *07*, 1068–1073.
17. Romatschke, P.; Romatschke, U. *Relativistic fluid dynamics in and out of equilibrium: and applications to relativistic nuclear collisions*; Cambridge University Press: London, 2019.
18. Bredberg, I.; Keeler, C.; Lysov, V.; Strominger, A. From navier-stokes to einstein. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2012**, *2012*, 1–18.
19. Lasukov, V. Cosmological and Quantum Solutions of the Navier–Stokes Equations. *Russian Physics Journal* **2019**, *62*, 778–793.
20. Manoff, S. Lagrangian theory for perfect fluids. *arXiv preprint gr-qc/0303015* **2003**.
21. Foster, J.W.; Kumar, S.; Safdi, B.R.; Soreq, Y. Dark Grand Unification in the axiverse: decaying axion dark matter and spontaneous baryogenesis. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, *2022*.
22. Das, S.; Sharma, M.K.; Sur, S. On the Quantum Origin of a Dark Universe. *Physical Sciences Forum* **2021**.
23. Ng, Y. Quantum foam, gravitational thermodynamics, and the dark sector. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **2016**, *845*.

24. Peebles, P.J.E.; Ratra, B. The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2002**, *75*, 559–606.
25. Carlip, S. Hiding the cosmological constant. *Physical review letters* **2019**, *123*, 131302.
26. Lewis, A. Harmonic E / B decomposition for CMB polarization maps. *Physical Review D* **2003**, *68*, 083509.
27. Shapiro, I.L.; Sola, J.; Espana-Bonet, C.; Ruiz-Lapuente, P. Variable cosmological constant as a Planck scale effect. *Physics Letters B* **2003**, *574*, 149–155.
28. Dvali, G.; Vilenkin, A. Field theory models for variable cosmological constant. *Physical Review D* **2001**, *64*, 063509.
29. Di Valentino, E.; Mena, O.; Pan, S.; Visinelli, L.; Yang, W.; Melchiorri, A.; Mota, D.F.; Riess, A.G.; Silk, J. In the realm of the Hubble tension—a review of solutions. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **2021**, *38*, 153001.
30. Li, T.; Zhang, R.J.; Dai, C. Solutions to axion electromagnetodynamics and new search strategies of sub- μeV axion. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, *2023*, 1–18.
31. Lee, Y.; Yang, B.; Yoon, H.; Ahn, M.; Park, H.B.; Min, B.; Kim, D.; Yoo, J. Searching for Invisible Axion Dark Matter with an 18 T Magnet Haloscope. *Physical review letters* **2022**, *128* 24, 241805.
32. Joseph, M.; Aloni, D.; Schmaltz, M.; Sivarajan, E.N.; Weiner, N. A Step in understanding the S 8 tension. *Physical Review D* **2023**, *108*, 023520.
33. Test of lepton universality in beauty-quark decays. *Nature Physics* **2022**, *18*, 277–282.
34. Díaz-Jaramillo, F.; Hohm, O.; Plefka, J. Double field theory as the double copy of Yang-Mills theory. *Physical Review D* **2021**.
35. Spallucci, E.; Smaligic, A. Double copy of spontaneously broken Abelian gauge theory. *Physics Letters B* **2022**.
36. Easson, D.A.; Manton, T.; Svesko, A. Sources in the Weyl Double Copy. *Physical review letters* **2021**, *127* 27, 271101.
37. Guangzhou, G. Exploration of the unification of fields. *Physics Essays* **2019**.
38. Davighi, J.; Tooby-Smith, J. Electroweak flavour unification. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, *2022*.
39. Sarfatti, J. Unification of Einstein's Gravity with Quantum Chromodynamics. *Bulletin of the American Physical Society* **2010**.
40. Lisi, A.; Smolin, L.; Speziale, S. Unification of gravity, gauge fields and Higgs bosons. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **2010**, *43*, 445401.
41. Madore, J. The Geometry of the Higgs Field. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **2008**, *05*, 265–269.
42. Damgaard, P.H.; Heller, U.M. The U(1) Higgs model in an external electromagnetic field. *Nuclear Physics* **1988**, *309*, 625–654.
43. Nielsen, N. Higgs boson decay into two photons in an electromagnetic background field. *Physical Review D* **2014**, *90*, 016010.
44. Leder, E. Symmetry, Symmetry Breaking, and the Current View of the Dirac Monopole. 2020.
45. Quigg, C. Spontaneous symmetry breaking as a basis of particle mass. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **2007**, *70*, 1019 – 1053.
46. Krasnov, K.; Percacci, R. Gravity and unification: a review. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **2018**, *35*, 143001.
47. Thiemann, T. Canonical quantum gravity, constructive QFT, and renormalisation. *Frontiers in Physics* **2020**, *8*, 548232.
48. Ashtekar, A.; Bianchi, E. A short review of loop quantum gravity. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **2021**, *84*, 042001.
49. Albertini, F.; Del Zotto, M.; García Etxebarria, I.; Hosseini, S.S. Higher form symmetries and M-theory. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020**, *2020*, 1–46.
50. Loll, R. Quantum gravity from causal dynamical triangulations: a review. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **2019**, *37*, 013002.
51. Fernandes, P.G.; Carrilho, P.; Clifton, T.; Mulryne, D.J. The 4D Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet theory of gravity: a review. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **2022**, *39*, 063001.
52. Wani, S.S.; Quach, J.Q.; Faizal, M. Time Fisher information associated with fluctuations in quantum geometry. *Europhysics Letters* **2021**, *139*.
53. Epstein, H.I. Discretization and degeometrization: A new relational quantum physics and an alternate path to quantum gravity. *Physics Essays* **2021**, *34*, 429–463.

54. Augousti, A.; Gawelczyk, M.; Siwek, A.; Radosz, A. Touching ghosts: observing free fall from an infalling frame of reference into a Schwarzschild black hole. *European journal of physics* **2011**, *33*, 1.
55. Demir, D. Scattering times of quantum particles from the gravitational potential and equivalence principle violation. *Physical Review A* **2022**, *106*, 022215.
56. Pailas, T. "Time"-covariant Schrödinger equation and the canonical quantization of the Reissner–Nordström black hole. *Quantum Reports* **2020**, *2*, 414–441.
57. Chen, A. Generalized Unruh effect: A potential resolution to the black hole information paradox. *Physical Review D* **2023**.
58. Kolobov, V.I.; Golubkov, K.; de Nova, J.R.M.; Steinhauer, J. Observation of stationary spontaneous Hawking radiation and the time evolution of an analogue black hole. *Nature Physics* **2021**, pp. 1–6.
59. Carroll, S.M. *Spacetime and geometry*; Cambridge University Press: London, 2019.
60. Alcubierre, M.; Lobo, F.S. Warp drive basics. *Wormholes, Warp Drives and Energy Conditions* **2017**, pp. 257–279.
61. Lundblad, N.; Aveline, D.C.; Balaž, A.; Bentine, E.; Bigelow, N.P.; Boegel, P.; Efremov, M.A.; Gaaloul, N.; Meister, M.; Olshanii, M.; others. Perspective on quantum bubbles in microgravity. *Quantum Science and Technology* **2023**, *8*, 024003.
62. Tachikawa, Y. Undecidable problems in quantum field theory. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **2023**, *62*, 1–13.
63. Noce, C.; Romano, A. Undecidability and Quantum Mechanics. *Encyclopedia* **2022**.
64. Diósi, L. Planck length challenges non-relativistic quantum mechanics of large masses. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **2019**, *1275*.
65. Sakurai, J.; Napolitano, J. *Modern Quantum Mechanics*; Cambridge University Press: London, 2017.
66. Ogonowski, P.; Skindzier, P. Maxwell-like picture of General Relativity and its Planck limit, 2013, [[arXiv:physics.gen-ph/1301.2758](https://arxiv.org/abs/physics.gen-ph/1301.2758)].
67. Bergstedt, V. Spacetime as a Hamiltonian Orbit and Geroch's Theorem on the Existence of Fermions, 2020.
68. Helfer, A.D. Do black holes radiate? *Reports on Progress in Physics* **2003**, *66*, 943–1008. doi:10.1088/0034-4885/66/6/202.
69. Brout, R.; Massar, S.; Parentani, R.; Spindel, P. Hawking radiation without trans-Planckian frequencies. *Physical Review D* **1995**, *52*, 4559–4568. doi:10.1103/physrevd.52.4559.
70. Abedi, J.; Longo Micchi, L.F.; Afshordi, N. GW190521: Search for echoes due to stimulated Hawking radiation from black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **2023**, *108*, 044047. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.044047.
71. Yang, R.Q.; Liu, H.; Zhu, S.; Luo, L.; Cai, R.G. Simulating quantum field theory in curved spacetime with quantum many-body systems. *Physical Review Research* **2020**, *2*, 023107.
72. Carloni, S.; Cianci, R.; Corradini, O.; Flachi, A.; Vignolo, S.; Vitagliano, V. Avenues of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime, Genova, 14-16 Sep 2022. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. IOP Publishing, 2023, Vol. 2531, p. 011001.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.