

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

How Does Cartilage Lubrication Mechanisms Fail in Osteoarthritis? A Comprehensive Review

[Manoj Rajankunte Mahadeshwara](#)*, MAISOON AL-JAWAD, Richard M Hall, [Hemant Pandit](#), [Reem El-Gendy](#), [Michael Bryant](#)*

Posted Date: 11 April 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202404.0827.v1

Keywords: Tissue engineering; Biotribology; Cartilage lubrication; Osteoarthritis treatment; Intra-articular injections



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Review

How Does Cartilage Lubrication Mechanisms Fail in Osteoarthritis? A Comprehensive Review

Manoj Rajankunte Mahadeshwara ^{1,2,*}, Maisoon Al-Jawad ², Richard M Hall ⁴, Hemant Pandit ³, Reem El-Gendy ^{2,5} and Michael Bryant ^{1,4,*}

¹ Institute of Functional Surfaces, Mechanical Engineering, University of Leeds, UK

² Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Leeds, UK; m.al-jawad@leeds.ac.uk (M.A.-J.); r.el-gendy@leeds.ac.uk (R.E.-G.)

³ Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine (LIRMM), University of Leeds, Chapel Allerton Hospital, UK; r.m.hall@bham.ac.uk

⁴ School of Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B152TT, West Midlands, UK; r.m.hall@bham.ac.uk

⁵ Department of oral pathology, Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal university, Ismailia, Egypt

* Correspondence: mn20mrm@leeds.ac.uk (M.R.M.); m.g.bryant@bham.ac.uk (M.B.)

Abstract: Cartilage degeneration is a characteristic of osteoarthritis (OA) which is often observed in aging populations. This degeneration is due to the breakdown of articular cartilage (AC) mechanical and tribological properties, primarily attributed to lubrication failure. Understanding the reasons behind these failures and identifying potential solutions could have significant economic and societal implications, ultimately enhancing the quality of life. This review provides an overview of developments in the field of AC, focusing on its mechanical and tribological properties. The emphasis is on the role of lubrication in degraded AC, offering insights into its structure and function relationship. Further it explores the fundamental connection between AC mechano-tribological properties and the advancement of its degradation and puts forth recommendations for strategies to boost its lubrication efficiency.

Keywords: Tissue engineering; Biotribology; Cartilage lubrication; Osteoarthritis treatment; Intra-articular injections

1. Introduction

The prevalence of OA is steadily increasing in particularly among Europe's aging population, which is estimated to be around 40 million [1]. This upward trend is even more pronounced in the United Kingdom, where OA affects 40.5% of every 1,000 people annually [2]. In 2011, the surge in arthritis cases led to a significant increase in 165,000 joint replacement surgeries, with OA patients constituting a remarkable 93% of these procedures [3]. Consequently, this increase in surgeries exerts a substantial economic burden, and it is anticipated to rise 7% by 2035 due to various factors such as age, gender, and body mass index [4,5]. To tackle this growing issue and conserve economic resources, a deeper understanding of the AC structure should be made, which helps to develop a cost-effective solution to delay the need for total joint replacements.

Synovial joints exhibit a high level of incongruity at the central surface compared to the peripheral surface, resulting in a limited contact area at the interface. This lack of congruency makes these joints susceptible to surface damage when subjected to high loads [6,7]. However, the presence of AC layers covering these joints acts as a protective barrier, enabling smoother movements as shown in Figure 1. These layers covering synovial joints facilitate near-frictionless motion with friction coefficients ranging from 0.005 to 0.025 due to their specific structural and lubricating characteristics [8]. The AC structure exhibits both viscoelastic and poroelastic material properties and typically measures 1 - 4 mm in thickness within a healthy joint [9]. The elasticity modulus of AC surface ranges from 0.5 - 10 MPa which helps in high load bearing ability [10]. Considering this complex structure of AC and its role as a protective layer to the synovial joints their metabolic

activities are influenced by various factors such as matrix composition, soluble mediators from synovial fluid (SF), mechanical load, and pressure [11,12].

The junction between synovial joints secretes SF which plays a crucial role in joint function. SF acts as both a shock absorber by providing cushioning and as a natural lubricant by facilitating smooth and near-frictionless movement at the joints [13,14]. It is composed of several major constituents that includes hyaluronic acid, albumin, lubricin, and globulin, which contributes to its lubrication mechanisms [15,16]. Each of these constituents have a specific role in enhancing lubrication properties. At low shear rates, hyaluronic acid acts as a viscosity modifier for SF by influencing its flow characteristics. Albumin helps protect the AC from wear and maintains its integrity [17,18]. Lubricin plays a role in reducing the shear strength of the contacts between asperities at the synovial interface that contributes to smoother movement [19,20]. Lastly, globulin contributes to boundary lubrication at the joint interface which further reduces friction. Collectively, the composition of SF and the specific functions of its constituents contributes to the lubrication mechanisms that allow for optimal joint functionality and minimize wear and friction within the synovial joints.




Figure 1. Diagram illustrating a synovial joint featuring an AC structure. The primary components determining the frictional and mechanical properties of AC are mainly collagens, particularly type 2 collagens (55-75%), proteoglycans (15-30%), and lipids, specifically phospholipids (10%). These components vary across the superficial (10%), middle (40-60%), and deep (30%) zones of the AC. Collagen fibers are densest in the superficial zone (1-200 μm thickness, 5-20 nm diameter), providing resistance against compressive, tensile, and shear forces. In the middle and deep zones, collagen fibers have a similar size with a diameter of 30-5000 nm. Proteoglycan density is lower in the superficial zone but increases in the middle and deep zones. The arrangement of extracellular matrix consisting of collagen fibers and proteoglycans in each zone contributes to the mechanical strength of the AC, with parallel arrangement in the superficial zone and more random arrangements in the middle and radial arrangement in the deep zones [21-27].

Despite the well-designed structure of the AC and the presence of effective natural lubrication provided by SF, abnormalities can occur in synovial joints causing AC degradation and leading to tissue remodelling as shown in Figure 2. These abnormalities are driven by inflammatory mediators present in the joints that results in OA [28,29]. In OA, there is an increase in cell proliferation, leading to the formation of cell clusters and an upregulation of matrix proteins and matrix-degrading enzymes. This disruption of chondrocytes is considered as an injury response, triggering matrix remodelling, cartilage calcification, and abnormal hypertrophy-like maturation within the cartilage [30,31].




Figure 2. Shows the comparison of AC in healthy and OA conditions, the damage in the OA cartilage is shown using the synovial inflammation, cartilage degradation, bone remodelling, osteophytes formation, and neurogenesis [32] OA also leads to the thickening of the calcified zones that separate the AC from the underlying calcified cartilage. This calcification of the AC is accompanied by the presence of calcium pyrophosphate dehydration [33,34]. Moreover, OA causes synovial infiltration, characterized by the presence of low-grade macrophages and lymphocytes in the early stages of the disease, leading to synovitis (inflammation of the synovium) [35]. Reprinted with permission [32].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the advancements made in understanding the lubrication mechanisms of AC. By conducting mechano-tribological tests, researchers have gained insights into the degradation of AC, particularly in cases of OA. These tests have revealed changes in the properties, surface characteristics, and structural integrity of AC, shedding light on the underlying lubrication mechanisms. Recent developments in tribological testing methodologies, such as stationary, migrating, and convergent stationary contact area have significantly contributed to our understanding of cartilage tribology. These testing setups have also provided new insights into theories regarding the progression of OA in AC. Building upon a brief background on AC, each section of this review deals with a specific AC lubrication mechanism, covering research summaries, testing methods, quantitative explanations of AC properties, and their relationship to AC degradation. Finally, the report concludes with an understanding of AC degeneration in the case of OA based on the failure of lubrication mechanism at the joint interface. Highlights on the importance of temporary solutions using intra-articular injections are summarized.

1.1. Mechanical properties of AC in OA

AC serves as a protective covering for bones and exhibits different biomechanical properties across synovial joints. Its elastic nature allows it to deform under load, with collagen fibrils, proteoglycans, and interstitial water being key contributors to these properties. Collagen fibrils provides tensile strength, while proteoglycans and interstitial water contribute to compressive strength. Experiments such as confined, unconfined, and indentation tests have been conducted to assess aggregate modulus, permeability, compressive stiffness, shear strength and tensile strength across various specimens as tabulated in Table 1. In healthy AC, the aggregate modulus and permeability is calculated from the creep behaviour of the cartilage compression, where the load is constant allowing the displacement of the cartilage. This aggregate modulus measured is the measure of stiffness of the tissue at equilibrium, further this stiffness is the result of change in glycosaminoglycan and water content. Similarly, the tensile stiffness of the AC is due to the collagen

content and permeability is due to interstitial water variation at the surface interface. In cases of OA, cartilage surface wears out at the heavily loaded regions like the medial area of the femur and tibia. This results to loss of the superficial lining which increases surface permeability, allowing interstitial fluid to move in and out rapidly (Figure 3). Deformation dependent permeability is the property of AC which helps in load sharing between the solid and fluid composition in healthy condition. However, having rapid fluid flow within the cartilage matrix due to increased permeability in the case of OA causes uneven distribution of the load causing high stress on the solid matrix [21]. Based on these mechanical test's researchers have highlighted the differences between healthy and degraded cartilage across species. Lower modulus values and increased permeability is found to be the characteristics of degraded cartilage [36]. For example, bovine samples exhibit decreased aggregate modulus in degraded cartilage (from 0.37 MPa to 0.06 MPa in confined compression and from 3.9 MPa to 0.7 MPa in indentation tests). Similarly, porcine samples show reduced modulus values for degraded cartilage (from 0.71 MPa to 0.09 MPa under confined compression). Human OA cartilage samples follow a similar trend, with modulus values decreasing to a minimum of 0.07 MPa, indicating lower mechanical strength.




Figure 3. Shows the schematic illustration of the zones of healthy and OA cartilage. In OA, the AC undergoes structural changes resulting in the loss of its mechanical and tribological properties. Specifically, the integrity of the AC is compromised as the topmost layer erodes, causing a reduction in modulus values and an increase in permeability. This deterioration further disrupts lubrication behavior which leads to an increased friction at the interface.

Table-1 The overview of mechanical testing conducted on AC across various species, including details regarding the experimental conditions, testing setup, and quantitative data obtained from these experiments.

Sam ple sour ce	Refere nce	Healthy / Osteoarth ritic	Natural OA/ Induced OA	Cartila ge Regio n	Testing conditio n	Mechanical Properties		
						Youngs modulus	Aggregate modulus	Other findings
Bovi ne	[37]	H	-	Knee joint	Static and dynamic confined compress ion (Microsc ale)	-	0.37 ± 0.03 MPa (adult) 0.43 ± 0.02 MPa (calf), and 0.15 ± 0.01 MPa (foetus)	Permeabili ty (k_p) expressed as ($\log_{10} k_p (m^2 / (Pa s))$) - 14.92 ± 0.93 (adult) - 15.19 ±

								0.32 (calf), and -15.60 ± 0.46 (foetus) Peak compressive strain amplitudes 15.8 ± 3.4% at 0.1 Hz to 8.7 ± 1.8% at 40 Hz
[38]	H	-	Knee joint	Unconfined compression (Macroscopic)	14.6 ± 6.9 MPa at 0.1 Hz to 28.7 ± 7.8 MPa at 40 Hz	0.49 ± 0.10 MPa		
[39]	H	-	Knee joint	Unconfined compression (Microscopic)	-	0.96 ± 0.47 MPa (adult) 0.89 ± 0.39 MPa (calf), and 0.72 ± 0.36 MPa (foetus)	Poisson's ratio 0.26 ± 0.11 (adult) 0.09 ± 0.02 (calf), and 0.11 ± 0.03 (foetus) Tensile modulus 4.3 ± 0.7 MPa and Permeability 2.8 ± 0.9 × 10 ⁻³ mm ⁴ /Ns	
[40]	H	-	Knee joint	Indentation (Microscopic)	3.9 ± 0.7 MPa (Effective contact modulus)	0.62 ± 0.10 MPa (Equilibrium contact modulus)		
[41]	H	-	Knee joint	Indentation (Microscopic)	-	0.93 MPa (Equilibrium contact modulus)		
[42]	OA	(In-vitro) Induced with Type II bacterial collagenase	Knee joint	Confined compression (Macroscopic)	-	0.06 ± 0.03 - 0.13 ± 0.06 MPa	Permeability 4.73 ± 1.43 × 10 ⁻¹⁴ m ⁴ /N s – 8.25 ± 2.24 × 10 ⁻¹⁴ m ⁴ /N s	
[43]	OA	(In-vitro) Induced using Collagenase, chondroitinase ABC or elastase	Knee joint	Indentation (Microscopic)	-	0.7 MPa (Collagenase), 0.3 MPa (Chondroitinase ABC) and 0.7 MPa (Elastase)		
[44]	OA	(In-vitro) Induced using	Knee joint	Unconfined compression	-	0.45 ± 0.21 to 0.23 ±	Compressive strain	

									patellar groove)
	[49]	H	-	Knee joint	AFM Indentation (Nanoscale)	-	0.52 ± 0.05 MPa (superficial zone) 1.69 ± 0.12 MPa (calcified zone)	-	Surface roughness 59.0 ± 12.6 nm
	[50]	H	-	Jaw joint	AFM Indentation (Nanoscale)	-	0.95 ± 0.06 MPa, Anteromedial region 2.34 ± 0.26 MPa	-	Poisson's ratio Posterolateral region 0.31 ± 0.05, Anteromedial region 0.46 ± 0.05
Rabbit	[51]	OA	(In-vivo) intramuscular injection of ketamine (100mg/kg) and xylazine (8 mg/kg)	Knee joints	Surface properties	-	-	-	Surface roughness values (mean rms values) 95 – 320 %
	[52]	OA	(In-vivo) anterior cruciate ligament transection (ACLT) model	Knee joints	Indentation (Nanoscale)	3.37 ± 1.23 MPa (Instantaneous modulus)	0.85 ± 0.29 MPa (equilibrium modulus)	-	-
	[53]	H	-	Knee joint	Confined compression (Macro scale)	-	0.499 ± 0.208 MPa to 1.597 ± 0.455 MPa	-	Permeability 0.689 ± 0.304 × 10 ³ (mm ⁴ /N-s) to 1.318 ± 0.673 × 10 ³ (mm ⁴ /N-s)
Human	[54]	H	-	Knee joint	Unconfined compression (Macro scale)	-	1.60 ± 0.51 MPa to 2.47 ± 0.49 MPa	-	-
	[55]	H	-	Knee joint	Unconfined compression (Micro scale)	-	0.53 ± 0.25 MPa	-	-

[56]	OA	Total joint replacement patients	Knee joint	Unconfined compression (Macro scale)	-	-	Shear modulus 4.6 ± 1.8 MPa
[57]	OA	Total joint replacement patients	Knee joint	Indentation (Macro scale)	2.51 to 10.7 MPa (Instantaneous modulus)	0.07 to 2.86 MPa (Equilibrium modulus)	-
[58]	OA	Total joint replacement patients	Knee joint	Micropipette aspiration technique	-	Chondrocytes (0.63 ± 0.51 kPa) Instantaneous modulus and 0.33 ± 0.23 kPa) Equilibrium modulus	-

(H – healthy, OA – Osteoarthritis).

1.2. Tribological properties of AC in OA

Bio-tribology explores the surface interaction of AC in synovial joints using both biological and modelled samples [59,60]. Various experimental setups have been designed to investigate the surface interaction of AC that includes; pin-on-plate configurations (such as cartilage on a glass plate or a cartilage pin on a cartilage plate), pin-on-disk setups (using a spherical probe made of metal or glass on cartilage discs), and pendulum friction simulators [59,61,62]. Researchers have also mimicked the natural properties of AC by examining quantitative properties such as surface roughness, coefficient of friction, and wear rate. However, replicating the tribological properties and engineering a tissue that mimics the physiological conditions of AC pose significant challenges [63,64]. Traditional tribology tests have estimated the friction coefficient of AC to range from 0.005-0.025 [65]. These experimental setups deal mainly with understanding the tribological behaviours of the cartilage in different physiological conditions. The three important types of contacts used to study the cartilage tribology are the stationary (SCA), migratory (MCA) and convergent stationary contact area (c-SCA) setups. Ateshian and Wang first observed this phenomenon while sliding a glass sphere probe on the AC surface, referring to it as the MCA, which resulted in lower friction coefficients [66]. Conversely, when the cartilage was mated with a flat glass surface, the friction coefficients increased, leading to what they called the SCA [67]. These two contact configurations, the MCA and SCA, are utilized in studying cartilage tribology to investigate interstitial fluid pressurization and migration at the AC contact interfaces. The c-SCA configuration is the modified version of SCA configuration which was developed solely for experimental purpose to explain the concept of elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication in the larger cartilage plugs. All three setups are shown in Figure 4 [68].




Figure 4. Schematic representation of three different tribological setups for AC experiments. a) Stationary contact area setup, demonstrating the boundary lubrication phenomenon of AC lubrication in loaded and sliding contact with a flat surface. b) Migrating contact area setup, illustrating the interstitial fluid pressurization supporting the cartilage matrix in the loaded condition using a specialized probe. c) Convergent stationary contact area setup, showing the wedge-shaped cartilage surface sliding on a flat surface, enabling cartilage rehydration, and providing lubrication through interstitial pressurization.

Researchers have utilized the developed experimental setups to investigate various tribological properties of AC. These experiments have calculated COFs for AC across different species as summarized in the Table 2. In the case of animal cartilages, the COF values for healthy bovine cartilage range from 0.024 to 0.2, depending on the parameters used. For healthy porcine cartilage, COF values range from 0.001 to 0.14, while healthy human cartilage exhibits a COF value of 0.22. In contrast, degraded cartilages show increased COF values for all samples. For degraded bovine samples, COF ranges from 0.17 to 0.19, and in the case of human samples, COF values gradually increase based on the grades of OA up to 0.409. The observed changes in the trends of frictional behavior raise a crucial question: **Does the tribological alteration on the surface of the cartilage contribute to the development of OA, or does OA lead to changes in tribological behavior?** This is a significant question that this article aims to address by the end of its conclusion.

Table 2. Summary of tribological experiments performed on AC of different species along with the details on the experimental setup and contact types used.

Articular Cartilage	Reference	Healthy/Osteoarthritic	Natural OA/Induced OA	Cartilage Region	Type of contact	Tribological Properties			
						COF	Lubricant	Lubrication Mechanism	Other findings
Bovine	[40]	H	-	Knee joint	MCA (stainless steel ball on cartilage)	0.024 ± 0.004	PBS	Not discussed	Fluid load fraction 0.81 ± 0.03
	[69]	H	-	Knee joint	SCA (cartilage on glass)	PBS (0.218 ± 0.015), Equine SF ($0.071 \pm$	PBS, bovine SF, and	Biphasic lubrication was observed	-

					0.012), Bovine SF (0.068 ± 0.013)	equine SF	along with the mixed mode and boundary lubrications however full-film lubrication was not observed even at the high speeds Boundary lubrication is prominent when the thickness between the interface is lower. Fluid film lubrication is prominent when thickness is higher Tribological rehydration due to the formation of wedges which supports full film lubrication on Lubrication due to Fluid	
[66]	H	-	Knee joint	MCA (spherical glass lens on cartilage)	PBS (0.022 ± 0.010) SF (0.015 ± 0.004)	PBS and SF	Fluid film lubrication is prominent when thickness is higher Tribological rehydration due to the formation of wedges which supports full film lubrication on	-
[70]	H	-	Knee joint	cSCA (cartilage plug on glass slide)	0.011 ± 0.007	PBS	Lubrication due to Fluid	-
[71]	H	-	Knee joint	MCA (Stainless steel)	0.0272 ± 0.0006 - 0.1168 ±	PBS		-

				probe on cartilage)	0.0014 (3.2 mm radius probe) 0.0251 ± 0.0006 - 0.1337 ± 0.0016 (0.8 mm radius probe)		pressurization			
	[72]	OA	Induced with chondroitinase ABC and collagenase III	Knee joint	SCA (Cartilage on glass) Collagenase III (0.17±0.04) and Chondroitinase ABC (0.28±0.02)	PBS	Biphasic behaviour	-		
	[73]	OA	Induced with chondroitinase ABC	Knee joints	MCA (glass on cartilage)	Chondroitinase ABC (0.19 ± 0.02)	PBS	Time dependent interstitial pressurization	-	
	[74]	H	-	Knee	SCA (cartilage on glass)	MCA (glass on cartilage)	0.001 – 0.11	SF	Weeping lubrication	-
	[75]	H	-	Knee joint	SCA (glass on cartilage)	0.04–0.14	PBS	Not discussed	-	
	[76]	H	-	Knee joints	SCA (cartilage on glass)	0.039 ± 0.017 - 0.069 ± 0.045	PBS	Not discussed	-	
Porcine	[77]	OA	Induced with Hyaluronidase, Chondroitinase ABC, Alkaline protease	Knee joints	SCA (Cartilage on glass)	0.0025 ± 0.0012 (Hyaluronidase), 0.0043 ± 0.0013 (Chondroitinase ABC), 0.0070 ± 0.0003 (Alkaline protease)	Normal saline	Boundary lubrication is possible due to the presence of various molecules on the surface of the cartilage	-	

Human	[78]	H	-	Knee joints	SCA (cartilage on glass)	0.22	PBS	Not discussed	-
	[79]	OA	Total joint replacements	Knee joints	MCA (cartilage on cartilage) and SCA (cartilage on glass)	MCA SF (0.019 – 0.02) MCA PBS (0.025 – 0.027) SCA SF (0.04) SCA PBS (0.09-0.12)	PBS and SF	SF lubricates better than PBS in both less and more OA conditions due to its boundary lubrication properties	-
	[72]	OA	Total joint replacement	Knee joints	SCA (Cartilage on glass)	0.22±0.01 (Patient-1) and 0.23±0.01 (Patient-2)	PBS	Biphasic behaviour	-
	[80]	OA	Total joint replacement	Knee joints	AFM (polystyrene spherical tip on cartilage)	0.119 ± 0.036 for stage 0 (normal cartilage), 0.151 ± 0.039 for stage 1, 0.158 ± 0.041 for stage 2, and 0.409 ± 0.119 for stage 3	PBS	Not discussed	Surface roughness 137 ± 25 nm for stage 0 to 533 ± 196 nm for stage 3

(H – healthy, OA – Osteoarthritis, SF – Synovial fluid, COF – Coefficient of friction, SCA - Stationary contact area, MCA – Migratory contact area, cSCA – Convergent stationary contact area, AFM – Atomic force microscopy, PBS – Phosphate buffered saline)

2. Chronology of cartilage lubrication

Numerous lubrication models have been proposed to explain the characteristics of AC in synovial joints aiming to minimize friction and wear are shown in Figure 5. These models consider different loading and motion conditions experienced by the joints. Knowledge of joint lubrication dates back to the medieval period when Paracelsus introduced the term "synovia" in the 6th century [81]. In 1691, Harvey studied the lubrication properties of SF, which contributes to smooth joint movements [82]. In recent years, extensive research, both theoretical and experimental, has been conducted to understand the reasons behind the low friction in synovial joints.




Figure 5. Figure presents a chronological overview of AC lubrication models and significant discoveries concerning the tribological aspects of AC lubrication.

In 1932, MacConaill proposed the use of hydrodynamic lubrication theory considering the wedge like fluid film formation between the AC surfaces [83], which was later supported by Jones' friction measurements of synovial joints in 1936 [84]. However, this theory did not consider the incongruent nature and low speed motions of the joints which is unfavourable to create hydrodynamic lift forming the wedge. In 1959, McCutchen explained the AC has porous walls and when the load is applied the fluid is pushed out to the interface and supports the load. This weeping nature of porous cartilage was termed has weeping lubrication [85]. In 1963, Dintenfass modified this theory by considering the deformability of the AC structure and the viscous resistance of SF, calling it elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication [86]. Hooke and O'Donoghue further studied this modification however, the calculated film thickness in this lubrication model was smaller than the surface roughness of the AC surfaces at the contact interface [87]. To address this issue, Dowson and Jin proposed the concept of micro-elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication, taking into account that AC surfaces have flat asperities under physiological pressure [88]. Yet, this theory could not explain the time-dependent variation in frictional properties of AC. Following studies on fluid-film lubrications, Mow and Lai proposed a self-generating lubrication mechanism, considering the biphasic nature of AC [89]. They suggested that fluid exuded at the edges of the tissue is reabsorbed in the center, contributing to lubrication and reducing friction at the AC surface interface. This model provided visual evidence for the flow behavior of AC using an optical sliding contact rheometer. The high load-bearing capacity of synovial joints was explained by the squeeze film lubrication theory [90]. Fein studied this in 1967 [91], and Higginson and Unsworth later demonstrated it [92,93]. They explained that the AC's ability to bear high loads is due to the time-varying pressure field created by the lubricants viscous resistance as it is squeezed from the gap. During squeeze film conditions, water content from SF passes into AC over the contact region, while the remaining solute content, such as hyaluronic acid protein, acts as a lubricant. This theory was termed boosted lubrication and later supported by qualitative and quantitative characterizations [94]. In 1989, based on the boundary conditions of the AC-SF interface, Hou and colleagues formulated the biphasic squeeze film model [95]. This inspired Hlavacek in 1993 to develop the first biphasic model for SF, combining the boundary lubricant nature of solute composition in SF as viscous and non-Newtonian with Mow's biphasic theory of cartilage [96]. This theory explained that the gel formed during squeeze film due to hyaluronic acid is minimal and contributes very little to boosted lubrication ($\leq 1s$). Researchers have

also studied boundary lubrication models by varying the lubricant contents in water to evaluate their effect on the friction coefficient. While these studies have shown improvements in friction of AC, these improvements alone are not significant enough to fully explain friction reduction or joint lubrication.




Figure 6. The human gait cycle is shown representing the possible lubrication mechanism at the cartilage interface to support the load (Recreated from reference) [97].

Considering the complexities of the synovial joint mechanisms it is not possible to explain the physical aspects of joint to one individual lubrication regime. Hence the combination of different lubrication regimes explains different gait cycle giving rise to mixed or multimode lubrication regimes as shown in Figure 6. The aspects of speed, eccentricity, in the joint movements are not enough to maintain the fluid film in contact which creates the solid-solid interaction giving rise to mixed regime [98]. Further, researchers have conducted experiments to study interstitial fluid pressurization in the joint interface and to understand the role of the solid matrix, which represents the collagen proteoglycan network, along with the fluid phase, representing the interstitial water with dissolved ion phases [66,99-101]. The interactions between these phases and their contribution to friction reduction on the AC surface have been explained using biphasic and triphasic theories developed by Mow, Lai, and their colleagues [102,103]. These theories provide an explanation for the pressurization and flow of interstitial water into the porous and permeable solid matrix when the joint is loaded. Experimental results have confirmed these theories by describing compression creep, stress relaxation, and dynamic relaxation of AC. Investigations have also been made on these theories to understand cartilage rehydration under conditions that do not involve unloading or induced migration of AC [66,104]. Further studies on tribological rehydration explains the fluid retention and recovery of joint conditions [70,105]. The study of AC lubrication and wear has been conducted using various theories, often with contradictory results as summarized in Table 3 [106]. However, it is crucial to understand the fundamental mechanisms of cartilage lubrication to develop therapeutic solutions for joint degeneration such as OA. The primary focus of this review is to comprehend the AC lubrication models mentioned above to gain insights into the progression of OA.

Table 3. The summary of lubrication models for AC, along with their physiological significance, encompassing diverse physical factors, experimental configurations, and samples employed.

Conventional lubrication model	Cartilage lubrication model	Physical considerations	Samples	Experimental condition	Physiological relevance
	Hydrodynamic lubrication	Occurs at high articulating speeds or low loads.	Horse Stifle joint [107] Proximal interphalangeal joint of human finger [108]	Cartilage on cartilage experiment [107] Modified Stanton Pendulum [108] pin on plate (rubber on flat surface) [109]	Swinging phase of Walking and running in human gait cycle
	Hydrostatic/weeping lubrication	Occurs at Constant load over time	Closed cell rubber foam soaked with soapy water [109] Ovine AC [110] Bovine AC [14]	Cartilage on glass [110] Cartilage on cartilage [14] Joint simulators [111]	Stance phase of walking and running in human gait cycle
Fluid-film lubrication model	Elastohydrodynamic lubrication	Occurs at high contact pressures and elastic deformation of AC	Human ankle joint [111] Soft material rubber [112]	Roller bearing and soft surface [112]	Weight transfer phase due to walking, running or jumping in human gait cycle
	Micro-elastohydrodynamic lubrication	Occurs at the microscale interaction of AC and SF. Influenced due to change in surface topography, contact deformation, load-bearing capacity.	Human ankle joint [113]	Joint simulator [113]	During heel strike, midstance, and toe-off of the human gait cycle.
	Tribological rehydration	Modified version of hydrodynamic	Bovine AC [70] Bovine, Equine, Porcine, Ovine,	Cartilage on flat [70,114,115]	Different phases of human gait

		mic lubrication explaining the movements of SF into AC matrix during pressure distribution.	and caprine [114,115]		cycle such as heel strike to toe-off, loading, unloading, variable loading phases.
Boundary lubrication	Boundary lubrication	This model considers the synovial constituents such as hyaluronic acid, lubricin, and glycoproteins.	Human knee joint [116] Human and Bovine SF [117-119]	Modified flat on plate setup [116] Rheological properties of lubricin in SF [117] Pendulum oscillation in different SF concentration [118] Hyaluronic acid rheology and concentration in SF [119]	It occurs in mainly in the toe off of the stance phase and other intermediate phases in human gait cycle.
Boundary lubrication	Hydration lubrication	This model is an extension of boundary lubrication where it focuses mainly on the water molecules trapped inside the phospholipid layers of the synovial constituents.	Mica layers [120]	Surface force balance measurements [120]	It occurs in mainly in the toe off of the stance phase and other intermediate phases in human gait cycle.
Mixed lubrication model	Osmotic lubrication	Osmotic pressure gradients within cartilage matrix and	Theory [121]	Theory [121]	It occurs in all the phases of human gait cycles like stance

	interstitial fluid contributes to lubrication			phase (heel strike to toe-off), swing phase, transition phases, dynamic movements
Squeeze-film lubrication	Occurs when the joints are compressed leading to interstitial fluid expulsion and redistribution causing hydrodynamic pressure. This occurs with the combination of both squeeze film and boundary lubrication	Glass lens with polymethylmethacrylate flats [91]	Cylinder on flat [91]	It occurs the weight bearing and relaxing phases of human gait cycles such as heel strike and intermittent contact phases.
Boosted lubrication		Mathematical model [122]	Mathematical model [122]	It occurs in prolonged stance of the human gaits.
Biphasic lubrication	This considers cartilage has solid and fluid matrix. And explains the load support in both strain and compressive forces.	Bovine AC [67,123-125]	Cartilage on metal (pin on plate) [67,123] Cartilage indentation with flat surface [124] Confined and unconfined compression [125]	It occurs in all the gait cycles of human movements
Triphasic lubrication	This considers the electrostatic	Models [126,127]	Models [126,127]	It occurs in all the gait cycles of human

interactions introducing an ion phase to biphasic lubrication.	movements .
--	----------------

2.1. How does Lubrication models fail in the case of OA

Previous discussions regarding lubrication models for AC can be categorized by drawing from established tribological lubrication models, which include fluid-film, mixed, and boundary lubrication. These models have been adapted to account for the structure and function of AC, resulting in modifications to these lubrication models. When examining the concept of fluid film formation within AC, several models have been developed, including hydrodynamic, weeping, elastohydrodynamic, micro-elastohydrodynamic, and tribological rehydration models. Additionally, models have been proposed based on the formation of mixed film modes in AC, such as osmotic, squeeze film, boosted, biphasic, and triphasic models. Lastly, hydration model has been explained considering the lubrication effects of synovial constituents and their boundary lubrication properties. All these models focus on comprehending how healthy cartilage is lubricated with SF at the interface to ensure smooth functioning. However, when it comes to OA, the effectiveness of these models in explaining the progression of cartilage degeneration becomes questionable. In this section, we will dive into each model to assess its relevance in the context of OA.

2.1.1. Fluid-film lubrication

Fluid film lubrication theory was originally developed within the conventional context of tribological lubrication in journal bearings. The interface is separated by the pressure generated by the lubricant film at the contact point which has been adapted for understanding AC lubrication in synovial joints. This adaptation has led to the emergence of various theories, including hydrodynamic, hydrostatic (or weeping), elasto-hydrodynamic, micro-elasto-hydrodynamic, and tribological rehydration models. These lubrication models have been formulated while considering the function and physiological characteristics of AC.

The proposal of a hydrodynamic lubrication mechanism is based on the notion that SF in synovial joints creates a wedge-shaped fluid layer at the articulating junction as shown in Figure 7a [83,108]. This fluid layer prevents direct contact between the two surfaces by acting as a thin lubricant and generating a hydrodynamic lift (about 10 μm [128]). However, unlike typical hydrodynamic bearings that require high-speed transverse motion to establish this lift (500 to 4000 rpm for 40mm radius bearings [129]). The pin on plate experiments performed by Gleghorn et.al., using bovine AC failed to obtain the hydrodynamic lubrication even at high speed 50mm/s and low strain 5% [69]. The non-conformal nature of biological joint surfaces and absence of consistent high-speed and lighter loads, make the contacting geometries unfavorable for this mechanism. In the case of OA, the properties of SF can vary due to aging or damage at the articulating surfaces. The reduction of constituents such as hyaluronic acids, lubricin in diseased joints can affect the viscosity of the SF which could not support interstitial fluid pressurization (viscosity reduction was reduced by the factor 20 at shear rate of 10^{-1}s^{-1} and a factor of 3 at shear rate of 10^3s^{-1}) [130-132]. Hence, hydrodynamic lubrication is insufficient to explain in degradation mechanism of OA.

Hydrostatic / Weeping lubrication was introduced by McCutchen in 1959, drawing inspiration from hydrostatic bearings [109,110]. According to this theory, when two articulating surfaces are compressed, the interstitial fluid is forced out of the AC pores, resulting in a pressurized fluid film that supports the solid matrix as shown in Figure 7b [107,133]. Consequently, the frictional forces primarily act on the portion of the load that is transferred across the solid matrix, which signifies the load sharing mechanism [85]. These findings were substantiated through experimental observations of cartilage on glass over a period. Further they demonstrated that the frictional force did not decrease when the load was removed for a brief period of 1s, but rather increased upon reapplication of the load [133]. This mechanism provided an explanation for the time-dependent frictional behavior of

AC, which could not be accounted by hydrodynamic or boundary lubrication theories. However, this proposed mechanism sparked controversies regarding the principle of conservation of linear momentum [134]. The concept of hydrostatic lubrication cannot be applied in the case of OA due to the changes in the permeability of the cartilage surface. Researchers have identified that the permeability of the cartilage changes in the extracellular matrix structure allowing rapid fluid flow causing uneven deformation [21]. This permeability is elevated from $4.19 \pm 3.78 \times 10^{-17} \text{ m}^4/\text{N s}$ to $10.2 \pm 9.38 \times 10^{-17} \text{ m}^4/\text{N s}$ influencing the biomechanical properties of the chondrocytes in the AC tissue [135]. Thus, the fluid load support as mentioned in the weeping model fails to lubricate the degraded cartilage interface.




Figure 7. (a) Hydrodynamic lubrication mechanism shown at the AC interface along with the schematic representation of the pressure generated by the interstitial fluid flow (b) The hydrostatic/weeping lubrication at the AC interface is shown in the figure with the schematic representation of the flow of interstitial fluid load support during the loading and unloading cycles (c) The interaction of the asperities at the AC surface with the surface is shown in the figure and the pressure is being generated at these interfaces due to the hydrodynamic lubrication.

Previous lubrication models did not take into account the deformation of AC as a factor in explaining the frictionless motion of synovial joints [86]. Dintenfuss developed a AC lubrication model based on elastohydrodynamic theory, considering the viscous resistance of the SF (viscosity of SF is 10 Ns/m^2 at 0.1 s) and the elastic deformation (10.78 MPa when compressed in water) of the AC surface as shown in Figure 7c [136]. This model was supported by the observation that the viscosity of SF is negligible under pressure and the elasticity modulus of the AC is very small [136,137]. Therefore, when a high load is applied, the film thickness formed at the interface is minimal due to the flattening of the AC surface and the increased contact zone. This flattening phenomenon of the AC surface under physiological load was later referred to as the micro-elastohydrodynamic effect [88,138]. However, for the elastohydrodynamic lubrication the film thickness at the AC interface for an healthy joint was in the range of 0.1 to $1 \mu\text{m}$ with surface roughness of $2\text{-}5 \mu\text{m}$ thus this theory was substantiated by various theoretical calculations and experimental results [139]. Tanner also calculated the film thickness of hip joints during normal walking speeds, yielding a thickness of 10^{-5}cm or greater at 20cm^2 area with friction coefficient of 0.003 [128]. These experimental results demonstrated the formation of a film thickness during relative motion. But in terms of the degraded AC the surface roughness increases due to superficial fronding at the upper layer. The surface roughness with arithmetic average absolute values, maximum peak heights and the mean spacing between local peaks of the OA cartilage surface was 71% , 80% and 51% respectively to the

healthy cartilage [140]. These studies shows that the load bearing ability of the AC cannot be explained using the micro-elasto or elasto hydrodynamic lubrication models.

2.1.2. Boundary lubrication

When the hydrodynamic lubrication mechanism was proposed, it faced skepticism from researchers for not considering the contribution of synovial compositions. In 1959, Charnley postulated the presence of boundary lubrication on the AC surface which was due to the adherence of the SF at the AC interface [116,141-143]. The adherence, or boundary action, is governed by the glycoprotein fraction of SF, which forms a surface film with a thickness ranging from 1 to 100 nm [144]. Swann et al. demonstrated that polypeptide chains within SF functioning as boundary lubricants when isolated from the SF [117,118]. Subsequently, researchers began investigating the individual components of SF to determine their contributions to the boundary lubrication nature [119]. The main constituents identified were hyaluronic acid, aggrecans, lubricin, and phospholipids. Several reviews have outlined the significant contributions of these constituents and the techniques employed to study their boundary lubricant properties [145,146]. The complete explanation of the boundary lubrication mechanism at the articulating surfaces of biological joints cannot be solely attributed to the presence of hyaluronic acids, lubricin and phospholipids constituted in SF [147]. Through in-depth studies on friction conducted using surface force balance measurements, it has been observed that hydrated ions are trapped within the phospholipid solutions [148]. These hydrated ions act as effective lubricants by creating a strong repulsion during compression, facilitated by the repulsive hydration shells surrounding the trapped counterions. This phenomenon is referred to as the hydration lubrication mechanism (Figure 8) [120,149]. The underlying reason for this low friction behavior is the formation of a slip plane between closely packed phosphocholine liposomes, wherein the outer surfaces of liposomes oppose each other within a group [150]. Studies on degraded cartilage indicate that an increase in surface roughness and permeability of the cartilage leads to an extension of the boundary mode plateau, resulting in higher friction at the interface. This is primarily attributed to the loss of boundary lubricants present in the SF at the AC interface. To counteract this, boundary modes can be achieved by either increasing the viscosity of the lubricants (for instance, if the lubricant viscosity for healthy cartilage is 150 mPas, degraded cartilage might require 1500 mPas to achieve healthy friction values) or by elevating the sliding speeds at the interface [151]. By understanding and harnessing this mechanism, it becomes possible to develop intra-articular injections containing suitable lubricants that effectively reduce friction. Furthermore, this knowledge can be applied in the field of tissue engineering scaffolds for diseased cartilage [147].




Figure 8. The slip plane is formed by the phospholipids acting as the boundary lubricants at the AC interface. This acts as a film supporting the load and helping in better lubrication.

2.1.3. Mixed-mode lubrication

The film thickness formation in the cases of hydrodynamic lubrication and the boundary effect of the synovial constituents cannot completely answer the complex lubrication mechanism of the

synovial joints. There must be different modes of lubrications that comes together into the play for this complex structure that helps in explaining its low friction and wear properties [98]. This mixed lubrication regimes can be called adaptive multi-mode lubrication that can explain each individual phase of gait cycles. Based on this knowledge various lubrication models such osmotic, squeeze film, boosted, biphasic, triphasic lubrication models were proposed.

Squeeze-film lubrication model was proposed by Dowson in 1966 suggests that during joint motion the SF between the AC surfaces in synovial joints experiences compression (around 18MPa in physiological loading condition [152]), resulting in the generation of pressure [153]. This pressure is attributed to the resistance offered by the lubricant as it is squeezed out by the contacting surfaces as shown in Figure 9a. Consequently, the articulating surfaces are separated by a fluid film, leading to a localized depression where the lubricant becomes trapped supporting that load [154]. Similarly, Maroudas and Walker et al., proposed boosted lubrication which suggested when two articulating surfaces are compressed, the solvent component of SF enters the pores of the AC surface as shown in Figure 9b. While the solute or concentrated part of SF remains behind providing lubrication and this phenomenon was called boosted lubrication [94,155-158]. Both squeeze film and boosted lubrication fails in the case of OA due to the loss of proteoglycans which leads to a decrease in the dynamic and equilibrium compressive modulus of the AC [159,160]. This reduction allows for increased hydraulic permeability, resulting in decreased interstitial fluid load support during loading, ultimately leading to more cartilage damage [159].




Figure 9. (a) The squeeze film formation at the interface of the AC is shown alongside the motion of fluid in and out of the loaded and unloaded region (b) The fluid load support due to boosted lubrication is shown in the AC interface of synovial joint (c) The biphasic nature of the AC is shown in the figure with the fluid flowing in and out at the AC interface during loading and sliding motions.

Previous lubrication mechanisms were unable to provide a satisfactory explanation for the time-dependent frictional behavior exhibited by cartilage [74]. This behavior can be understood by examining the stress-relaxation or creep nature of AC which are directly associated with the release of interstitial fluid from the surface during tissue creep [67,123,124,161-163]. These properties of AC were elucidated by the biphasic theory proposed by Mow et al. When AC is subjected to compression or tension, the flow-dependent and flow-independent behaviors, as well as the mechanism of viscoelasticity, can be understood based on the change in the modulus values and the stress relaxation experiments (Figure 9c) [126,164]. This theory provides an explanation for the viscoelastic behavior of AC in various configurations, including tension, compression, and indentation [165].

According to the biphasic theory, AC is composed of a binary mixture consisting of an incompressible elastic solid (collagen and extracellular matrix) and an inviscid-incompressible fluid (interstitial fluid) [166,167]. Various researchers reported experimental measurements of interstitial fluid pressures calculating the aggregate elastic modulus of AC from creep experiments to be around 0.7 ± 0.09 MPa and from stress relaxation experiments to be around 0.76 ± 0.03 MPa [166-168]. Oloyede and Broom demonstrated this phenomenon through confined compression creep loading ex-situ experiments [169], while Soltz and Ateshian explained it using AC behaviours such as creep, stress relaxation, and dynamic loading in both confined compression (aggregate compression modulus = 0.64 ± 0.22 MPa and axial permeability = $3.62 \pm 0.97 \times 10^{-6}$ m⁴/Ns) and unconfined compression ex-situ experimental setups (tensile modulus = 12.75 ± 1.56 MPa and radial permeability = $6.06 \pm 2.10 \times 10^{-16}$ m⁴/Ns) [125,167]. These findings have established that the viscoelastic behavior of AC is solely influenced by the intrinsic mechanical properties [170] such as porosity and permeability of solid phase in AC, as well as the frictional resistance arising from the drag exerted by the interstitial fluid. Furthermore, a state of stress relaxation equilibrium can be achieved when the flow of interstitial fluid comes to a halt. In this equilibrium state, the entire load is borne by the solid matrix, providing an explanation for the load deformation response observed in AC tissue [171,172].

As an extension of biphasic theory, triphasic theory was put forth which introduces the concept of an additional phase known as the ionic phase, distinct from the interstitial fluid. This model examines the mechanical properties of AC by incorporating three distinct phases: the solid phase, the interstitial fluid phase, and the ionic phase. In this model, the solid phase of the tissue is described as a homogeneous, isotropic, linearly elastic material that experiences infinitesimal strain within the interstitial fluid phase [126,127,167]. Considering these models, effective lubricant-based solutions can be identified depending on the properties of the AC. However, in the case of degraded cartilage, the alterations, including elevated water content within the AC structure, hinders the generation of proper fluid pressure during applied loading. Furthermore, the degenerative process impacts the hydraulic permeability of the superficial zones, thereby influencing the flow-dependent and flow-independent viscoelastic behavior of AC.

2.2. Concept of tribological rehydration

Previous studies have extensively examined various fundamental concepts of AC to elucidate its low friction characteristics. These investigations have highlighted the significant role of interstitial fluid within the cartilage in facilitating both its load-bearing capacity and smooth, frictionless motion [173-175]. Several studies, such as weeping [133], boosted [94], biphasic [167], and hydration lubrication [149], have primarily focused on interstitial fluid pressurization and its influence on joint movement. However, most of these studies have examined the process of fluid exudation through controlled experiments involving static loading [19,176,177]. In contrast, the mechanisms of interstitial rehydration in AC remain unclear, however the concept of hydrostatic/weeping lubrication by McCutchen [109,110] covered the time dependent interstitial fluid movement. Also, later he proposed explanation for interstitial rehydration with the osmotic pressure gradient resulting from the density of fixed charges in the tissue, which creates a driving force for AC rehydration [70,114,115,178,179]. There are only a limited number of studies have specifically addressed this aspect. Nevertheless, during joint articulation, there is evidence of tissue thickness recovery, suggesting the presence of an additional mode of tissue recovery [70]. Consequently, various investigations have been conducted to comprehend the motion-induced tissue recovery, ultimately revealing the migratory nature of joints [179]. This concept has led to the identification of a novel phenomenon known as tribological rehydration, wherein the AC can regain interstitial hydration while sliding within a continuously loaded stationary contact area, thereby contributing to contact migration [70,115]. However, the degraded OA cartilage has the uneven surfaces across the contact regions that could possibly avoid the contact migration at the loaded regions.

3. Relevance of AC lubrication theories to OA

Comprehensively addressing cartilage degeneration, particularly OA, necessitates detailed knowledge of the lubrication process within the structure of AC. As previously mentioned, the frictional properties of the AC primarily depend on its lubrication. However, due to pathological changes occurring in the synovial joints, the AC surface becomes softer and more permeable, thereby affecting its inherent self-lubricating mechanisms [180-182]. These changes are a result of alterations in joint mechanics and the aging process. Initially, these changes disrupt the mechanisms responsible for joint lubrication, leading to joint pain [30,31]. Over time, if left untreated, they progress and eventually result in the complete breakdown of the AC, leading to severe joint dysfunction and the need for surgery [183-185]. Mechanical stresses are commonly observed as precursors to this degenerative process, causing surface alterations such as micro cracks, microcraters, and peeling of the superficial layer of the AC [186,187]. Additionally, it has been suggested that the penetration of SF enzymes through the AC surfaces can lead to the breakdown of the matrix ground substance, resulting in tissue softening and contributing to OA [188,189]. All these factors collectively disrupt the normal lubrication mechanisms within the joints and interfere with the nutritional pathways necessary for maintaining the health of the AC. It is evident from the discussion that both the mechanical and physiological functions of the AC are vital in providing proper lubrication mechanisms. Unfortunately, these factors are often compromised, thereby compromising the self-lubricating nature of the AC.

The application of classical lubrication theories to synovial joints reveals that no single lubrication theory can fully account for the overall tribological behavior of these joints. This underscores the complexity of the biological nature of synovial joints, particularly in their response to varying velocities, loads, and motions. From this comprehensive understanding, it becomes evident that synovial joints do not rely on a single lubrication mechanism but rather operate through a combination of multiple modes. However, in the case of OA, where the AC surface is damaged, its normal functioning is compromised, often leading to a reliance on one or two specific lubrication mechanism due to physical constraints. Friction at the interface of AC is primarily influenced by lubrication, which is sensitive to changes in normal and tangential forces as well as relative velocities over time. When subjected to higher loads, the ability of interstitial fluid to provide support decreases, resulting in the solid extracellular matrix bearing most of the compressive and frictional loads [99]. This is when the properties of boundary lubrication in AC become significant, emphasizing solid-solid interactions [190,191]. However, in cases of OA, the initial cartilage damage involves surface irregularities, matrix deterioration, and progressive wear. These factors negatively impact the lubrication properties of interstitial fluids, leading to increased reliance on boundary lubrication at the surface. Therefore, understanding the effects of SF constituents like hyaluronic acid, lubricin, and phospholipids on boundary lubrication is crucial for developing therapeutic approaches aimed at enhancing AC lubrication efficiency. This provides information to identify therapeutic approaches that can help restore function during the early stages of these diseases. Numerous therapeutic strategies have been explored to reduce pain, slow down cartilage degradation, and enhance the functioning of AC [192-195].

3.1. Lubricant based Solutions

Lubricant-based injections serve as a temporary remedy for managing AC function in OA conditions. These injections are designed to provide lubrication at the interface and regulate normal cartilage function [196]. However, due to erosion and increased permeability in the superficial layer of degraded AC, many proposed lubrication mechanisms may be ineffective. Nonetheless, lubrication injections still offer temporary relief and can be beneficial for older individuals with OA [197]. SF acts as a natural lubricant at the cartilage interface, containing essential constituents that enhance lubrication. These constituents are used in various lubricant-based injections, aiding in cartilage lubrication, and facilitating tribosupplementation. Natural lubricants such as hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulphate, lubricin, and phospholipids possess bifunctional properties, forming loops on the cartilage surface and acting as amphiphilic surfactant molecules [198]. In OA conditions,

the levels of these constituents significantly decrease compared to healthy samples. Specifically, the concentration of hyaluronic acid declines from 3.12 mg/mL to 0.91 mg/mL, chondroitin sulphate decreases from 18.4 mg/mL to 8.71 mg/mL, lubricin measures at 0.28 mg/mL, and phospholipids at 0.2 mg/mL [199]. Furthermore, these natural lubricants serve as inspiration for the development of bioinspired lubricants utilizing long-chain molecules with nanoparticle or peptide-based structures to mimic their characteristics as shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10. The schematic diagram showing the overview of natural and bioinspired and alternative lubricants for enhancing and restoring the AC lubrication. The natural lubricants are the long chain polymeric molecules such as hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulphate, phospholipids and lubricin. These are modified to form the bioinspired molecules mainly with hyaluronic acid and lubricin. Further the alternative forms of lubricants explored based on their similarities with natural lubricants using nanoparticle based and peptide based lubricants (reprinted with permission [199]).

Advancements in lubricant-based injections utilizing bio-inspired lubricants have demonstrated significant improvements in frictional behavior. The summary of these lubricants and their impact on degraded cartilage enhancing frictional coefficients is presented in Table 4. Several hyaluronic acid-based injections, including Synvisc, Eurflexxa, and Supartz in the United States [200], and Durolane and Ostenil in the United Kingdom [201], have been approved by regulatory authorities. Despite providing initial fluid film lubrication in hyaluronic acid and boundary lubrication in lubricin based injections, as evidenced in the Table 4, these injections exhibit diminished long-term effectiveness due to shear thinning and erosion. The decline in viscosity of these lubricants over time at the cartilage interface post-injection period contributes to their lubrication failure. However, relying solely on a single lubrication mechanism to reduce friction coefficient is proved to be inadequate. Combining two or three lubrication mechanisms to enhance effectiveness may be a more reasonable approach for achieving long-term efficiency. Ideally, injections should possess compositions that concurrently offer fluid film and boundary effects to prolong their efficacy at the degraded interface. This characteristic is equivalent to natural SF, although SF lacks a sufficient quantity of increased boundary lubricants. Consequently, enhancing the constituents with additional

boundary lubricants such as lubricin, long-chain phospholipids, etc., and incorporating these molecules into intra-articular injections can potentially achieve synergistic effects from different lubrication mechanisms. Thus, biotribology of AC lubrication emerges as a crucial area for resolving OA issues through improved treatments.

Table 4. Overview of lubricant-based solutions for enhancing AC degeneration by utilizing modified synovial constituents.

Natural Synovial Constituent	Reference	Products / Molecular composition	Type of contact and testing apparatus	Lubricant properties	Frictional properties – dynamic COF	Dose	Comments
Hyaluronic Acid	[202,203]	Synvisc One	Universal mechanical tester – Bruker (reciprocating test)	Dynamic viscosity - 325.8 ± 3.4 Pa s Molecular weight 6000 kDa	0.008 – 0.009	Injection every 3 weeks (8 mg/mL) (2mL)	Boundary lubrication is observed.
	[203,204]	Eurflexxa	Custom tribometer (cartilage against glass sliding)	Dynamic viscosity – 100.09 Pa s Molecular weight 2,400 - 3,600 kDa	0.22 – 0.23	Injection every 3 weeks (10 mg/mL) (2mL)	Adsorption of molecules on the surface increased the viscosities and hence improved frictional properties.
	[203,204]	Supartz	Custom tribometer (cartilage against glass sliding)	Dynamic viscosity – 2.11 Pa s Molecular weight 620 – 1,170 kDa	0.25	Injection every 5 weeks (10 mg/mL) (2.5mL)	-
	[203]	Durolane	-	Molecular weight - 100,000 kDa	-	1 injection (20 mg/mL) (3 mL)	-
Lubricin	[205]	mLub	Cartilage on glass surface sliding	Molecular weight ~107 kDa	0.15	-	Reduces friction and adhesion resulting in decreased cartilage degradation
	[206,207]	Proteoglycan 4 (Prg4)	Pendulum system	-	0.01	1 injection	Improves chondrocyte

						every month (250 µg/mL - 10 mg/mL) (1-2 mL)	s health and prevents stick-slip at the superficial zone reducing mechanical strain and avoiding cartilage degeneration Higher concentration
Chondroitin Sulphate	[208]	PBS+100 mg/ml Chondroitin sulphate	Custom designed sliding test (glass on cartilage)	-	0.05	-	chondroitin sulphate can improve frictional behaviour at the cartilage interface The type of adsorption of the phospholipids on the surface determines how effective the frictional behaviour
Phospholipids	[209]	Mica coated with aminothiols or poly-lysine	Surface force apparatus	-	0.08-0.3	-	

(COF – Coefficient of friction).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the study of lubrication in AC offers valuable insights into the knowledge of mechanical and tribological aspects in AC and its degeneration. The failure in the lubrication mechanism of the natural synovial joints is clearly seen as an aging effect or accidental damages resulted due to change in various physical conditions of human body. This change in lubrication mechanism can lead to complete failure of tribological aspects of joints leading to OA. Therefore, **the question of whether tribological changes lead to OA can be answered by asserting that these changes in cartilage are the results of OA and are the cause for further progression.** Thus, understanding AC lubrication is a potential way to revolutionize OA management, by developing lubricant supplements that can improve the cartilage tribology. This improvement will change the quality of life for millions of individuals affected by this degenerative joint disease.

Funding: This research was funded by UKRI EPSRC DTP, grant number EP/W524372/1.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Figures were prepared using biorender.com.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Conaghan, P.G.; Kloppenburg, M.; Schett, G.; Bijlsma, J.W. Osteoarthritis research priorities: a report from a EULAR ad hoc expert committee. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **2014**, *73*, 1442-1445.
2. Swain, S.; Sarmanova, A.; Mallen, C.; Kuo, C.F.; Coupland, C.; Doherty, M.; Zhang, W. Trends in incidence and prevalence of osteoarthritis in the United Kingdom: findings from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2020**, *28*, 792-801.
3. Prime, M.S.; Palmer, J.; Khan, W.S. The national joint registry of England and Wales. *Orthopedics* **2011**, *34*, 107-110.
4. Lohmander, L.S.; De Verdier, M.G.; Rollof, J.; Nilsson, P.M.; Engström, G. Incidence of severe knee and hip osteoarthritis in relation to different measures of body mass: a population-based prospective cohort study. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **2009**, *68*, 490-496.
5. Culliford, D.; Maskell, J.; Judge, A.; Cooper, C.; Prieto-Alhambra, D.; Arden, N.; Group, C.S. Future projections of total hip and knee arthroplasty in the UK: results from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2015**, *23*, 594-600.
6. Adeeb, S.M.; Sayed Ahmed, E.Y.; Matyas, J.; Hart, D.A.; Frank, C.B.; Shrive, N.G. Congruency effects on load bearing in diarthrodial joints. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering* **2004**, *7*, 147-157.
7. Carter, D.R.; Wong, M. The role of mechanical loading histories in the development of diarthrodial joints. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **1988**, *6*, 804-816.
8. Parkes, M.; Tallia, F.; Young, G.R.; Cann, P.; Jones, J.R.; Jeffers, J.R. Tribological evaluation of a novel hybrid for repair of articular cartilage defects. *Materials Science and Engineering: C* **2021**, *119*, 111495.
9. Eckstein, F.; Adam, C.; Sittek, H.; Becker, C.; Milz, S.; Schulte, E.; Reiser, M.; Putz, R. Non-invasive determination of cartilage thickness throughout joint surfaces using magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of biomechanics* **1997**, *30*, 285-289.
10. Silver, F.H.; Bradica, G.; Tria, A. Elastic energy storage in human articular cartilage: estimation of the elastic modulus for type II collagen and changes associated with osteoarthritis. *Matrix Biology* **2002**, *21*, 129-137.
11. Ulrich-Vinther, M.; Maloney, M.D.; Schwarz, E.M.; Rosier, R.; O'Keefe, R.J. Articular cartilage biology. *JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* **2003**, *11*, 421-430.
12. Dijkgraaf, L.C.; De Bont, L.G.; Boering, G.; Liem, R.S. The structure, biochemistry, and metabolism of osteoarthritic cartilage: a review of the literature. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery* **1995**, *53*, 1182-1192.
13. Fam, H.; Bryant, J.; Kontopoulou, M. Rheological properties of synovial fluids. *Biorheology* **2007**, *44*, 59-74.
14. Radin, E.L.; Paul, I.L. Does cartilage compliance reduce skeletal impact loads?. the relative force-attenuating properties of articular cartilage, synovial fluid, periarticular soft tissues and bone. *Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology* **1970**, *13*, 139-144.
15. Sophia Fox, A.J.; Bedi, A.; Rodeo, S.A. The basic science of articular cartilage: structure, composition, and function. *Sports health* **2009**, *1*, 461-468.
16. Ben-Trad, L.; Matei, C.I.; Sava, M.M.; Filali, S.; Duclos, M.-E.; Berthier, Y.; Guichardant, M.; Bernoud-Hubac, N.; Maniti, O.; Landoulsi, A. Synovial extracellular vesicles: Structure and role in synovial fluid tribological performances. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2022**, *23*, 11998.
17. Hascall, V.C. Interaction of cartilage proteoglycans with hyaluronic acid. *Journal of supramolecular structure* **1977**, *7*, 101-120.
18. Hascall, V.C.; Heinegård, D. Aggregation of cartilage proteoglycans: I. The role of hyaluronic acid. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1974**, *249*, 4232-4241.
19. Jay, G.D.; Waller, K.A. The biology of lubricin: near frictionless joint motion. *Matrix Biology* **2014**, *39*, 17-24.
20. Lee, Y.; Choi, J.; Hwang, N.S. Regulation of lubricin for functional cartilage tissue regeneration: a review. *Biomaterials research* **2018**, *22*, 1-10.
21. Mansour, J.M. Biomechanics of cartilage. *Kinesiology: the mechanics and pathomechanics of human movement* **2003**, *2*, 66-79.
22. Carballo, C.B.; Nakagawa, Y.; Sekiya, I.; Rodeo, S.A. Basic science of articular cartilage. *Clinics in sports medicine* **2017**, *36*, 413-425.

23. Mow, V.C.; Lai, W.M.; Redler, I. Some surface characteristics of articular cartilage—I. A scanning electron microscopy study and a theoretical model for the dynamic interaction of synovial fluid and articular cartilage. *Journal of biomechanics* **1974**, *7*, 449-456.
24. Eschweiler, J.; Horn, N.; Rath, B.; Betsch, M.; Baroncini, A.; Tingart, M.; Migliorini, F. The biomechanics of cartilage—An overview. *Life* **2021**, *11*, 302.
25. Prein, C.; Warmbold, N.; Farkas, Z.; Schieker, M.; Aszodi, A.; Clausen-Schaumann, H. Structural and mechanical properties of the proliferative zone of the developing murine growth plate cartilage assessed by atomic force microscopy. *Matrix Biology* **2016**, *50*, 1-15.
26. Clarke, I.C. Articular cartilage: a review and scanning electron microscope study: 1. The interterritorial fibrillar architecture. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume* **1971**, *53*, 732-750.
27. Korhonen, R.; Wong, M.; Arokoski, J.; Lindgren, R.; Helminen, H.; Hunziker, E.; Jurvelin, J. Importance of the superficial tissue layer for the indentation stiffness of articular cartilage. *Medical engineering & physics* **2002**, *24*, 99-108.
28. Goldring, M.B. The role of cytokines as inflammatory mediators in osteoarthritis: lessons from animal models. *Connective tissue research* **1999**, *40*, 1-11.
29. Berenbaum, F. Osteoarthritis as an inflammatory disease (osteoarthritis is not osteoarthrosis!). *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2013**, *21*, 16-21.
30. Martel-Pelletier, J.; Boileau, C.; Pelletier, J.-P.; Roughley, P.J. Cartilage in normal and osteoarthritis conditions. *Best practice & research Clinical rheumatology* **2008**, *22*, 351-384.
31. Buckwalter, J.A.; Mankin, H.J.; Grodzinsky, A.J. Articular cartilage and osteoarthritis. *Instructional Course Lectures-American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* **2005**, *54*, 465.
32. Yuan, X.; Meng, H.; Wang, Y.; Peng, J.; Guo, Q.; Wang, A.; Lu, S. Bone–cartilage interface crosstalk in osteoarthritis: potential pathways and future therapeutic strategies. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2014**, *22*, 1077-1089.
33. Oegema, T.R.; Carpenter, R.J.; Hofmeister, F.; Thompson, R.C. The interaction of the zone of calcified cartilage and subchondral bone in osteoarthritis. *Microscopy research and technique* **1997**, *37*, 324-332.
34. Lane, L.B.; Bullough, P.G. Age-related changes in the thickness of the calcified zone and the number of tidemarks in adult human articular cartilage. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume* **1980**, *62*, 372-375.
35. De Boer, T.; Van Spil, W.; Huisman, A.; Polak, A.; Bijlsma, J.; Lafeber, F.; Mastbergen, S. Serum adipokines in osteoarthritis; comparison with controls and relationship with local parameters of synovial inflammation and cartilage damage. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2012**, *20*, 846-853.
36. Buschmann, M.D.; Kim, Y.-J.; Wong, M.; Frank, E.; Hunziker, E.B.; Grodzinsky, A.J. Stimulation of Aggrecan synthesis in cartilage explants by cyclic loading is localized to regions of high interstitial fluid Flow1. *Archives of biochemistry and biophysics* **1999**, *366*, 1-7.
37. Williamson, A.K.; Chen, A.C.; Sah, R.L. Compressive properties and function—composition relationships of developing bovine articular cartilage. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **2001**, *19*, 1113-1121.
38. Park, S.; Hung, C.; Ateshian, G. Mechanical response of bovine articular cartilage under dynamic unconfined compression loading at physiological stress levels. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2004**, *12*, 65-73.
39. Wong, M.; Ponticello, M.; Kovanen, V.; Jurvelin, J. Volumetric changes of articular cartilage during stress relaxation in unconfined compression. *Journal of biomechanics* **2000**, *33*, 1049-1054.
40. Moore, A.C.; Burris, D.L. Tribological and material properties for cartilage of and throughout the bovine stifle: support for the altered joint kinematics hypothesis of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2015**, *23*, 161-169.
41. Bonnevie, E.; Baro, V.; Wang, L.; Burris, D. Fluid load support during localized indentation of cartilage with a spherical probe. *Journal of Biomechanics* **2012**, *45*, 1036-1041.
42. Grenier, S.; Bhargava, M.M.; Torzilli, P.A. An in vitro model for the pathological degradation of articular cartilage in osteoarthritis. *Journal of biomechanics* **2014**, *47*, 645-652.
43. Rieppo, J.; Töyräs, J.; Nieminen, M.T.; Kovanen, V.; Hyttinen, M.M.; Korhonen, R.K.; Jurvelin, J.S.; Helminen, H.J. Structure-function relationships in enzymatically modified articular cartilage. *Cells Tissues Organs* **2003**, *175*, 121-132.
44. Park, S.; Nicoll, S.B.; Mauck, R.L.; Ateshian, G.A. Cartilage mechanical response under dynamic compression at physiological stress levels following collagenase digestion. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* **2008**, *36*, 425-434.

45. Franke, O.; Durst, K.; Maier, V.; Göken, M.; Birkholz, T.; Schneider, H.; Hennig, F.; Gelse, K. Mechanical properties of hyaline and repair cartilage studied by nanoindentation. *Acta Biomaterialia* **2007**, *3*, 873-881.
46. Jeng, Y.-R.; Mao, C.-P.; Wu, K.-T. Instrumented indentation investigation on the viscoelastic properties of porcine cartilage. *Journal of Bionic Engineering* **2013**, *10*, 522-531.
47. Cutcliffe, H.C.; DeFrate, L.E. Comparison of cartilage mechanical properties measured during creep and recovery. *Scientific reports* **2020**, *10*, 1547.
48. Hennerbichler, A.; Rosenberger, R.; Arora, R.; Hennerbichler, D. Biochemical, biomechanical and histological properties of osteoarthritic porcine knee cartilage: implications for osteochondral transplantation. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery* **2008**, *128*, 61-70.
49. Tomkoria, S.; Patel, R.V.; Mao, J.J. Heterogeneous nanomechanical properties of superficial and zonal regions of articular cartilage of the rabbit proximal radius condyle by atomic force microscopy. *Medical engineering & physics* **2004**, *26*, 815-822.
50. Hu, K.; Radhakrishnan, P.; Patel, R.V.; Mao, J.J. Regional structural and viscoelastic properties of fibrocartilage upon dynamic nanoindentation of the articular condyle. *Journal of structural biology* **2001**, *136*, 46-52.
51. Yoshioka, M.; Coutts, R.D.; Amiel, D.; Hacker, S.A. Characterization of a model of osteoarthritis in the rabbit knee. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **1996**, *4*, 87-98.
52. Pragnère, S.; Boulocher, C.; Pollet, O.; Bossier, C.; Levillain, A.; Cruel, M.; Hoc, T. Mechanical alterations of the bone-cartilage unit in a rabbit model of early osteoarthrosis. *Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials* **2018**, *83*, 1-8.
53. Zimmerman, B.K.; Nims, R.J.; Chen, A.; Hung, C.T.; Ateshian, G.A. Direct osmotic pressure measurements in articular cartilage demonstrate nonideal and concentration-dependent phenomena. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* **2021**, *143*, 041007.
54. Burgin, L.; Edelsten, L.; Aspden, R. The mechanical and material properties of elderly human articular cartilage subject to impact and slow loading. *Medical engineering & physics* **2014**, *36*, 226-232.
55. Nissi, M.; Rieppo, J.; Töyräs, J.; Laasanen, M.; Kiviranta, I.; Nieminen, M.; Jurvelin, J. Estimation of mechanical properties of articular cartilage with MRI-dGEMRIC, T2 and T1 imaging in different species with variable stages of maturation. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* **2007**, *15*, 1141-1148.
56. Robinson, D.L.; Kersh, M.E.; Walsh, N.C.; Ackland, D.C.; de Steiger, R.N.; Pandey, M.G. Mechanical properties of normal and osteoarthritic human articular cartilage. *Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials* **2016**, *61*, 96-109.
57. Juras, V.; Bittansky, M.; Majdisova, Z.; Szomolanyi, P.; Sulzbacher, I.; Gäbler, S.; Stampfl, J.; Schüller, G.; Trattnig, S. In vitro determination of biomechanical properties of human articular cartilage in osteoarthritis using multi-parametric MRI. *Journal of magnetic resonance* **2009**, *197*, 40-47.
58. Trickey, W.R.; Lee, G.M.; Guilak, F. Viscoelastic properties of chondrocytes from normal and osteoarthritic human cartilage. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **2000**, *18*, 891-898.
59. Katta, J.; Jin, Z.; Ingham, E.; Fisher, J. Biotribology of articular cartilage—a review of the recent advances. *Medical engineering & physics* **2008**, *30*, 1349-1363.
60. Chen, K.; Yang, X.; Zhang, D.; Xu, L.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Q. Biotribology behavior and fluid load support of PVA/HA composite hydrogel as artificial cartilage. *Wear* **2017**, *376*, 329-336.
61. Nickien, M.; Heuwerkerk, A.; Ito, K.; van Donkelaar, C.C. Comparison between in vitro and in vivo cartilage overloading studies based on a systematic literature review. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **2018**, *36*, 2076-2086.
62. Kafian-Attari, I.; Nippolainen, E.; Bergmann, F.; Mirhashemi, A.; Paakkari, P.; Foschum, F.; Kienle, A.; Töyräs, J.; Afara, I.O. Impact of experimental setup parameters on the measurement of articular cartilage optical properties in the visible and short near-infrared spectral bands. *Biomedical Optics Express* **2023**, *14*, 3397-3412.
63. Madry, H.; Cucchiari, M. Clinical potential and challenges of using genetically modified cells for articular cartilage repair. *Croatian Medical Journal* **2011**, *52*, 245-261.
64. Sharma, C.; Gautam, S.; Dinda, A.K.; Mishra, N.C. Cartilage tissue engineering: current scenario and challenges. *Adv. Mater. Lett* **2011**, *2*, 90-99.
65. Guilak, F.; Meyer, B.C.; Ratcliffe, A.; Mow, V.C. The effects of matrix compression on proteoglycan metabolism in articular cartilage explants. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* **1994**, *2*, 91-101.

66. Caligaris, M.; Ateshian, G.A. Effects of sustained interstitial fluid pressurization under migrating contact area, and boundary lubrication by synovial fluid, on cartilage friction. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* **2008**, *16*, 1220-1227.
67. Forster, H.; Fisher, J. The influence of continuous sliding and subsequent surface wear on the friction of articular cartilage. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* **1999**, *213*, 329-345.
68. Moore, A.C.; Schrader, J.L.; Ulvila, J.J.; Burris, D.L. A review of methods to study hydration effects on cartilage friction. *Tribology-Materials, Surfaces & Interfaces* **2017**, *11*, 202-214.
69. Gleghorn, J.P.; Bonassar, L.J. Lubrication mode analysis of articular cartilage using Stribeck surfaces. *Journal of biomechanics* **2008**, *41*, 1910-1918.
70. Moore, A.C.; Burris, D.L. Tribological rehydration of cartilage and its potential role in preserving joint health. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2017**, *25*, 99-107.
71. Bonnevie, E.; Baro, V.; Wang, L.; Burris, D.L. In situ studies of cartilage microtribology: roles of speed and contact area. *Tribology letters* **2011**, *41*, 83-95.
72. Ren, K.; Reina Mahecha, M.A.; Hübner, M.; Cui, Z.; Kaper, H.J.; Van Der Veen, H.C.; Sharma, P.K. Tribology of enzymatically degraded cartilage mimicking early osteoarthritis. *Friction* **2023**, 1-17.
73. Basalo, I.M.; Chen, F.H.; Hung, C.T.; Ateshian, G.A. Frictional response of bovine articular cartilage under creep loading following proteoglycan digestion with chondroitinase ABC. **2006**.
74. McCutchen, C.W. The frictional properties of animal joints. *Wear* **1962**, *5*, 1-17.
75. Rennie, A.; Sawyer, W. Tribological Investigation of Porcine Articular Cartilage. In Proceedings of the World Tribology Congress, 2005; pp. 959-960.
76. Schwarz, M.L.; Reisig, G.; Schneider-Wald, B.; Weiß, C.; Hauk, L.; Schütte, A. Coefficient of friction and height loss: two criteria used to determine the mechanical property and stability of regenerated versus natural articular cartilage. *Biomedicines* **2022**, *10*, 2685.
77. Kumar, P.; Oka, M.; Toguchida, J.; Kobayashi, M.; Uchida, E.; Nakamura, T.; Tanaka, K. Role of uppermost superficial surface layer of articular cartilage in the lubrication mechanism of joints. *The Journal of Anatomy* **2001**, *199*, 241-250.
78. Middendorf, J.M.; Griffin, D.J.; Shortkroff, S.; Dugopolski, C.; Kennedy, S.; Siemiatkoski, J.; Cohen, I.; Bonassar, L.J. Mechanical properties and structure-function relationships of human chondrocyte-seeded cartilage constructs after in vitro culture. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **2017**, *35*, 2298-2306.
79. Caligaris, M.; Canal, C.E.; Ahmad, C.S.; Gardner, T.R.; Ateshian, G.A. Investigation of the frictional response of osteoarthritic human tibiofemoral joints and the potential beneficial tribological effect of healthy synovial fluid. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* **2009**, *17*, 1327-1332.
80. Lee, S.-S.; Duong, C.-T.; Park, S.-H.; Cho, Y.; Park, S.; Park, S. Frictional response of normal and osteoarthritic articular cartilage in human femoral head. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* **2013**, *227*, 129-137.
81. Zabawski, E. Knee lubricant? Sounds fishy. *Tribology & Lubrication Technology* **2014**, *70*, 6.
82. Fung, Y.-c. *Biomechanics: mechanical properties of living tissues*; Springer Science & Business Media: 2013.
83. MacConaill, M. The function of intra-articular fibrocartilages, with special reference to the knee and inferior radio-ulnar joints. *Journal of anatomy* **1932**, *66*, 210.
84. Unsworth, A.; Dowson, D.; Wright, V. Some new evidence on human joint lubrication. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **1975**, *34*, 277-285.
85. Lewis, P.; McCutchen, C. Mechanism of animal joints: experimental evidence for weeping lubrication in mammalian joints. *Nature* **1959**, *184*, 1285-1285.
86. Dintenfass, L. Lubrication in synovial joints. *Nature* **1963**, *197*, 496-497.
87. Higginson, G. Elastohydrodynamic lubrication in human joints. *Engineering in Medicine* **1978**, *7*, 35-41.
88. Dowson, D.; Jin, Z.-M. Micro-elastohydrodynamic lubrication of synovial joints. *Engineering in medicine* **1986**, *15*, 63-65.
89. Mak, A.; Lai, W.M.; Mow, V.C. Biphasic indentation of articular cartilage—I. Theoretical analysis. *Journal of biomechanics* **1987**, *20*, 703-714.
90. Hou, J.; Mow, V.C.; Lai, W.; Holmes, M. An analysis of the squeeze-film lubrication mechanism for articular cartilage. *Journal of biomechanics* **1992**, *25*, 247-259.
91. Fein, R. Research report 3: are synovial joints squeeze-film lubricated? In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the institution of mechanical engineers, conference proceedings, 1966; pp. 125-128.

92. Unsworth, A. Tribology of human and artificial joints. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* **1991**, *205*, 163-172.
93. Sayles, R.; Thomas, T.; Anderson, J.; Haslock, I.; Unsworth, A. Measurement of the surface microgeometry of articular cartilage. *Journal of Biomechanics* **1979**, *12*, 257-267.
94. Walker, P.; Dowson, D.; Longfield, M.; Wright, V. " Boosted lubrication" in synovial joints by fluid entrapment and enrichment. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **1968**, *27*, 512.
95. Hou, J.; Holmes, M.; Lai, W.; Mow, V. Boundary conditions at the cartilage-synovial fluid interface for joint lubrication and theoretical verifications. **1989**.
96. Hlaváček, M. The role of synovial fluid filtration by cartilage in lubrication of synovial joints—II. Squeeze-film lubrication: homogeneous filtration. *Journal of biomechanics* **1993**, *26*, 1151-1160.
97. Panjabi, P.; White, A. Joint Friction, Wear and Lubrication. *Biomechanics in the Musculoskeletal System*, R. Zorab, Editor, Churchill Livingstone: Philadelphia **2001**, 151-166.
98. Murakami, T.; Higaki, H.; Sawae, Y.; Ohtsuki, N.; Moriyama, S.; Nakanishi, Y. Adaptive multimode lubrication in natural synovial joints and artificial joints. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* **1998**, *212*, 23-35.
99. Krishnan, R.; Kopacz, M.; Ateshian, G.A. Experimental verification of the role of interstitial fluid pressurization in cartilage lubrication. *Journal of orthopaedic research* **2004**, *22*, 565-570.
100. Ateshian, G.A. The role of interstitial fluid pressurization in articular cartilage lubrication. *Journal of biomechanics* **2009**, *42*, 1163-1176.
101. Accardi, M.A.; Dini, D.; Cann, P.M. Experimental and numerical investigation of the behaviour of articular cartilage under shear loading—interstitial fluid pressurisation and lubrication mechanisms. *Tribology International* **2011**, *44*, 565-578.
102. Ateshian, G.A.; Chahine, N.O.; Basalo, I.M.; Hung, C.T. The correspondence between equilibrium biphasic and triphasic material properties in mixture models of articular cartilage. *Journal of biomechanics* **2004**, *37*, 391-400.
103. Lu, X.L.; Mow, V.C. Biomechanics of articular cartilage and determination of material properties. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* **2008**, *40*, 193-199.
104. Shiromoto, Y.; Niki, Y.; Kikuchi, T.; Yoshihara, Y.; Oguma, T.; Nemoto, K.; Chiba, K.; Kanaji, A.; Matsumoto, M.; Nakamura, M. Increased migratory activity and cartilage regeneration by superficial-zone chondrocytes in enzymatically treated cartilage explants. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* **2022**, *23*, 1-13.
105. Farnham, M.S.; Larson, R.E.; Burris, D.L.; Price, C. Effects of mechanical injury on the tribological rehydration and lubrication of articular cartilage. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials* **2020**, *101*, 103422.
106. Antonacci, J.M.; Schmidt, T.A.; Serventi, L.A.; Cai, M.Z.; Shu, Y.L.; Schumacher, B.L.; McIlwraith, C.W.; Sah, R.L. Effects of equine joint injury on boundary lubrication of articular cartilage by synovial fluid: role of hyaluronan. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* **2012**, *64*, 2917-2926.
107. McCutchen, C. Lubrication of and by articular cartilage. In *Cartilage*; Elsevier: 1983; pp. 87-107.
108. Jones, E.S. Joint lubrication. *The Lancet* **1936**, *227*, 1043-1045.
109. McCutchen, C. Mechanism of animal joints: sponge-hydrostatic and weeping bearings. *Nature* **1959**, *184*, 1284-1285.
110. McCutchen, C.W. Paper 1: physiological lubrication. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Conference Proceedings*, 1966; pp. 55-62.
111. Medley, J.; Dowson, D.; Wright, V. Transient elastohydrodynamic lubrication models for the human ankle joint. *Engineering in medicine* **1984**, *13*, 137-151.
112. Bennett, A.; Higginson, G. Hydrodynamic lubrication of soft solids. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* **1970**, *12*, 218-222.
113. Medley, J.; Dowson, D. Lubrication of elastic-isoviscous line contacts subject to cyclic time-varying loads and entrainment velocities. *ASLE transactions* **1984**, *27*, 243-251.
114. Kupratis, M.E.; Gure, A.E.; Benson, J.M.; Ortvad, K.F.; Burris, D.L.; Price, C. Comparative tribology II—Measurable biphasic tissue properties have predictable impacts on cartilage rehydration and lubricity. *Acta Biomaterialia* **2022**, *138*, 375-389.
115. Kupratis, M.E.; Gure, A.E.; Ortvad, K.F.; Burris, D.L.; Price, C. Comparative tribology: articulation-induced rehydration of cartilage across species. *Biotribology* **2021**, *25*, 100159.

116. Charnley, J. The lubrication of animal joints in relation to surgical reconstruction by arthroplasty. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **1960**, *19*, 10.
117. Swann, D.; Silver, F.; Slayter, H.; Stafford, W.; Shore, E. The molecular structure and lubricating activity of lubricin isolated from bovine and human synovial fluids. *Biochemical Journal* **1985**, *225*, 195-201.
118. Swann, D.A.; Radin, E.L. The molecular basis of articular lubrication: I. Purification and properties of a lubricating fraction from bovine synovial fluid. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1972**, *247*, 8069-8073.
119. Swann, D.A.; Radin, E.L.; Nazimiec, M.; Weisser, P.A.; Curran, N.; Lewinnek, G. Role of hyaluronic acid in joint lubrication. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **1974**, *33*, 318.
120. Jahn, S.; Klein, J. Hydration lubrication: the macromolecular domain. *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48*, 5059-5075.
121. McCutchen, C. Boundary lubrication by synovial fluid: demonstration and possible osmotic explanation. In *Proceedings of the Federation proceedings*, 1966; pp. 1061-1068.
122. Lai, W.M.; Mow, V.C. Ultrafiltration of synovial fluid by cartilage. *Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division* **1978**, *104*, 79-96.
123. Forster, H.; Fisher, J. The influence of loading time and lubricant on the friction of articular cartilage. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* **1996**, *210*, 109-119.
124. Pickard, J.; Ingham, E.; Egan, J.; Fisher, J. Investigation into the effect of proteoglycan molecules on the tribological properties of cartilage joint tissues. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine* **1998**, *212*, 177-182.
125. Soltz, M.A.; Ateshian, G.A. A conewise linear elasticity mixture model for the analysis of tension-compression nonlinearity in articular cartilage. *J. Biomech. Eng.* **2000**, *122*, 576-586.
126. Lai, W.M.; Hou, J.; Mow, V.C. A triphasic theory for the swelling and deformation behaviors of articular cartilage. **1991**.
127. Lu, X.L.; Wan, L.Q.; Guo, X.E.; Mow, V.C. A linearized formulation of triphasic mixture theory for articular cartilage, and its application to indentation analysis. *Journal of biomechanics* **2010**, *43*, 673-679.
128. Tanner, R. An alternative mechanism for the lubrication of synovial joints. *Physics in Medicine & Biology* **1966**, *11*, 119.
129. Mirhadizadeh, S.A.; Mba, D. Observations of acoustic emission in a hydrodynamic bearing. *Journal of quality in maintenance engineering* **2009**, *15*, 193-201.
130. Bhuanantanondh, P.; Grecov, D.; Kwok, E. Rheological study of viscosupplements and synovial fluid in patients with osteoarthritis. *CMBES Proceedings* **2010**, *33*.
131. Sinha, P.; Singh, C.; Prasad, K. Lubrication of human joints—a microcontinuum approach. *Wear* **1982**, *80*, 159-181.
132. Cooke, A.; Dowson, D.; Wright, V. The rheology of synovial fluid and some potential synthetic lubricants for degenerate synovial joints. *Engineering in Medicine* **1978**, *7*, 66-72.
133. McCutchen, C. A note on weeping lubrication. In *Proceedings of the Perspectives in Biomedical Engineering: Proceedings of a Symposium organised in association with the Biological Engineering Society and held in the University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, June 1972, 1973*; pp. 109-110.
134. Dowson, D.; Wright, V.; Longfield, M. Human joint lubrication. *Biomedical engineering* **1969**, *4*, 160-165.
135. Alexopoulos, L.G.; Williams, G.M.; Upton, M.L.; Setton, L.A.; Guilak, F. Osteoarthritic changes in the biphasic mechanical properties of the chondrocyte pericellular matrix in articular cartilage. *Journal of biomechanics* **2005**, *38*, 509-517.
136. Dintenfass, L. Lubrication in synovial joints: A theoretical analysis: A rheological approach to the problems of joint movements and joint lubrication. *JBJS* **1963**, *45*, 1241-1256.
137. Unsworth, A. Recent developments in the tribology of artificial joints. *Tribology International* **1995**, *28*, 485-495.
138. Dowson, D.; Jin, Z. Paper XII (ii) An analysis of micro-elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication in synovial joints considering cyclic loading and entraining velocities. In *Tribology Series*; Elsevier: 1987; Volume 11, pp. 375-386.
139. Gardner, D.; O'connor, P.; Oates, K. Low temperature scanning electron microscopy of dog and guinea-pig hyaline articular cartilage. *Journal of anatomy* **1981**, *132*, 267.
140. Ihnatouski, M.; Pauk, J.; Karev, D.; Karev, B. AFM-Based method for measurement of normal and osteoarthritic human articular cartilage surface roughness. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 2302.

141. Charnley, J. The lubrication of animal joints Symposium on biomechanics (pp. 12–22). In Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers London, 1959.
142. Charnley, J. How our joints are lubricated. *Triangle; the Sandoz journal of medical science* **1960**, *4*, 175-179.
143. Swann, D. The lubrication of articular cartilage by synovial fluid glycoproteins. *Arthritis Rheum* **1979**, *22*, 665.
144. Swann, D.; Sokoloff, L. In The Joints and Synovial Fluid. *Sokoloff, L., Ed* **1978**.
145. Lin, W.; Klein, J. Recent progress in cartilage lubrication. *Advanced Materials* **2021**, *33*, 2005513.
146. Gonzales, G.; Zauscher, S.; Varghese, S. Progress in the design and synthesis of viscosupplements for articular joint lubrication. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science* **2023**, 101708.
147. Jahn, S.; Seror, J.; Klein, J. Lubrication of articular cartilage. *Annual review of biomedical engineering* **2016**, *18*, 235-258.
148. Raviv, U.; Klein, J. Fluidity of bound hydration layers. *Science* **2002**, *297*, 1540-1543.
149. Klein, J. Hydration lubrication. *Friction* **2013**, *1*, 1-23.
150. Hills, B.; Butler, B. Surfactants identified in synovial fluid and their ability to act as boundary lubricants. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **1984**, *43*, 641-648.
151. Bonnevie, E.D.; Galesso, D.; Secchieri, C.; Bonassar, L.J. Degradation alters the lubrication of articular cartilage by high viscosity, hyaluronic acid-based lubricants. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* **2018**, *36*, 1456-1464.
152. Hodge, W.; Carlson, K.; Fijan, R.; Burgess, R.; Riley, P.; Harris, W.; Mann, R. Contact pressures from an instrumented hip endoprosthesis. *JBJS* **1989**, *71*, 1378-1386.
153. Dowson, D. Paper 12: modes of lubrication in human joints. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the institution of mechanical engineers, conference proceedings, 1966; pp. 45-54.
154. Wright, V.; Dowson, D.; Kerr, J. The structure of joints. *International review of connective tissue research* **1973**, *6*, 105-125.
155. Maroudas, A. Physicochemical properties of cartilage in the light of ion exchange theory. *Biophysical journal* **1968**, *8*, 575-595.
156. Maroudas, A.; Bullough, P.; Swanson, S.; Freeman, M. The permeability of articular cartilage. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume* **1968**, *50*, 166-177.
157. Walker, P.; Unsworth, A.; Dowson, D.; Sikorski, J.; Wright, V. Mode of aggregation of hyaluronic acid protein complex on the surface of articular cartilage. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* **1970**, *29*, 591.
158. Walker, P.; Ben-Dov, M.; Askew, M.; Pugh, J. The deformation and wear of plastic components in artificial knee joints—an experimental study. *Engineering in Medicine* **1981**, *10*, 33-38.
159. Basalo, I.M.; Mauck, R.L.; Kelly, T.-A.N.; Nicoll, S.B.; Chen, F.H.; Hung, C.T.; Ateshian, G.A. Cartilage interstitial fluid load support in unconfined compression following enzymatic digestion. *J. Biomech. Eng.* **2004**, *126*, 779-786.
160. Cooper, B.G.; Lawson, T.; Snyder, B.D.; Grinstaff, M.W. Reinforcement of articular cartilage with a tissue-interpenetrating polymer network reduces friction and modulates interstitial fluid load support. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2017**, *25*, 1143-1149.
161. Ateshian, G.; Hung, C. The natural synovial joint: properties of cartilage. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology* **2006**, *220*, 657-670.
162. Malcom, L.L. AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF THE FRICTIONAL AND DEFORMATIONAL RESPONSES OF ARTICULAR CARTILAGE INTERFACES TO STATIC AND DYNAMIC LOADING; University of California, San Diego: 1976.
163. Wright, V.; Dowson, D. Lubrication and cartilage. *Journal of anatomy* **1976**, *121*, 107.
164. Gu, W.Y.; Lai, W.M.; Mow, V.C. Transport of fluid and ions through a porous-permeable charged-hydrated tissue, and streaming potential data on normal bovine articular cartilage. *Journal of biomechanics* **1993**, *26*, 709-723.
165. Mow, V.C.; Holmes, M.H.; Lai, W.M. Fluid transport and mechanical properties of articular cartilage: a review. *Journal of biomechanics* **1984**, *17*, 377-394.
166. Holmes, M.; Lai, W.; Mow, V. Singular perturbation analysis of the nonlinear, flow-dependent compressive stress relaxation behavior of articular cartilage. **1985**.
167. Mow, V.C.; Kuei, S.; Lai, W.M.; Armstrong, C.G. Biphasic creep and stress relaxation of articular cartilage in compression: theory and experiments. **1980**.

168. Lee, R.; Frank, E.; Grodzinsky, A.; Roylance, D. Oscillatory compressional behavior of articular cartilage and its associated electromechanical properties. **1981**.
169. Oloyede, A.; Broom, N. Is classical consolidation theory applicable to articular cartilage deformation? *Clinical Biomechanics* **1991**, *6*, 206-212.
170. Biot, M.A. Mechanics of deformation and acoustic propagation in porous media. *Journal of applied physics* **1962**, *33*, 1482-1498.
171. Mow, V.C.; Lai, W.M. Recent developments in synovial joint biomechanics. *Siam Review* **1980**, *22*, 275-317.
172. Mow, V.; Mak, A.; Lai, W.; Rosenberg, L.; Tang, L.-H. Viscoelastic properties of proteoglycan subunits and aggregates in varying solution concentrations. *Journal of biomechanics* **1984**, *17*, 325-338.
173. Zhu, F.; Wang, P.; Lee, N.H.; Goldring, M.B.; Konstantopoulos, K. Prolonged application of high fluid shear to chondrocytes recapitulates gene expression profiles associated with osteoarthritis. *PloS one* **2010**, *5*, e15174.
174. Burris, D.L.; Moore, A.C. Cartilage and joint lubrication: new insights into the role of hydrodynamics. *Biotribology* **2017**, *12*, 8-14.
175. Williams, P.T. Effects of running and walking on osteoarthritis and hip replacement risk. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise* **2013**, *45*, 1292.
176. Asanbaeva, A.; Masuda, K.; Thonar, E.-M.; Klisch, S.M.; Sah, R.L. Cartilage growth and remodeling: modulation of balance between proteoglycan and collagen network in vitro with β -aminopropionitrile. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2008**, *16*, 1-11.
177. Cooper, C.; Snow, S.; McAlindon, T.E.; Kellingray, S.; Stuart, B.; Coggon, D.; Dieppe, P.A. Risk factors for the incidence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology* **2000**, *43*, 995-1000.
178. Putignano, C.; Burris, D.; Moore, A.; Dini, D. Cartilage rehydration: The sliding-induced hydrodynamic triggering mechanism. *Acta Biomaterialia* **2021**, *125*, 90-99.
179. Burris, D.; Ramsey, L.; Graham, B.; Price, C.; Moore, A. How sliding and hydrodynamics contribute to articular cartilage fluid and lubrication recovery. *Tribology Letters* **2019**, *67*, 1-10.
180. Torzilli, P.; Mow, V.C. On the fundamental fluid transport mechanisms through normal and pathological articular cartilage during function—II. The analysis, solution and conclusions. *Journal of biomechanics* **1976**, *9*, 587-606.
181. Harrison, M.; Schajowicz, F.; Trueta, J. Osteoarthritis of the hip: a study of the nature and evolution of the disease. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume* **1953**, *35*, 598-626.
182. Bollet, A.J. An essay on the biology of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology* **1969**, *12*, 152-163.
183. King, L.K.; March, L.; Anandacoomarasamy, A. Obesity & osteoarthritis. *The Indian journal of medical research* **2013**, *138*, 185.
184. Wang, Y.; Wluka, A.E.; Berry, P.A.; Siew, T.; Teichtahl, A.J.; Urquhart, D.M.; Lloyd, D.G.; Jones, G.; Cicuttini, F.M. Increase in vastus medialis cross-sectional area is associated with reduced pain, cartilage loss, and joint replacement risk in knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* **2012**, *64*, 3917-3925.
185. Gomoll, A.; Filardo, G.; De Girolamo, L.; Espregueira-Mendes, J.; Marcacci, M.; Rodkey, W.; Steadman, R.; Zaffagnini, S.; Kon, E. Surgical treatment for early osteoarthritis. Part I: cartilage repair procedures. *Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy* **2012**, *20*, 450-466.
186. Liu, Q.; Zhang, X.; Hu, X.; Yuan, L.; Cheng, J.; Jiang, Y.; Ao, Y. Emerging roles of circRNA related to the mechanical stress in human cartilage degradation of osteoarthritis. *Molecular Therapy-Nucleic Acids* **2017**, *7*, 223-230.
187. Gabay, O.; Hall, D.J.; Berenbaum, F.; Henrotin, Y.; Sanchez, C. Osteoarthritis and obesity: experimental models. *Joint Bone Spine* **2008**, *75*, 675-679.
188. Ostalowska, A.; Birkner, E.; Wiecha, M.; Kasperczyk, S.; Kasperczyk, A.; Kapolka, D.; Zon-Giebel, A. Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes in synovial fluid of patients with primary and secondary osteoarthritis of the knee joint. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage* **2006**, *14*, 139-145.
189. Lohmander, L.S.; Lark, M.W.; Dahlberg, L.; Walakovsky, L.A.; Roos, H. Cartilage matrix metabolism in osteoarthritis: markers in synovial fluid, serum, and urine. *Clinical biochemistry* **1992**, *25*, 167-174.
190. Park, S.; Costa, K.D.; Ateshian, G.A. Microscale frictional response of bovine articular cartilage from atomic force microscopy. *Journal of biomechanics* **2004**, *37*, 1679-1687.

191. Schmidt, T.A.; Gastelum, N.S.; Nguyen, Q.T.; Schumacher, B.L.; Sah, R.L. Boundary lubrication of articular cartilage: role of synovial fluid constituents. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* **2007**, *56*, 882-891.
192. Link, T.M.; Stahl, R.; Woertler, K. Cartilage imaging: motivation, techniques, current and future significance. *European radiology* **2007**, *17*, 1135-1146.
193. Kim, Y.G.; Choi, J.; Kim, K. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes for Effective Cartilage tissue repair and treatment of osteoarthritis. *Biotechnology journal* **2020**, *15*, 2000082.
194. Smith, G. A clinical review of cartilage repair techniques. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery British Volume* **2005**, *87*, 445-449.
195. Clouet, J.; Vinatier, C.; Merceron, C.; Pot-vaucel, M.; Maugars, Y.; Weiss, P.; Grimandi, G.; Guicheux, J. From osteoarthritis treatments to future regenerative therapies for cartilage. *Drug discovery today* **2009**, *14*, 913-925.
196. Bonnevie, E.D.; Bonassar, L.J. A century of cartilage tribology research is informing lubrication therapies. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* **2020**, *142*, 031004.
197. Morgese, G.; Benetti, E.M.; Zenobi-Wong, M. Molecularly engineered biolubricants for articular cartilage. *Advanced healthcare materials* **2018**, *7*, 1701463.
198. Klein, J. Molecular mechanisms of synovial joint lubrication. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology* **2006**, *220*, 691-710.
199. Yuan, H.; Mears, L.L.; Wang, Y.; Su, R.; Qi, W.; He, Z.; Valtiner, M. Lubricants for osteoarthritis treatment: From natural to bioinspired and alternative strategies. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* **2023**, *311*, 102814.
200. Migliore, A.; Giovannangeli, F.; Bizzi, E.; Massafra, U.; Alimonti, A.; Laganà, B.; Diamanti Picchianti, A.; Germano, V.; Granata, M.; Piscitelli, P. Viscosupplementation in the management of ankle osteoarthritis: a review. *Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery* **2011**, *131*, 139-147.
201. Lázaro, B.; Alonso, P.; Rodriguez, A.; La Nuez, M.; Marzo, F.; Prieto, J.G. Characterization of the viscoelastic properties of hyaluronic acid. *Biorheology* **2018**, *55*, 41-50.
202. Rebenda, D.; Vrbka, M.; Nečas, D.; Toropitsyn, E.; Yarimitsu, S.; Čípek, P.; Pravda, M.; Hartl, M. Rheological and frictional analysis of viscosupplements towards improved lubrication of human joints. *Tribology International* **2021**, *160*, 107030.
203. Webner, D.; Huang, Y.; Hummer III, C.D. Intraarticular hyaluronic acid preparations for knee osteoarthritis: are some better than others? *Cartilage* **2021**, *13*, 1619S-1636S.
204. Bonnevie, E.D.; Galesso, D.; Secchieri, C.; Bonassar, L.J. Frictional characterization of injectable hyaluronic acids is more predictive of clinical outcomes than traditional rheological or viscoelastic characterization. *PLoS One* **2019**, *14*, e0216702.
205. Lawrence, A.; Xu, X.; Bible, M.D.; Calve, S.; Neu, C.P.; Panitch, A. Synthesis and characterization of a lubricin mimic (mLub) to reduce friction and adhesion on the articular cartilage surface. *Biomaterials* **2015**, *73*, 42-50.
206. Drewniak, E.I.; Jay, G.D.; Fleming, B.C.; Zhang, L.; Warman, M.L.; Crisco, J.J. Cyclic loading increases friction and changes cartilage surface integrity in lubricin-mutant mouse knees. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* **2012**, *64*, 465-473.
207. Waller, K.A.; Zhang, L.X.; Elsaid, K.A.; Fleming, B.C.; Warman, M.L.; Jay, G.D. Role of lubricin and boundary lubrication in the prevention of chondrocyte apoptosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2013**, *110*, 5852-5857.
208. Basalo, I.M.; Chahine, N.O.; Kaplun, M.; Chen, F.H.; Hung, C.T.; Ateshian, G.A. Chondroitin sulfate reduces the friction coefficient of articular cartilage. *Journal of biomechanics* **2007**, *40*, 1847-1854.
209. Zappone, B.; Ruths, M.; Greene, G.W.; Jay, G.D.; Israelachvili, J.N. Adsorption, lubrication, and wear of lubricin on model surfaces: polymer brush-like behavior of a glycoprotein. *Biophysical journal* **2007**, *92*, 1693-1708.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.