

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

# Adenomyosis: A Call for Awareness, Early Detection and Effective Treatment Strategies

Georgios Kolovos \*, Ioannis Dedes , Sara Imboden , Michel Mueller

Posted Date: 27 May 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202405.1679.v1

Keywords: adenomyosis; reproductive health; early detection; minimal invasive therapy



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Adenomyosis: A Call for Awareness, Early Detection and Effective Treatment Strategies

Georgios Kolovos \*, Ioannis Dedes, Sara Imboden and Michael Mueller

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Hospital of Bern, University of Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland

\* Correspondence: georgios.kolovos@insel.ch

Abstract: Adenomyosis, a benign gynecological condition characterized by the infiltration of endometrial tissue into the myometrium, poses significant challenges to women's reproductive health. Recently, the landscape of adenomyosis diagnosis transformed significantly, thanks to advancements in imaging technologies such as transvaginal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. This lead to a noticeable shift in the demographic profile of patients diagnosed with adenomyosis, including younger women of reproductive age who frequently present with a diverse array of symptoms. Approximately 20% of women are affected by adenomyosis. Adenomyosis manifests with various subtypes, distinct epidemiological profiles, symptomatology, and treatment responses, necessitating tailored approaches for optimal management. Despite its clinical significance, adenomyosis remains understudied, resulting in a significant disparity in research and literature compared to other gynecological conditions. The severity of adenomyosis is compounded when coexisting with endometriosis, particularly deep infiltrating endometriosis (DIE), leading to exacerbated fertility issues and severe symptomatology. The wide range of symptoms, including adverse pregnancy outcomes such as pre-eclampsia, highlights its wider impact and emphasizes the need for increased awareness of the condition. Furthermore, Adenomyosis is frequently associated with treatment failure in endometriosis, contributing to dienogest resistance, elevated discontinuation rates, and persistent pain post endometriosis surgery. Additionally, the lack of specific treatments tailored to adenomyosis poses a considerable challenge in clinical management.

Keywords: adenomyosis; reproductive health; early detection; minimal invasive therapy

#### 1. Pathogenesis

Sampson was a pioneering figure in the classification and understanding of adenomyosis, initially describing the condition as 'adenomyoma' [1]. He proposed three potential pathways for the development of adenomyomas: invasion of normal endometrium into the myometrium, invasion from extrinsic sources such as endometrial cysts, or misplacement of endometrial tissue within the uterine wall. Over time, various pathophysiologic theories have emerged to explain the formation of adenomyosis. These include the microtrauma theory, which focuses on tissue injury and repair mechanisms at the endometrial-myometrial interface, the theory of invasion of endometrial basalis cells into the myometrium, the de novo metaplasia or Mullerian remnant theory, and the outside to inside theory. Each of these theories offers insights into the complex mechanisms underlying the development of adenomyosis, contributing to our evolving understanding of this enigma-tic condition.

Going through the two most prominent theories the mechanism of tissue injury and repair (TIAR) plays a crucial role. The theory suggests that chronic peristaltic contractions of the myometrium may lead to auto-traumatization of the predisposed myometrium, potential-ly initiated by traumatized endometrial-myometrial interfaces often observed following uterine manipulating procedures like hysteroscopy or cesarean section. This chronic peri-stalsis induces chronic microtrauma and inflammation, releasing cytokines and promo-ting increased estrogen production.

This hyperestrogenic environment may lead to invagi-nation of endometrial basal layer into the uterine muscular layer, forming adenomyotic lesions.

Hence a vicious cycle is reinforced, where hyperperistalsis in the Junctional Zone induces repeated auto-traumatization cycles where the constant disruption of the myom etrial muscular fibers and the fibroblast at the endometrial- myometrial connection leads to a invagination of endometrial basal layer to the muscular layer of the uterus [2]. While the prevailing theory suggests that adenomyosis arises from the invasion of endometrial tissue into the myometrium, certain cases challenge this hypothesis. For instance, in patients with Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome, where functional endometrium is absent, adenomyosis has been histologically confirmed, suggesting alternative mecha-nisms. Thus it is proposed that adenomyosis may develop through metaplastic transformation of embryonic epithelial progenitors or differentiation of adult endometrial stem cells migrating to and integrating within the myometrium [3]. Additionally, in cases lacking direct his tological evidence linking adenomyosis to the endometrium, the conventional TIAR mechanism may not fully apply. Instead, the pathology may originate from the invasion of endometrium-like structures from outside the uterus, disrupting the uterine serosa.

#### 2. Symptomatology

The main symptoms of adenomyosis are pain (e.g., dysmenorrhea and pelvic pain) and abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB). Accompanying diseases with comparable sympto-matology are often encountered such as uterine fibroids and endometriosis, making it challenging to differentiate which pathology is accountable for individual symptoms.

Rates of dysmenorrhea among patients with adenomyosis range from 30% to 68% [4,5]. Patients with adenomyosis may often present with deep dyspareunia, often mimicking the dyspareunia of patients with deep infiltrating endometriosis. The pathophysiology of pain is not fully understood, but chronic inflammation and prostaglandins may play an important role [6]. Regarding AUB, the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) recognized adenomyosis as a distinct entity within the PALM-COEIN classification system. Uterine adenomyosis is a potential cause of abnormal uter-ine bleeding, occurring in 20% to 35% of cases of AUB [7]. This classification system cate-gorizes causes of AUB into specific groups, with "A" representing adenomyosis. Natalin et. al reported a significant increase in the menstrual blood loss for with an increase of the disease burden [8]. To further complicate things, about one third of the patients with ade-nomyosis have no symptoms [9].

3) Key Reasons for Increasing Awareness of Adenomyosis:

#### High Incidence:

Historically, adenomyosis diagnosis relied primarily on histological examination post-hysterectomy, which often underestimated the condition's prevalence and signifi-cance. With advancements in imaging technologies like transvaginal ultrasound and MRI, diagnosis has significantly improved, revealing a broader demographic of affected individuals, particularly younger women of reproductive age. This shift has highlighted adeno-myosis's higher than previously recognized prevalence, estimated to affect 15–20% [10,11] of women in this age group, often coexisting with endometriosis and uterine fibroids.

Similarly, in cases where hysterectomies are performed for urogynecological reasons, the prevalence ranges from 20% to 30% [12,13]. Women suffering from dysmenorrhea and abnormal uterine bleeding, prevalence rates can be notably higher, ranging from 30% to 59%, as reported in some studies [14,15].

Adenomyosis often coexists with other gyneco logical conditions such as endometri-osis or leiomyomas [11]. Notably, 40% of women diag nosed with Endometriosis also have Adenomyosis present, while 80% of infertile women diagnosed with Endometriosis have Adenomyosis present, with over 40% of these cases involving deep-infiltrating Endometri-osis (DIE) lesions [16]. In women undergoing assisted reproductive technologies (ARTs), adenomyosis is prevalent in approximately 20% to 25% of cases [17]. Increased recognition is crucial for appropriate diagnostic and management approaches.

### • Disparity in Research and Literature:

Despite its clinical significance, adenomyosis remains understudied compared to endometriosis, as evidenced by the disparity in scientific literature with only 3,982 entries for adenomyosis versus 35,132 for endometriosis on PubMed (as of Mai 1st 2024) Historically, even comprehensive guidelines for endometriosis seldom addressed adenomyosis, reflecting an oversight in clinical protocols. The recent emergence of guidelines on adenomyosis such as Asian Society of Endometriosis and Adenomyosis and the Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists of Canada (SOGC) [18,19] mark and progress in standardizing the management and treatment of adenomyosis. Furthermore, efforts are underway to system atically address the research gap, such as the "Development of a core outcome set and out come definitions for studies on uterus-sparing treatments of adenomyosis (COSAR): an in ternational multistakeholder-modified Delphi consensus study." This initiative aims to standardize research outcomes to enhance the quality and comparability of studies on adenomyosis.

#### • Different Types and diagnosis of Adenomyosis:

Recent advancements in imaging technologies, such as transvaginal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging, have significantly transformed the landscape of adenomyosis diagnosis and also reveal different patterns of Adenomyosis: Most classification models ty-pically delineate between focal and disseminated disease, as well as adenomyosis of the inner and outer myometrium. While various classification systems are available, one of the most comprehensive and widely utilized models is the one proposed by Kishi et al [20]. In this pi-oneering work, Kishi et al. introduced a comprehensive classification system for uterine ade-nomyosis based on MRI analysis. Recognizing the two primary forms of adenomyosis, dif-fuse and focal, and their dual localizations relative to the junctional zone, as initially reported by Kishi et al., is essential. The extrinsic form of adenomyosis typically spares inner structures such as the endometrium and junctional zone but often disrupts the serosa. In contrast, the intrinsic form affects these inner structures, underscoring the importance of distinguishing between these manifestations for a comprehensive understanding of adenomyosis pathology and symptomatology.

Emerging data indicate that different subtypes of adenomyosis—especially intrinsic ver-sus extrinsic and diffuse versus focal—have different etiologies and clinical profiles. Intrinsic adenomyosis, more common in older patients and often associated with abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) and prior uterine surgery, contrasts with extrinsic adenomyosis, which is typically found in younger, nulligravida women and is closely associated with deep infiltrat-ing endometriosis and primary infertility [21,22]. In 2015, the international Morphological Uterus Sonographic Assessment (MUSA) group issued a consensus regarding the appropriate terminology for describing myometrial lesions observed on ultrasonography [23].

#### Compounded Severity with Endometriosis:

Chapron et al. in 2017 introduced the "outside to inside invasion" theory, proposing that ectopic endometrial cells migrate from posterior endometriosis nodules into the myome-trium. Their 2017 prospective observational study revealed a statistically significant correla-tion between focal adenomyosis of the outer myometrium (FOAM) and deep infiltrating endometriosis phenotypes, with a co-occurrence rate of 66.3% (110 cases; P < 0.001). Conve-rsely, the co-occurrence rates with superficial peritoneal endometriosis and endometriomas were lower, at 7.5% (3 cases) and 19.3%, respectively [24]. Parker et al. revealed a significant issociation between adenomyosis and specific sites affected by endometriosis, particularly retrocervical endometriosis (60 %; p 0.01) and involvement of the rectosigmoid (49.2 %; p 0.03) [25].

The same study group in a prospective observational study involving 255 sympto-matic deep infiltrating endometriosis patients discovered a prevalence of 56.5% of focal adenomyosis of the outer myometrium (FOAM). The prevalence of multiple DIE lesions was found to be notably higher in the FAOM positive group compared to the FAOM negative group. Specifically, among the FAOM(+) participants, 82.6% (119 out of 144) exhibited mul-tiple lesions, while in the FAOM(-) group was 58.6% (65 out of 111), indicating a strong association between the presence of FAOM and the

3

4

increased likelihood of multiple DIE lesions [26]. The presence of adenomyosis in patients with endometriosis often results in more severe symptoms, complicating the management of endometriosis and necessitating integrated therapeutic strategies that address both conditions.

#### Association with Treatment Failure in Endometriosis:

Adenomyosis is a major cause of persistent pelvic pain and can lead to higher rates of treatment failure, both surgically and medically, especially in patients being treated for endometriosis [27,28]. This highlights the necessity for clinicians to consider adenomyo-sis in their differential diagnoses after endometriosis treatment, as well as in managing ongoing symptoms. Medical therapies, such as dienogest used for treating endometriosis, may be less effective when adenomyosis is also present, as high discuntinuation-rates are reported due to persistent bleeding [29,21]. The often limited effectiveness of progester-one based therapies in adenomyosis involve progesterone resistance, which stems from a reduction in the expression of PGR, particularly the PGR-B isoform [30,31]. The presence of adenomyosis has also been established as an independent risk factor for complications in deep endometriosis laparoscopic surgery [32].

#### Lack of Specific Treatments:

There are currently no drugs specifically labeled for the treatment of adenomyosis, which complicates management strategies and highlights the need for innovative thera-peutic development and tailored treatment approaches. Medical treatment serves as the primary option for patients with adenomyosis who aim to preserve fertility or advised for individuals ineligible for surgery due to concurrent medical conditions, because of the high morbidity and recurrence rates [33]. Regarding medical therapies, potential treatment options encompass combined oral contraceptive (COC) pills, progestins, the levonorg-estrel releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS), and gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists and antagonists. The effectiveness of these therapies vary with the LNG-IUS being the most effective, although in off-label use, in reducing the pain and the abnormal uterine bleeding by inducing decasualization and atrophy of the endometrium and downregulating estrogen receptors through increased continuous local progesterone release [34].

As a second step for patients with treatment-resistance or severe symptoms and in cases where organ preservation is warranted, a range of interventional procedures are available, including uterine artery embolization (UAE) and a broad category of hyperther-mic treatments. Hypethermic treatments encompasses procedures utilizing energy sou-rces such as High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) and percutaneous microwave ablation (PMWA) and radiofrequency ablation (RFA). Two recent systematic reviews and meta analysis showed that hyperthermic therapied including RFA may be effective and safe minimally invasive therapies for symptomatic adenomyosis [35,36].

Other uterine-sparing surgeries include uterine artery ligation and adenomyosis excision. Surgical resection of diffuse and focal adenomyosis, excluding adenomyomas, de-mands significant surgical expertise and is associated with notable perioperative risks. The last treatment option for severe adenomyosis and completed family planning remains hysterectomy.

## • Adenomyosis is linked to infertility and negative outcomes during pregnancy.

The study of Mishra et al. [37] was the first systematic review to evaluate the prevalence of both isolated adenomyosis and adenomyosis with coexisting endometriosis and/or fibroids in women with subfertility. The pooled prevalence was 10% for isolated adenomyosis, 1% for adenomyosis with coexisting fibroids, 6% for adenomyosis with coexisting endometriosis and 7% for adenomyosis with endometriosis. The answer remains unclear for which type of adenomyosis has the worst fertility outcome. There is some recent evidence, though, that suggests that the focal type of the disease might have a more significant negative effect than other forms, but more evidence is definitely needed [38].

Beyond its impacts on pregnancy rates, adenomyosis has been linked to negative obstetric outcomes. In a recent systematic review and metanalysis Nirgianakis et al could saw that following treatment with assisted reproductive technology (ART), there was a notably higher miscarriage rate (odds ratio [OR] 2.17; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.25–3.79) when adenomyosis is present.

Furthermore, Adenomyosis was further found to be significantly linked with an elevated risk of adverse obstetric outcomes, including pre-eclampsia. These findings were also confirmed by the studies of Horton et al. and Razavi et al. with ORs ranging from 4.35 to 7.87 [39–41].

Several other complications seem also to be increased in patients with adenomyosis including an increased prevalence of small for gestational age infants, preterm delive-ry [39–42], caesarean section [39,41], fetal malpresentation [40,41], and post-partum hemorrhage [41]. These associations remained significant even after adjust-ments for age and mode of conceptionwere made, highlighting the intrinsic risks associated with the presence of adenomyosis in pregnancy. Last but not least women with adenomyosis situated in the posterior side are identified to experience severe obstetric complications, including placenta previa, placenta accreta, preeclampsia, and preterm birth according to Shi et. al. [43]. These data emphasize the importance of careful monitoring and management of pregnant women diagnosed with adenomyosis, to mitigate these risks and improve pregnancy outcomes [41].

#### Call to action

This article underscores the need for heightened awareness, early detection, and improved treatment approaches for this often overlooked condition. With its profound impact on women's health and quality of life, it is imperative that healthcare professionals, research-ers, and policymakers unite to address the challenges posed by adenomyosis. By raising awareness among both healthcare providers and the general public, we can ensure timely diagnosis and intervention, ultimately improving outcomes and quality of life for affected individuals. Early detection through improved diagnostic techniques and screening protocols is essential for timely intervention and preventing disease progression. Moreover, concerted efforts are needed to develop and implement more effective treatment strategies tailored to individual patient needs. Through collaborative research and education we can strive towards better recognition, understanding, and management of adenomyosis, ultimately enhancing the well-being of the affected women worldwide.

**Author Contributions:** The concept of the article was developed by G.K. Methodology and synthesis were performed by G.K. and I.D. The first draft of the manuscript was written by G.K. and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. Supervision was carried out by M.D.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** No ethics board approval was requested, as the data were extracted from published papers.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. JOHN A. SAMPSON MD. PERFORATING HEMORRHAGIC (CHOCOLATE) CYSTS OF THE OVARY THEIR IMPORTANCE AND ESPECIALLY THEIR RELATION TO PELVIC ADENOMAS OF ENDOMETRIAL TYPE ("ADENOMYOMA" OF THE UTERUS, RECTOVAGINAL SEPTUM, SIGMOID, ETC.). Arch Surg. 1921;3(2):245–323.
- 2. García-solares J, Sc M, Donnez J, Ph D, Donnez O, Ph D. Pathogenesis of uterine adenomyosis: invagination or metaplasia? Fertil Steril [Internet]. 109(3):371–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.12.030
- 3. Gargett CE, Schwab KE DJ. Endometrial stem/progenitor cells: the first 10 years. Hum Reprod Updat. 22(2):137–63.
- 4. Chen Q, Li YW, Wang S, Fan QB, Shi HH, Leng JH, Sun DW, Lang JH ZL. Clinical Manifestations Of Adenomyosis Patients With Or Without Pain Symptoms. J Pain Res. 14(12):3127–33.
- 5. Garcia L, Isaacson K. Adenomyosis: Review of the Literature. J Minim Invasive Gynecol [Internet]. 18(4):428–37. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2011.04.004
- 6. Z. H. Dysmenorrhea in adolescents and young adults: from pathophysiology to pharmacological treatments and management strategies. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 9(15):2661–72.

5

- 7. Sun, Yu; Wang, Yuzhu; Mao, Lele; Wen, Jiaying; Bai W. Prevalence of abnormal uterine bleeding according to new International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics classification in Chinese women of reproductive age: A cross-sectional study. Medicine (Baltimore). 97(31):e11457.
- 8. Naftalin J, Hoo W, Pateman K, Mavrelos D, Foo X JD. Is adenomyosis associated with menorrhagia? Hum Reprod. 29(3):473–9.
- 9. Peric H FI. The symptomatology of adenomyosis. Best Pr Res Clin Obs Gynaecol. 20(4):547-55.
- 10. Naftalin J, Hoo W, Pateman K, Mavrelos D, Holland T, Jurkovic D. How common is adenomyosis? A prospective study of prevalence using transvaginal ultrasound in a gynaecology clinic. 2012;27(12):3432–9.
- 11. Upson K, Missmer SA. Epidemiology of Adenomyosis. Vol. 38. 2021. 89–107 p.
- 12. Vavilis D, Agorastos T, Tzafetas J, Loufopoulos A, Vakiani M, Constantinidis T, Patsiaoura K BJ. Adenomyosis at hysterectomy: prevalence and relationship to operative findings and reproductive and menstrual factor. Clin Exp Obs Gynecol. 1997;24(1):36–8.
- 13. Vercellini P, Parazzini F, Oldani S, Panazza S, Bramante T CP. Adenomyosis at hysterectomy: a study on frequency distribution and patient characteristics. Hum Reprod. 1995;10(5):1160–2.
- 14. Krentel H DWR. Prevalence of adenomyosis in women undergoing hysterectomy for abnormal uterine bleeding, pelvic pain or uterine prolapse A retrospective cohort study. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2022;78(103809).
- 15. Sammour A, Pirwany I, Usubutun A, Arseneau J TT. Correlations between extent and spread of adenomyosis and clinical symptoms. Gynecol Obs Invest. 2002;54(4):213–6.
- 16. Yang Y, Li J, Chen H FW. Assessment of Risk Factors Associated with Severe Endometriosis and Establishment of Preoperative Prediction Model. Diagnostics (Basel). 12(10):2348.
- 17. Puente JM, Fabris A, Patel J, Patel A, Cerrillo M, Requena A G-VJ. Adenomyosis in infertile women: prevalence and the role of 3D ultrasound as a marker of severity of the disease. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 14(1):60.
- 18. Dason ES, Maxim M, Sanders A, Papillon-Smith J, Ng D, Chan C SM. Guideline No. 437: Diagnosis and Management of Adenomyosis. J Obs Gynaecol Can. 45(6):417–29.
- 19. Harada T, Taniguchi F, Guo SW, Choi YM, Biberoglu KO, Tsai SS, Alborzi S, Al-Jefout M, Chalermchokcharoenkit A, Sison-Aguilar AG, Fong YF, Senanayake H, Popov A, Hestiantoro A KY. The Asian Society of Endometriosis and Adenomyosis guidelines for managing adenomyosis. Reprod Med Biol. 10(22):1.
- 20. Kishi Y, Suginami H, Kuramori R, Yabuta M, Suginami R TF. Four subtypes of adenomyosis assessed by magnetic resonance imaging and their specification. Am J Obs Gynecol. 207(2):114.e1-7.
- 21. Matsubara, S., Kawaguchi, R., Akinishi M et al. Subtype I (intrinsic) adenomyosis is an independent risk factor for dienogest-related serious unpredictable bleeding in patients with symptomatic adenomyosis. Sci Rep. 2019;27(9):1.
- 22. Bourdon M, Oliveira J, Marcellin L, Santulli P, Bordonne C, Mantelet LM, et al. Adenomyosis of the inner and outer myometrium are associated with different clinical profiles. 2021;36(2):349–57.
- 23. Van den Bosch T, de Bruijn AM, de Leeuw RA, Dueholm M, Exacoustos C, Valentin L, Bourne T, Timmerman D HJ. Sonographic classification and reporting system for diagnosing adenomyosis. Ultrasound Obs Gynecol. 2019;53(5):576–82.
- 24. Chapron C, Tosti C, Marcellin L, Bourdon M, Lafay-Pillet MC, Millischer AE, Streuli I, Borghese B, Petraglia F SP. Relationship between the magnetic resonance imaging appearance of adenomyosis and endometriosis phenotypes. Hum Reprod. 32(7):1393–401.
- 25. Parker JD, Leondires M, Sinaii N, Premkumar A, Nieman LK SP. Persistence of dysmenorrhea and nonmenstrual pain after optimal endometriosis surgery may indicate adenomyosis. Fertil Steril. 86(3)(711–5).
- 26. Marcellin L, Santulli P, Bourdon M, Maignien C, Campin L, Lafay-Pillet MC, Millischer AE, Bordonne C, Borghese B, Dousset B CC. Focal adenomyosis of the outer myometrium and deep infiltrating endometriosis severity. Fertil Steril. 114(4):818-827.
- 27. As-Sanie S, Till SR, Schrepf AD, Griffith KC, Tsodikov A, Missmer SA, Clauw DJ BC. Incidence and predictors of persistent pelvic pain following hysterectomy in women with chronic pelvic pain. Am J Obs Gynecol. 225(5):568.
- 28. Lazzeri L, Di Giovanni A, Exacoustos C, Tosti C, Pinzauti S, Malzoni M, Petraglia F ZE. Preoperative and Postoperative Clinical and Transvaginal Ultrasound Findings of Adenomyosis in Patients With Deep Infiltrating Endometriosis. Reprod Sci. 21(8):1027–33.
- 29. Nirgianakis K, Vaineau C, Agliati L, McKinnon B, Gasparri ML MM. Risk factors for non-response and discontinuation of Dienogest in endometriosis patients: A cohort study. Acta Obs Gynecol Scand. 2021;100(1):30–40.
- 30. MacLean, J.A., 2nd; Hayashi K. Progesterone Actions and Resistance in Gynecological Disorders. Cells. 2022;11(647).

- 32. Gracia M, de Guirior C, Valdés-Bango M, Rius M, Ros C, Matas I, Tortajada M, Martínez-Zamora MÁ, Quintas L CF. Adenomyosis is an independent risk factor for complications in deep endometriosis laparoscopic surgery. Sci Rep. 12(1):7086.
- 33. Younes G T. Conservative surgery for adenomyosis and results: a systematic review. 2018;25(2):265–76.
- 34. Dedes, I., Kolovos, G.N. & Mueller MD. Non-surgical Treatment of Adenomyosis. Curr Obs Gynecol Rep. 2024;
- 35. Dedes I, Kolovos G, Arrigo F, Toub D, Vaineau C, Lanz S, Imboden S, Feki A MM. Radiofrequency Ablation for Adenomyosis. J Clin Med. 23(12):9.
- 36. Liu L, Wang T LB. Image-guided thermal ablation in the management of symptomatic adenomyosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Hyperthermia. 2021;38(1):948–62.
- 37. Mishra I, Melo P, Easter C, Sephton V, Dhillon-Smith R CA. Prevalence of adenomyosis in women with subfertility: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ultrasound Obs Gynecol. 62AD;1(2023 Jul):23–41.
- 38. Bourdon M, Santulli P, Oliveira J, Marcellin L, Maignien C, Melka L, Bordonne C, Millisher AE, Plu-Bureau G, Cormier J CC. Focal adenomyosis is associated with primary infertility. Fertil Steril. 114(6):1271–7.
- 39. Horton J, Sterrenburg M, Lane S, Maheshwari A, Li TC CY. Reproductive, obstetric, and perinatal outcomes of women with adenomyosis and endometriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Updat. 25(5):592–632.
- 40. Razavi M, Maleki-Hajiagha A, Sepidarkish M, Rouholamin S, Almasi-Hashiani A RM. Systematic review and meta-analysis of adverse pregnancy outcomes after uterine adenomyosis. Int J Gynaecol Obs. 145(2):149-157.
- 41. Nirgianakis K, Kalaitzopoulos DR, Schwartz ASK, Spaanderman M, Kramer BW, Mueller MD MM. Fertility, pregnancy and neonatal outcomes of patients with adenomyosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Reprod Biomed Online. 2020;42(1):185–206.
- 42. Bruun MR, Arendt LH, Forman A R-HC. Endometriosis and adenomyosis are associated with increased risk of preterm delivery and a small-for-gestational-age child: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Obs Gynecol Scand. 97(9):1073–90.
- 43. Shi, J., Wu, Y., Li X et al. Effects of localization of uterine adenomyosis on clinical features and pregnancy outcome. Sci Rep. 2023;13(14714).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.