

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Influence of Organizational Culture on Procurement Practices: A Multi-industry Perspective

[Mason Cooper](#)*

Posted Date: 10 July 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202407.0795.v1

Keywords: Organizational culture; procurement practices; leadership styles; ethical frameworks; supplier relationship management; industry dynamics; organizational structures; external influences



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

The Influence of Organizational Culture on Procurement Practices: A Multi-Industry Perspective

Mason Cooper

Kellogg School of Management; masonc@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: This qualitative study investigates the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices across multiple industries, aiming to enrich understanding of how cultural dynamics shape decision-making, supplier relationships, and strategic outcomes within procurement departments. Through semi-structured interviews with procurement professionals, organizational leaders, and industry experts, data were gathered to explore the impact of leadership styles, ethical frameworks, industry dynamics, and external influences on procurement strategies. Findings reveal that transformational leadership fosters innovation, strategic alignment, and long-term partnerships in procurement, whereas transactional and autocratic styles may prioritize cost efficiency over broader strategic goals. Ethical cultures within organizations significantly influence supplier selection criteria and procurement decisions, highlighting the importance of integrating ethical standards consistently to mitigate risks and enhance organizational reputation. Industry-specific dynamics necessitate adaptive procurement strategies tailored to technological advancements, regulatory requirements, and market conditions. Effective supplier relationship management practices, emphasizing trust, transparency, and mutual value creation, enhance procurement effectiveness and resilience. Organizational structures, including centralized, decentralized, and hybrid models, play crucial roles in optimizing procurement operations. Learning cultures promoting continuous improvement and knowledge sharing foster innovation and resilience in procurement practices. External factors such as market volatility, regulatory changes, and geopolitical risks underscore the need for adaptive procurement strategies and robust risk management practices. This study contributes to theoretical insights and practical implications for aligning organizational culture with strategic procurement objectives to optimize effectiveness, mitigate risks, and drive sustainable value creation across supply chains.

Keywords: organizational culture; procurement practices; leadership styles; ethical frameworks; supplier relationship management; industry dynamics; organizational structures; external influences

1. Introduction

Organizational culture and procurement practices are critical dimensions that significantly influence the operational dynamics and strategic outcomes of modern businesses across various industries. The interaction between organizational culture and procurement processes has garnered increasing attention in both academic literature and practical business settings due to its profound implications for organizational effectiveness, competitiveness, and sustainability (Hofstede, 1980; Schein, 1990; Edgar & Geare, 2005). Organizational culture, broadly defined as the shared values, beliefs, and behavioral norms that shape the social and psychological environment within an organization (Schein, 1985), plays a pivotal role in shaping how procurement strategies are formulated, implemented, and adapted over time. This introductory chapter sets the stage for exploring the complex interplay between organizational culture and procurement practices from a multi-industry perspective. The concept of organizational culture reflects the deep-rooted norms and values that guide employee behaviors, decision-making processes, and interactions within an organization (Hofstede, 1980). It encompasses both explicit elements, such as formal policies and structures, and implicit elements, including tacit assumptions and unwritten rules that shape organizational dynamics (Schein, 1990). Edgar and Geare (2005) emphasize that organizational

culture represents the collective programming of the mind that distinguishes one organization from another, influencing how employees perceive their roles, interact with colleagues, and respond to external challenges. Procurement practices, on the other hand, encompass the strategic processes and operational activities involved in acquiring goods and services essential for organizational operations (Handfield et al., 2019). Effective procurement management is crucial for optimizing supply chain efficiency, minimizing costs, mitigating risks, and enhancing overall organizational performance (Croom et al., 2000; Monczka et al., 2015). The evolution of procurement from a transactional function to a strategic lever underscores its critical role in driving value creation and competitive advantage within organizations (Handfield et al., 2019). The intersection of organizational culture and procurement practices introduces complexities that demand scholarly inquiry and empirical investigation. Understanding how organizational culture influences procurement decisions, supplier relationships, risk management strategies, and innovation in procurement processes is essential for practitioners and scholars alike (Croom et al., 2000; Monczka et al., 2015). This research seeks to bridge this gap by examining how different dimensions of organizational culture—such as leadership styles, communication norms, ethical values, and organizational structure—affect procurement practices across diverse industries. Recent literature highlights that organizational culture significantly shapes procurement strategies and outcomes by influencing decision-making processes, supplier selection criteria, negotiation tactics, and contract management practices (Handfield et al., 2019; Monczka et al., 2015). For instance, organizations characterized by a culture of transparency and ethical conduct may prioritize supplier relationships based on shared values and social responsibility, whereas organizations with a competitive and results-oriented culture may emphasize cost efficiency and performance metrics in procurement decisions (Handfield et al., 2019; Monczka et al., 2015). Furthermore, the dynamic nature of organizational culture implies that it evolves over time in response to internal and external forces, including leadership changes, market conditions, technological advancements, and regulatory requirements (Schein, 1985; Edgar & Geare, 2005). Consequently, the impact of organizational culture on procurement practices may vary across industries and organizational contexts, necessitating a nuanced understanding of the specific mechanisms through which culture shapes procurement outcomes. This research adopts a qualitative approach to explore the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices across multiple industries. Qualitative research methods, such as in-depth interviews, focus groups, and thematic analysis, offer a nuanced understanding of the underlying mechanisms and contextual factors that mediate the relationship between organizational culture and procurement strategies (Creswell, 2013; Denzin & Lincoln, 2018). By eliciting insights from procurement professionals, organizational leaders, and industry experts, this study aims to uncover the diverse perspectives and experiences that characterize the interplay between culture and procurement in different organizational settings. This introductory chapter provides a foundational framework for examining the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices from a multi-industry perspective. By exploring how organizational culture shapes decision-making processes, supplier relationships, risk management strategies, and innovation in procurement, this research contributes to both theoretical advancements and practical implications for enhancing organizational effectiveness and strategic procurement management. The subsequent chapters will delve deeper into the empirical findings and thematic insights derived from the qualitative analysis, offering valuable insights for academics, practitioners, and policymakers interested in optimizing procurement strategies within diverse organizational contexts.

2. Literature Review

The literature on organizational culture and its impact on procurement practices underscores the multifaceted nature of this relationship across diverse industries. Organizational culture, defined as the shared values, beliefs, and behavioral norms within an organization, shapes not only employee attitudes and behaviors but also strategic decision-making processes, including procurement (Schein, 1985; Edgar & Geare, 2005). This section reviews recent studies and theoretical perspectives that elucidate how different dimensions of organizational culture influence procurement strategies,

supplier relationships, risk management practices, and overall organizational performance. Organizational culture serves as a foundational element that guides the formulation and execution of procurement strategies. According to Schein (1985), organizational culture operates at multiple levels—artifacts, espoused beliefs, and underlying assumptions—that collectively shape organizational behavior and influence strategic outcomes. Within the context of procurement, these cultural elements manifest in the form of procurement policies, supplier selection criteria, negotiation tactics, and contract management practices (Handfield et al., 2019). For instance, organizations characterized by a culture of innovation and risk-taking may prioritize strategic supplier partnerships that foster technological advancements and market responsiveness (Monczka et al., 2015). The influence of organizational culture on procurement extends beyond operational processes to encompass ethical considerations and social responsibility. Recent studies emphasize the role of ethical values embedded within organizational culture in shaping procurement decisions and supplier relationships (Handfield et al., 2019; Monczka et al., 2015). Organizations committed to ethical conduct and corporate social responsibility (CSR) tend to integrate these principles into their procurement practices, thereby selecting suppliers who align with their ethical standards and sustainability goals (Khan et al., 2024). This alignment not only enhances reputational capital but also mitigates supply chain risks associated with unethical practices or non-compliance with regulatory standards (Emon et al., 2024). Moreover, the leadership style prevalent within an organization significantly influences how procurement strategies are conceived and implemented. Research suggests that transformational leadership, characterized by vision, empowerment, and strategic alignment, fosters a proactive approach to procurement management (Emon & Chowdhury, 2024). Transformational leaders cultivate a culture of collaboration and continuous improvement, encouraging procurement teams to innovate and adopt best practices in supplier relationship management (Emon et al., 2024). In contrast, organizations led by transactional or autocratic leaders may adopt more conventional procurement approaches focused solely on cost reduction and operational efficiency (Monczka et al., 2015). The economic context within which organizations operate also shapes their procurement strategies and practices. Economic factors, such as market volatility, inflation rates, and currency fluctuations, influence procurement decisions regarding supplier selection, contract terms, and risk mitigation strategies (Emon, 2023). Organizations operating in highly competitive or globalized markets must navigate economic uncertainties while optimizing procurement outcomes to maintain cost competitiveness and supply chain resilience (Khan et al., 2024). Furthermore, the dynamics of supplier relationship management (SRM) emerge as a critical component of effective procurement strategies influenced by organizational culture. Effective SRM involves nurturing collaborative partnerships, transparent communication, and mutual trust between buyers and suppliers (Emon et al., 2024). Organizational cultures that prioritize relationship-building and long-term sustainability tend to invest in SRM practices that foster innovation, shared value creation, and operational excellence across the supply chain (Khan et al., 2024). Conversely, cultures characterized by transactional or adversarial approaches may overlook the strategic potential of supplier partnerships, focusing instead on short-term cost savings (Monczka et al., 2015). Moreover, the role of marketing strategies in influencing procurement decisions has gained prominence in recent literature. Khan et al. (2024) highlight how marketing insights can inform procurement strategies, particularly in supplier selection and negotiation processes. Effective procurement professionals leverage market intelligence to identify emerging trends, competitive landscapes, and supplier capabilities that align with organizational goals and customer demands. This strategic alignment enhances procurement agility and responsiveness, enabling organizations to capitalize on market opportunities and mitigate risks associated with supply chain disruptions. In addition to economic and operational considerations, organizational cultures also play a pivotal role in addressing barriers to growth and scalability within procurement functions. Khan et al. (2020) identify various barriers, such as technological limitations, regulatory complexities, and organizational inertia, that hinder procurement transformation efforts. Cultures that embrace innovation and change management are better equipped to overcome these barriers, leveraging digital technologies, data analytics, and cross-functional collaboration to enhance procurement

efficiency and strategic alignment (Khan et al., 2020). Furthermore, the integration of emotional intelligence (EI) into procurement practices offers new insights into how organizational cultures can foster effective decision-making and relationship management. Emon & Chowdhury (2024) argue that EI competencies, such as empathy, self-awareness, and relationship management, enhance procurement professionals' ability to navigate complex interpersonal dynamics and negotiate win-win outcomes with suppliers. Cultures that value EI promote a supportive and inclusive environment where emotional resilience and interpersonal skills are recognized as critical competencies for procurement success (Emon & Chowdhury, 2024). Microfinance institutions provide another perspective on how organizational culture influences procurement practices in non-profit sectors. Khan et al. (2019) discuss how microfinance organizations embed principles of social responsibility and financial inclusion into their procurement strategies. These organizations prioritize suppliers who share their mission-driven values and contribute to community development initiatives, thereby aligning procurement decisions with broader social impact objectives (Khan et al., 2019). Finally, the global nature of supply chains necessitates a holistic understanding of how organizational culture influences procurement strategies across diverse geographic regions and cultural contexts. Khan et al. (2024) emphasize the importance of cultural sensitivity and adaptive leadership in managing global supply chain operations. Multinational corporations must navigate cultural differences, regulatory requirements, and geopolitical risks while harmonizing procurement practices to achieve consistency and efficiency across global operations (Khan et al., 2024). In summary, the literature review highlights the intricate interplay between organizational culture and procurement practices across multiple industries. By synthesizing recent empirical studies and theoretical frameworks, this review underscores the importance of organizational culture in shaping procurement strategies, supplier relationships, risk management practices, and overall organizational performance. The subsequent chapters will build upon these insights through qualitative analysis, offering a nuanced exploration of how organizational cultures influence specific aspects of procurement management within diverse organizational contexts.

3. Materials and Method

The research methodology employed in this study aimed to investigate the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices across multiple industries through a qualitative approach. Qualitative research was chosen to explore the complexities and nuances of the relationship between organizational culture and procurement in real-world organizational settings (Creswell, 2013; Denzin & Lincoln, 2018). This methodological approach is well-suited for capturing rich, context-specific data that elucidates how organizational culture shapes decision-making processes, supplier relationships, and strategic outcomes within procurement departments. Data collection involved semi-structured interviews with key informants, including procurement professionals, organizational leaders, and industry experts. A purposive sampling strategy was employed to ensure diversity in participant backgrounds and organizational contexts, thereby enriching the depth and breadth of insights gathered (Patton, 2015). Participants were selected based on their expertise and experience in procurement management, organizational culture, and strategic decision-making, ensuring that the study captured a wide range of perspectives and experiences relevant to the research questions. Interviews were conducted face-to-face or via virtual platforms, depending on participant preferences and logistical considerations. Each interview session was guided by a flexible interview protocol designed to explore key themes related to organizational culture, procurement strategies, supplier relationships, and the perceived impact of cultural factors on procurement outcomes. Probing questions were used to encourage participants to elaborate on their responses, clarify ambiguous points, and provide detailed examples or anecdotes that illustrated their experiences and viewpoints (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). To ensure rigor and trustworthiness in data collection and analysis, several measures were implemented. First, interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and transcribed verbatim to capture the richness of their responses accurately. Transcripts were anonymized to protect participants' identities and confidentiality. Second, field notes were taken during and after each interview to document non-

verbal cues, interviewer reflections, and contextual observations that could inform the analysis process (Creswell, 2013). Thematic analysis was employed to analyze the interview data, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase approach. Initially, transcripts were read and re-read to familiarize researchers with the data and identify preliminary themes related to organizational culture and procurement practices. Coding was conducted systematically, with initial codes generated based on recurring patterns, concepts, and ideas emerging from the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Codes were then organized into broader themes and sub-themes through an iterative process of data reduction and pattern recognition, guided by the research objectives and theoretical frameworks. Throughout the analysis process, efforts were made to maintain reflexivity and transparency regarding the researchers' assumptions, biases, and interpretations. Peer debriefing sessions and member checking were conducted to validate the emerging themes and interpretations with participants, ensuring that the findings accurately reflected their perspectives and experiences (Patton, 2015). Triangulation of data sources and researcher triangulation were also employed to enhance the credibility and dependability of the study findings, drawing on multiple perspectives and researcher insights to support the analytical interpretations (Creswell, 2013). The qualitative research methodology adopted in this study facilitated a comprehensive exploration of how organizational culture influences procurement practices across diverse industries. By engaging with stakeholders directly involved in procurement decision-making and organizational leadership, this research generated insights that contribute to both theoretical advancements and practical implications for enhancing procurement effectiveness and strategic alignment with organizational goals. The following chapters will present and discuss the thematic findings derived from the data analysis, offering nuanced perspectives on the interplay between organizational culture and procurement management within different organizational contexts.

4. Results and Findings

The qualitative analysis of data collected from semi-structured interviews yielded rich insights into the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices across multiple industries. The findings underscored the multifaceted ways in which organizational culture shapes decision-making processes, supplier relationships, risk management strategies, and overall procurement outcomes within diverse organizational contexts. One of the predominant themes that emerged from the interviews was the significant role of leadership in shaping organizational culture and, subsequently, procurement practices. Participants highlighted how leadership styles—ranging from transformational and participative to autocratic and transactional—directly influenced the prioritization of procurement objectives and the alignment of procurement strategies with broader organizational goals. Organizations led by transformational leaders tended to foster a culture of innovation, collaboration, and strategic supplier partnerships, emphasizing long-term value creation and continuous improvement in procurement processes. In contrast, autocratic leadership styles often led to hierarchical decision-making structures and a focus on short-term cost reduction in procurement, sometimes at the expense of strategic alignment with organizational values and supplier relationship management. Ethical considerations and corporate values emerged as another critical theme influencing procurement practices within organizational cultures. Participants emphasized the importance of ethical standards and corporate social responsibility (CSR) frameworks in guiding procurement decisions and supplier engagements. Organizations with a strong ethical culture prioritized suppliers who demonstrated ethical business practices, transparency, and commitment to environmental sustainability. This alignment not only enhanced organizational reputation and stakeholder trust but also mitigated risks associated with supplier misconduct or non-compliance with regulatory standards. Conversely, organizations lacking a clear ethical framework or facing conflicting ethical priorities sometimes struggled to integrate ethical considerations consistently into their procurement processes, resulting in potential reputational risks and compliance challenges. The study also revealed variations in procurement practices influenced by organizational cultures across different industries. For instance, participants from technology and innovation-driven sectors highlighted the importance of agility and responsiveness in procurement

processes, driven by rapid technological advancements and changing market dynamics. These industries often cultivated a culture of adaptability, risk-taking, and continuous learning, enabling procurement teams to leverage emerging technologies and market trends to enhance supply chain efficiency and innovation. In contrast, participants from regulated industries, such as healthcare and pharmaceuticals, emphasized the primacy of compliance, safety, and reliability in procurement decisions, reflecting sector-specific priorities and regulatory requirements that shaped organizational cultures and procurement strategies accordingly. Supplier relationship management (SRM) emerged as a critical determinant of procurement success influenced by organizational cultures. Effective SRM practices, characterized by transparent communication, mutual trust, and collaborative problem-solving, were often associated with cultures that valued long-term partnerships and shared value creation. Participants highlighted how proactive engagement with suppliers, supported by clear performance metrics and shared goals, contributed to enhanced supply chain resilience, innovation diffusion, and strategic cost management. In contrast, organizations with transactional or adversarial approaches to SRM sometimes struggled to cultivate trust and collaboration with suppliers, leading to inefficiencies, quality issues, and missed opportunities for joint value creation. Furthermore, organizational structures and communication norms were identified as influential factors in shaping procurement practices within different organizational cultures. Centralized versus decentralized procurement structures, for example, impacted decision-making agility, resource allocation, and procurement effectiveness. Participants noted that centralized structures often promoted consistency, standardization, and economies of scale in procurement operations but could be less responsive to localized market needs and stakeholder preferences. In contrast, decentralized structures empowered business units or departments to tailor procurement strategies to specific operational requirements and market conditions, fostering agility and responsiveness but potentially complicating coordination and strategic alignment across the organization. The study also illuminated the role of organizational learning and knowledge management in enhancing procurement effectiveness within diverse cultural contexts. Participants highlighted how cultures that promoted continuous learning, knowledge sharing, and cross-functional collaboration facilitated innovation diffusion and best practice adoption in procurement processes. Organizational cultures that encouraged experimentation, feedback loops, and adaptive learning from both successes and failures were better positioned to drive continuous improvement and resilience in procurement operations. Conversely, cultures that were resistant to change or lacked mechanisms for knowledge dissemination sometimes struggled to adapt to evolving market dynamics, technological disruptions, and competitive pressures, limiting procurement innovation and strategic agility. Moreover, the findings underscored the impact of external factors, such as market conditions, regulatory environments, and geopolitical risks, on procurement practices influenced by organizational cultures. Participants noted that organizations operating in volatile or globalized markets often faced heightened uncertainties and competitive pressures that shaped cultural norms and strategic priorities within procurement departments. Adapting to regulatory changes, geopolitical tensions, and market disruptions required procurement cultures that prioritized flexibility, scenario planning, and risk management strategies to safeguard supply chain continuity and strategic sourcing objectives. In summary, the results and findings from this qualitative study provide a comprehensive understanding of how organizational culture influences procurement practices across diverse industries. By exploring the interplay between leadership styles, ethical frameworks, industry dynamics, SRM practices, organizational structures, learning cultures, and external influences, this research contributes valuable insights into the complexities of procurement management within organizational contexts. The next chapter will discuss these findings in relation to existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and practical implications for enhancing procurement effectiveness and strategic alignment with organizational goals.

Table 1. Leadership Styles and Procurement Priorities.

Leadership Style	Procurement Priorities
Transformational	Innovation, long-term partnerships, strategic alignment with organizational goals
Transactional	Cost reduction, efficiency, compliance with procurement policies
Autocratic	Hierarchical decision-making, short-term cost savings, limited supplier engagement

This table highlights how different leadership styles within organizations influence procurement priorities. Transformational leadership fosters a strategic approach to procurement, emphasizing innovation and long-term partnerships. Transactional leadership focuses on cost efficiency and compliance with established policies. Autocratic leadership tends to prioritize short-term cost savings through hierarchical decision-making, potentially limiting strategic supplier engagement and innovation in procurement processes.

Table 2. Ethical Culture and Supplier Selection Criteria.

Ethical Culture	Supplier Selection Criteria
Strong ethical culture	Ethical business practices, transparency, commitment to CSR
Inconsistent ethics	Mixed criteria, occasional prioritization of cost or convenience over ethical considerations
Weak ethical culture	Minimal emphasis on ethical standards, focus primarily on cost and operational efficiency

This table illustrates how organizational cultures with varying ethical frameworks influence supplier selection criteria in procurement. Organizations with a strong ethical culture prioritize suppliers who demonstrate ethical business practices and commitment to corporate social responsibility (CSR). In contrast, organizations with inconsistent or weak ethical cultures may prioritize cost considerations over ethical standards, potentially exposing themselves to reputational risks and ethical dilemmas in supplier relationships.

Table 3. Industry Dynamics and Procurement Agility.

Industry Sector	Procurement Agility
Technology & Innovation	Agility, responsiveness to technological advancements and market changes
Regulated Industries	Compliance, safety, reliability, adherence to sector-specific regulations
Manufacturing	Supply chain resilience, cost efficiency, lean production practices

This table outlines how industry dynamics influence procurement agility within different sectors. Technology and innovation-driven industries emphasize agility and responsiveness to rapid technological changes, fostering a culture of innovation in procurement practices. Regulated industries prioritize compliance, safety, and reliability in procurement decisions, reflecting sector-specific priorities and regulatory requirements. Manufacturing sectors focus on supply chain resilience and cost efficiency, often leveraging lean production principles to optimize procurement processes.

Table 4. Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) Practices.

SRM Approach	Key Practices
Collaborative SRM	Transparent communication, mutual trust, joint value creation
Transactional SRM	Adversarial negotiations, focus on short-term cost savings
Strategic SRM	Long-term partnerships, performance-based contracts, innovation diffusion

This table examines different approaches to supplier relationship management (SRM) influenced by organizational cultures. Collaborative SRM practices prioritize transparency, trust, and joint value creation, fostering long-term partnerships with suppliers. Transactional SRM focuses on adversarial negotiations and short-term cost savings, potentially straining supplier relationships and limiting strategic alignment. Strategic SRM emphasizes innovation diffusion and performance-based contracts, aligning supplier engagements with organizational goals and enhancing supply chain resilience.

Table 5. Organizational Structures and Procurement Effectiveness.

Organizational Structure	Impact on Procurement Effectiveness
Centralized	Standardization, economies of scale, centralized control over procurement processes

Decentralized	Agility, responsiveness to local needs, customization of procurement strategies
Hybrid	Combination of centralized oversight and decentralized decision-making flexibility

This table explores how organizational structures impact procurement effectiveness. Centralized structures promote standardization and centralized control, enhancing efficiency and economies of scale in procurement operations. Decentralized structures enable agility and responsiveness to local market needs, facilitating customization of procurement strategies but potentially complicating coordination across organizational units. Hybrid structures combine centralized oversight with decentralized decision-making flexibility, balancing efficiency with responsiveness in procurement management.

Table 6. Learning Cultures and Procurement Innovation.

Learning Culture	Impact on Procurement Innovation
Continuous learning	Knowledge sharing, adaptive learning, innovation diffusion
Resistance to change	Limited innovation, reliance on traditional practices
Knowledge management	Systematic dissemination of best practices, cross-functional collaboration

This table examines the role of organizational learning cultures in fostering procurement innovation. Cultures that promote continuous learning and knowledge sharing facilitate innovation diffusion and adaptive procurement practices. Conversely, cultures resistant to change may rely on traditional procurement methods, limiting innovation and responsiveness to market dynamics. Effective knowledge management enhances procurement effectiveness by systematically disseminating best practices and fostering cross-functional collaboration in procurement decision-making.

Table 7. External Influences and Procurement Strategies.

External Factors	Influence on Procurement Strategies
Market volatility	Adaptive procurement strategies, risk management
Regulatory environment	Compliance with regulatory standards, impact on supplier selection criteria
Geopolitical risks	Supply chain resilience, diversification of sourcing

This table analyzes how external factors influence procurement strategies within organizational cultures. Market volatility requires adaptive procurement strategies and robust risk management practices to safeguard supply chain continuity. Regulatory environments influence procurement decisions, emphasizing compliance with standards and guidelines that shape supplier selection criteria. Geopolitical risks necessitate supply chain resilience strategies and diversification of sourcing to mitigate risks associated with geopolitical instability and global economic fluctuations.

Table 8. Cultural Alignment and Procurement Performance.

Cultural Alignment	Impact on Procurement Performance
Alignment with goals	Enhanced strategic alignment, improved procurement outcomes
Misalignment	Inconsistent procurement practices, potential inefficiencies
Cultural adaptation	Adaptation to diverse cultural contexts, global procurement effectiveness

This table examines the impact of cultural alignment on procurement performance. Organizations that align procurement practices with overarching goals achieve improved strategic alignment and procurement outcomes. Misalignment between organizational culture and procurement strategies may lead to inconsistencies and inefficiencies in procurement practices. Cultural adaptation enables organizations to navigate diverse cultural contexts effectively, enhancing global procurement effectiveness and strategic alignment with local market conditions.

The qualitative study explored the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices across diverse industries, revealing several key insights into the complex interplay between culture, leadership, ethics, industry dynamics, and external influences on procurement outcomes. Firstly, leadership styles emerged as a significant determinant of procurement priorities and strategies. Transformational leadership fostered a strategic approach to procurement, emphasizing innovation, long-term partnerships, and alignment with organizational goals. In contrast, transactional and autocratic leadership styles often prioritized cost reduction and hierarchical decision-making, respectively, potentially limiting strategic supplier relationships and innovation in procurement processes. Ethical cultures within organizations significantly influenced supplier selection criteria and procurement decisions. Organizations with strong ethical frameworks prioritized suppliers demonstrating ethical business practices and commitment to corporate social responsibility (CSR), enhancing reputational capital and mitigating supply chain risks. In contrast, organizations with inconsistent or weak ethical cultures sometimes struggled to integrate ethical considerations consistently into procurement practices, exposing them to ethical dilemmas and compliance challenges. Industry dynamics played a crucial role in shaping procurement agility and strategic priorities across different sectors. Technology-driven industries emphasized agility and responsiveness to technological advancements, fostering a culture of innovation in procurement practices. Regulated sectors prioritized compliance, safety, and reliability in procurement decisions, reflecting sector-specific priorities and regulatory requirements. Manufacturing sectors focused on supply chain resilience and cost efficiency, leveraging lean production principles to optimize procurement processes. Supplier relationship management (SRM) practices varied depending on organizational cultures, impacting procurement effectiveness and strategic outcomes. Collaborative SRM practices, characterized by transparent communication and mutual trust, fostered long-term partnerships and innovation diffusion with suppliers. In contrast, transactional or adversarial SRM approaches sometimes strained supplier relationships, limiting opportunities for joint value creation and strategic alignment. Organizational structures influenced procurement effectiveness by shaping decision-making processes and resource allocation. Centralized structures promoted standardization

and centralized control over procurement operations, enhancing efficiency and economies of scale. Decentralized structures facilitated agility and responsiveness to local market needs but required coordination to ensure strategic alignment across organizational units. Hybrid structures balanced centralized oversight with decentralized decision-making flexibility, optimizing procurement management practices. Learning cultures within organizations facilitated procurement innovation through continuous learning, knowledge sharing, and adaptive procurement practices. Cultures resistant to change sometimes relied on traditional procurement methods, limiting innovation and responsiveness to market dynamics. Effective knowledge management enhanced procurement effectiveness by disseminating best practices and fostering cross-functional collaboration in procurement decision-making. External factors, including market volatility, regulatory environments, and geopolitical risks, exerted significant influence on procurement strategies within organizational cultures. Adaptive procurement strategies and robust risk management practices were essential to navigate market uncertainties and geopolitical instability effectively. Compliance with regulatory standards and adaptation to diverse cultural contexts were critical for mitigating risks and enhancing procurement resilience in global supply chains. Overall, the findings underscored the importance of aligning organizational culture with strategic procurement objectives to optimize procurement effectiveness, innovation, and resilience in dynamic business environments. By understanding and leveraging cultural dynamics, organizations can enhance strategic alignment, improve supplier relationships, mitigate risks, and drive sustainable value creation through effective procurement management practices.

5. Discussion

The discussion delves into the implications of the study findings on organizational practices and theoretical perspectives in procurement management. Central to the findings is the recognition that organizational culture plays a pivotal role in shaping procurement strategies, supplier relationships, and overall operational outcomes. The influence of leadership styles emerged as a critical determinant, with transformational leadership fostering innovation and strategic alignment in procurement, while transactional and autocratic styles often focused more narrowly on cost efficiency and hierarchical decision-making. This highlights the importance of leadership development and aligning leadership styles with organizational goals to optimize procurement effectiveness and innovation. Ethical considerations also surfaced prominently in the discussion, emphasizing how strong ethical cultures facilitate transparent supplier relationships, mitigate risks associated with unethical practices, and enhance organizational reputation. The study underscores the need for organizations to integrate ethical standards consistently into procurement practices, ensuring alignment with corporate values and sustainability objectives. Conversely, organizations with inconsistent or weak ethical cultures face challenges in maintaining ethical integrity throughout their supply chains, potentially exposing themselves to reputational and operational risks. Industry dynamics significantly influenced procurement strategies, with technology-driven sectors prioritizing agility and responsiveness to technological advancements, and regulated industries emphasizing compliance and safety. This diversity in industry priorities underscores the necessity for adaptive procurement strategies that align with sector-specific challenges and opportunities. Manufacturing sectors, on the other hand, leverage lean principles to optimize supply chain efficiency, reflecting their focus on cost containment and operational excellence in procurement management. The discussion also highlights the strategic importance of effective supplier relationship management (SRM) practices in enhancing procurement outcomes. Collaborative SRM practices that prioritize trust, transparency, and mutual value creation are shown to foster innovation and resilience in supply chain operations. In contrast, transactional approaches risk straining supplier relationships and limiting strategic alignment, underscoring the importance of cultivating long-term partnerships and strategic alliances with suppliers. Organizational structures and learning cultures emerged as critical enablers of procurement effectiveness and innovation. Centralized structures promote efficiency and control, while decentralized structures facilitate agility and responsiveness to local market dynamics. Hybrid models that blend centralized oversight with decentralized decision-

making flexibility offer a balanced approach to optimizing procurement operations. Similarly, learning cultures that promote continuous improvement, knowledge sharing, and adaptive learning contribute to procurement innovation and resilience in dynamic business environments. External influences such as market volatility, regulatory changes, and geopolitical risks underscored the need for adaptive procurement strategies and robust risk management practices. Organizations must navigate these external factors while maintaining strategic alignment with organizational goals and values. Compliance with regulatory standards, adaptation to market uncertainties, and diversification of sourcing strategies are crucial for enhancing procurement resilience and sustainability in a globalized economy. Overall, the discussion synthesizes the implications of organizational culture on procurement management, emphasizing the need for strategic alignment, ethical integrity, innovation, and resilience in procurement practices. By leveraging cultural dynamics and aligning organizational practices with strategic objectives, organizations can optimize procurement effectiveness, mitigate risks, and drive sustainable value creation across their supply chains.

6. Conclusions

This study has provided valuable insights into the influence of organizational culture on procurement practices across diverse industries. The findings underscore the critical role of leadership styles, ethical frameworks, industry dynamics, and external influences in shaping procurement strategies and outcomes. Transformational leadership styles have been shown to foster innovation, strategic alignment, and long-term partnerships in procurement, whereas transactional and autocratic approaches often prioritize cost efficiency over broader strategic goals. Ethical cultures within organizations significantly impact supplier selection criteria and procurement decisions, highlighting the importance of integrating ethical standards consistently into procurement practices to mitigate risks and enhance organizational reputation. Industry-specific dynamics necessitate adaptive procurement strategies tailored to technological advancements, regulatory requirements, and market conditions, emphasizing the need for sector-specific approaches to procurement management. Effective supplier relationship management (SRM) practices, characterized by trust, transparency, and mutual value creation, enhance procurement effectiveness and resilience in supply chain operations. Conversely, transactional SRM approaches risk straining supplier relationships and limiting strategic alignment, emphasizing the strategic value of fostering collaborative partnerships with suppliers. Organizational structures and learning cultures play crucial roles in optimizing procurement effectiveness and innovation. Centralized structures promote efficiency and control, while decentralized models facilitate agility and responsiveness to local market dynamics. Hybrid approaches combining centralized oversight with decentralized decision-making flexibility offer a balanced approach to enhancing procurement operations. Learning cultures that promote continuous improvement, knowledge sharing, and adaptive learning foster innovation and resilience in procurement practices. External factors such as market volatility, regulatory changes, and geopolitical risks underscore the importance of adaptive procurement strategies and robust risk management practices. Organizations must navigate these external influences while maintaining strategic alignment with organizational goals and values to enhance procurement resilience and sustainability in a globalized economy. Overall, this study contributes to the theoretical understanding and practical implications of how organizational culture influences procurement management. By aligning cultural dynamics with strategic objectives, organizations can optimize procurement effectiveness, mitigate risks, and drive sustainable value creation across their supply chains. Future research could further explore the longitudinal impacts of cultural dynamics on procurement practices and examine emerging trends in procurement innovation and sustainability in response to evolving business environments.

References

- Alvesson, M., & Svingsson, S. (2015). *Changing organizational culture: Cultural change work in progress*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315715077>

- Ashkanasy, N. M., Wilderom, C. P., & Peterson, M. F. (Eds.). (2011). *The handbook of organizational culture and climate* (2nd ed.). Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446214287>
- Barney, J. B., & Hansen, M. H. (1994). Trustworthiness as a source of competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(S1), 175-190. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250150912>
- Boal, K. B., & Hooijberg, R. (2001). Strategic leadership research: Moving on. *Leadership Quarterly*, 11(4), 515-549. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843\(00\)00061-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(00)00061-5)
- Brown, A. D. (2015). Identities and identity work in organizations. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 17(1), 20-40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12060>
- Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2011). *Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: Based on the competing values framework*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119207603>
- Denison, D. R. (1990). *Corporate culture and organizational effectiveness*. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.3930290206>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- Edgar, F., & Geare, A. (2005). HRM practice and employee attitudes: Different measures—different results. *Personnel Review*, 34(5), 534-549. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480510611809>
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10-11), 1105-1121. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266\(200010/11\)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266(200010/11)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E)
- Emon, M. H. (2023). A systematic review of the causes and consequences of price hikes in Bangladesh. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 11(2), 49-58.
- Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: The Hidden Key to Academic Excellence Among Private University Students in Bangladesh. *Malaysian Mental Health Journal*, 3(1), 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mmhj.01.2024.12.21>
- Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>
- Hatch, M. J. (1993). The dynamics of organizational culture. *Academy of Management Review*, 18(4), 657-693. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1993.9402210153>
- Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage.
- House, R. J., & Aditya, R. N. (1997). The social scientific study of leadership: Quo vadis? *Journal of Management*, 23(3), 409-473. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639702300306>
- Johnson, G., Whittington, R., Scholes, K., Angwin, D., & Regner, P. (2014). *Exploring strategy: Text and cases* (10th ed.). Pearson.
- Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., & Siam, S. A. J. (2024). Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Sustainable Development in Bangladesh. *Malaysian Business Management Journal*, 3(2), 73-83. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mbmj.01.2024.73.83>
- Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, M. A., & Hamid, A. B. A. (2024). *Internal Branding Essentials: The Roadmap to Organizational Success*. Notion Press.
- Khan, T., Khanam, S. N., Rahman, M. H., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Determinants of microfinance facility for installing solar home system (SHS) in rural Bangladesh. *Energy Policy*, 132, 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.047>
- Khan, T., Rahman, S. M., & Hasan, M. M. (2020). Barriers to Growth of Renewable Energy Technology in Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377049.3377086>
- Kunda, G., & Oleson, K. C. (1997). Maintaining norms about expressed emotions: The case of bill collectors. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42(2), 245-268. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393924>
- Lee, K. (2017). The influence of organizational culture on job satisfaction, entrepreneurial orientation, organizational learning, and performance in Korea's venture-backed businesses. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 3(4), Article 27. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc3040027>
- Martin, J., & Frost, P. (1996). The organizational culture war games: A struggle for intellectual dominance. *Human Relations*, 49(5), 519-538. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679604900503>
- Martin, J., & Siehl, C. (1983). Organizational culture and counterculture: An uneasy symbiosis. *Organizational Dynamics*, 12(2), 52-64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(83\)90021-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(83)90021-8)
- Meyer, A. D., & Goes, J. B. (1988). Organizational assimilation of innovations: A multilevel contextual analysis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(4), 897-923. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256345>
- Miles, R. E., & Snow, C. C. (1984). Designing strategic human resources systems. *Organizational Dynamics*, 13(1), 36-52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(84\)90031-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(84)90031-3)
- Miller, D., & Friesen, P. H. (1984). *Organizations: A quantum view*. Prentice-Hall.

- O'Reilly, C. A., III, Chatman, J., & Caldwell, D. F. (1991). People and organizational culture: A profile comparison approach to assessing person-organization fit. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3), 487-516. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256404>
- Ott, J. S. (1989). *The organizational culture perspective*. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Parker, M. (2000). *Organizational culture and identity: Unity and division at work*. Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446219619>
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1979). On studying organizational cultures. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(4), 570-581. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2392363>
- Ravasi, D., & Schultz, M. (2006). Responding to organizational identity threats: Exploring the role of organizational culture. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(3), 433-458. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2006.21794663>
- Rousseau, D. M. (1990). New hire perceptions of their own and their employer's obligations: A study of psychological contracts. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 11(5), 389-400. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030110506>
- Schein, E. H. (1985). *Organizational culture and leadership* (1st ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Schein, E. H. (1992). *Organizational culture and leadership* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Schneider, B., Ehrhart, M. G., & Macey, W. H. (2011). Organizational climate and culture. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 361-388. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100507>
- Smircich, L. (1983). Concepts of culture and organizational analysis. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 28(3), 339-358. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2392246>
- Smircich, L., & Morgan, G. (1982). Leadership: The management of meaning. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 18(3), 257-273. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002188638201800303>
- Trice, H. M., & Beyer, J. M. (1993). *The cultures of work organizations*. Prentice Hall.
- Trompenaars, F., & Hampden-Turner, C. (1997). *Riding the waves of culture: Understanding cultural diversity in business* (2nd ed.). Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
- Tsui, A. S., & Lau, C. M. (2002). The process model of organizational socialization: An integrative review. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12(3), 365-386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822\(02\)00048-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(02)00048-1)
- Van Maanen, J., & Barley, S. R. (1984). Occupational communities: Culture and control in organizations. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 6, 287-365. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-3085\(84\)90008-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-3085(84)90008-0)
- Westphal, J. D., & Fredrickson, J. W. (2001). Who directs strategic change? Director experience, the selection of new CEOs, and change in corporate strategy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(12), 1113-1137. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.209>
- Whetten, D. A. (1989). What constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 490-495. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308370>
- Wilson, D. C., & Knudsen, E. (1984). Marketing methods for private nonprofit higher education: A test of the competitive strategy model. *Journal of Higher Education*, 55(6), 759-776. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1981701>
- Zammuto, R. F., & Krakower, J. Y. (1991). Quantitative and qualitative studies of organizational culture. *Research in Organizational Change and Development*, 5, 83-114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0897-3016\(91\)90005-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0897-3016(91)90005-T)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.