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|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Item No** | **Recommendation** | **Page No** |
| **Title and abstract** | 1 | 1. Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
 | 2 |
| 1. Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found
 | 2 |
| **Introduction** |  |  |  |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 3 & 4 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 4 |
| **Methods** |  |  |  |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 4 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | 4 |
| Participants | 6 | (*a*) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | 4 |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | 4 & 5 |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8\* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | 4 & 5 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | NR |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | NR |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | 5 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | 1. Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
 | 5 & 6 |
| 1. Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
 | 5 & 6 |
| 1. Explain how missing data were addressed
 | NA |
| 1. If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy
 | NA |
| 1. Describe any sensitivity analyses
 | NA |
| **Results** |  |  |  |
| Participants | 13\* | 1. Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analyzed
 | 6 |
| 1. Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
 | NA |
| 1. Consider use of a flow diagram
 | NA |
| Descriptive data | 14\* | 1. Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders
 | 6 & Table 1 |
| 1. Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
 | NA |
| Outcome data | 15\* | Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | 8–14  |
| Main results | 16 | 1. Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included
 | Table 3 |
| 1. Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
 | Table 3 |
| 1. If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period
 | Table 3 |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | 11–14Table 3 & 4  |
| **Discussion** |  |  |  |
| Key results | 18 | Summarize key results with reference to study objectives | 14–17  |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | 16 |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | 16 |
| Generalizability | 21 | Discuss the generalizability (external validity) of the study results | 16 |
| **Other information** |  |  |  |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | 17 |